

Dynamic Programming

University College Cork

Konrad Dagiél

April 2023

Abstract

A section on what this thesis is about

Declaration

Acknowledgements

Contents

1	Design	5
1.1	The Coin Change Problem	5
1.1.1	Greedy Approach to the Coin Change Problem	5
1.1.2	Optimality of the Greedy Approach to the Coin Change Problem	6
1.1.3	Correctness of the Greedy Approach to the Coin Change Problem	6
1.1.4	Brute Force Approach to the Coin Change Problem	6
1.1.5	Complexity Analysis of the Brute Force Approach to the Coin Change Problem . .	7
1.1.6	Memoization Approach to the Coin Change Problem	7
1.1.7	Complexity Analysis of the Memoization Approach to the Coin Change Problem .	8
1.2	Longest Increasing Subsequence	11

Chapter 1

Design

1.1 The Coin Change Problem

Problem Statement: Given a list of denominations of coins D and an integer amount a , compute the minimum amount of coins (where each coin's denomination $\in D$) needed to sum exactly to the given amount a .

Input: An integer array D of possible coin denominations, and an integer amount a .

Output: An integer r , which represents the minimum amount of coins with denominations $\in D$ needed in order to sum exactly to a . If this cannot be done, return -1 .

Example: For:

$$D = [1, 5, 10, 20]$$

$$a = 115$$

$$r = 7$$

Explanation: The minimum amount of coins with denominations in D needed to sum to a is 7.

These coins are: $[20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 10, 5]$

The problem appears trivial at first glance. One may be tempted to use a greedy method as follows:

1.1.1 Greedy Approach to the Coin Change Problem

Algorithm 1 shows a greedy approach to the coin change problem.

Algorithm 1: Greedy Approach to the Coin Change Problem

Input: List of denominations of coins D and an amount a

Output: Minimum number of coins required to make change for a

Sort D in ascending order;

$r \leftarrow 0$;

$total \leftarrow 0$;

while $total < a$ **do**

if $|D| = 0$ **then**

return -1 ;

if $total + D[-1] > a$ **then**

$D.pop()$;

else

$total \leftarrow total + D[-1]$;

$r \leftarrow r + 1$;

return r

In Algorithm 1, we always choose the coin with the largest value which will not make the total exceed

a.

1.1.2 Optimality of the Greedy Approach to the Coin Change Problem

This algorithm is not optimal, and we can prove this by counter-example.

A counter example is $D = [5, 4, 3, 2, 1]$, $a = 7$.

Given these inputs, the greedy result is: $r1 = 3$ ($[5, 1, 1]$).

The optimal solution for these inputs is: $r2 = 2$ ($[4, 3]$).

We see that $r1 > r2$, meaning the greedy approach does not find the minimized solution.

1.1.3 Correctness of the Greedy Approach to the Coin Change Problem

The greedy algorithm is also not correct, and we can prove this by another counter-example.

A counter example is $D = [4, 3]$, $a = 6$.

Given these inputs, the greedy result is: $r1 = -1$ ($[4]$).

The optimal solution for these inputs is: $r2 = 2$ ($[3, 3]$).

We see that the greedy approach fails when a solution is indeed possible, as shown by $r2$.

Since we have shown that the greedy approach is neither correct nor optimal, we move on to the brute force solution.

1.1.4 Brute Force Approach to the Coin Change Problem

The brute force approach to the coin change problem involves generating all possible coin combinations, and checking if any of them sum exactly to a . Of the ones that do, we return the minimum length.

To try all possible coin combinations, we can subtract each coin denomination $c \in D$ from a , as long as $a - c \geq 0$.

We can repeat this step for each result obtained from this calculation (replacing a with the intermediate result), until all possible coin combinations are explored.

We can keep track of the shortest path through the resulting tree which has a leaf value of 0, to avoid

storing the entire tree in memory.

We return the length of the shortest path as r .

A sample python implementation is shown in figure 1.1.

```
1  def coin_change_bf(D, a):
2      def dfs(a):
3          if a == 0:
4              return 0
5          if a < 0:
6              return float('inf')
7          return min([1+dfs(a-c) for c in D])
8      minimum = dfs(a)
9      return minimum if minimum < float("inf") else -1
```

Figure 1.1: Coin Change Brute Force Python Implementation

1.1.5 Complexity Analysis of the Brute Force Approach to the Coin Change Problem

Time Complexity: For the worst case scenario, let's assume each coin denomination $c \in D < a$ such that each node which is not a leaf node has $|D|$ children. This means we have $|D|$ recursive calls at the first level, $|D|^2$ at the second level, $|D|^n$ at the n 'th level.

The total number of recursive calls in this scenario is $|D| + |D|^2 + \dots + |D|^a$ which is $O(|D|^a)$.

Therefore the time complexity is $O(|D|^a)$. This is because at each step, there are $|D|$ choices (coin denominations) to consider, and the recursion depth is at most a (target amount).

Space Complexity: We do not store the entire tree in memory, only the current path.

