

MATH 251 — Lecture 15  
Laplace Expansion and Adjugate  
(Exam-Optimized A Notes — Annotated Add-ons)

## Exam Checklist (Non-negotiable)

You must be able to:

1. State Laplace expansion (row and column).
2. Define minor and cofactor.
3. Explain where the factor  $(-1)^{i+j}$  comes from.
4. Define the adjugate matrix correctly.
5. Prove  $A \operatorname{Adj}(A) = \det(A)I$ .
6. Derive  $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \operatorname{Adj}(A)$ .

**Brutal rule:** Do not compute large determinants by full expansion.

## 1 1 Review

**Theorem 1** (Theorem 1.). *For  $A, B \in M_n(F)$ ,*

$$\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B).$$

**Proof (Exam Skeleton).** Use that determinant is the unique alternating multilinear function of the columns with  $\det(I) = 1$ . Define  $f(B) = \det(AB)$  as a function of the columns of  $B$ . Then  $f$  is multilinear and alternating in columns of  $B$ , and  $f(I) = \det(A)$ . So by uniqueness,  $f(B) = \det(A) \det(B)$ , i.e.  $\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B)$ .

**How to Understand.** Think “det = volume scaling”. First apply  $B$ , then apply  $A$ ; scale factors multiply.

**Exam Tips.** This theorem is often used to:

- Prove invertibility:  $A$  invertible  $\Rightarrow \det(A) \neq 0$  and vice versa.
- Prove  $\det(A^{-1}) = 1/\det(A)$  by setting  $AB = I$ .
- Handle similarity quickly:  $\det(QAQ^{-1}) = \det(A)$ .

**Common Pitfalls.**

- Trying to prove this by expanding determinants entry-by-entry (time sink).
- Forgetting the field  $F$  matters only for arithmetic, not the proof structure.

**Proposition 1** (Proposition 1.). *If  $Q$  is invertible,*

$$\det(QAQ^{-1}) = \det(A).$$

**Proof (Exam Skeleton).**

$$\det(QAQ^{-1}) = \det(Q) \det(A) \det(Q^{-1}) = \det(Q) \det(A) \frac{1}{\det(Q)} = \det(A).$$

**How to Understand.** Similarity is “change of basis”. Determinant is basis-independent, so it must stay the same.

**Exam Tips.** Whenever you see  $QAQ^{-1}$ , immediately replace it with “same determinant, same eigenvalues, same characteristic polynomial” (if allowed in your course context).

**Common Pitfalls.**

- Writing  $\det(Q^{-1}) = \det(Q)^{-1}$  without justifying (justify using  $\det(QQ^{-1}) = \det(I) = 1$ ).
- Confusing  $QAQ^{-1}$  with  $Q^{-1}AQ$  (both are similar, but be consistent).

## 2 2 Determinant of a Linear Transformation

**Definition 1** (Definition 1.). Fix a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $V$ :

$$\det(T) = \det([T]_{\mathcal{B}}).$$

**Proposition 2** (Proposition 2.). *This is independent of basis.*

**Proof (Exam Skeleton).** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  be two bases, and let  $Q$  be the change-of-basis matrix from  $\mathcal{B}$  to  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then

$$[T]_{\mathcal{C}} = Q[T]_{\mathcal{B}}Q^{-1}.$$

Taking determinants and applying Proposition 1:

$$\det([T]_{\mathcal{C}}) = \det(Q[T]_{\mathcal{B}}Q^{-1}) = \det([T]_{\mathcal{B}}).$$

So  $\det(T)$  is well-defined.

**How to Understand.**  $\det(T)$  is an intrinsic property: how  $T$  scales  $n$ -dimensional volume. Coordinates (bases) should not change it.

**Exam Tips.** In proofs: “independent of basis” is almost always proven via similarity:

$$\text{same linear map under two bases} \Rightarrow \text{matrices are similar.}$$

**Common Pitfalls.**

- Forgetting the correct relation:  $[T]_{\mathcal{C}} = Q[T]_{\mathcal{B}}Q^{-1}$ .
- Claiming independence without referencing similarity or determinant multiplicativity.

### 3 3 Leibniz Formula

$$\det(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{k=1}^n a_{\sigma(k),k}.$$

Fix column  $j$  and collect terms with  $\sigma(j) = i$ .

**How to Understand.** Each permutation  $\sigma$  chooses one entry from each column (and each row exactly once). The sign  $\text{sgn}(\sigma)$  enforces alternation (swapping rows flips sign).

**Exam Tips.** You almost never compute with full Leibniz for  $n \geq 4$ . You use it to:

- Derive Laplace expansion by grouping terms via  $\sigma(j) = i$ .
- Explain where  $(-1)^{i+j}$  comes from (sign bookkeeping).

