

# Kommodo: concentrated liquidity lending protocol

Kevin Foesenek  
kevin@kommodo.org  
www.kommodo.org

**Abstract.** A permissionless fully collateralized lending protocol allows financial parties to lend and borrow assets without the trust of a central party. Blockchains provide part of the solution, but the main benefits are lost if a trusted third party is still required to determine the price between assets. We propose a solution to the pricing problem by requiring concentrated liquidity positions for liquidity and collateral. The use of concentrated liquidity positions can guarantee that the value of the collateral position will be higher or equal to the borrowed position for the lifetime of the loan, removing the need for trusted third parties or liquidations.

## 1. Introduction

Lending and borrowing is part of the trust based financial system. Within the decentralized finance space there is active research to integrate lending and borrowing without requiring trusted third parties. The current decentralized finance based lending protocol implementations require trust in an oracle provider. Recently there have been made developments that do not require trusting an oracle provider, however these systems use liquidation games, are complex and require active participation to avoid liquidation. In this paper Kommodo is presented, a novel lending protocol that allows lending and borrowing between any token pair with minimal complexity and without the trust of oracles or liquidations.

## 2. Lending protocols

Lending protocols allow depositing funds and receiving a yield in return. In addition a lending protocol allows to borrow these deposited funds against collateral funds while paying interest for these borrow activities. Pooled lending protocols aggregate deposited funds in a pool to borrow from. Peer to peer lending protocols match single lenders and borrowers. Pooling the funds allows for efficient matching between lenders and borrowers.

Permissionless lending protocols have no knowledge of the borrower or option to retrieve the borrowed funds and therefore require full collateralization of the loan. To determine the collateral needed between two assets the permissionless lending protocol requires knowledge of the asset prices.

A change in price can require an increase in collateral. Current implementations of blockchain based lending protocols use oracles to input the price and liquidation games to guarantee sufficient collateral on changing prices. There are lending protocols that do not require trusting an oracle for price input, these protocols determine the price algorithmically based on the total lending pool conditions. These protocols are complex and require liquidation games to guarantee sufficient collateral on changing prices.

### **3. Automated market makers**

Trading is the mechanism for price discovery of an asset. The decentralized finance space implemented automated market makers (AMMs) for efficient blockchain based trading, allowing price discovery between assets referred to as tokens. AMMs are agents that pool liquidity and make it available to traders according to an algorithm [1]. AMMs allow for permissionless trading efficiently matching trades.

Initial iterations of AMMs inefficiently distributed liquidity uniformly along a single curve. Concentrated liquidity positions (CLPs) are AMMs liquidity positions (LPs) with the ability to concentrate the liquidity by “bounding” it within an arbitrary price range [2]. This improves the pool’s capital efficiency and allows LPs to approximate their preferred reserves curve, while still being efficiently aggregated with the rest of the pool. For AMMs with two assets (A and B) the CLPs will return A at a price above the bound price range, B below the bound price range and a mix of A and B within the bound price range.

### **4. Concentrated liquidity lending**

For permissionless lending protocols the collateral value is required to be higher than the borrow value at all times. To comply with this requirement the collateral has to increase when price changes increase the collateral needed. In the case that the collateral asset deposited is the same as the borrowed asset there is no active price change, the price is always equal to 1. On initial requirement of full collateralization this guarantees that the deposited collateral value is always higher than the borrowed value, no future increases in collateral are ever required. However borrowing and lending between the same asset has minimal use cases.

We propose a protocol for lending and borrowing CLPs as the asset. The use of CLPs allows a combination of trading between two assets while having the value guarantee of using one asset. The only requirement for borrowing a CLP is that the value of the borrow CLP is equal or less than the collateral amount.

$$P = \text{assetA} / \text{assetB}$$

$$V = \text{CLP value}$$

To simplify the proof we assume that  $P > 1$ . We first determine the collateral amount:

$$collateral = amountA$$

We then calculate the assetB amount for the borrow CLP based on the collateral amount and the CLP price:

$$amountB = \frac{collateral}{P_{borrow}}$$

The current borrow CLP value can be determined based on the current price:

$$V_{borrow} = \begin{cases} P_{borrow} \leq P_{current}, & amountA \\ P_{borrow} > P_{current}, & amountB \end{cases}$$

This gives the following guarantee over the total lifetime of the loan:

$$collateral \geq V_{borrow}$$

The guarantee can be deduced from the fact that value borrow is equal to amountA or is divided by a price greater than one. Value borrow therefore must always be smaller than value collateral. For prices smaller than one the price formulas need to be adjusted to multiplication. Since it then is a multiplication of a number smaller than one the guarantee also holds. The use of CLPs for lending allows to mathematically guarantee the requirement of a permissionless lending protocols. This beautifully simple guarantee allows for the protocol to be permissionless and require no oracle, governance or liquidation games.

The single asset collateral in the example can be replaced by a CLP while maintaining the value guarantee. Because the loans are guaranteed fully collateralized, closing of the loan will only happen when the borrower closes it or by anyone when no interest is available. Interest is therefore an important part of the design. Under chapter 5. *Interest* we propose the use of an interest curve proportional to the borrowers risk to calculate the interest. This design requires the collateral to be a CLP instead of a single asset. We therefore propose the use of a collateral CLP together with a borrow CLP.

## **5. Interest**

The funds of the lender are locked for the duration of the loans, in exchange the lenders are paid interest by the borrowers. Different designs for interest calculation are possible. A single fixed interest rate is simplest in design. The single fixed rate however would not allow the protocol to attract liquidity when other yield protocols offer higher returns. An interest rate based on the ratio between supplied vs borrowed assets would allow the protocol to attract liquidity in this scenario. However there is no direct ratio to be calculated because the borrowed assets are CLPs instead of single assets. To determine the borrowed assets the current price is required, which can be manipulated.

We propose the use of a risk proportional interest rate. With single token collateral the current price has to be used as a base to calculate the proportional interest between the current price and the borrow CLP price. This is not acceptable because the current price can be manipulated. The use of a CLP as collateral allows for the interest to be set proportional to the difference between the borrow CLP price and the collateral CLP price. Using the proportional interest borrowers can select an interest within their accepted risk without potential manipulation. Lenders can influence the minimal interest price for their loan by depositing a CLP with a higher price. This would require the borrower to have an increased distance between the borrow CLP and the collateral CLP. Therefore in the scenario of other higher yield protocols lenders redeposit a CLP at a higher price to increase the interest.

## **6. Conclusion**

We proposed a protocol for lending and borrowing without relying on a trusted third party. The protocol requires no external price input and no liquidations. This is achieved by using CLPs as assets to provide the guarantee that the amount of collateral is always equal to or more than the amount borrowed. The interest is made proportional to the difference between price borrow and price collateral to allow borrowers to borrow at their own risk/reward ratio. Because of the value guarantee closing a loan can only be done when interest is no longer paid or by the borrower during the lifetime of the loan, removing the need for liquidations.

## **References**

- [1] Abraham Othman. 2012. Automated Market Making: Theory and Practice. Ph.D. Dissertation. Carnegie Mellon University.
- [2] Hayden Adams, Noah Zinsmeister, Moody Salem, River Keefer and Dan Robinson. 2021. Uniswap v3 Core. Retrieved Okt 9, 2023 from <https://uniswap.org/whitepaper-v3.pdf>