

Sheaves and their cohomology

Date: 2023-08-22 3:00-5:00 PM

Lecturer: Nithi Rungtanapirom

Transcriber: Kittapat Ratanaphupha

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map.

Last session: \mathcal{F} (pre-)sheaf on X : direct image $f_*\mathcal{F}$ on Y .

Remark

- This gives rise to a functor $f_* : \text{PSh}(X) \rightarrow \text{PSh}(Y)$ (also with Sh, PAb, Ab.)
- If $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ is another continuous map, then $(g \circ f)_* = g_* \circ f_*$

Q: What about the inverse direction?

The problem is: $U \subseteq X \not\Rightarrow f(U) \subseteq Y$ in general!

Def Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map, \mathcal{G} be a presheaf on Y . The *presheaf inverse image* $f^p\mathcal{G}$ on X is defined by

$$f^p\mathcal{G}(U) := \varinjlim_{f(U) \subseteq V \subseteq Y} \mathcal{G}(V) \quad \text{for each } U \subseteq X.$$

The restriction morphisms $f^p\mathcal{G}(U) \rightarrow f^p\mathcal{G}(U')$.

For $U' \subseteq U \subseteq X$ are “given canonically.”

The *sheaf inverse image* $f^{-1}\mathcal{G}$ is the sheaf associated to $f^p\mathcal{G}$.

Remark:

- The easiest (?) case: $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an open continuous map, then $f^{-1}\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(f(U))$ for all $U \subseteq X$.
- This construction yields a functor

$$f^p : \text{PSh}(Y) \rightarrow \text{PSh}(X)$$

(also in case of PAb.; $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ on Y : $\mathcal{G}(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(V)$ for $V \subseteq Y$. $f^p\mathcal{G} \rightarrow f^p\mathcal{H}$.) Hence, also, $f^{-1} : \text{PSh}(Y) \rightarrow \text{PSh}(X)$.

- If $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ is another continuous map, then $(g \circ f)^{-1} = f^{-1} \circ g^{-1}$ (as functors)
- $a \in Y$ and $X = \{a\}$, $i : \{a\} \hookrightarrow Y$ an inclusion map. \mathcal{F} is a presheaf on Y defined by $i^{-1}\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_a$.
- Hence if \mathcal{G} is a pre-sheaf on Y and $a \in X$, ($f : X \rightarrow Y$ a continuous map), then $(f^{-1}\mathcal{G})_a = \mathcal{G}_{f(a)}$

(Stalk is appropriate to inverse one, section: direct one)

Example. let E be a set: sheaves E_X and E_Y of locally connected functions. (Constant sheaves.)

Claim: $f : X \rightarrow Y$ continuous map, then $f^{-1}E_Y = E_X$.

Prop. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map, \mathcal{F} be a presheaf on X , \mathcal{G} be a (pre-)sheaf on Y .

There is a natural (transformation) bijection.

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Sh}(X)}(f^{-1}\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}) \underset{\substack{\varphi \mapsto \varphi^\flat \\ \psi^\sharp \mapsto \psi}}{\cong} \text{Hom}_{\text{PSh}(Y)}(\mathcal{G}, f_*\mathcal{F}).$$

(f^{-1} is a left adjoint of f_* .)

Proof. (sketch)

- $\varphi : f^{-1}\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$: define $\varphi^\flat : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{F}$ by $(\varphi^\flat)_V : \mathcal{G}(V) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{f(f^{-1}V) \subseteq W \subseteq Y} \mathcal{G}(W)$
 $\xrightarrow{\text{maps from the sheafification}} f^{-1}\mathcal{G}(f^{-1}V) \xrightarrow{\varphi_V} \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}V).$
- $\psi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{F}$: define a morphism $\psi^\mathcal{P} : f^\mathcal{P}\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ given by

$$(\psi^\mathcal{P})_U : f^\mathcal{P}\mathcal{G}(U) = \varinjlim_{f(U) \subseteq V \subseteq Y} \mathcal{G}(V) \xrightarrow{\lim \psi_V} \mathcal{F}(U)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\lim_{f(U) \subseteq V \subseteq Y} \rho_{f^{-1}V, U}} \mathcal{F}(U) \text{ (satisfies the universal property of } \varinjlim_v \rho_{f^{-1}V, U})$$

for $U \subseteq X$. Then apply the universal property of the sheafification to obtain a morphism $\psi^\sharp : f^{-1}\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$

A routine work shows that both constructions are inverse to each other! □

Exercises (Wedhorn, Problems 3.6, 3.9)

Let X be a topological space, $i : A \hookrightarrow X$ be an inclusion of a closed subspace, \mathcal{F} be a sheaf of abelian groups on A .

Show that the morphism of sheaves $i^{-1}(i_*\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ corresponding to $\text{id}_{i_*\mathcal{F}} : i_*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow i_*\mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism!

