## **Assignment 3**

# Time-Series Data Report

#### 1. Introduction

Time-series forecasting is integral to weather prediction, where RNNs, especially LSTMs (Long Short-Term Memory) and GRUs (Gated Recurrent Units), excel due to their ability to capture temporal dependencies. This report explores and compares LSTM and GRU layers, as well as a hybrid 1D CNN + RNN model, which combines CNN's spatial-temporal pattern recognition with CNN's long-term sequence learning. The goal was to develop a model capable of accurately predicting critical weather metrics.

## 2. Data Preparation

The dataset used, **jena\_climate\_2009\_2016.csv**, contains hourly climate measurements from 2009 to 2016, covering variables such as temperature, humidity, atmospheric pressure, and wind speed.

#### **Preprocessing Steps:**

- Data Cleaning: Missing values were handled to ensure consistency.
- Feature Scaling: All features were normalised to accelerate training convergence.
- **Splitting the Dataset**: The data was divided into training, validation, and test sets to enable fair evaluation of model performance.

## 3. Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)

EDA provided insights into the patterns within the dataset, such as:

- **Seasonal Temperature Cycles**: Clear seasonal trends were observed in temperature, which helped set model parameters.
- **Correlation Among Variables**: Temperature and humidity displayed an inverse relationship, providing direction for feature engineering.
- **Wind and Humidity Cycles**: Periodic variations were noted in wind and humidity, indicating seasonal influences on these factors.

Visualisations helped identify the temporal dependencies and patterns relevant for model training.

## 4. Methodology

Three models were tested, each with distinct configurations:

#### Model 1: Stacked LSTM

A stacked LSTM architecture served as a baseline. By adjusting the number of units across layers, we aimed to enhance the model's ability to capture long-term dependencies in weather data.

#### Model 2: GRU-Based RNN

A GRU-based RNN was explored to compare against the LSTM. GRUs are computationally less intensive and can train faster, providing a more efficient alternative for time-series tasks with moderate temporal complexity.

## Model 3: Hybrid 1D CNN + LSTM

This model combined a 1D CNN layer with an LSTM to leverage CNN's ability to capture local patterns within the time sequence. This hybrid architecture allowed the model to recognize both short-term and long-term dependencies more effectively, resulting in improved forecasting accuracy.

## 5. Model Optimization

Key optimization strategies included:

- 1. **Tuning Layer Units**: Different unit configurations were tested in each recurrent layer to better capture the dataset's complexity.
- 2. **Layer Selection (LSTM vs. GRU)**: While LSTM generally outperformed GRU in terms of validation accuracy, GRU showed faster convergence.
- 3. **CNN-RNN Combination**: The hybrid model provided the most accurate predictions by capturing both localised and extended patterns within the data.

#### 6. Results and Discussion

Each model's performance was evaluated based on **Mean Absolute Error (MAE)** and **Mean Squared Error (MSE)**. The following observations were made:

- The stacked LSTM provided strong baseline results, but struggled with some long-term dependencies.
- The GRU-based model was efficient in terms of training time, though it slightly underperformed compared to the LSTM model.
- The hybrid 1D CNN + LSTM achieved the highest accuracy, indicating its superior ability to capture both localised and long-term weather patterns. This model's success can be attributed to the complementary strengths of CNNs and LSTMs in identifying and retaining complex temporal relationships.

#### **Evaluation of Models:**

### **Results Summary:**

 Summarise the performance of each model, referencing the table of MAE scores :

■ Naive Method: 2.62

Densely Connected Network: 2.63
1D Convolutional Model: 3.21
Simple LSTM Model: 3.17

■ RNN Model: 9.93

Stacked RNN Model: 9.91
Dropout LSTM Model: 2.59
Simple LSTM with 32 Units: 2.63
Stacked LSTM with 64 Units: 2.57
Stacked LSTM with 8 Units: 2.78

■ 1D Convolutional with RNN: 3.7

## 7. Conclusion

This assignment demonstrated the effectiveness of advanced RNN architectures for time-series forecasting, particularly for weather data. The 1D CNN + LSTM model emerged as the most accurate, benefiting from CNN's capability to capture local temporal structures and LSTM's strength in retaining long-term dependencies. Future work could explore additional hyperparameter tuning or incorporate external climate data to further enhance the model's predictive robustness.