Spring Security ACL Plugin - Reference Documentation

Authors: Burt Beckwith

Version: 3.0.0.M1

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction to the Spring Security ACL Plugin
 - **1.1** History
- 2 Usage
 - **2.1** Securing Service Methods
 - **2.2** Working with ACLs
 - 2.3 Domain Classes
 - **2.4** Configuration
 - **2.5** Run-As Authentication Replacement
 - **2.6** Custom Permissions
- **3** Tutorial
- 4 Sample Application

1 Introduction to the Spring Security ACL Plugin

The ACL plugin adds Domain Object Security support to a Grails application that uses Spring Security. l depends on the <u>Spring Security Core plugin</u>.

The core plugin and other extension plugins support restricting access to URLs via rules that includ checking a user's authentication status, roles, etc. and the ACL plugin extends this by adding support fo restricting access to individual domain class instances. The access can be very fine-grained and can defin which actions can be taken on an object - these typically include Read, Create, Write, Delete, an Administer but you're free to define whatever actions you like.

To learn about using ACLs in Grails, you can follow guide:3. Tutorial and in addition you can downloa and run a complete Grails application that uses the plugin. Installing and running the application ar described guide:4. Sample Application.

In addition to this document, you should read the Spring Security documentation here.

1.1 History

History

- August 24, 2015
 - 3.0.0.M1 release
- November 17, 2014
 - 2.0-RC2 release
- October 08, 2013
 - 2.0-RC1 release
- August 20, 2012
 - 1.1.1 release
- February 16, 2011
 - 1.1 release
- February 7, 2011
 - 1.0.2 release
- August 1, 2010
 - 1.0.1 release
- July 27, 2010
 - 1.0 release
- May 22, 2010
 - initial 0.1 release

Authors

Previous work

Stephan February did the <u>first work</u> adding ACL support to the <u>Acegi</u> plugin. At the time the plugin was based on Acegi 1.0.x and around the same time the plugin was converted to use Spring Security 2.0 and th ACL support wasn't converted to use the new package layout and approach.

Work was done in 2009 to create a GORM-based implementation (the standard Spring Security implementation uses JDBC). Around the same time, Phillip Merensky mentioned on the Grails mailing list that he was working on an implementation. He wrote about his approach here and this was merged in with the other approach but never formally released.

This plugin builds on that work but is based on Spring Security 3 and Spring 4.

2 Usage

2.1 Securing Service Methods

There are two primary use cases for ACL security: determining whether a user is allowed to perform a action on an instance before the action is invoked, and restricting access to single or multiple instance after methods are invoked (this is typically implemented by collection filtering). You can call aclutilService.hasPermission() explicitly, but this tends to clutter your code with securit logic that often has little to do with business logic. Instead, Spring Security provides some convenien annotations that are used to wrap your method calls in access checks.

There are four annotations:

- @PreAuthorize
- @PreFilter
- @PostAuthorize
- @PostFilter

The annotations use security-specific Spring expression language (SpEL) expressions - see the documentation for the available standard and method expressions.

Here's an example service that manages a Report domain class and uses these annotations an expressions:

```
import org.springframework.security.access.prepost.PostFilter
import org.springframework.security.access.prepost.PreAuthorize
import org.springframework.transaction.annotation.Transactional
import com.yourapp.Report
class ReportService {
@PreAuthorize("hasPermission(#id, 'com.yourapp.Report', read) or " +
                 "hasPermission(#id, 'com.yourapp.Report', admin)")
   Report getReport(long id) {
     Report.get(id)
@Transactional
   @PreAuthorize("hasRole('ROLE_USER')")
  Report createReport(params) {
      Report report = new Report(params)
      report.save()
      report
@PreAuthorize("hasRole('ROLE_USER')")
   @PostFilter("hasPermission(filterObject, read) or " +
               "hasPermission(filterObject, admin)")
   List getAllReports(params = [:]) {
      Report.list(params)
@Secured(['ROLE_USER', 'ROLE_ADMIN'])
   String getReportName(long id) {
      Report.get(id).name
@Transactional
   @PreAuthorize("hasPermission(#report, write) or " +
                 "hasPermission(#report, admin)")
  Report updateReport(Report report, params) {
     report.properties = params
      report.save()
      report
@Transactional
   @PreAuthorize("hasPermission(#report, delete) or " +
                 "hasPermission(#report, admin)")
   void deleteReport(Report report) {
      report.delete()
```

