


Burndown Chart [Switch report](#)

EP Sprint 4

Story Points

[Reopen sprint](#)



Story Points						
Date	Issue	Event Type	Event Detail	Inc.	Dec.	Remaining
20/Jul/21 6:03 PM	EP-10	Sprint started by Daniela Venturo Esaine		8		
	EP-14			5		
	EP-16			8		
	EP-17			8		
	EP-19			13		
	EP-27			5		
	EP-48			8		
	EP-77			8		
	EP-78			5		
	EP-79			3		
	EP-80			13		
	EP-81			13		
	EP-82			13		
21/Jul/21 9:55 AM	EP-48	Scope change	Estimate changed from 8 to 13	5		115
	EP-19	Scope change	Estimate changed from 13 to 8		5	110
21/Jul/21 10:12 AM	EP-27	Scope change	Estimate changed from 5 to 8	3		113
27/Jul/21 5:14 PM	EP-79	Burndown	Issue completed		3	110
	EP-79	Burndown	Issue reopened	3		113
29/Jul/21 12:13 AM	EP-82	Burndown	Issue completed		13	100
29/Jul/21 12:31 AM	EP-81	Burndown	Issue completed		13	87

This sprint's burndown chart presents a very steep drop near the end of the sprint. We were not able to appropriately track our real time progress of the tickets, probably because this sprint we did not split the stories into sub tasks and handled a big group of tasks as one ticket. Even if some functionalities were done earlier, since they were part of the same story as others, this is not presented in the burndown chart because we weren't able to mark the story as done.

In contrast to our past burndowns, it is similar because of the big drop near the end, indicating that every ticket was finished nearing the end of the sprint, and not the gradual drop we had planned for. Even though we avoided past mistakes such as many interlaced tickets, many dependencies, and started working early, we faced a new pattern as described earlier that also impacted our reflected productivity.