Clean-code

Object-oriented software design

Dr. Balázs Simon BME, IIT

Is the following statement true or false?

In an application written in an object-oriented programming language there should never be data structures developed in a procedural way.



Is the following statement true or false?

Solution: False

In an application written in an object-oriented programming language there should never be data structures developed in a procedural way.

Is the following statement true or false?

Exceptions must be defined from the called object's point of view.



Is the following statement true or false?

Solution: False

Exceptions must be defined from the called object's point of view.

Is the following statement true or false?

Developers are not the only one responsible for bad code.

There are other factors, too.

〈7〉

Is the following statement true or false?

Solution: False

Developers are not the only one responsible for bad code.

There are other factors, too.

Is the following statement true or false?

The Law of Demeter can be violated on classes which represent database tables.



Is the following statement true or false?

Solution: True

The Law of Demeter can be violated on classes which represent database tables.

Is the following statement true or false?

Shorter names are generally better than longer ones, so long as they are clear.

Is the following statement true or false?

Solution: True

Shorter names are generally better than longer ones, so long as they are clear.

Is the following statement true or false?

A long descriptive name is better than a short enigmatic name.

Is the following statement true or false?

Solution: True

A long descriptive name is better than a short enigmatic name.

Is the following statement true or false?

A long descriptive name is better than a long descriptive comment.

Is the following statement true or false?

Solution: True

A long descriptive name is better than a long descriptive comment.

Is the following statement true or false?

A comment explaining a regular expression is acceptable as long as it is not misleading and it is kept in sync with the meaning of the regular expression.

Is the following statement true or false?

Solution: True

A comment explaining a regular expression is acceptable as long as it is not misleading and it is kept in sync with the meaning of the regular expression.

Is the following statement true or false?

The public API must be documented, but it is enough to follow the clean code principles in the internal implementation.

Is the following statement true or false?

Solution: True

The public API must be documented, but it is enough to follow the clean code principles in the internal implementation.

Code snippets

int t; // elapsed time in seconds

Solution: No

int t; // elapsed time in seconds

List<Book> bookSet;

Solution: No

List<Book> bookSet;

```
public static bool Precedes(Account first, Account second)
{
    return first.Id < second.Id;
}</pre>
```

Solution: Yes

```
public static bool Precedes(Account first, Account second)
{
    return first.Id < second.Id;
}</pre>
```

```
public interface IPerson {
    int getAge();
    String getName();
}
```

Solution: No

```
public interface IPerson {
    int getAge();
    String getName();
}
```

```
public interface IPerson
{
    int Age { get; }
    string Name { get; }
}
```

Solution: Yes

```
public interface IPerson
{
    int Age { get; }
    string Name { get; }
}
```

```
public List<Book> FindBooksByName(List<Book> books, string name)
{
    if (name == null) return null;
    List<Book> result = new List<Book>();
    for (var b: books)
    {
        if (b.Name.Contains(name)) result.Add(b);
    }
    return result;
}
```

Solution: No

```
public List<Book> FindBooksByName(List<Book> books, string name)
{
    if (name == null) return null;
    List<Book> result = new List<Book>();
    for (var b: books)
    {
        if (b.Name.Contains(name)) result.Add(b);
    }
    return result;
}
```

```
public List<Book> FindBooksByName(List<Book> books, string name)
{
    List<Book> result = new List<Book>();
    if (name == null) return result;
    for (var b: books)
    {
        if (b.Name.Contains(name)) result.Add(b);
    }
    return result;
}
```

Solution: Yes

```
public List<Book> FindBooksByName(List<Book> books, string name)
{
    List<Book> result = new List<Book>();
    if (name == null) return result;
    for (var b: books)
    {
        if (b.Name.Contains(name)) result.Add(b);
    }
    return result;
}
```

```
public int Max(List<int> values)
{
    if (values == null) return -1;
    if (values.Count == 0) return -2;
    int result = values[0];
    for (int i = 1; i < values.Count; i++)
    {
        if (values[i] > result) result = values[i];
    }
    return result;
}
```

Is following code snippet correct according to the clean-code principles regarding error handling?

Solution: No

```
public int Max(List<int> values)
{
    if (values == null) return -1;
    if (values.Count == 0) return -2;
    int result = values[0];
    for (int i = 1; i < values.Count; i++)
    {
        if (values[i] > result) result = values[i];
    }
    return result;
}
```

Is following code snippet correct according to the clean-code principles regarding error handling?

```
public int Max(List<int> values)
    if (values == null)
        throw new ArgumentNullException(nameof(values));
    if (values.Count == 0)
        throw new ArgumentException("At least one value "+
                    "must be specified.", nameof(values));
    int result = values[0];
    for (int i = 1; i < values.Count; i++)
        if (values[i] > result) result = values[i];
    return result;
```

Is following code snippet correct according to the clean-code principles regarding error handling?

public int Max(List<int> values)
{
 if (values == null)
 throw new ArgumentNullException(nameof(values));
 if (values.Count == 0)
 throw new ArgumentException("At least one value "+");

if (values[i] > result) result = values[i];

"must be specified.", nameof(values));

int result = values[0];

return result;

for (int i = 1; i < values.Count; i++)

```
public void Save(string message)
{
    try
    {
       file.WriteLine(message);
    }
    catch(Exception ex)
    {
       // Oh, come on!
    }
}
```

Is following code snippet correct according to the clean-code principles regarding exception handling?