The configuration specifies these rules:

- getReport requires that the authenticated user have BasePermission.READ or BasePermission.ADMIN for the instance
- createReport requires ROLE_USER
- getAllReports requires ROLE_USER and will have elements removed from the returned List that the user doesn't have an ACL grant for; the user must have BasePermission.READ or BasePermission.ADMIN for each element in the list; elements that don't have access granted will be removed
- getReportName requires that the authenticated user have either ROLE_USER or ROLE_ADMII (but no ACL rules)
- updateReport has no role restrictions but must satisfy the requirements of the aclReportWriteVoter voter (which has the ACL_REPORT_WRITE config attribute), i.e. BasePermission.ADMINISTRATION or BasePermission.WRITE
- deleteReport has no role restrictions but must satisfy the requirements of the aclReportDeleteVoter voter (which has the ACL_REPORT_DELETE config attribute), i.e. BasePermission.ADMINISTRATION or BasePermission.DELETE

2.2 Working with ACLs

Suggested application changes

To properly display access denied exceptions (e.g. when a user tries to perform an action but doesn't have grant authorizing it), you should create a mapping in grails-app/conf/UrlMappings.groovy fo error code 403. In addition, it's possible to trigger a NotFoundException which will create an error 500, bu should be treated like a 403 error, so you should add mappings for these conditions:

These depend on an ErrorsController:

and a grails-app/views/errors/error403.gsp similar to this:

```
<html>
<head>
<title>Access denied!</title>
<meta name='layout' content='main' />
</head>

<body>
<hl>Access Denied</hl>
We're sorry, but you are not authorized to perform the requested operation.
</body>
</html>
```

actionSubmit

Grails has a convenient feature where it supports multiple submit actions per form via the <g:actionSubmit> tag. This is done by posting to the index action but with a special parameter that indicates which action to invoke. This is a problem in general for security since any URL rules for edit delete, save, etc. will be bypassed. It's an even more significant issue with ACLs because of the way that the access denied exception interacts with the actionSubmit processing. If you don't make an adjustments for this, your users will see a blank page when they attempt to submit a form and the action i disallowed. The solution is to remove actionSubmit buttons and replace them with regular submit buttons. This requires one form per button, and without adjusting the CSS the buttons will look differently than if they were in-line actionSubmit buttons, but that is fixable with the appropriate CSS changes.

It's simple to adjust the actionSubmit buttons and you'll need to change them in show.gsp and edit.gsp; list.gsp and show.gsp don't need any changes. In show.gsp, replace the twactionSubmit buttons with these two forms (maintain the g:message tags; the strings are hard-coded here to reduce clutter):

In edit.gsp, change the <form> tag to

```
<g:form action='update'>
```

and convert the update button to a regular submit button:

and move the delete button out of the form into its own form just below the main form:

2.3 Domain Classes

The plugin uses domain classes to manage database state. Ordinarily the database structure isn't all that important, but to be compatible with the traditional JDBC-based Spring Security code, the domain classe are configured to generate the table and column names that are used there.

The plugin classes related to persistence use these classes, so they're included in the plugin but can b overridden by running the <u>s2-create-acl-domains</u> script.

As you can see, the database structure is highly normalized.

AclClass

The AclClass domain class contains entries for the names of each application domain class that ha associated permissions:

```
class AclClass {
   String className
   @Override
      String toString() {
        "AclClass id $id, className $className"
    }

   static mapping = {
        className column: 'class'
        version false
    }

   static constraints = {
        className unique: true
    }
}
```

AclSid

The AclSid domain class contains entries for the names of grant recipients (a principal or authority - SII is an acronym for "security identity"). These are typically usernames (where principal is true) but can also be a GrantedAuthority (role name, where principal is false). When granting permissions to a role, any user with that role receives that permission:

```
class AclSid {
String sid
   boolean principal

@Override
   String toString() {
      "AclSid id $id, sid $sid, principal $principal"
   }

static mapping = {
      version false
   }

static constraints = {
      principal unique: 'sid'
   }
}
```

AclObjectIdentity

The AclobjectIdentity domain class contains entries representing individual domain class instance (OIDs). It has a field for the instance id (objectId) and domain class (aclClass) that uniquel identify the instance. In addition there are optional nullable fields for the parent OID (parent) and owne (owner). There's also a flag (entriesInheriting) to indicate whether ACL entries can inherit from parent ACL.

