FIT2099 Assignment 1 Design Rationale

Design Rationale

REQ 1:

1. Implement the Player class to represent the farmer by extending from the engine Actor abstract class.

Pros	Cons
It promotes the code reusability as inheriting from abstract Actor class allows the Player class to reuse core functionality. This adhered to the DRY principle.	Extending from the engine's abstract Actor class might introduce tight coupling between Actor and Player class. If the engine's Actor class is modified, Player class might need to
Player class shares common attributes and method with other actors in this game. Therefore, by inheriting from Actor class, Player class is grouped with similar responsibilities, promoting high cohesion	change too.

2. Create concrete classes that represents the creatures SpiritGoat and OmenSheep by extending from a newly created abstract Creature class. The abstract Creature class then extended from abstract Actor class.

Pros	Cons
It promotes the code reusability as there	Introducing the extra abstraction layer
are similarities between specific creature	(Creature class) increases the depth of
classes. Creature class allows specific	the inheritance tree, which might make
creature classes to reuse their similar	the debugging more difficult.
functionality. This adhered to the DRY	
principle	
It improves cohesion because the	Extending from an abstract Creature
specific creature classes have similar	class might introduce tight coupling
function and is grouped in Creature	between Creature and specific creature
hierarchy, which keeps the system	classes as modification in Creature class
modular and easier to maintain.	might result in modification in its
	subclasses.
It enhances the scalability and	
extensibility as if there are new creatures	
exists, the new creature can just extend	
from the abstract Creature class,	
inheriting the similar functionality. This	
adhered to the Open Closed Principle	
SpiritGoat and OmenSheep can be used	
in place of the abstract Creature class	

since they preserve the same attributes and methods of abstract Creature class,	
enabling interchangeable use without	
breaking program correctness. This	
design adhered to Liskov Substitution	
Principle.	

3. Add status HOSTILE_TO_PLAYER as there might have creatures that are hostile to player in the future

Pros	Cons
It adds a simple way to mark which	If different type of hostility needed to be
creatures are enemies of the player.	handle in unique way, a single status
When there are other new creatures that	HOSTILE_TO_PLAYER might be too
are hostile towards player, we can	simplistic.
simply add capability without	
modifying the existing class which	
adhered to the Open Closed Principle	
It encourages loose coupling, because	
the system can check the capability of	
the creature instance instead of	
checking the specific class type	
(instanceof). This avoids the tight	
coupling between the game logic and	
specific creature classes.	

4. Created a concrete WanderBehaviour class that implements the Behaviour interface. WanderBehaviour is added in specific creature class but not the base creature class because some creature might not able to wander around.

Pros	Cons
Implementing Behaviour interface	We might need to explicitly assign
provides a clear contract of methods that	behaviours to each individual creature
the WanderBehaviour should implement.	which may be not efficient when
	creating many creatures.
It promotes high cohesion as only	
creatures should wander have the wander	
behaviour.	
It is easier to extend when there are new	
behaviour exists. This adhered to the	
Open Closed Principle	
The WanderBehaviour class only	
responsible for one responsibility which	
is to get the wander action from a	
creature. This design is adhered to Single	
Responsibility Principle	

5. Use TreeMap to store the behaviour of creatures instead of HashMap

Pros	Cons
TreeMap stores the key in sorted order	TreeMap is slightly slower than
ensure that high priority behaviour is	HashMap and potentially need more
always execute first.	memory to store.
TreeMap simplify the logic as it avoids	
the needs to manually sort the behaviour	
based on its priority.	
When there are more behaviours in the	
future, we can add the behaviours into	
the TreeMap based on its priority which	
adhered to the Open Closed Principle	

6. Created concrete AttackAction class to represent an attack action which extends from abstract Action class

Pros	Cons
The AttackAction class is only	AttackAction class is tightly coupled
responsible for one responsibility which	with Weapon and Actor class which a
is executing the attack action on other	modification on Weapon and Actor class
actor which adhered to the Single	might need to update the AttackAction
Responsibility Principle	class.
The AttackAction class can be reused	
whenever an actor can be attacked	
without creating multiple methods for	
each specific case. This improves the	
code reusability and is adhered to DRY	
principle.	
This design allows introducing new	
actions in the future without modifying	
the existing code which makes the	
system more maintainable. This design	
is adhered to Open Closed Principle.	

