Proposty of =m & =L 20,13** Fact: = m is a refinement of = Defn: An equivalence relation =, refires \equiv (denoted as \equiv \subseteq \subseteq) if $\chi \equiv_{1} \gamma \rightarrow \chi \equiv_{2} \gamma$ * The equivalence classes of = 2 are unions of equivalence classes of =1 * = 2 is a refinement 9 = 1* Ξ_1 is a coarsening of Ξ_2

Coarsest Myhill- Nerode relation Theorem: Let L be a regular language.
and let = be a Myhill-Nerode relation Then = = = = = Proof: Let = be any Myhill Norode relation if azy, then as zyo toes inductively fzet xz = gz Since = refines L NZ = yZ => nZEL (=> yZEL i, if a = y then tz nzel@yzec <u>~</u>) ~= y

Theorem: Let = be a Myhill-Nerode reln. of index & over It w.r.t a language L. Then 3 OFA M with k states accepting L Proof: Q= { [a] a ∈ II* } Lo equivalence classes of = 9 = [E] F= { [w] | we L'} & ([w], o) = [wo] (Is & well-defined? If w, +wz & [w]=[w2] -then is $\delta(EW_1, \sigma) = \delta(EW_2, \sigma)$? Right congruence: If $[w_1] = [w_2]$, then $w_1 \equiv w_2$ $=) w_1 \in = w_2 \in =) [w_1 \in = [w_2 \in]$ * wel (=> [w] EF <u>Claim:</u> LCM)=L Proof: we L(M) (=> & ([E], W) & F € [w] € F <=> weL

Eg:
$$L = \{ \omega \mid \exists x, y \in \{0,1\}^* - \epsilon, \omega = xy, \\ \# 1(x) < \# 1(y) \}$$

- Verify that this is a Myhill- Nerode relation
 - (1) Sous, us us us = Zi*
 - (2) Right congruence
 - 3 Refines L