AclObjectIdentity actually extends a base class, AbstractAclObjectIdentity:

```
abstract class AbstractAclObjectIdentity {
   AclClass aclClass
        AclObjectIdentity parent
        AclSid owner
        boolean entriesInheriting

static constraints = {
            parent nullable: true
            owner nullable: true
        }
   }
}
```

By default it's assumed that domain classes have a numeric primary key, but that's not required. So th default implementation has a Long objectId field, but if you want to support other types of ids you can change that field and retain the other standard functionality from the base class.

AclEntry

Finally, the AclEntry domain class contains entries representing grants (or denials) of a permission of an object instance to a recipient. The aclObjectIdentity field references the domain class instance (since an instance can have many granted permissions). The sid field references the recipient. The granting field determines whether the entry grants the permission (true) or denies it (false). The aceOrder field specifies the position of the entry, which is important because the entries are evaluated in order and the first matching entry determines whether access is allowed. auditSuccess and auditFailure determine whether to log success and/or failure events (these both default to false).

The mask field holds the permission. This can be a source of confusion because the name (and the Spring Security documentation) indicates that it's a bit mask. A value of 1 indicates permission A, a value of 2 indicates permission B, a value of 4 indicates permission C, a value of 8 indicates permission D, etc. So you would think that a value of 5 would indicate a grant of both permission A and C. Unfortunately this i not the case. There is a CumulativePermission class that supports this, but the standard classes don support it (Aclimpl.isGranted() checks for == rather than using | (bitwise or) so a combined entry would never match). So rather than grouping all permissions for one recipient on one instances into a bit mask, you must create individual records for each. This will be addressed in Spring Security 3.1 however.

```
class AclEntry {
AclObjectIdentity aclObjectIdentity
   int aceOrder
   AclSid sid
   int mask
   boolean granting
   boolean auditSuccess
   boolean auditFailure
@Override
   String toString()
      "AclEntry id $id, aceOrder $aceOrder, mask $mask, " +
      "granting $granting, aclObjectIdentity $aclObjectIdentity"
static mapping = {
      version false
      sid column: 'sid'
      aclObjectIdentity column: 'acl_object_identity'
static constraints = {
      aceOrder unique: 'aclObjectIdentity'
}
```

2.4 Configuration

Creating, editing, or deleting permissions requires an authenticated user. In most cases if the authenticated user is the owner of the ACL then access is allowed, but granted roles also affect whether access is allowed. The default required role is ROLE_ADMIN for all actions, but this can be configured in grails-app/conf/Config.groovy. This table summarizes the attribute names and the corresponding actions that are allowed for it:

Attribute	Affected methods
grails.plugin.springsecurity.acl.authority.modifyAuditingDetails	AuditableAcl.updateAuditing()
grails.plugin.springsecurity.acl.authority.changeOwnership	OwnershipAcl.setOwner()
grails.plugin.springsecurity.acl.authority.changeAclDetails	<pre>MutableAcl.deleteAce(), MutableAcl.insertAce(), MutableAcl.setEntriesInheriting(), MutableAcl.setParent(), MutableAcl.updateAce()</pre>

You can leave the attributes set to ROLE_ADMIN or change them to have separate values, e.g.

Run-As Authentication Replacement

There are also two options to configure **Run-As Authentication Replacement**:

Attribute	Meaning
grails.plugin.springsecurity.useRunAs	change to true to enable; defaults to false
grails.plugin.springsecurity.runAs.key	a shared key between the two standard implementation classes, used to verify that a third party hasn't created a token for the user; should be changed from its default value

Example:

```
grails.plugin.springsecurity.useRunAs = true
grails.plugin.springsecurity.runAs.key = 'your run-as key'
```

2.5 Run-As Authentication Replacement

Although not strictly related to ACLs, the plugin implements <u>Run-As Authentication Replacement</u> sinc it's related to method security in general. This feature is similar to the Switch User feature of the Spring Security Core plugin, but instead of running as another user until you choose to revert to your original Authentication, the temporary authentication switch only lasts for one method invocation.

