

Critical Sections - Mutual exclusion

Software solutions

Stefano Quer and Pietro Laface
Dipartimento di Automatica e Informatica
Politecnico di Torino

Requirements

- Any solution to the problem of the CS must meet the following requirements
 - Mutual Exclusion
 - One thread at a time can gain access to a Critical Section
 - Progress (no deadlock)
 - The solution allows a thread to access its CS, in a finite amount of time, even if another process is blocked outside its critical section.

Requirements

Limited waiting (No starvation)

■ There must be a limited number of times that other threads are allowed to access their CS before a thread that made its access reservation is able to gain control of its CS.

> Any solution should be symmetric

- The selection of which thread may access its CS should not depend on the
 - relative priority of the threads
 - relative speed of the threads

Software solution: no special instructions

- The software solutions to the CS problem are based on the use of shared variables
- We will analyze the solution with only two threads Ti and Tj
- The proposed solution is not easily extended to more than two threads

- Shared variables
 - int flag[2] = {FALSE, FALSE};

```
while (TRUE) {
  while (flag[j]);
  flag[i] = TRUE;
  CS
  flag[i] = FALSE;
  non critical section
}
```

```
while (TRUE) {
  while (flag[i]);
  flag[j] = TRUE;
  CS
  flag[j] = FALSE;
  non critical section
}
```

Mutual exclusion
Deadlock
Starvation
Symmetry

Operating Systems

- Shared variables
 - int flag[2] = {FALSE, FALSE};

```
while (TRUE) {
  while (flag[j]);
  flag[i] = TRUE;
  CS
  flag[i] = FALSE;
  non critical section
}
```

```
while (TRUE) {
  while (flag[i]);
  flag[j] = TRUE;
  CS
  flag[j] = FALSE;
  non critical section
}
```

- Mutual exclusion not granted
 - \succ T_i and T_i can access to their CS at the same time

- Solution
 - > A shared vector of flags "busy CS "
 - > A thread tests the other thread "busy CS " flag and sets its own
- It does not guarantee mutual exclusion in CS
- The technique fails because
 - ➤ The lock variable is controlled and changed by two statements
 - ➤ A context switching may occur between the two statements (they **are not** executed as single, **atomic** instruction)

- Flag "Busy CS" is a lock variable
 - > It serves to protect the CS
- Even if the solution were correct, the cycles testing the flag is a busy form waiting
 - Waste of CPU time
 - > Acceptable only if the busy wait is very short
- This lock mechanism, which uses the busy form of waiting, is called spin lock

Shared variables

int flag[2] = {FALSE, FALSE};

Exchanges test and set statements

```
while (TRUE) {
  flag[i] = TRUE;
  while (flag[j]);
  CS
  flag[i] = FALSE;
  non critical section
}
```

```
while (TRUE) {
  flag[j] = TRUE;
  while (flag[i]);
  CS
  flag[j] = FALSE;
  non critical section
}
```

Mutual exclusion
Deadlock
Starvation
Symmetry

Operating Systems

- Shared variables
 - int flag[2] = {FALSE, FALSE};

```
while (TRUE) {
  flag[i] = TRUE;
  while (flag[j]);
  CS
  flag[i] = FALSE;
  non critical section
}
```

```
while (TRUE) {
  flag[j] = TRUE;
  while (flag[i]);
  CS
  flag[j] = FALSE;
  non critical section
}
```

- Possible deadlock
 - Both threads can set their flag to TRUE, and wait forever

- Solution 2 tries to solve the problem with a symmetric approach
 - Reserves the access to the CS before testing its availability (i.e., performs setting before testing)
 - > But deadlock is possible
 - > Again, busy form of waiting with spin lock

Shared variables

> int turn = i;

```
Or int turn = j;
```

```
P<sub>i</sub> / T<sub>i</sub>
while (TRUE) {
  while (turn!=i);
  CS
  turn = j;
  non critical section
}
```

```
P<sub>j</sub> / T<sub>j</sub>
while (TRUE) {
  while (turn!=j);
  CS
  turn = i;
  non critical section
}
```

Mutual exclusion
Deadlock
Starvation
Symmetry

- Shared variables
 - int turn = i;

```
Or int turn = j;
```

```
P<sub>i</sub> / T<sub>i</sub>
while (TRUE) {
  while (turn!=i);
  CS
  turn = j;
  non critical section
}
```

```
P<sub>j</sub> / T<sub>j</sub>
while (TRUE) {
  while (turn!=j);
  CS
  turn = i;
  non critical section
}
```