Tangent spaces¹

3 equivalent definitions for C^∞ -/ C^ω -/complex premanifolds

1. “geometric definition”
2. as a space of derivation on a local ring
3. as the dual space of the “algebraic cotangent space.”

Convention:

- Premanifold = C^α -($\alpha \in \hat{\mathbb{N}}$) or complex premanifold
- $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} .

Let M be a premanifold and $p \in M$.

Heuristic: a tangent vector at p should be $c'(0)$ for some curve $c : I \rightarrow M$ such that $0 \in I \subseteq \mathbb{K}, c(0) = p$.

¹How to build a vector space on them

If $f \in \mathcal{O}_{M,p}$, the “chain rule” should imply that $(f \circ c)'(0) = Df|_p(c'(0))$.²

Def Let M be an m -dimensional premanifold and $p \in M$. The (geometric) tangent space of M at p is

$$T_p^{\text{geo}} M = \{(I, c) \mid 0 \in I \subseteq K, c : I \rightarrow M \text{ morphism with } c(0) = p\} / \sim$$

where $(I_1, c_1) \sim (I_2, c_2) \iff \forall f \in \mathcal{O}_{M,p} : (f \circ c_1)'(0) = (f \circ c_2)'(0)$.

The k -vector space structure $T_p^{\text{geo}}(M)$ is defined as follows:

Let (U, x) be a chart at p ($p \in U \subseteq M, U \xrightarrow{\sim} B \subseteq \mathbb{K}^m$.)

Bijection (Check!) $T_p^{\text{geo}} M \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^m$ $[(I, c)] \mapsto (x \circ c)'(0)$; is a map $C^\alpha(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^m$

Exercise. Verify that in fact, the inverse map is $\mathbb{K}^m \rightarrow T_p^{\text{geo}} M$, $v \mapsto (I, c_v)$ ($c_v(t) := x^{-1}(x(p) - tv)$)

The \mathbb{K} -vector space structure on $T_p^{\text{geo}} M$ is inherited from the one on \mathbb{K}^m under $T_p(x)$. (This is independent of the choice of chart (U, x) !)

Remark. therefore, $\dim T_p^{\text{geo}} M = m$.

Def Let $F : M \rightarrow N$ be a morphism of premanifolds, $p \in M$. The *derivative of F at p* or the *tangent map of F at p* is $dF|_p = T_p(F) : T_p^{\text{geo}}(M) \rightarrow T_p^{\text{geo}}(N)$, that maps $[(I, c)] \mapsto [(I, F \circ c)]$.

Why is it well-defined and linear?

Let (U, x) and (V, y) be charts at $p \in M$ and $F(p) \in N$ respectively, $m = \dim M$ and $n = \dim N$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_p^{\text{geo}} M & \xrightarrow{T_p(F)} & T_{f(p)}^{\text{geo}} N \\ \downarrow \cong_{T_p(x)} & & \downarrow \cong_{T_{f(p)}(y)} \\ \mathbb{K}^m & \xrightarrow{D(y \circ F \circ x^{-1})} & \mathbb{K}^n \end{array}$$

Chain rule in \mathbb{K}

$$[(I, c)] \in T_p^{\text{geo}} M \xrightarrow{T_p(x)} (x \circ c)'(0) \in \mathbb{K}^m$$

$$\begin{array}{c} D(y \circ F \circ x^{-1}) \\ \mapsto \end{array} D(y \circ F \circ x^{-1})((x \circ c)'(0)) = ((y \circ F \circ x^{-1}) \circ (x \circ c))'(0) = (y \circ (F \circ c))'(0) = T_{F(p)}(y)((I, F \circ c))$$

The above diagram is commutative. Since $T_p(x)$, $D(y \circ F \circ x^{-1})$ and $T_{F(p)}(y)^{-1}$ are well-defined and linear, the same holds for $T_p(F)$.

Proposition. $F : M \rightarrow N$, $G : N \rightarrow Q$ morphisms of premanifolds, $p \in M \implies T_p(G \circ F) = T_{F(p)}(G) \circ T_p(F)$.

² = $(f|_p)_*(c'(0))$

In particular, there is a functor.

$$(C^\alpha\text{-PMfd}^*) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}\text{-vect}) \quad (\mathbb{C}\text{-PMfd}^*) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}\text{-vect})$$

$$\text{from } (M, p) \mapsto T_p^{\text{geo}}(M)$$

PMfd*: pair (M, p) with M premanifold, $p \in M$, morphism: $(M, p) \xrightarrow{F} (N, q) = \text{morphism}$
 $F : M \rightarrow N$ sending $p \mapsto q$.

Exercise let $\phi : S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function. Show that there are at least two points $p, q \in S^n$ such that both $T_p(\phi)$ and $T_q(\phi)$ are zero maps.