For example, in this service someMethod() requires that the authenticated user have ROLE_ADMIN anwill also be granted ROLE_RUN_AS_SUPERUSER for the duration of the method only:

```
class SecureService {
    @Secured(['ROLE_ADMIN', 'RUN_AS_SUPERUSER'])
    def someMethod() {
        ...
    }
}
```

2.6 Custom Permissions

By default there are 5 permissions available from the org.springframework.security.acls.domain. BasePermission class: READ, WRITE, CREATE, DELETE, and ADMINISTRATION. You can also add your own permissions if these aren sufficient.

The easiest approach is to create a subclass of BasePermission and add your new permissions there. This way you retain the default permissions and can use them if you need. For example, here's a subclass that adds a new APPROVE permission:

```
package com.mycompany.myapp;
import org.springframework.security.acls.domain.BasePermission;
import org.springframework.security.acls.model.Permission;

public class MyPermission extends BasePermission {
   public static final Permission APPROVE = new MyPermission(1 << 5, 'V');
   protected MyPermission(int mask) {
        super(mask);
    }

   protected MyPermission(int mask, char code) {
        super(mask, code);
    }
}</pre>
```

It sets the mask value to 32 (1 << 5) since the values up to 16 are defined in the base class.

To use your class instead of the default, specify it in with the grails.plugin.springsecurity.acl. permissionClass attribute either as a Class or String, for example

```
import com.mycompany.myapp.MyPermissions
...
grails.plugin.springsecurity.acl. permissionClass = MyPermissions
```

or

```
grails.plugin.springsecurity.acl.permissionClass =
'com.mycompany.myapp.MyPermissions'
```

You can also override the aclPermissionFactory bean in grails-app/conf/spring/resources.groovy, keeping the org.springframework.security.acls.domain. DefaultPermissionFactory clas but passing your class as the constructor argument to keep it from defaulting to BasePermission, or da more complex override to more fully reconfigure the behavior:

```
import org.springframework.security.acls.domain.DefaultPermissionFactory
import com.mycompany.myapp.MyPermission

beans = {
    aclPermissionFactory(DefaultPermissionFactory, MyPermission)
}
```

Once this is done you can use the permission like any other, specifying its quoted lowercase name in a expression, e.g.

```
@PreAuthorize("hasPermission(#id, 'com.testacl.Report', 'approve')")
Report get(long id) {
   Report.get id
}
```

3 Tutorial

First create a test application:

```
$ grails create-app acltest
$ cd acltest
```

Add a dependency for the plugin by adding it to the dependencies block in build.gradle:

```
dependencies {
...
compile 'org.grails.plugins:spring-security-acl:3.0.0.M1'
}
```

and run the compile command to resolve the dependencies:

```
$ grails compile
```

This will transitively install the <u>Spring Security Core</u> plugin, so you'll need to configure that by running th s2-quickstart script:

```
$ grails s2-quickstart com.testacl User Role
```

The ACL support uses domain classes and includes them in the JAR file, but to allow customizing them (e.g. to enable Hibernate 2nd-level caching) there's a script that copies the domain classes into you application, s2-create-acl-domains. There's no need to run this script if the default configuration i sufficient.

Note that you cannot change the domain class names or packages since the plugin references them by name. Grails allows you to override plugin artifacts by creating (or copying and modifying existing classes with the same name and package in your application.

For portability, the domain class mappings are configured to generate the same DDL as is required by th standard Spring Security JDBC implementation.

We'll need a domain class to test with, so create a Report domain class:

```
$ grails create-domain-class com.testacl.Report
```

and add a name property for testing:

```
package com.testacl

class Report {
   String name
}
```

Next we'll create a service to test ACLs:

```
$ grails create-service com.testacl.Report
```

