UniMath: its origins, present, and future

Benedikt Ahrens

Outline

Origins

- 2 UniMath today
- 3 The future of UniMath Current issues Mathematical goals

Outline

- Origins
- 2 UniMath today
- 3 The future of UniMath Current issues Mathematical goals

Vladimir's interest in computer-checked proofs

PS I am thinking again about the applications of computers to pure math. Do you know of anyone working in this area? I mean mostly some kind of a computer language to describe mathematical structures, their properties and proofs in such a way that ultimately one may have mathematical knowledge archived and logically verified in a fixed format.

Email to Dan Grayson, Sept 2002

Vladimir's ideas about computer-checked proofs I

Let us start with what would be a perfect system of such sort [...].

Ideally one wants a math oracle. A user inputs a type expression and the system either returns a term of this type or says that it has no terms. The type expression may be "of level o" i.e. it can correspond to a statement in which case a term is a proof and the absence of terms means that the statement is not provable. It may be "of level 1" e.g. it might be the type of solutions of an equation. In that case the system produces a solution or says that there are non. It may be "of level 2" e.g. It might be the type of all solvable groups of order 35555. In that case the system produces an example of such a group etc.

Vladimir's ideas about computer-checked proofs II

A realistic approximation [...] may look as follows. Imagine a web-based system with a lot of users (both "creators" and "consumers") and a very large "database". Originally the database is empty (or, rather, contains only the primitive "knowledge" a-la axioms). User A (say in Princeton) inputs a type expression and builds up a term of this type either in one step (just types it in) or in many steps using the standard proof-assistant capabilities of the system. Both the original and all the intermediate type expressions which occur in the process are filed (in the real time) in the database. Enter user B (somewhere in Brazil), who inputs another type expression and begins the process of constructing a term of his type.

Vladimir's ideas about computer-checked proofs II

[...] My homotopy lambda calculus is an attempt to create a system which is very good at dealing with equivalences. In particular it is supposed to have the property that given any type expression F(T) depending on a term subexpression t of type T and an equivalence t > t' (a term of the type Eq(T;t,t')) there is a mechanical way to create a new expression F' now depending on t' and an equivalence between F(T) and F'(T') (note that to get F' one can not just substitute t' for t in F – the resulting expression will most likely be syntactically incorrect).

Email to Dan Grayson, Sept 2006

Vladimir learning how to use Coq

I am thinking a lot these days about foundations of math and automated proof verification. My old idea about a "univalent" homotopy theoretical models of Martin-Lof type systems survived the verification stage an I am in the process of writing things up.

I also took a course at the Princeton CS department which was for most part about Coq and was very impressed both by how much can be proved in it in a reasonable time and by how many young students attended (45, 35 undergrad + 10 grad!).

Email to Dan Grayson, Dec 2009

The Foundations library

In Feb 2010, Vladimir started writing the Coq library *Foundations*, making precise his ideas conceived during three years and collected in *A very short note on homotopy* λ -calculus.

```
Fixed in softlevel (n:nat) (X:UU): UU:=

mixtn with

0 >> iscontr X |

5 m >> forall x':X, (isofhlevel m (paths _ x x'))

end.

Theorem hewelvetract (n:nat) (X:UU)(Y:UU)(x':X >> Y)(x:Y ->X)(sps; forall y:Y, paths _ (p (s y)) y): (isofhlevel n X) -> (isofhlevel n Y).

Proof. infro. induction n. infros. apply (contril' _ p s. eps X0).

intros. unfold isofhlevel. intros. unfold isofhlevel in X0. assert (is: isofhlevel n (paths _ (s x) (s x'))). apply X0.

bit (s':=maponpaths _ s x x'). set (p':=pathsec2 _ s p eps x').

Corollary hlevelwed (n:nat) (X:UU) (Y:UU) (f':X -> Y)(is: iswed _ f'): (isofflevel n X) -> (isofhlevel n Y).

Proof. intros. apply (hlevelretract n _ f (imwmap _ f is) [wedgr _ f is)). assumption. Defined.

Definition isaprop (X:UU): UU := isofhlevel (5 0) X.
```

Other libraries were built on top of *Foundations*...

Founding of UniMath

UniMath was founded in spring 2014, by combining three libraries:

- Foundations (Voevodsky)
- RezkCompletion (Ahrens, Kapulkin, Shulman) (started Feb 2013)
- Ktheory (Grayson) (started Oct 2013)

A library on p-adic numbers, written by Pelayo, Warren, and Voevodsky in 2012, was added to UniMath later as package *PAdics*.

Outline

- Origins
- 2 UniMath today
- 3 The future of UniMath Current issues Mathematical goals

Some information on the UniMath library

- ca. 120,000 loc
- Compile time: too long
- 15 contributors
- Distributed under free software license

The UniMath library

Organized in 'packages':

- Foundations
- More Foundations
- Combinatorics
- Algebra
- Number Systems
- Real Numbers
- Category Theory
- Homological Algebra
- K-theory
- Topology
- . . .

Package Foundations

Provides a specification of "univalent foundations":

- Type and term constructors
- · Definition of 'weak equivalence'
- Definition of 'h-level' for types and functions
- Facts on propositions and sets
- Univalence axiom and consequences
- Arithmetic on natural numbers

• . . .

