

SOLUTION TO Ex. 5.13.

We know that \hat{f}_λ minimizes

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \hat{f}(x_i))^2 + \lambda \int (\hat{f}''(t))^2 dt$$

If we add the point $(x_0, \hat{f}_\lambda(x_0))$, then we are to minimize

$$(*) \quad \underbrace{(\hat{f}_\lambda(x_0) - \hat{f}(x_0))^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \hat{f}(x_i))^2 + \lambda \int (\hat{f}''(t))^2 dt}_{N+1 \text{ terms now!}}$$

Now the old $\hat{f}_\lambda(x_0)$ minimizes both the first term, $(\hat{f}_\lambda(x_0) - \hat{f}(x_0))^2$, and the second term $\sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \hat{f}(x_i))^2 + \lambda \int (\hat{f}''(t))^2 dt$. But then it of course minimizes the sum in (*).

The goal is now to show that (see (5.26), (5.27))

$$y_i - \hat{f}_\lambda^{(-i)}(x_i) = \frac{y_i - \hat{f}_\lambda(x_i)}{1 - S_\lambda(i, i)} \quad \text{for a dataset } (y_i, x_i); i=1, \dots, N$$

To prove this we will instead assume that the data including x_0 is the full data set, while the data without the x_0 corresponds to the $(-i)$ case (so we can write (-0)).

Let now $S(\lambda)$ be the $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ smoothing matrix which includes the data point with x_0 .

Let also $\hat{f}_\lambda(x_0)$ have the meaning of the first part of the exercise. Then

$$(**) \quad \hat{f}_\lambda(x_0) = \sum_{j=1}^N S_{0j}(\lambda) y_j + S_{00}(\lambda) \underbrace{\hat{f}_\lambda(x_0)}$$

"Since this is the 'y₀' for the observation at x_0 that was assumed in the beginning of the exercise."

(**) is simply the first element of (5.14), $\hat{f}_\lambda = S_\lambda \underline{y}$

Suppose now instead that the observed value of y at x_0 is y_0 (any number), instead of $\hat{f}_\lambda(x_0)$ as in the beginning of the exercise. This would not have changed the matrix $S(\lambda)$ $[(N+1) \times (N+1)$ matrix] since this depends only on the x -values and not y . \square

But then the $\hat{f}_\lambda(x_0)$ in ~~(*)~~ can be interpreted as $\hat{f}_\lambda^{(-0)}(x_0)$ in the full $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ case, and hence ~~(*)~~ implies

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}_\lambda^{(-0)}(x_0) &= \sum_{j=1}^N S_{0j}(\lambda) y_j + S_{00}(\lambda) \hat{f}_\lambda^{(-0)}(x_0) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^N S_{0j}(\lambda) y_j - S_{00}(\lambda) y_0 + S_{00}(\lambda) \hat{f}_\lambda^{(-0)}(x_0) \\ &= \underbrace{\hat{f}_\lambda(x_0)}_{\text{in the } (N+1) \text{ point model}} - S_{00}(\lambda) y_0 + S_{00}(\lambda) \hat{f}_\lambda^{(-0)}(x_0) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $y_0 - \hat{f}_\lambda^{(-0)}(x_0) = y_0 - \hat{f}_\lambda(x_0) + S_{00}(\lambda)(y_0 - \hat{f}_\lambda^{(-0)}(x_0))$

So

$$y_0 - \hat{f}_\lambda^{(-0)}(x_0) = \frac{y_0 - \hat{f}_\lambda(x_0)}{1 - S_{00}(\lambda)}$$

which is what is needed for (5.27).