REQ 2:

1. Created concrete Inheritree class to represent an inheritree and concrete Bloodrose class to represent a bloodrose. Both classes extend from abstract Ground class.

Pros	Cons
Both classes extend from abstract	Extending from Ground class might
Ground class and can reuse core	introduce tight coupling between the
attribute and method from engine. This	abstract Ground class the its
design adhered to the DRY principle.	subclasses because a change in
	Ground class might result in change
	of all subclasses
Each class only focus on their own	
responsibility which representing a	
crop and it is easier to maintain. This	
design is adhered to the Single	
Responsibility Principle.	
More ground types can be easier to be	
added in the future by just extending	
from the abstract Ground class in	
which adhered to the Open Closed	
Principle.	
Both seed subclasses use the superclass	
constructor to initialize with different	
values, allowing them to be used	
interchangeably as Seed without	
affecting program correctness, which	
adhered to the Liskov Substitution	
Principle.	

2. Created concrete InheritreeSeed class to represent an inheritree seed and concrete BloodroseSeed class to represent a bloodrose seed. Both classes extend from a newly created abstract Seed class.

Pros	Cons
It promotes code reusability because	Introducing the abstract Seed class
there are many similarities between the	adds another layer of abstraction,
seed subclasses which the same thing	which can make the system more
can be placed inside the abstract Seed	complex and harder to understand.
class. This design is adhered to the	
DRY principle.	
Each concrete seed only responsible	
on one responsibility which is each	
seed is only responsible for a specific	
tree. This design is adhered to the	
Single Responsibility Principle.	
If more seed types are added, they can	
simply extend from abstract Seed	
class, making the system easier to	

extend when there exists a new feature	
without modifying the existing code,	
in which the design adhered to the	
Open Closed Principle	

3. Created a new Plantable interface, which is implemented by the abstract Seed class to represent the item that can be planted.

Pros	Cons
Easier to extend if there are new	The use of Plantable interface could
plantable item exists. The new	introduce unnecessary complexity for
plantable item just need to implement	simple system, especially when there
the Plantable interface without	are few objects that can be planted.
modifying the existing code. This	
design is adhered to Open Closed	
Principle.	
The Plantable interface defines a clear	If there are more feature added to the
and concise contract for object that	plant functionality, the interface may
can be planted and it does not force	need to be modified which could break
any unrelated methods upon the	the Interface Segregation Principle.
implementing class which adhered to	
the Interface Segregation Principle.	
The use of the Plantable interface	
promote loose coupling, making the	
system more modularised and easier to	
maintain.	

4. Created a concrete PlantAction class which extends from abstract Action class to represent a plant action.

Pros	Cons
The PlantAction class is only	The PlantAction class has a tight
responsible for executing the plant	coupling to the Plantable interface. If
action. In other word, the class only	the way of planting is different, we
has a single responsibility which	may need to update the
adhered to the Single Responsibility	implementation of Plantable and
Principle.	PlantAction for different cases.
The PlantAction class can be reused	
whenever there is an object that can be	
planted. This improves the reusability	
of the code which adhered to the DRY	
principle.	

5. Added a new ability PLANTABLE to represent a ground that can be planted.

Pros	Cons
It improves modularity because it	If only one ground is plantable, using
decouples the logic from specific	a capability might overkill.
ground type and allow multiple ground	
types to be plantable. Also, it avoids	
the usage of instanceof which is a bad	
practice in OOP.	
We can simply assign this capability to	
the ground that are plantable in the	
future without modifying the existing	
code. This design is adhered to the	
Open Closed Principle	

- 6. Implementing the effect of plants when the seed of the plants is planted and also the blooming effect.
 - Inheritree will convert surrounding blight to soil. Therefore, add a status CURSED for the grounds that are cursed and can be cured by inheritree.