#### Common Pitfalls.

- Mixing the order in the product: here it is *column-indexed* by  $k$ .
- Forgetting  $\sigma(k)$  is a row index.

### 4 4 Minors and Cofactors

**Definition 2** (Definition 2. Minor:).  $A_{ij}$  = matrix deleting row  $i$  and column  $j$ .

**How to Understand.** In many texts, the *submatrix* is  $A_{ij}$ , and the *minor* is  $\det(A_{ij})$ . Your note uses “Minor” to mean the submatrix object; that is fine *as long as you are consistent*, and you always take  $\det(A_{ij})$  when needed.

#### Common Pitfalls.

- Biggest grading trap: writing “minor” when the marker expects  $\det(A_{ij})$ .
- Confusing  $A_{ij}$  (a matrix of size  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ ) with its determinant (a scalar).

**Definition 3** (Definition 3. Cofactor:).

$$C_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det(A_{ij}).$$

**How to Understand.** Cofactor = signed minor. The factor  $(-1)^{i+j}$  is the parity of how many swaps are needed to move row  $i$  and column  $j$  into the “top-left” position in a Laplace-style argument.

**Exam Tips.** Memorize the checkerboard sign pattern:

$$\begin{pmatrix} + & - & + & \cdots \\ - & + & - & \cdots \\ + & - & + & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

#### Common Pitfalls.

- Dropping the sign (common).
- Writing  $(-1)^{i-j}$  (wrong).

## 5 5 Key Lemma (Permutation Restriction)

**Lemma 1** (Lemma 1.).

$$\sum_{\sigma(j)=i} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{k \neq j} a_{\sigma(k),k} = (-1)^{i+j} \det(A_{ij}).$$

**Proof (Exam Skeleton).** Start from Leibniz and restrict to permutations with  $\sigma(j) = i$ . Such permutations pick entry  $a_{ij}$  from column  $j$ . Removing row  $i$  and column  $j$  leaves a bijection between:

- permutations in  $S_n$  with  $\sigma(j) = i$ , and
- permutations in  $S_{n-1}$  of the remaining indices.

Under this bijection, the product over  $k \neq j$  becomes exactly a Leibniz product for the submatrix  $A_{ij}$ . The sign changes by  $(-1)^{i+j}$  (moving row  $i$  and column  $j$  into position contributes parity  $i + j$ ). Hence the restricted sum equals  $(-1)^{i+j} \det(A_{ij})$ .

**How to Understand.** This lemma is the *engine* behind Laplace expansion:

Group Leibniz terms by which row is chosen in a fixed column.

**Exam Tips.** If asked “where does  $(-1)^{i+j}$  come from?”, the safe answer is:

- restriction  $\sigma(j) = i$  reduces to  $(n - 1)$ -permutations, and
- parity shifts by moving the chosen row/column into place.

You do *not* need to fully formalize the bijection unless the exam demands it; present the skeleton cleanly.

**Common Pitfalls.**

- Treating this lemma as “magic” and not mentioning restriction/bijection.
- Losing track of what indices are fixed ( $j$  fixed;  $i$  varies).

## 6 6 Laplace Expansion

**Theorem 2** (Theorem 2 (Laplace). Column expansion:).

$$\det(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} C_{ij}.$$

If  $l \neq j$ :

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} C_{il} = 0.$$

Row expansion:

$$\det(A) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} C_{ij}.$$

If  $l \neq i$ :

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} C_{lj} = 0.$$

**Proof (Exam Skeleton).** (1) Column expansion: start from Leibniz formula and group terms by  $\sigma(j) = i$ :

$$\det(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} \left( \sum_{\sigma(j)=i} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{k \neq j} a_{\sigma(k),k} \right).$$

Apply Lemma 1 to the inner sum to get  $\det(A) = \sum_i a_{ij} C_{ij}$ .

(2) Off-diagonal (column): form a matrix  $B$  by replacing column  $l$  of  $A$  with column  $j$  (so  $B$  has two identical columns). Then  $\det(B) = 0$  (alternating property). Expanding  $\det(B)$  along column  $l$  gives exactly  $\sum_i a_{ij} C_{il}$ , hence it is 0.

(3) Row expansion and its off-diagonal identity are analogous (apply the same argument to  $A^T$ , or repeat with rows).

**How to Understand.** Laplace is simply:

determinant is linear in one chosen row/column, with cofactors as coefficients.

The “zero-sum” identities say: *if you plug the wrong column into cofactors, alternation forces 0.*

**Exam Tips.** Computations:

- Always expand along the row/column with most zeros.
- If no zeros: do row operations first (keeping track of determinant rules).