and add some methods that work with Reports:

```
package com.testacl
import static
org.springframework.security.acls.domain.BasePermission.ADMINISTRATION
import org.springframework.security.access.prepost.PostFilter
import org.springframework.security.access.prepost.PreAuthorize
import org.springframework.security.acls.domain.DefaultPermissionFactory
import org.springframework.security.acls.model.AccessControlEntry
import org.springframework.security.acls.model.MutableAcl
import org.springframework.security.acls.model.Permission
import org.springframework.security.acls.model.Sid
import grails.compiler.GrailsCompileStatic
import grails.plugin.springsecurity.SpringSecurityService
import grails.plugin.springsecurity.acl.AclService
import grails.plugin.springsecurity.acl.AclUtilService
import grails.transaction.Transactional
@GrailsCompileStatic
class ReportService {
DefaultPermissionFactory aclPermissionFactory
  AclService aclService
  AclUtilService aclUtilService
  SpringSecurityService springSecurityService
void addPermission(Report report, String username, int permission) {
      addPermission report, username,
aclPermissionFactory.buildFromMask(permission)
@PreAuthorize('hasPermission(#report, admin)')
   @Transactional
   void addPermission(Report report, String username, Permission permission) {
      aclUtilService.addPermission report, username, permission
@Transactional
   @PreAuthorize('hasRole("ROLE USER")')
  Report create(String name) {
      Report report = new Report(name: name).save(failOnError: true)
// Grant the current principal administrative permission
      addPermission report, springSecurityService.authentication.name,
ADMINISTRATION
report
@PreAuthorize('hasPermission(#id, "com.testacl.Report", read) or
hasPermission(#id, "com.testacl.Report", admin)')
  Report get(long id) {
      Report.get id
   }
```

```
@PreAuthorize('hasRole("ROLE USER")')
   @PostFilter('hasPermission(filterObject, read) or
hasPermission(filterObject, admin)')
   List<Report> list(Map params) {
      Report.list params
int count() {
     Report.count()
@Transactional
   @PreAuthorize('hasPermission(#report, write) or hasPermission(#report,
admin)')
   void update(Report report, String name) {
      report.name = name
@Transactional
   @PreAuthorize('hasPermission(#report, delete) or hasPermission(#report,
admin)')
   void delete(Report report) {
      report.delete()
// Delete the ACL information as well
      aclUtilService.deleteAcl report
@Transactional
   @PreAuthorize('hasPermission(#report, admin)')
   void deletePermission(Report report, Sid recipient, Permission permission) {
      MutableAcl acl = (MutableAcl)aclUtilService.readAcl(report)
// Remove all permissions associated with this particular
      // recipient (string equality to KISS)
      acl.entries.eachWithIndex { AccessControlEntry entry, int i ->
         if (entry.sid == recipient && entry.permission == permission) {
            acl.deleteAce i
aclService.updateAcl acl
```

The configuration specifies these rules:

- addPermission requires that the authenticated user have admin permission on the report instanc to grant a permission to someone else
- create requires that the authenticated user have ROLE_USER
- get requires that the authenticated user have read or admin permission on the specified Report
- list requires that the authenticated user have ROLE_USER and read or admin permission on each returned Report; instances that don't have granted permissions will be removed from the returned List
- count has no restrictions
- update requires that the authenticated user have write or admin permission on the report instance to edit it
- delete requires that the authenticated user have delete or admin permission on the report instance to edit it
- deletePermission requires that the authenticated user have admin permission on the report instance to delete a grant

To test this out we'll need some users; create a service to create users and their grants:

```
$ grails create-service com.testacl.SampleData
```

and add this code:

```
package com.testacl
import static
org.springframework.security.acls.domain.BasePermission.ADMINISTRATION
import static org.springframework.security.acls.domain.BasePermission.READ
import static org.springframework.security.acls.domain.BasePermission.WRITE
import
org.springframework.security.authentication.UsernamePasswordAuthenticationToken
import org.springframework.security.core.authority.AuthorityUtils
import org.springframework.security.core.context.SecurityContextHolder as SCH
import grails.transaction.Transactional
@Transactional
class SampleDataService {
def aclService
   def aclUtilService
   def objectIdentityRetrievalStrategy
void createSampleData() {
      createUsers()
      loginAsAdmin()
      grantPermissions()
// logout
      SCH.clearContext()
private void loginAsAdmin() {
      // have to be authenticated as an admin to create ACLs
      SCH.context.authentication = new UsernamePasswordAuthenticationToken(
         'admin', 'admin123',
         AuthorityUtils.createAuthorityList('ROLE_ADMIN'))
private void createUsers() {
      def roleAdmin = new Role(authority: 'ROLE_ADMIN').save()
      def roleUser = new Role(authority: 'ROLE_USER').save()
3.times {
         long id = it + 1
         def user = new User("user$id", "password$id").save()
         UserRole.create user, roleUser
def admin = new User('admin', 'admin123').save()
UserRole.create admin, roleUser
      UserRole.create admin, roleAdmin
private void grantPermissions() {
      def reports = []
      100.times {
         long id = it + 1
         def report = new Report(name: "report$id").save()
        reports << report
         aclService.createAcl(
                 objectIdentityRetrievalStrategy.getObjectIdentity(report))
```

```
// grant user 1 admin on 11,12 and read on 1-67
    aclUtilService.addPermission reports[10], 'userl', ADMINISTRATION
    aclUtilService.addPermission reports[11], 'userl', ADMINISTRATION
    67.times {
        aclUtilService.addPermission reports[it], 'userl', READ
    }

// grant user 2 read on 1-5, write on 5
    5.times {
        aclUtilService.addPermission reports[it], 'user2', READ
    }
    aclUtilService.addPermission reports[4], 'user2', WRITE

// user 3 has no grants

// grant admin admin on all
    for (report in reports) {
        aclUtilService.addPermission report, 'admin', ADMINISTRATION
    }

// grant user 1 ownership on 1,2 to allow the user to grant
    aclUtilService.changeOwner reports[0], 'userl'
    aclUtilService.changeOwner reports[1], 'userl'
    }
}
```