Pros	Cons
It encourages loose coupling as the	It requires consistency as
inheritree does not need to check	developer must remember to add
the ground type using instanceof, it	the CURSED status to all cursed
only checks for the CURSED	ground otherwise may lead to
capability, which avoided tight	unexpected behaviour
coupling to concrete classes.	
Inheritree can cured ground with	
CURSED status without	
modifying the logic when new	
cursed ground is introduced. This	
design is adhered to the Open	
Closed Principle.	

• Inheritree will convert surrounding blight to soil and bloodrose will sap Farmer's health by 5 points when the seeds are planted. This is done by implementing these logics in the plant() method under both crop's seed classes. The blooming effect of each tree is then implemented in their own tree class's tick() method.

Pros	Cons
Each class only handle its own	This might introduce a tight
logic: the seed class handling one-	coupling between seed and
time effect and the crop class	ground logic.
handling the blooming effect which	
adhered to the Single	
Responsibility Principle.	

If there are other plants that could	
do something different when its	
seed is planted, we can just extend	
from the abstract Seed class and	
extend from the abstract Ground	
class and implement the new effect.	
This adhered to the Open Closed	
Principle.	

7. Created a new static method under the concrete Player class to show the death message when the Farmer dies. We need to check if the actor has the stamina attribute to differentiate between Player and other actor.

Pros	Cons
Do not need to instantiate a Player	If the future actor (not player) has
instance to call the method	stamina attribute, we might need to
	change the checking mechanism.
It promotes the code reusability as we	
can reuse the method when the Player	
dies, which adhered to the DRY	
principle.	

REQ 3:

- 1. Implementing the lose stamina mechanism when the farmer performs an action.
 - The farmer loses stamina when planting a seed. This mechanism is implemented in the abstract Seed class plant() method. It reduces the stamina based on the crops where the value is passed into the attribute plantStaminaConsumed. I differentiate the actor and the farmer by checking the presence of the stamina attribute.

Pros	Cons
This design promotes code reuse because we can reduce the stamina-reduction code in each individual	Since we differentiate the actor and the farmer by checking the presence of the stamina attribute,
seed class which adhered to the DRY principle.	if a creature with stamina that can also plant seeds is introduced in the future, we might need to modify the code.
This design also enhances scalability and extensibility because we can just extend the Seed class and pass a custom plantStaminaConsumed value when a new seed type exists. This design is adhered to the Open Closed Principle.	

• The farmer loses stamina when curing the blight to soil using talisman. This mechanism is implemented in the Blight class talismanCure() method. I differentiate the actor and the farmer by checking the presence of the stamina attribute.

Pros	Cons
This approach allows for potential	If other actors gain the stamina
future extension because other	attribute in the future, they could
actors could lose stamina while	unintentionally be treated as
curing the blight. This design	farmers unless additional checks
adhered to the Open Closed	are implemented.
Principle.	_

- 2. Implemented the countdown timer for creatures that are not resistant to rot.
 - Created abstract NonRotResistantCreature class to represent the creatures
 that are not resistant to rot and abstract RotResistantCreature class to
 represent the creatures that are resistant to rot. Both classes extend from
 the abstract Creature class. OmenSheep and SpiritGoat classes extend from
 the NonRotResistantCreature class. I introduced a counter attribute in
 NonRotResistantCreature class to represent the countdown timer. The
 countdown timer mechanism is implemented in

NonRotResistanceCreature class playTurn() method. When the counter reached the disappear time, the creature will disappear.

Pros	Cons
By introducing RotResistantCreature	Adding another layer of
and NonRotResistantCreature	abstraction makes the class
abstract classes, the logic for rot	hierarchy deeper, which may
resistance is neatly encapsulated,	increase complexity and make
which adhered to the Single	the code more difficult to
Responsibility Principle.	understand.
This design also promotes the code	
reusability because the similar	
attributes and method will be	
inherited which avoid code	
duplication. This design is adhered to	
the DRY principle.	
If new creatures are added in the	
future, it's straightforward to classify	
them by extending the appropriate	
class, which adhered to the Open	
Closed Principle.	