Proof questions:

- Column expansion proof = “group Leibniz terms”.
- Zero-sum proof = “make two columns equal  $\Rightarrow \det = 0$ ”.

**Common Pitfalls.**

- Mixing which index is fixed (in column expansion  $j$  fixed, sum over  $i$ ).
- Forgetting the zero-sum identity is *off-diagonal* and must mention “two equal columns”.
- Using  $C_{li}$  instead of  $C_{il}$  (index order matters).

## 7 7 Adjugate Matrix

**Definition 4** (Definition 4.).

$$\operatorname{Adj}(A) = (C_{ji})$$

(cofactor matrix transpose).

**How to Understand.** Adjugate is defined so that the Laplace identities become matrix multiplication identities. The transpose is *not optional*: it is exactly what aligns indices in  $(A \operatorname{Adj}(A))_{ij}$ .

**Exam Tips.** If you are unsure: write the  $(i, j)$  entry of  $A \operatorname{Adj}(A)$  and see what cofactor index you need:

$$(A \operatorname{Adj}(A))_{ij} = \sum_k a_{ik} (\operatorname{Adj}(A))_{kj} = \sum_k a_{ik} C_{jk}.$$

This forces  $\operatorname{Adj}(A)_{kj} = C_{jk}$ , i.e. transpose.

**Common Pitfalls.**

- Your own note already flagged the #1 mistake: using  $A_{ij}$  instead of  $A_{ji}$  (equivalently forgetting transpose).
- Confusing cofactor matrix vs adjugate.

**Example  $2 \times 2$  (as in your note)**

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{Adj}(A) = \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Exam Tips.** For  $2 \times 2$ , this is the fastest route; for  $n \geq 3$ , do not compute adjugate explicitly unless asked.

## 8 8 Fundamental Identity

**Theorem 3** (Theorem 3.).

$$A \text{Adj}(A) = \text{Adj}(A) A = \det(A) I_n.$$

**Proof (Exam Skeleton).** Do it entrywise. For the  $(i, j)$  entry:

$$(A \text{Adj}(A))_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} C_{jk}.$$

If  $i = j$ , this is exactly the Laplace row expansion of  $\det(A)$  along row  $i$ , so it equals  $\det(A)$ . If  $i \neq j$ , this is the Laplace *off-diagonal* zero-sum identity (row version), so it equals 0. Hence  $A \text{Adj}(A) = \det(A) I_n$ . The identity  $\text{Adj}(A) A = \det(A) I_n$  follows similarly (or by applying the first identity to  $A^T$  and transposing).

**How to Understand.** This theorem says: *cofactors are designed to behave like “inverse times determinant”*. Adjugate is the “almost inverse”.

**Exam Tips.** If the exam asks to prove it, the safest template is:

1. Write  $(i, j)$  entry of the product.
2. Split into cases  $i = j$  vs  $i \neq j$ .
3. Invoke Laplace expansion + zero-sum identity.

Do **not** try to prove by abstract reasoning; entrywise is short and marker-friendly.

**Common Pitfalls.**

- Forgetting to separate diagonal/off-diagonal.
- Using the wrong cofactor index: it must be  $C_{jk}$  (not  $C_{kj}$ ).

**Corollary 1** (Corollary 1.). If  $\det(A) \neq 0$ ,

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \text{Adj}(A).$$

**Proof (Exam Skeleton).** From  $A \text{Adj}(A) = \det(A) I_n$ , multiply both sides by  $1/\det(A)$ . Then

$$A \left( \frac{1}{\det(A)} \text{Adj}(A) \right) = I_n,$$

so the bracket is  $A^{-1}$ .

**How to Understand.** Adjugate gives an explicit inverse formula, but it is mainly theoretical for large  $n$ .

**Exam Tips.**

- Always state the condition  $\det(A) \neq 0$  before writing the inverse formula.
- For computations: use row-reduction for inverse; adjugate is too slow for  $n \geq 3$  unless matrix has many zeros/special form.

### Common Pitfalls.

- Writing inverse exists without stating  $\det(A) \neq 0$ .
- Treating the formula as a computational method for big matrices (time trap).

## One-Page Compression (Before Exam)

- Minor  $\rightarrow$  Cofactor  $(-1)^{i+j}$
- Laplace = determinant as linear combination of cofactors
- Off-diagonal Laplace sums are zero
- Adjugate = transpose of cofactor matrix
- $A \operatorname{Adj}(A) = \det(A)I$
- Inverse formula follows immediately

## Common Mistakes

- Using  $A_{ij}$  instead of  $A_{ji}$  inside  $\operatorname{Adj}$
- Forgetting  $(-1)^{i+j}$
- Missing the zero-sum Laplace identity
- Mixing row vs column expansion indices