You submitted this quiz on **Wed 16 Apr 2014 10:18 PM IST**. You got a score of **5.00** out of **5.00**.

Question 1

You are training a three layer neural network and would like to use backpropagation to compute the gradient of the cost function. In the backpropagation algorithm, one of the steps is to update $\Delta_{ij}^{(2)} := \Delta_{ij}^{(2)} + \delta_i^{(3)} * (a^{(2)})_j \text{ for every } i,j. \text{ Which of the following is a correct vectorization of this step?}$

Y	ou	r F	\n	SW	eı	

Score Explanation

$$\Delta^{(2)} := \Delta^{(2)} + \delta^{(3)} * (a^{(3)})^T$$

$$\Delta^{(2)} := \Delta^{(2)} + (a^{(3)})^T * \delta^{(2)}$$

$$\Delta^{(2)} := \Delta^{(2)} + (a^{(2)})^T * \delta^{(2)}$$

$$\stackrel{ullet}{\Delta}^{(2)} := \Delta^{(2)} + \delta^{(3)} * (a^{(2)})^T$$

1.00

This version is correct, as it takes the "outer product" of the two vectors $\delta^{(3)}$ and $a^{(2)}$ which is a matrix such that the (i,j)-th entry is $\delta_i^{(3)}*(a^{(2)})_i$ as desired.

Total 1.00 / 1.00

Question 2

Suppose Theta1 is a 2x5 matrix, and Theta2 is a 3x6 matrix. You set thetaVec = [Theta1(:); Theta2(:)]. Which of the following correctly recovers Theta2?

Your Answer

Score Explanation

```
resnape (thetaVec(11:28),
6, 3)

1.00 This choice is correct, since Theta1 has reshape (thetaVec(11:28),
3, 6)

This choice is correct, since Theta1 has 10 elements, so Theta2 begins at index 11 and ends at index 11 + 18 - 1 = 28.

reshape (thetaVec(10:27),
6, 3)

Total

1.00 /
1.00
```

Question 3

Let $J(\theta)=3\theta^3+2$. Let $\theta=1$, and $\epsilon=0.01$. Use the formula $\frac{J(\theta+\epsilon)-J(\theta-\epsilon)}{2\epsilon}$ to numerically compute an approximation to the derivative at $\theta=1$. What value do you get? (When $\theta=1$, the true/exact derivative is $\frac{dJ(\theta)}{d\theta}=9$.)

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
9		
011		
●9.0003 ✓	1.00	We compute $\frac{(3{(1.01)}^3+2)-(3{(0.99)}^3+2)}{2{(0.01)}}=9.0003$
8.9997		
Total	1.00 / 1.00	

Question 4

Which of the following statements are true? Check all that apply.

Your Answer		Score	Explanation
✓ If our neural network	~	0.25	Just as with logistic regression, a large value of λ will

overfits the training set, one reasonable step to take is to increase the regularization parameter λ .		penalize large parameter values, thereby reducing the changes of overfitting the training set.
Computing the gradient of the cost function in a neural network has the same efficiency when we use backpropagation or when we numerically compute it using the method of gradient checking.	✓ 0	Numerical gradient checking is much slower, as you must perform forward propagation twice for every parameter in the newtork.
For computational efficiency, after we have performed gradient checking to verify that our backpropagation code is correct, we usually disable gradient checking before using backpropagation to train the network.	✔ 0	25 Checking the gradient numerically is a debugging tool: it helps ensure a corre ct implementation, but it is too slow to use as a method for actually computing gradients.
Using a large value of λ cannot hurt the performance of your neural network; the only reason we do not set λ to be too large is to avoid numerical problems.	✔ 0	A large value of λ can be quite detrimental. If you set it too high, then the network will be underfit to the training data and give poor predictions on both training data and new, unseen test data.
Total		00 / 00

Question 5

Which of the following statements are true? Check all that apply.

Your Answer

Score Explanation

✓ Suppose we have a correct implementation of backpropagation, and are training a neural network using gradient descent. Suppose we plot $J(\Theta)$ as a function of the number of iterations, and find that it is increasing rather than decreasing. One possible cause of this is that the learning rate α is too large.

If the learning rate is too large, the cost function can diverge during gradient descent. Thus, you should select a smaller value of α .

If we initialize all the parameters of a neural network to ones instead of zeros, this will suffice for the purpose of "symmetry breaking" because the parameters are no longer symmetrically equal to zero.

0.25

0.25

The trouble with initializing the parameters to all zeros is not the specific value of zero but instead that every unit in the network will get the same update after backpropagation. Initializing the parameters to all ones has the same difficulty.

✓ Suppose you are training a neural network using gradient descent. Depending on your random initialization, your algorithm may converge to different local optima (i.e., if you run the algorithm twice with different random initializations, gradient descent may converge to two different

0.25

The cost function for a neural network is non-convex, so it may have multiple minima. Which minimum you find with gradient descent depends on the initialization.

- ''	✓ 0.25	$\Theta^{(1)}$ can be an arbitrary matrix, so when you compute
parameter $\Theta^{(1)}$ is a		$a^{(2)} = g(\Theta^{(1)}a^{(1)})$, replacing $\Theta^{(1)}$ with its transpose
square matrix		will compute a different value.
(meaning the		
number of rows		
equals the number		
of columns). If we		
replace $\Theta^{(1)}$ with		
its transpose		
$(\Theta^{(1)})^T$, then we		
have not changed		
the function that the		
network is		
computing.		
Total	1.00 /	
	1.00	