

Do these proposties depend on the labels NO of the vertices and for edges?

S, [cardinality]

is simply the # of elements in S.

of SI, denoted ISI,

Question: When are two graphs "the same"?

Clearly, they must have equal number of vertices and equal number of edges.

Back to some set theory: Cardinality of a Set (size for finite sets) For a finite set

Let us first focus on finite sets:

Example: Box with blue balls & pink balls. You want to decide whether # of blue balls = # of pink balls (clearly,

but you don't know numbers (0,1,2,...).

How would you decide?

Answer: Pair each blue ball with a pink ball,

and see if any extra blue/pink balls remain. Right? set of sue balls pink balls

We can think of this as a function f: B > P Let's think about such functions more generally:

(S1200 Module-2: Logic & Pronts

(51)

Question: If IPI > 1BI, what can we say about the function of?

Answer: For each pink ball PEP, there is lat most one blue ball bEB such that f(b) = P.

same as

Each element in the co-domain is the image of at most one element in the domain.

Such functions are called one-to-one or linjective functions or linjections.

Question: If IBI > IPI, what can we say about the function of?

Maswer: For each pink ball pEP, there is at least one blue ball bEB such that f(b) = p.

same as

Each element in the co-domain is the image of at least one element in the domain.

Jame as

Such functions are called onto or surjective functions or surjections.

co-domain of f = rangelinage of f

CS1200 Module-2° hogic & Proofs A function f is [bijective] (or [a bijection]) if it is injective as well as surjective.

I same as Tone-to-one as well as onto Observations for finite sets: Such finite sets B& P are said to B,P: finite sets equicadinal. f:B>P

(1-to-1)

OIf f is an injective function then |P|>|B|. (Onto)
(Onto)
(Onto)
Surjective function then IBI > IPI. 3) Thus: if f is a bijertive function then [BI=1PI. we use this last observation to generalize the notion of "equicardinality" to all sets including infinite sets Towo sets (finite or infinite) are Equicardinal if there is a bijection between them; otherwise they are NOT equicardinal.

Philosophical discussion:

In the case of two infinite sets, say B & P,

- 1) Showing that B&P are equicardinal requires one to construct a bijection between them (or find)
- 2) whereas showing that B&P are NOT equicardinal requires one to demonstrate/prove that a bijection (between B&P) does NOT exist!
 - 2) seems to be much harder than (1), right?

We will return to infinite sets (& their cardinalities) later for now, let's go back to our graph theory discussion

Remember?

Question: When are two graphs "the same"?

TIY: Come up with a definition for two simple graphs "being the same". (Hint: we bijections).