Solution: No

```
public class Book
    /// <summary>
    /// Default constructor
    /// </summary>
    public Book()
    /// <summary>
    /// Gets or sets the title.
    /// </summary>
    public string Title { get; set; }
    /// <summary>
    /// Gets or sets the author.
    /// </summary>
    public string Author { get; set; }
```

```
Solution:
public class Book
                                                No
    /// <summary>
    /// Default constructor
    /// </summary>
    public Book()
    /// <summary>
    /// Gets or sets the title.
    /// </summary>
    public string Title { get; set; }
    /// <summary>
    /// Gets or sets the author.
    /// </summary>
    public string Author { get; set; }
```

```
// TODO(https://github.com/myproject/issues/36176):
// Remove when it is provided by the Logger API
internal string FormatSymbol(Symbol symbol)
{
    return this.Format(symbol, true);
}
```

Solution: Yes

```
// TODO(https://github.com/myproject/issues/36176):
// Remove when it is provided by the Logger API
internal string FormatSymbol(Symbol symbol)
{
    return this.Format(symbol, true);
}
```

```
// 2010.04.10. Added by Bob
// 2010.05.03. Modified by Alice
public class Date
{
    public int Year { get; set; }
    public int Month { get; set; }
    public int Day { get; set; }
}
```

Solution: No

```
// 2010.04.10. Added by Bob
// 2010.05.03. Modified by Alice
public class Date
{
    public int Year { get; set; }
    public int Month { get; set; }
    public int Day { get; set; }
}
```

Selection

Which of the following are good for-loop variable names according to the clean code principles?

- 1. bookIdentifier
- 2. a
- 3. i
- 4. accountIterator

Which of the following are good for-loop variable names according to the clean code principles?

- 1. bookIdentifier
- 2. a
- 3. i
- 4. accountIterator

Which of the following are good function names according to the clean code principles?

- 1. CP
- 2. Copy
- 3. F
- 4. Manager
- 5. PickColor
- 6. Pick
- 7. ColorPicker

Which of the following are good function names according to the clean code principles?

- 1. CP
- 2. Copy
- 3. F
- 4. Manager
- 5. PickColor
- 6. Pick
- 7. ColorPicker

Which of the following are good comments according to the clean code principles?

- 1. Public API documentation
- 2. Closing brace comments
- Commented-out code
- 4. TODO comments



Which of the following are good comments according to the clean code principles?

- 1. Public API documentation
- 2. Closing brace comments
- 3. Commented-out code
- 4. TODO comments

Which of the following are bad comments according to the clean code principles?

- Banner comments
- 2. Warning of consequences
- Journal comments
- 4. Clarification



Which of the following are bad comments according to the clean code principles?

- Banner comments
- 2. Warning of consequences
- 3. Journal comments
- 4. Clarification

The return type of a function is a list. Which of the following is a return value that follows the NullObject pattern?

- 1. "null" value
- 2. A list with one item.
- 3. Empty list.

The return type of a function is a list. Which of the following is a return value that follows the NullObject pattern?

- 1. "null" value
- 2. A list with one item.
- 3. Empty list.

Which of the following are good class names according to the clean code principles?

- ColorPicker
- Copy
- 3. Manager
- 4. Pick
- 5. Color
- 6. CP

Which of the following are good class names according to the clean code principles?

- 1. ColorPicker
- 2. Copy
- 3. Manager
- 4. Pick
- 5. Color
- 6. CP

How many parameters should a function have according to the clean code principles?

- 1. At most 5
- 2. Arbitrarily many
- 3. At most 3
- 4. As few as possible

How many parameters should a function have according to the clean code principles?

- 1. At most 5
- 2. Arbitrarily many
- 3. At most 3
- 4. As few as possible

We reduced the number of parameters of a method by making fields from some of the parameters. Which of the following cases could have been corrupted by this transformation?

- 1. If the method can be called from multiple threads.
- 2. If the method was a recursive function.
- If the method passed the parameter to a private method.
- 4. If the method processed the parameter in a loop.

We reduced the number of parameters of a method by making fields from some of the parameters. Which of the following cases could have been corrupted by this transformation?

- 1. If the method can be called from multiple threads.
- 2. If the method was a recursive function.
- 3. If the method passed the parameter to a private method.
- 4. If the method processed the parameter in a loop.