TTK4115 Linear System Theory Department of Engineering Cybernetics NTNU

Solution to homework assignment 2

Problem 1: Discretization

The discretized linearized system is given by

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}[k+1] = \mathbf{A}_d \bar{\mathbf{x}}[k] + \mathbf{B}_d \bar{\mathbf{u}}[k],$$

 $\bar{y}[k] = \mathbf{C}_d \bar{\mathbf{x}}[k] + \mathbf{D}_d \bar{\mathbf{u}}[k].$

The corresponding matrices A_d , B_d , C_d and D_d are calculated next.

To obtain the matrix $\mathbf{A}_d = e^{\mathbf{A}T}$, we compute $e^{\mathbf{A}t}$. To compute $e^{\mathbf{A}t}$, we first determine the matrices $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ and \mathbf{Q} , such that $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}\hat{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{Q}^{-1}$, where $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ is in Jordan form. The characteristic polynomial of \mathbf{A} is given by

$$\det (\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & 1 \\ -2 & -\lambda - 2 \end{vmatrix} = \lambda^2 + 2\lambda + 2 = (\lambda + 1 - j)(\lambda + 1 + j).$$

From this, it is easy to see that the roots of the characteristic polynomial of **A**, and therefore the eigenvalues of **A**, are given by $\lambda_1 = -1 + j$ and $\lambda_1 = -1 - j$. The corresponding eigenvectors \mathbf{q}_i can be obtained from the kernel of the matrix $(\lambda_i \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})$ for i = 1, 2:

$$\ker\left(\lambda_1 \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}\right) = \ker\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 - j & 1 \\ -2 & -1 - j \end{bmatrix}\right) = \ker\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 + j \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \implies \mathbf{q}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 - j \\ 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\ker\left(\lambda_2\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}\right) = \ker\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1+j & 1\\ -2 & -1+j \end{bmatrix}\right) = \ker\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1-j\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \implies \mathbf{q}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1+j\\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ and \mathbf{Q} are given by

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}} = \begin{bmatrix} -1+j & 0 \\ 0 & -1-j \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} -1-j & -1+j \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We note that **Q** is not unique. Next, we compute $e^{\mathbf{A}t} = \mathbf{Q}e^{\hat{\mathbf{A}}t}\mathbf{Q}^{-1}$, with

$$e^{\hat{\mathbf{A}}t} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{(-1+j)t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{(-1-j)t} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-t}(\cos(t) + j\sin(t)) & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t}(\cos(t) - j\sin(t)) \end{bmatrix},$$

where we used $e^{(-1+j)t} = e^{-t}e^{jt}$ and $e^{(-1-j)t} = e^{-t}e^{-jt}$, with Euler's formula $e^{jt} = \cos(t) + j\sin(t)$. We obtain,

$$\begin{split} e^{\mathbf{A}t} &= \mathbf{Q}e^{\hat{\mathbf{A}}t}\mathbf{Q}^{-1} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -1-j & -1+j \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-t}(\cos(t)+j\sin(t)) & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t}(\cos(t)-j\sin(t)) \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 2j & 1+j \\ -2j & 1-j \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} e^{-t}(\cos(t)+\sin(t)) & e^{-t}\sin(t) \\ -2e^{-t}\sin(t) & e^{-t}(\cos(t)-\sin(t)) \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Substituting $t = T = \frac{\pi}{2}$ in $e^{\mathbf{A}t}$, we have

$$\mathbf{A}_{d} = e^{\mathbf{A}T} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-T}(\cos(T) + \sin(T)) & e^{-T}\sin(T) \\ -2e^{-T}\sin(T) & e^{-T}(\cos(T) - \sin(T)) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}}(\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}) + \sin(\frac{\pi}{2})) & e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}}\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}) \\ -2e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}}\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}) & e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}}(\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}) - \sin(\frac{\pi}{2})) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} & e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} \\ -2e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} & -e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} 0.2079 & 0.2079 \\ -0.4158 & -0.2079 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Because A is nonsingular, it follows that