and configure BootStrap.groovy to call the service at startup:

```
class BootStrap {
  def sampleDataService
  def init = {
       sampleDataService.createSampleData()
      }
  }
}
```

To have a UI to test with, let's create a Report controller and GSPs:

```
$ grails generate-all com.testacl.Report
```

But to use the controller, it will have to be reworked to use ReportService. It's a good idea to put all create/edit/delete code in a transactional service, but in this case we need to move all database access to the service to ensure that appropriate access checks are made:

```
package com.testacl
import org.springframework.dao.DataIntegrityViolationException
import grails.plugin.springsecurity.annotation.Secured

@Secured(['ROLE_USER'])
class ReportController {
  def reportService
  def index() {
    params.max = Math.min(params.max ? params.int('max') : 10, 100)
    [reportList: reportService.list(params),
        reportCount: reportService.count()]
  }
}
```

```
def create() {
      [report: new Report(params)]
def save() {
      def report = reportService.create(params.name)
      if (!renderWithErrors('create', report))
         redirectShow "Report $report.id created", report.id
def show() {
      def report = findInstance()
      if (!report) return
[report: report]
def edit() {
      def report = findInstance()
      if (!report) return
[report: report]
def update() {
      def report = findInstance()
      if (!report) return
reportService.update report, params.name
      if (!renderWithErrors('edit', report)) {
         redirectShow "Report $report.id updated", report.id
def delete() {
      def report = findInstance()
      if (!report) return
try {
         reportService.delete report
         flash.message = "Report $params.id deleted"
         redirect action: 'list'
      catch (DataIntegrityViolationException e) {
         redirectShow "Report $params.id could not be deleted", params.id
def grant() {
def report = findInstance()
      if (!report) return
if (!request.post) {
         return [report: report]
reportService.addPermission(report, params.recipient,
              params.int('permission'))
redirectShow "Permission $params.permission granted on Report $report.id " +
              "to $params.recipient", report.id
private Report findInstance() {
      def report = reportService.get(params.long('id'))
      if (!report) {
         flash.message = "Report not found with id $params.id"
         redirect action: 'list'
      report
private void redirectShow(message, id) {
      flash.message = message
      redirect action: 'show', id: id
```

```
private boolean renderWithErrors(String view, Report report) {
    if (report.hasErrors()) {
        render view: view, model: [report: report]
        return true
    }
    false
    }
}
```

Note that the controller is annotated to require either ROLE_USER or ROLE_ADMIN. Since services hav nothing to do with HTTP, when access is blocked you cannot be redirected to the login page as when you try to access a URL that requires an authentication. So you need to configure URLs with similar rol requirements to give the user a chance to attempt a login before calling secured service methods.

Finally, we'll make a few adjustments so errors are handled gracefully.

