Let w denote the other end of f.

If w∉V(P) then Em 1 E(P)U {f} is a longer path; contradiction (since P is a longest path)

CS1200 Module-2: Logic & Proofs Checking/ Debugging continued: So, wEV(P). One possibility: At beginning of proof: If he has a loop then G has a cycle. NOTHING TO PROVE. Now suppose that G is loopless. Now, observe that we have a cycle: Consider the subpath of P from u tow and edge f. This is a cycle. (Right?) So, we have finished our first nontrivial proof exercise (of the following theorem): logic Theorem: Let 6, be a graph. If each vertex of 6 has degree at least two then Gis NOT a forest. DIY: Convince yourself that these same as > two statements have the same meaning. Theorem. Let 6 be a graph. -If Gis a forest then G has at least one vertex whose degree is at most one.

CS1200 Module-2: Logic & Proofs Question: How does one discover such a proof? easier question Question: How would anyone have discovered this proof? let us try to answer this Gr is a graph whose each vertex Basically we are trying has degree is at least two to make a Gr has a cycle (as a subgraph). We will return Desired So we need to show existence of cycle. This type of algorithmic It seems natural to try to find/construct a cycle. thinking may lead Start at some vertex v; now "construct" a path one to the starting at v - keep "walking" idea of (and "mark" vertices you have already seen) until you toking a return to a vertex that is already "worked" longest path this will happen since graph is finite & each vestex