The space complexity is determined by the maximum depth of the recursion stack. In the worst case, the recursion depth is equal to the target amount a . Therefore, the space complexity is $O(a)$.

Overall: total

Time Complexity: $O(|D|^a)$

Space Complexity: $O(a)$

1.1.6 Memoization Approach to the Coin Change Problem

In the brute force algorithm, we have a chance to arrive at a value multiple times. For every path in the search tree, we can store intermediate results in a table, so that the next time we arrive at a value, eg. 3, we don't have to repeat the work in finding the minimum amount of extra coins needed to sum to a . Instead we can simply look in the table with a constant time lookup.

This optimization reduces search time greatly, as seen in subsection 1.1.7.

A sample python implementation is shown in figure 1.2.


```

1  def coin_change_memo(D, a):
2      memo = {}
3      def dfs(a):
4          if a == 0:
5              return 0
6          if a < 0:
7              return float('inf')
8          if a in memo:
9              return memo[a]
10
11         memo[a] = min([1+dfs(a-c) for c in D])
12         return memo[a]
13
14     res = dfs(a)
15     return res if res < float("inf") else -1

```

Figure 1.2: Coin Change Memoization Python Implementation

1.1.7 Complexity Analysis of the Memoization Approach to the Coin Change Problem

Time Complexity: Each unique subproblem is evaluated once, and the next time it is encountered it is retrieved from the memoization table with a constant time lookup¹. As there are $|D| * a$ unique subproblems in the worst case², the time complexity to solve all of them is $O(|D| * a)$.

Space Complexity: We need to store the memoization table in memory. The memoization table is represented by a lookup data structure where the keys range from 0 to a , representing the solution to each unique subproblem. Hence, the memory required to store the table is of order $O(a)$.

Overall: total

Time Complexity: $O(|D| * a)$

Space Complexity: $O(a)$

Tabulation for Coin Change

Instead of doing a dfs to fill in the memo table, which requires a traversal of the exponential search space, we will use tabulation.

We will call the memo table `dp`, as we are no longer doing memoization, but tabulation.

`dp[i]` represents the minimum amount of coins needed to get the amount i .

For the example `D=[5,4,3,1]` `a=7`

We initialize each `dp[i]` to contain infinity.

¹Python dictionary lookups have an expected $O(1)$ time complexity.

² $|D|$ constant time subtractions from any intermediate value v where $0 \leq v \leq a$.

We know that $dp[0] = 0$ as it takes 0 coins to add up to an amount of 0. We can initialize this in our array.

Now we can deduce $dp[1]$, $dp[2]$... until we reach $dp[a]$.

To get $dp[i]$, we will look at each c element of D in sequence.

For each c in D , we take $i - c$ to get t , and look for $dp[t]$ if it exists.

Our result is $1+dp[t]$

If this result is less than the current $dp[i]$ and is not negative, we update $dp[i] = 1+dp[t]$

The logic is demonstrated with the examples:

Example 1: Calculating $dp[1]$

```
dp[0]=0
dp[1]=inf
dp[2]=inf
dp[3]=inf
dp[4]=inf
dp[5]=inf
dp[6]=inf
```

to calculate $dp[1]$:

for c in $D=[5,4,3,1]$

$t = i - c = 1 - 5 = -4 \rightarrow$ ignore because negative

$t = i - c = 1 - 4 = -3 \rightarrow$ ignore because negative

$t = i - c = 1 - 3 = -2 \rightarrow$ ignore because negative

$t = i - c = 1 - 1 = 0$

$dp[0] = 0$ (lookup of $dp[t]$)

$1+dp[0] = 1$ (add the current coin to the previous solution $1+dp[t]$)

This means a possible solution to $dp[1]$ is 1

Since all other coin values would make $dp[1]$ negative, and $1 < \text{inf}$, we can update $dp[1]$ to 1.

Example 2: Calculating $dp[7]$

```
dp[0]=0
```

```

dp[1]=1
dp[2]=2
dp[3]=1
dp[4]=1
dp[5]=1
dp[6]=2

```

To calculate `dp[7]`:

For `c` in `D = [5,4,3,1]`:

`t = i-c = 7-5 = 2 -> dp[2] = 2, 1+dp[2] = 3, 3 < inf, update dp[7] to 3`

`t = i-c = 7-4 = 3 -> dp[3] = 1, 1+dp[3] = 2, 2 < 3, update dp[7] to 2`

`t = i-c = 7-3 = 4 -> dp[4] = 1, 1+dp[4] = 2, 2 = 2, ignore`

`t = i-c = 7-1 = 6 -> dp[6] = 2, 1+dp[6] = 3, 3 > 2, ignore`

We conclude that the minimum solution to `dp[7]` is 2, achieved by adding a 4 coin to `dp[3]`, which is

`4 + 3 = 7`

```

def coin_change_dp(D,a, printTable=False):
    dp=[float('inf')] * (a + 1) # initialize all fields in dp to infinity
    dp[0] = 0

    for i in range(1, a+1):
        for c in D:
            t = i - c
            if t >= 0:
                dp[i] = min(dp[i], 1+dp[t])

    if printTable:
        print(dp)

    return dp[a] if dp[a] != float('inf') else -1

```

```

print(coin_change_dp([5,4,3,1], 7, printTable=True))

```

Coin Change Tabulation Complexity Analysis

Time Complexity:

For the worst case scenario, we need to iterate for all (`i = 0; i <= a; i++`)

And for each `i`, we need to iterate over each coin in `D`

All other operations within the loops are constant time lookups and subtractions, so the time comple

Space Complexity:

The space complexity is determined by the size of the dp array. This array is always of size $a+1$.