First, edit grails-app/conf/UrlMappings.groovy and add some error code mappings:

Then create the ErrorsController that these reference:

```
$ grails create-controller com.testacl.Errors
```

and add this code:

```
package com.testacl
import grails.plugin.springsecurity.annotation.Secured

@Secured(['permitAll'])
class ErrorsController {
  def error403() {}
  def error404() {
      render view: '/notFound'
    }
  def error500() {
      render view: '/error'
    }
}
```

and we'll need to create the GSP for the `error403` action in grails-app/views/errors/error403.gsp:

```
<html>
  <head>
  <title>Access denied!</title>
  <meta name='layout' content='main' />
  </head>

  <body>
  <h1>Access Denied</h1>
  We're sorry, but you are not authorized
      to perform the requested operation.
  </body>
  </html>
```

actionSubmit issues

Grails has a convenient feature where it supports multiple submit actions per form. This is done by posting to the index action but with a special parameter that indicates which action to invoke. This is a problem in general for security since any URL rules for edit, delete, save, etc. will be bypassed. It's an even more significant issue with ACLs because of the way that the access denied exception interacts with the actionSubmit processing. If you don't make any adjustments for this, your users will see a blank pag when they attempt to submit a form and the action is disallowed. The solution is to remove actionSubmit buttons and replace them with regular submit buttons. This requires one form per button and without adjusting the CSS the buttons will look differently than if they were in-line actionSubmit buttons, but that is fixable with the appropriate CSS changes.



Note that this is not an issue when using the generated GSPs in Grails 3 because they've been reworked to use the fields plugin, but if you have an older application that you've upgraded or if you have GSPs that don't use the newer approach, you will need to avoid using actionSubmit.

It's simple to adjust the actionSubmit buttons; in grails-app/views/report/show.gsp replace the two actionSubmit buttons with these two forms (maintain the g:message tags; the strings ar hard-coded here to reduce clutter):

In grails-app/views/report/edit.gsp, change the <form> tag to

```
<g:form action='update'>
```

and convert the update button to a regular submit button:

and move the delete button out of the form into its own form just below the main form:

list.gsp and show.gsp are fine as they are.

Finally, to make it easier to log out (by default POST is required, so typical link that uses GET won work), add this to grails-app/views/layouts/main.gsp before the <g:layoutBody/> tag:

```
<sec:ifLoggedIn>

<g:form controller='logout'>
    Logged in as <sec:username/> - <g:submitButton name='logout' value='Logout'/>
</g:form>

</sec:ifLoggedIn>
    <sec:ifNotLoggedIn>

<g:link controller='login' action='auth'>Login</g:link>
</sec:ifNotLoggedIn>
```

and you'll see a link to login if not authenticated, and a button to click to logout if you are.

Testing

Now start the app:

```
$ grails run-app
```

and open http://localhost:8080/report



⚠ If you see the NoSuchMethodError: error org.springframework.cache.ehcache.EhCacheFactoryBean.setMaxEntr the app, comment out the 'org.hibernate:hibernate-ehcache' dependency and disable the second-level cache in application.yml with use_second_level_cache: false, and restart.

Login as user1/password1 and you should see the first page of results. But if you click on page 7 or higher you'll see that you can only see a subset of the Reports. This illustrates one issue with using ACLs to restrict view access to instances; you would have to add joins in your query to the ACL database tables to get an accurate count of the total number of visible instances.

Click on any of the report instance links (e.g. http://localhost:8080/report/show/63) to verify that you can view the instance. You can test that you have no view access to the filtered instances by navigating to http://localhost:8080/report/show/83.

Verify that user1 has admin permission on report #11 by editing it and deleting it.

Verify that user1 doesn't have admin permission on report #13 by trying to editing or delete it and you should see the error page when you submit the form.

Logout (by navigating to http://localhost:8080/logout) and login as user2/password2. You should only se the first five reports. Verify that you can edit #5 but not any of the others, and that you can't delete any.

Finally. logout and login as admin/admin123. You should be able to view, edit, and delete all instances.

4 Sample Application

Working with ACLs in Spring Security is complex but it will be easier to understand with a sampl application. To help get you started, there's a Grails application that uses the plugin to test with. It's base on the Spring Security Contacts sample application. But where the Spring Security application use SpringMVC, JDBC, etc., this application is 100% Grails. The application is available at GitHub.

Clone or fork the repo and start the app:

```
$ grails run-app
```

Open http://localhost:8080/ in a browser to get started. The main functionality is at http://localhost:8080/secure. The login page lists the various configured users and their passwords; the "rod" user is an admin and has full access and the other users have various grants and ownership.