- Does not comply with the requirements
 - \succ T_i and T_i access their CS only alternatively
 - ➤ If T_i (T_j) has not interest in using its CS, P_j (P_i) cannot enter its CS (**starvation**)

Solution 3 uses

- ➤ A binary variable "turn", which indicates the thread enabled to enter its CS
- Mutual Exclusion is ensured by assignment of the access turn
- ➤ The solution involves alternation and possible starvation
- > Busy form of waiting with spin lock

Or int turn = j;

- Shared variables
 - int turn = i;
 - int flag[2] = {FALSE, FALSE};

```
while (TRUE) { P<sub>i</sub> / T<sub>i</sub>
  flag[i] = TRUE;
  turn = j;
  while (flag[j] &&
    turn==j);
  CS
  flag[i] = FALSE;
  non critical section
}
```

```
while (TRUE) {    P<sub>j</sub> / T<sub>j</sub>
    flag[j] = TRUE;
    turn = i;
    while (flag[i] &&
        turn==i);
    CS
    flag[j] = FALSE;
    non critical section
}
```

Mutual exclusion
Deadlock
Starvation
Symmetry

- Shared variables
 - int turn = i;
 - int flag[2] = {FALSE, FALSE};

```
Or int turn = j; Mutual exclusion?
```

```
while (TRUE) { P<sub>i</sub> / T<sub>i</sub>
  flag[i] = TRUE;
  turn = j;
  while (flag[j] &&
    turn==j);
  CS
  flag[i] = FALSE;
  non critical section
}
```

```
In CS iff flag[j]==FALSE OR turn==i
```

 T_i and T_j both in therir CSs? No, because turn==i or turn==j, **not both**

```
If T_j is in its CS, T_i can enter its CS?

If T_j is inside its CS, flag[j]==TRUE (set by T_j)

AND turn==j (set by T_{ij})

thus T_i will wait
```

- Shared variables
 - > int turn = i;
 - int flag[2] = {FALSE, FALSE};

Or int turn = j;

Deadlock?

```
while (TRUE) { P<sub>i</sub> / T<sub>i</sub>
  flag[i] = TRUE;
  turn = j;
  while (flag[j] &&
    turn==j);
  CS
  flag[i] = FALSE;
  non critical section
}
```

If T_i is waiting and T_j releases its CS, T_j sets flag[j]=FALSE, thus T_i can access its CS

T_i/T_j wait only on this while loop

If T_i is waiting and T_j is not interested in its CS,

flag[j]==FALSE,

thus T_i can access its CS

T_i and T_j cannot be both waiting, because variable turn stores a single value at a time

- Shared variables
 - > int turn = i;
 - int flag[2] = {FALSE, FALSE};

```
Or int turn = j;
```

Starvation?

```
while (TRUE) { P<sub>i</sub> / T<sub>i</sub>
  flag[i] = TRUE;
  turn = j;
  while (flag[j] &&
    turn==j);
  CS
  flag[i] = FALSE;
  non critical section
}
```

T_j is in its CS, and is very fast at reserving again access to its CS. Can T_i wait forever (starve)?

Testing (also) variable turn breaks the deadlock, which is possible in Solution 2

 T_j sets flag[j to FALSE but immediately after to TRUE. However, it sets turn=i, enabling access for T_i thus T_i will waits

- Shared variables
 - > int turn = i;
 - int flag[2] = {FALSE, FALSE};

```
Or int turn = j;
```

Symmetric?

```
while (TRUE) { P<sub>i</sub> / T<sub>i</sub>
  flag[i] = TRUE;
  turn = j;
  while (flag[j] &&
    turn==j);
  CS
  flag[i] = FALSE;
  non critical section
}
```

```
while (TRUE) {    P<sub>j</sub> / T<sub>j</sub>
    flag[j] = TRUE;
    turn = i;
    while (flag[i] &&
        turn==i);
    CS
    flag[j] = FALSE;
    non critical section
}
```

Symmetrically identical codes

- ❖ The first software solution that allows two or more processes to share a single-use resource without conflict, using only shared memory and normal instructions, has been proposed by G. L. Peterson [1981]
 - > Solution with overhead, and busy form of waiting

Conclusions

- In general, the software solutions to the problem of CS are complex and inefficient
 - > Setting and testing a variable by a thread is an operation that is "invisible" to the other threads
 - Test and set operations are not atomic, thus a thread can react to the presumed value of a variable rather than to its current value
 - > The solutions for n threads are even more complex