

§21.3. IMPROPER PRIORS.

For point and interval estimates and to some extent in testing, objective priors are often improper. We discuss a few basic facts about improper priors.

An improper prior density $p(\theta)$ is non-negative for all θ , but

$$\int_{\Theta} p(\theta) d\theta = +\infty.$$

Such an improper prior can be used in the Bayes formula for calculating the posterior, provided the denominator is finite for all $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ (or all, but a set of (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) with zero probability for all θ), i.e.

$$\int_{\Theta} p(\theta) L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta) d\theta < \infty.$$

Then the posterior density $p(\theta | x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is a proper probability density function and can be used at least in inference problems.

The most common improper priors are

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(\mu) &= C, & \text{for } -\infty < \mu < +\infty, \\ p_2(\sigma) &= \frac{1}{\sigma}, & \text{for } 0 < \sigma < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

for location and scale parameters. Both the improper priors may be interpreted as a sort of limit of the proper priors:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{1,L}(\mu) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2L} & \text{if } -L < \mu < L \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ p_{2,L}(\sigma) &= \begin{cases} \frac{A}{\sigma} & \text{if } 0 < \frac{1}{L} < \sigma < L \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A = \frac{1}{2 \ln L},$$

in the sense that the posteriors for p_1 and p_2 may be obtained by making $L \rightarrow +\infty$ in $p_{i,L}(\theta | x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$.

If the data comprise a conditionally i.i.d. sample from the Bernoulli distribution with parameter θ , and θ has the beta distribution with parameters α and β , the posterior distribution of θ is the beta distribution with parameters $\alpha + s$, $\beta + n - s$ (n observations, s successes). If we use the improper (and unreal) beta distribution prior with prior parameters $\alpha = \beta = 0$, and we have improper prior

$$p(\theta) \propto \theta^{-1} (1 - \theta)^{-1},$$

we obtain a proper posterior proportional to

$$\theta^{s-1} (1 - \theta)^{n-s-1},$$

i.e. the posterior density function is the beta distribution with parameters s and $n - s$
i.e. $B(s, n - s)$.

Suppose we can write the likelihood for a given parameter θ and data vector (the sample) (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) as

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta) = g[\theta - t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)], \quad (21.3)$$

Here the likelihood is a function $L = g(z)$, where $z = \theta - t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. If the likelihood is of this form, the data x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n only influences θ by a translation on the scale of the function g , i.e., from $g(z)$ to $g(z + a)$. Further, note that $t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is the only value of the data that appears, and we call the function t a sufficient statistic. Other data sets with different values of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , but the same value of the sufficient statistic $t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, have the same likelihood.

When the likelihood can be placed in the form of Equation (21.3), a shift in the data gives rise to the same functional form of the likelihood function except for a shift in location, from $(\theta + t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n))$ to $(\theta + t(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n))$. Hence, this is a natural scale upon which to measure likelihoods, and on such a scale, a flat prior seems natural.

Example 21.1. Consider n independent samples from a normal distribution with unknown mean μ and known variance σ^2 . Here

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \mu) \propto \exp \left(-\frac{(\mu - \bar{x})^2}{2(\sigma^2/n)} \right)$$

Note immediately that $t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \bar{x}$ is a sufficient statistic for the mean, so that different data sets with the same mean (for n draws) have the same likelihood function for the unknown mean μ . Further note that

$$g(z) = \exp\left(\frac{-z^2}{2(\sigma^2/n)}\right)$$

Hence, a flat prior for μ seems appropriate.

What is the natural scale for a likelihood function that does not satisfy equation (21.3)? Suppose that the likelihood function can be written in data-translated format as

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta) = g[h(\theta) - t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)] \quad (21.4)$$

When the likelihood function has this format, the natural scale for the unknown parameter is $h(\theta)$. Hence, a prior of the form $p[h(\theta)] = \text{constant}$ (a flat prior on $h[\theta]$) is suggested.

Using a change of variables to transform $p[h(\theta)]$ back onto the θ scale suggests a prior on θ of the form

$$p(\theta) \propto \left| \frac{\partial h(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \right| \quad (21.5)$$

Indeed, for distribution function we have

$$F_{\Theta}(\theta) = P(\Theta \leq \theta) = P(h(\Theta) \leq h(\theta)) = F_{h(\Theta)}(h(\theta)).$$

Therefore, for density functions

$$p_{\Theta}(\theta) = p_{h(\Theta)}(h(\theta)) \cdot \left| \frac{\partial h(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \right| = c \cdot \left| \frac{\partial h(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \right| \propto \left| \frac{\partial h(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \right|.$$

Example 21.2. Suppose the likelihood function assumes data follow an exponential distribution,

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta}\right), \quad x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n > 0.$$

To express this likelihood in a data-translated format, we will make use of the fact that we can multiply any likelihood function by a constant and still have a likelihood function.

In particular, since the data (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) is known (and hence treated as a constant), we can multiply the likelihood function by any function of the data, e.g.

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta) \propto L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta).$$

In this example, we simply multiply the likelihood function by $x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n$ to give

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta) = \frac{\prod x_i}{\theta^n} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta}\right).$$

Noting that

$$\frac{\prod x_i}{\theta^n} = \exp\left[\ln\left(\frac{\prod x_i}{\theta^n}\right)\right] = \exp\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i - n \ln \theta\right] = \exp\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (\ln x_i - \ln \theta)\right].$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right\} &= \exp\{-x_1/\theta\} \cdot \exp\{-x_2/\theta\} \cdot \dots \cdot \exp\{-x_n/\theta\} = \\ &= \exp\{-\exp\{\ln x_1 - \ln \theta\}\} \exp\{-\exp\{\ln x_2 - \ln \theta\}\} \dots \exp\{-\exp\{\ln x_n - \ln \theta\}\} = \\ &= \exp\left\{-\exp\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (\ln x_i - \ln \theta)\right]\right\} \end{aligned}$$

we can express the likelihood as

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta) = \exp\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (\ln x_i - \ln \theta) - \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\ln x_i - \ln \theta)\right)\right].$$

Hence, in data-translated format the likelihood function becomes

$$g(y) = \exp[y - \exp(y)], \quad t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \ln \prod x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i, \quad h(\theta) = n \ln \theta.$$

The natural scale for θ in this likelihood function is thus $\ln \theta$, and a natural prior is

$$F_{\Theta}(\theta) = P(\Theta \leq \theta) = P(\ln \Theta \leq \ln \theta) = F_{\ln \Theta}(\ln \theta),$$

or relation between density functions is

$$f_{\Theta}(\theta) = f_{\ln \Theta}(\ln \theta) \frac{1}{\theta}.$$

Therefore, for density functions we obtain:

$$p(\ln \theta) = \text{constant},$$

which corresponds to

$$p(\theta) \propto \left| \frac{\partial \ln \theta}{\partial \theta} \right| = \frac{1}{\theta}.$$