$$\mathbf{B}_{d} = \left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{\mathbf{A}\tau} d\tau \right) \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} (\mathbf{A}_{d} - \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} - 1 & e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} \\ -2e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} & -e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} - 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.4991 \\ 0 & 0.0019 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrices \mathbf{C}_d and \mathbf{D}_d are given by

$$\mathbf{C}_d = \mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $\mathbf{D}_d = \mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Problem 2: Similarity transforms and equivalent state-space equations

a) Using the equations of the coordinate transformation (2) and the system (1), we obtain

$$\dot{\bar{\mathbf{x}}} = \mathbf{T}\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{T}\mathbf{B}u = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{T}^{-1}\bar{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{T}\mathbf{B}u$$

and

$$y = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} + Du = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{T}^{-1}\bar{\mathbf{x}} + Du.$$

Hence, we get

$$\dot{\bar{\mathbf{x}}} = \bar{\mathbf{A}}\bar{\mathbf{x}} + \bar{\mathbf{B}}u$$
$$y = \bar{\mathbf{C}}\bar{\mathbf{x}} + \bar{D}u,$$

with

$$\bar{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{T}^{-1}, \quad \bar{\mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{B}, \quad \bar{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{T}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{D} = D.$$

Substituting the values for A, B, C, D and T yields

$$\bar{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{T}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 4 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{T}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\bar{D} = D = 2.$$

- b) Because $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{x}$ is a similarity transformation, the systems (1) and (3) are algebraically equivalent. Because the systems (1) and (3) are algebraically equivalent, they are also zero-state equivalent.
- c) Because the dimensions of the states of the systems (1) and (4) are different, there exists no similarity transform for the systems, i.e. there exists no invertible matrix \mathbf{S} such that $\tilde{x} = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{x}$. Therefore, the systems (1) and (4) are not algebraically equivalent. To check if the systems (1) and (4) are zero-state equivalent, we have to check if the systems have the same transfer function (or impulse response). The transfer function of system (1) is given by

$$\hat{G}(s) = \mathbf{C}(s\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{B} + D$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s+2 & -4 \\ 1 & s-3 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + 2$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{s-3}{s^2-s-2} & \frac{4}{s^2-s-2} \\ \frac{-1}{s^2-s-2} & \frac{s+2}{s^2-s-2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + 2$$

$$= \frac{6}{s+1} + 2 = \frac{2s+8}{s+1}.$$

The transfer function of system (4) is given by

$$\hat{\tilde{G}}(s) = \tilde{C}(s-\tilde{A})^{-1}\tilde{B} + \tilde{D} = 3(s+1)^{-1}2 + 2 = \frac{6}{s+1} + 2 = \frac{2s+8}{s+1}.$$

Hence, because the systems (1) and (4) have the same transfer function, they are zero-state equivalent.

Problem 3: Controllability tests

a) The controllability matrix is given by

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -6 & -6 \\ 2 & 2 & -10 & -10 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Because the controllability matrix has full row rank, i.e. $\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{C}) = 2 = n$, we conclude that the system is controllable.

b) The eigenvalues of A can be calculated from the characteristic polynomial of A, which is given by

$$\det (\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = \begin{vmatrix} 2 - \lambda & -3 \\ 4 & -5 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = \lambda^2 + 3\lambda + 2 = (\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2).$$

The eigenvalues of **A** are equal to the roots the characteristic polynomial of **A**. Hence, we obtain the eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = -1$ and $\lambda_2 = -2$.

c) For $\lambda = \lambda_1 = -1$, we have

$$\operatorname{rank} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} = \operatorname{rank} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -3 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & -4 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = 2.$$

Similarly, for $\lambda = \lambda_2 = -2$, we have

$$\operatorname{rank} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} = \operatorname{rank} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -3 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & -3 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = 2.$$

