CS1200 Module - 1: Discrete Structures What exactly do we mean by partitioning a set? appear on Assignment 1-2 A partition of a set S is a collection of disjoint nonempty
subsets of S that have S as their union. pairwise disjoint: any two are disjoint (we will write a formal proof 1 in Module-2.) Theorem: Let R be an equivalence relation defined on a set U Then the equivalence classes, of R form a postition of U. Let's define this clearly. Definition: Let R be an equivalence relation on a set U. For any element a of U, the [equivalence class of a] , denoted by [a] R, is the set of all elements that are related to a. For example: if U=N (some as: "that a is related to") and R is the parity (=) why? (because of symmetry) [17] = set of all odd natural #s. Example: if U=IN and R is the equality (=) relation: [38] = set of all even LI7] R= {17} and [38] R= {38}. natural #s

To summarize: \(\), \| \) are NOT equivalence relations, CS1200 Module-1: Discrete Structures whereas = (and =) are equivalence relations. Observe that \leq , \geq & | are transitive. (Right?) also, reflexive. reflexive on positive integers. So, \leq , \geq & | are NOT equivalence relations mainly because they are NOT symmetric! In fact, if $a \le b$ (and if $a \ne b$) then $b \le a$. (some is true for \ge and 1). Special Property 4: Antisymmetry U; universe R: a relation We say that R is antisymmetric (defined on U) (or that R [satisfies antisymmetry]) > (wears a+b) if (for any two distinct members a & b of U) at most one of aRb & bRa holds. For example: \(\leq_{\nabla}\rightarrow\), \(\left(\text{on IN}\right)\) are antisymmetric.\(\left(\text{is NOT antisymmetric.}\right)\)

DIY: (an a relation be both symmetric & antisymmetric? If so, what is an example of such a relation? If NOT, why NOT? CS1200 Module-1: Directe Structures Observe that, on the set IN-{0}, the relations <, ≥ & | are reflexive, symmetric & transitive.

(important) Question: However, there is one key différence between < & \(\) AND |. What is that? Hint: It is related to antisymmetry. Answer: For any two distinct members a & b of M-{0} exactly one of a < b / & b < a holds; but this is Not true for 1. (For example: 3/7 & 7/3) In other words, any two elements can be compared using < (and also using >); however, if we use , some elements are incomparable. Partial Order: a relation that is reflexive, antisymmetric 12 transitive. why partial? because some pairs may be incomparable.

[Total Order]: Partial order where any two elements are comparable

(S1200 Module-1: Discrete Structures Let's write down the key definitions to far: U: some set (maybe universe; doesn't matter) R: a relation defined on U We say that R is an equivalence relation if R is reflexive, symmetric & transitive. We say that R is a partial order (aka order) if R is reflexive, antisymmetric & transitive. We say that a postial order is a Itotal order if for any two distinct elements a & b (of T)
exactly one of aRb & bRa holds. (aka linear order) another way of writing this For a partial order R (defined on a set U), we say that two distinct elements a & b (of U) are comparable if either aRb or bRa holds. both can NOT hold by definition of partial order, A Fotal order is a partial order with the distinct elements are comparable. additional property that any two