3. Added a new ability CURE_ENTITY for the talisman. When the player picked up the talisman, the player will have the CURE_ENTITY ability.

Pros	Cons
It promotes flexibility and extensibility, as we use the ability instead of hardcoding it into Player or Talisman	Slight increase in the complexity as managing ability introduces another layer of logic in the system.
class.	
If there are new curing items in the	
future, we can just assign the ability to	
the item without modifying the existing	
code. This design is adhered to the Open	
Closed Principle.	

- 4. Created TalismanCurable interface and it is implemented by class that can be cured by Talisman such as the non rot resistance creature (OmenSheep and SpiritGoat) and Blight.
 - The reason for introducing a TalismanCurable interface instead of generic Curable interface is that there might be items that are capable of curing, which may cure different type of object than the talisman does

Pros	Cons
By introducing the	It may potentially cause overhead
TalismanCurable interface, the	for simple system because for
system can easily be extended to	smaller system, introducing

allow the talisman to cure other types of entities in the future. New classes that can be cured by the talisman can simply implement this interface without modifying existing code, which adhered to the Open Closed Principle	specific interface might seem like over-engineering when a single Curable interface could suffice.
Creating a specific interface improves scalability and extensibility. If there are new curing items exists, they can introduce their own curing interface.	This design may increase the complexity of the system when there are more different cure items in the system as these new cure items require their own interface, making the code difficult to understand.
The TalismanCurable interface defines a clear and concise contract for object that can be cured by talisman and it does not force any unrelated method upon the implementing class which adhered to the Interface Segregation Principle.	

5. Created a new concrete TalismanCureAction class to represent the cure action by talisman which extends from abstract Action class.

Pros	Cons
This design encapsulates only the logic	The TalismanCureAction has tight
related to curing with the talisman,	coupling to the TalismanCurable
making it easier to maintain. In other	interface, which potentially make
word, the class only has a single	changes more difficult in the future.
responsibility which is executing the	
cure action by talisman which adhered	
to the Single Responsibility Principle.	
This design enables to reuse the logic	
whenever the talisman can be used to	
cure entity, without duplicating code.	
This adhered to the DRY principle.	
This design also support extensibility as	
we can create other cure actions in the	
future by extending from the abstract	
Action class.	

- 6. Implementing the effect of curing by talisman.
 - When SpiritGoat is cured, the countdown timer is reset. This is
 implemented by overriding talismanCure() method from the
 TalismanCurable interface in SpiritGoat class. In the talismanCure()
 method, it called the resetCounter() from NonRotResistantCreature class
 to reset the countdown timer of the SpiritGoat instance.
 - When OmenSheep is cured, a new inheritree grows at each tile surrounding the sheep. This is implemented by overriding the talismanCure() method from the TalismanCurable interface in OmenSheep class. In the talismanCure() method, we set the exits of the OmenSheep instance to grow a new inheritree instance. However, not every ground type can grow a tree, therefore we introduced a new ability GROWABLE which determine whether the ground can grow a tree. By checking the capability, the inheritree will only grow on specific ground type.
 - When a Blight is cured, it reveals the soil underneath it. This is
 implemented by overriding the talismanCure() method from the
 TalismanCurable interface in Blight class. In the talismanCure() method,
 we check for the stamina and set the ground into a new Soil instance and
 remove its CURSED status.

Pros	Cons
It promotes high cohesion as each	This design may potentially
class encapsulates its own curing	increase code duplication as there
logic, keeping the behaviour	may be similar behaviour repeated
localized and easier to understand,	across multiple talismanCure()
maintain.	implementation.
Each talismanCurable class	
implements its own talismanCure()	
behaviour, making it easy to	
introduce new curable entities	
without modifying existing logic	
which adhered to the Open Closed	
Principle	