Package MoreFoundations

Several variants of the same construction, e.g.,

$$(a,b) = (a',b') \simeq \sum_{p:a=a'} p_*(b) = b'$$

 $t = t' \simeq \sum_{p:t.1=t'.1} p_*(t.2) = t'.2$

useful, but not interesting—dilutes Foundations.
Package MoreFoundations mirrors structure of Foundations:

- · one variant of each construction in Foundations
- others in MoreFoundations
- ---> Foundations as a textbook on univalent foundations

Package Combinatorics

- Standard finite sets
- Finite sets
- Finite sequences
- Ordered sets
- Wellordered sets
- Zermelo's wellordering theorem:
 AC ⇒ every set can be well-ordered

Package CategoryTheory

- (Univalent) categories, functors, natural transformations
- Category of sets and Yoneda lemma
- Adjunctions, equivalences
- Rezk completion
- (Co)Limits in general, direct definition of some special (co)limits
- Abelian categories
- Displayed categories

• . . .

Package Algebra

- Lattices
- Binary operations
- Monoids and groups, abelian groups
- Rigs and rings;
- Ring of differences: construction of a (commutative) ring from a (commutative) rig (used to define the integers)
- Domains and fields
- Field of fractions: construction of a field from an integral domain with decidable equality (used to define the rationals)

•

More specialized packages

- Folds: categories via univalent FOLDS
- Homological Algebra: 5-lemma for triangulated categories, naive homotopy category K(A) is triangulated
- Ktheory: Torsors, the circle as $B(\mathbb{Z})$
- Number Systems: Integers and rationals via constructions in Algebra package
- SubstitutionSystems: theory of syntax with variable binding
- Tactics: tactics for proving results on monoids, groups, etc.

Outline

Origins

- 2 UniMath today
- 3 The future of UniMath Current issues Mathematical goals

Outline

Origins

2 UniMath today

3 The future of UniMath Current issues Mathematical goals

Propositional resizing

In a talk at TYPES 2011, Vladimir suggested a set of **resizing rules**, in particular

- If type *A* is a proposition, then *A* lives in the lowest universe.
- For any universe U, the type $h \operatorname{Prop}(U) = \sum_{X:U} \operatorname{isaprop}(X)$ lives in the lowest universe.

Weakened versions of those rules—"up to equivalence"—are validated by Voevodsky's simplicial set model.

Use of resizing in UniMath

Propositional resizing is needed to achieve that

• the propositional truncation of *A*,

$$|A| := \prod_{P: \mathsf{hProp}(\mathsf{U})} (A \to P) \to P$$

lives in the same universe as A

the set quotient of (*X*, *R*) lives in the same universe as *X*; recall
that elements of the quotient are equivalence classes
 e : *X* → hProp(U)

Research problems related to resizing

Show consistency of resizing rules in univalent type theory

In the TYPES 2011 talk, Vladimir sketches a model of resizing that does not validate univalence.

Implement a proof assistant with propositional resizing

- In UniMath, resizing is currently achieved by the inconsistent rule U : U
- Dan Grayson is currently working on isolating the uses of U: U into "resizing modules"

Outline

Origins

2 UniMath today

3 The future of UniMath Current issues Mathematical goals

What to work on?

- UniMath aims to accommodate all of mathematics
- Anybody is welcome to contribute the mathematics they are interested in
- The purpose of this school/workshop has been to enable people to work on their own goals in UniMath

I am going to present some goals that have been suggested; you might pursue others!

Vladimir's goals for UniMath

In a lecture in July 2017, Vladimir outlined three goals for the UniMath library:

Vladimir's goals for UniMath I

"The first direction is the development and formalization of the mathematics surrounding the study of syntax and semantics of dependent type theories.

This direction itself has now branched into several subdirections. The most clearly aimed among those is the one whose goal is to formalize the construction of the univalent simplicial set model.

Its development progresses well. "

Vladimir's goals for UniMath II

"Another direction is the one that I have stated in my Bernays lectures at the ETH in 2014 - to formalize a proof of Milnor's conjecture on Galois cohomology.

It has not been developing much. On the one hand, I discovered that formalizing it classically it is not very interesting to me because I am quite confident in that proof and in its extension to the Bloch-Kato Conjecture.

On the other hand, when planning a development of a constructive version of this proof one soon encounters a problem. The proof uses the so called Merkurjev-Suslin transfinite argument that relies on the Zermelo's well- ordering theorem that in turn relies on the axiom of choice for sets. "

Vladimir's goals for UniMath III

"Finally, there is the third direction that I think UniMath can and should develop. It is the direction towards the modern theory of geometry and topology of manifolds.

The first step in this direction can be a definition of a univalent category of smooth manifolds. Univalence will force all the constructions relying on this category to be invariant, or maybe better to say equivariant, with respect to diffeomorphisms.

No one knows how much of the theory of smooth manifolds can be developed constructively which creates an additional challenge. "

UniMath for education

Make UniMath suitable for teaching

- · type theory and univalent foundations
- mathematics

To this end:

- restrict use of tactics to a small, well-defined, set
- simplify installation
- improve documentation
- · modernize user interface
- anything else? Suggestions welcome!

Kudos to Coq

UniMath is a large codebase, with contributors coming and going. Its continued development would not be possible without the help of Coq developers, who

- implement features useful for Foundations/UniMath
- ensure compatibility upon version upgrades
- test Coq with UniMath to check for regressions
- make Coq faster with every version

References

- Vladimir's emails to Dan Grayson
 https://groups.google.com/forum/#!topic/
 homotopytypetheory/K_4bAZEDRvE
- Vladimir's library Foundations
 https://github.com/vladimirias/Foundations,
 archived at https://github.com/UniMath/Foundations
- Vladimir's talk at TYPES 2011
 https://www.math.ias.edu/vladimir/sites/math.
 ias.edu.vladimir/files/2011_Bergen.pdf
- Vladimir's talk on UniMath in July 2017 https: //www.newton.ac.uk/seminar/20170710113012301