Because the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix}$ has full row rank for every eigenvalue λ of \mathbf{A} , i.e. rank $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} = n = 2$ for every eigenvalue λ of \mathbf{A} , we conclude that the system is controllable.

d) To find the matrix **W**, we solve the Lyapunov equation

$$\mathbf{AW} + \mathbf{WA}^T = -\mathbf{BB}^T.$$

Note that **W** is a symmetric matrix, i.e. $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W}^T$. Let **W** be given by

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 & w_2 \\ w_2 & w_3 \end{bmatrix},$$

where w_1 , w_2 and w_3 are constant that are yet to be determined. Substituting the matrices \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{W} in the Lyapunov equation, we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ 4 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_1 & w_2 \\ w_2 & w_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} w_1 & w_2 \\ w_2 & w_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -3 & -5 \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2w_1 - 3w_2 & 2w_2 - 3w_3 \\ 4w_1 - 5w_2 & 4w_2 - 5w_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2w_1 - 3w_2 & 4w_1 - 5w_2 \\ 2w_2 - 3w_3 & 4w_2 - 5w_3 \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From this, we obtain the equations

$$4w_1 - 6w_2 = 0,$$

$$4w_1 - 3w_2 - 3w_3 = 0,$$

$$8w_2 - 10w_3 = -8,$$

which can be written in the following form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & -6 & 0 \\ 4 & -3 & -3 \\ 0 & 8 & -10 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ w_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -8 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Solving for w_1 , w_2 and w_3 yields $w_1 = 6$, $w_2 = 4$ and $w_3 = 4$. Hence, we obtain the matrix

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrix W is positive definite if and only if all its leading principle minors are positive. The leading principle minors of W are

$$w_1 = 6$$
 and $\det(\mathbf{W}) = \begin{vmatrix} 6 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = 8.$

Because all leading principle minors of **W** are positive, the matrix **W** is positive definite. In addition, from b), we know that the eigenvalues of **A** are given by $\lambda_1 = -1$ and $\lambda_2 = -2$. Hence, the eigenvalues of **A** have strictly negative real parts. Because the eigenvalue of **A** have strictly negative real parts and **W** is positive definite, we conclude that the system is controllable.

Problem 4: State feedback

a) The controllability matrix is given by

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} & \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 8 \\ 0 & 4 & 32 \\ 1 & 5 & 25 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Because the controllability matrix has full row rank, i.e. $\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{C}) = 3 = n$, we conclude that the system is controllable.

b) The characteristic polynomial of $\bar{\mathbf{A}}$ is given by

$$\det(\bar{\mathbf{A}} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = \det(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K} - \lambda \mathbf{I})$$

$$= \det\begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k_1 & k_2 & k_3 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 - \lambda & 4 \\ -k_1 & -k_2 & 5 - k_3 - \lambda \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= -\lambda^3 + (9 - k_3)\lambda^2 + (4k_3 - 4k_2 - 23)\lambda - 8k_1 + 4k_2 - 3k_3 + 15.$$

c) The characteristic polynomial of $\bar{\mathbf{A}}$ should be equal to

$$\det(\bar{\mathbf{A}} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = (\bar{\lambda}_1 - \lambda)(\bar{\lambda}_2 - \lambda)(\bar{\lambda}_3 - \lambda)$$
$$= (-1 - \lambda)(-2 - \lambda)(-3 - \lambda)$$
$$= -\lambda^3 - 6\lambda^2 - 11\lambda - 6.$$

Comparing this to the characteristic polynomial obtained in a), we obtain the equations

$$9 - k_3 = -6$$
$$4k_3 - 4k_2 - 23 = -11$$
$$-8k_1 + 4k_2 - 3k_3 + 15 = -6.$$

By solving these equalities, we obtain the feedback matrix $\mathbf{K} = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 & k_2 & k_3 \end{bmatrix}$, with

$$k_1 = 3,$$
 $k_2 = 12$ and $k_3 = 15.$