

① Let M be any automata, then $\equiv_M \subseteq \equiv_L$

Thus the automata M_{\equiv} corr. to \equiv_L is a minimal (in terms of # states) automata for L .

Qn: Can there be multiple minimal automata?

Isomorphism: Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, F)$ and

$M' = (Q', \Sigma', \delta', s', F')$ be two DFAs

M is said to be isomorphic to M' ($M \cong M'$)

if \exists a bijection $f: Q \rightarrow Q'$ s.t. the following hold.

$$(i) \quad s' = f(s)$$

$$(ii) \quad q \in F \iff f(q) \in F'$$

$$(iii) \quad f(\delta(q, \sigma)) = \delta'(f(q), \sigma) \quad \forall q \in Q \\ \sigma \in \Sigma$$

Thm: If M and M' are two minimal automata accepting the same language, then $M \cong M'$.

Proof: Consider \equiv_m of a minimal DFA M
 \equiv_L is a MH relation, & refines \equiv_m
 # of equivalence classes of \equiv_m = that of \equiv_L
 $\Rightarrow \equiv_m = \equiv_L$

$$M \rightarrow \equiv_m \rightarrow M_{\equiv}$$

claim: $M \cong M_{\equiv}$

Proof: $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, F)$

$$x \equiv y \quad \text{if} \quad \hat{\delta}(q_0, x) = \hat{\delta}(q_0, y)$$

$$M_{\equiv} = (Q', \Sigma', \delta', s', F')$$

$$Q' = \{ [x] \mid x \in \Sigma^* \}$$

$$f: Q' \rightarrow Q : f([x]) = \hat{\delta}(s, x)$$

$$f([ε]) = \hat{\delta}(s, ε) = s$$

$$[x] \in F' \Leftrightarrow \hat{\delta}(q_0, x) \in F$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \hat{\delta}(q_0, x) \in F$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f([x]) \in F$$

$$f(\delta'([x], \sigma)) = f([x\sigma]) = \hat{\delta}(s, x\sigma) \\ = \delta(f([x]), \sigma)$$

Minimization algorithm

Defn: Let M be a DFA accepting L

Two states $q, q' \in M$ are equivalent
if $\forall x \in \Sigma^* \quad \hat{\delta}(q, x) \in F \Leftrightarrow \hat{\delta}(q', x) \in F$

$q \approx q'$ if $\forall x \in \Sigma^* \quad \hat{\delta}(q, x) \in F \Leftrightarrow \hat{\delta}(q', x) \in F$

- Reflexive

- Symmetric

- Transitive

} \approx is an equivalence relation

M/\approx : $Q' = \{ [q] \mid q \in Q \}$

$q'_0 = [q_0]$

$F' = \{ [q] \mid q \in F \}$

$\delta'([q], \sigma) = [\delta(q, \sigma)]$

Verify: Show that $\equiv_L \subseteq \equiv_{M/\approx}$

& hence conclude that M/\approx is
- the minimal automata.