

Mathematical Proof: Problem Set 5

Koichiro Takahashi

March 25, 2024

We know the triangle inequality from the textbook(or our class):

Theorem 4.17: Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. $|a + b| \leq |a| + |b|$.

Problem.1

Proof: Let $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. Here,

$$(ac + bd)^2 = a^2c^2 + b^2d^2 + 2abcd$$

$$(ab - cd)^2 = a^2b^2 + c^2d^2 - 2abcd$$

Since the square of any real number is non-negative, observe

$$(ab - cd)^2 \geq 0$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$a^2b^2 + c^2d^2 - 2abcd \geq 0$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$2abcd \leq a^2b^2 + c^2d^2$$

By adding the same terms on both hand sides,

$$a^2c^2 + b^2d^2 + 2abcd \leq a^2b^2 + a^2c^2 + b^2d^2 + c^2d^2$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$(ac + bd)^2 \leq (a^2 + c^2)(b^2 + d^2)$$

\Rightarrow

$$ac + bd \leq |ac + bd| \leq \sqrt{(a^2 + c^2)(b^2 + d^2)} = \sqrt{(a^2 + c^2)} \cdot \sqrt{(b^2 + d^2)}$$

From the above, the statement is true. ■

Problem.2

Proof: Let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here, $(x - y) + (y - z) = x - z$, also $x - z, x - y, y - z \in \mathbb{R}$. By applying the triangle inequality above as $a = x - y$, $b = y - z$, we get

$$|x - z| \leq |x - y| + |y - z|. \blacksquare$$

Problem.3

Proof: Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $a > 0$, $b > 0$.

Since the square of any real number is non-negative, observe

$$(a - b)^2 = a^2 + b^2 - 2ab \geq 0$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$a^2 + b^2 \geq 2ab$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$\frac{a^2 + b^2}{ab} \geq 2 \quad (\because ab > 0)$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$\frac{a}{b} + \frac{b}{a} \geq 2$$

From the above, the statement is true. \blacksquare

By following the proof above backwards as a equation, immediately we see that

$$\frac{a}{b} + \frac{b}{a} = 2 \Leftrightarrow (a - b)^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow a = b$$

Therefore, the complete solution set $U = \{(a, b) \mid \forall (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ s.t. } a > 0, b > 0, a = b\}$.

Problem.4

Proof: Let A and B be sets.

(\Leftarrow)

Suppose $A = B$, by definition, $\forall x \in A$, $x \in B$ and $\forall x \in B$, $x \in A$.

We prove $(A \cup B) \subseteq (A \cap B)$ and $(A \cup B) \supseteq (A \cap B)$.

(\subseteq) Let $x \in (A \cup B)$.

Case 1: $x \in A$. Then $x \in B$ ($\because A = B$). Therefore, $x \in (A \cap B)$.

Case 2: $x \in B$. Then $x \in A$ ($\because A = B$). Therefore, $x \in (A \cap B)$.

Thus, $(A \cup B) \subseteq (A \cap B)$.

(\supseteq) Let $x \in (A \cap B)$. By definition, $x \in A$ and $x \in B$. Therefore, $x \in (A \cup B)$.

Thus, $(A \cup B) \supseteq (A \cap B)$.

So, $(A \cup B) = (A \cap B)$.

(\Rightarrow)

Suppose $(A \cup B) = (A \cap B)$. We prove $A \subseteq B$ and $A \supseteq B$.

(\subseteq) $\forall x \in A \subseteq (A \cup B) = (A \cap B)$. Therefore, $x \in B$, $A \subseteq B$.

(\supseteq) $\forall x \in B \subseteq (A \cup B) = (A \cap B)$. Therefore, $x \in A$, $A \supseteq B$.

So, $A = B$.

From the above, the statement is true. ■

Problem.5

We prove that

$$(A \times B) \cap (B \times A) = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow A \cap B = \emptyset$$

Proof: Let A and B be sets.

(\Leftarrow)

Suppose $A \cap B = \emptyset$, by definition, $\forall x \in A$, $x \notin B$, and $\forall y \in B$, $y \notin A$.

Let $a \in (A \times B)$, then $\exists x \in A, \exists y \in B$, s.t. $a = (x, y)$, but $a \notin (B \times A)$, since $x \notin B$, and $y \notin A$.

Similarly, let $b \in (B \times A)$, then $\exists y \in B, \exists x \in A$, s.t. $b = (y, x)$, but $b \notin (A \times B)$, since $y \notin A$, and $x \notin B$.

Therefore, $(A \times B) \cap (B \times A) = \emptyset$.

(\Rightarrow)

Suppose $(A \times B) \cap (B \times A) = \emptyset$. Let $x \in A, y \in B$, then $\exists a \in (A \times B)$ s.t. $a = (x, y)$, and also, $\exists b \in (B \times A)$ s.t. $b = (y, x)$. However, since $(A \times B) \cap (B \times A) = \emptyset$, $a \neq b \Rightarrow x \neq y$. So $x \notin B, y \notin A$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$.

From the above, the statement is true. ■

Problem.6

Proof: Let A , B , C and D be sets.

We prove $(A \times B) \cap (C \times D) \subseteq (A \cap C) \times (B \cap D)$ and $(A \times B) \cap (C \times D) \supseteq (A \cap C) \times (B \cap D)$.

(\subseteq)

Let $w \in (A \times B) \cap (C \times D)$, by definition, $w \in (A \times B)$, and $w \in (C \times D)$. By definition, $\exists x \in A, \exists y \in B$ s.t. $w = (x, y)$, but also $x \in C, y \in D$ ($\because w \in (C \times D)$). Therefore, $x \in A$ and $x \in C$, also $y \in B$ and $y \in D$. Thus, $w = (x, y) \in (A \cap C) \times (B \cap D)$, $(A \times B) \cap (C \times D) \subseteq (A \cap C) \times (B \cap D)$.

(\supseteq)

Let $w \in (A \cap C) \times (B \cap D)$, by definition, $\exists x \in (A \cap C), \exists y \in (B \cap D)$ s.t. $w = (x, y)$. By definition, $x \in A$ and $x \in C$, also $y \in B$ and $y \in D$. Therefore, $w = (x, y) \in (A \times B)$ and $w = (x, y) \in (C \times D)$. Thus, $w \in (A \times B) \cap (C \times D)$, $(A \times B) \cap (C \times D) \supseteq (A \cap C) \times (B \cap D)$.

From the above, the statement is true. ■