Therefore the space complexity is $O(a)$

Overall:

Time Complexity: $O(a * |D|)$

Space Complexity: $O(a)$

1.2 Longest Increasing Subsequence

Longest Increasing Subsequence

Given an array nums, return the length of the longest strictly increasing subsequence. A subsequence

Example: nums = [2,5,3,7,101,18]

Output: 4

Explanation: The subsequence [2,5,7,101] is the longest increasing subsequence, with length 4.

Much like coin change, this problem appears trivial at first glance. One may attempt to be greedy as

Longest Increasing Subsequence Greedy Approach

Input: nums

r := 0

index := 0

cur := nums[0]

While index < |nums|:

 if nums[index] > cur:

```

        r += 1

        cur := nums[index]

    index += 1

Return r

```

In this greedy algorithm we iterate through nums keeping track of the current max value encountered,

Optimality of the Greedy approach

This algorithm is not optimal however, and we can prove this by counter-example.

A counter example is nums = [10,9,2,5,3,7,101,18]

Given these inputs, the greedy result is: r1 = 2 ([10,101])

An optimal solution for these inputs is: r2 = 4 ([2,3,7,101,18])

We see that $r1 > r2$, meaning the greedy approach does not find the maximised solution.

We therefore need a more sophisticated approach.

Longest Increasing Subsequence Brute Force

We can try a brute force approach, where we start at index 0, and for each index choose whether we should include it or not.

This will generate all possible increasing subsequences.

We keep track of the longest increasing subsequence length, and return it.

Below is an implementation of this algorithm.

```

def length_of_lis_bf(nums):
    def dfs(prev_index, current_index):
        # Base case: reached the end of the sequence
        if current_index == len(nums):
            return 0

        # Case 1: Exclude the current element
        exclude_current = dfs(prev_index, current_index + 1)

        # Case 2: Include the current element if it is greater than the previous one
        include_current = 0
        if prev_index < 0 or nums[current_index] > nums[prev_index]:
            include_current = 1 + dfs(current_index, current_index + 1)

```

```

        # Return the maximum length of the two cases
        return max(exclude_current, include_current)

    # Start the recursion with initial indices (-1 represents no previous index)
    return dfs(-1, 0)

print(length_of_lis_bf([10,9,2,5,3,7,101,18]))

## Longest Increasing Subsequence Brute Force Complexity Analysis

Let n be the length of nums.

Time Complexity:

For the worst case scenario, There are n indices to consider. There are two subtrees at each decision point.

This brings the time complexity to  $O(2^n)$ 

Space Complexity:

The space complexity is determined by the recursion depth.

Therefore the space complexity is  $O(n)$ 

Overall:

Time Complexity:  $O(2^n)$ 

Space Complexity:  $O(n)$ 

## Longest Increasing Subsequence Memoization

We can use memoization to avoid repeating subproblems, such as when we are deciding whether the next element should be included or not.

Before proceeding with the recursive calls, the function checks if the result for the current combination of indices has already been computed.

def length_of_lis_memo(nums):
    if not nums:
        return 0

    memo = {} # Memoization dictionary to store computed results

    def dfs(prev_index, current_index):
        if current_index == len(nums):
            return 0

```

```

    if (prev_index, current_index) in memo:
        return memo[(prev_index, current_index)]

    exclude_current = dfs(prev_index, current_index + 1)

    include_current = 0
    if prev_index < 0 or nums[current_index] > nums[prev_index]:
        include_current = 1 + dfs(current_index, current_index + 1)

    # Save the result in the memoization dictionary
    memo[(prev_index, current_index)] = max(include_current, exclude_current)

    return memo[(prev_index, current_index)]

return dfs(-1, 0)

print(length_of_lis_memo([10,9,2,5,3,7,101,18]))

```

Longest Increasing Subsequence Memoization Complexity Analysis

Let n be the length of `nums`.

Time Complexity:

For each unique combination of `(prev_index, current_index)`, the algorithm either calculates the result or returns the value from the memoization dictionary.

The algorithm explores all combinations of `prev_index` and `current_index`. There are at most n choices for `prev_index` and n choices for `current_index`, resulting in $O(n^2)$ combinations.

Space Complexity:

The space complexity is increased to $O(n^2)$, as the memo table needs to store all n^2 combinations of `prev_index` and `current_index`.

Overall:

Time Complexity: $O(n^2)$

Space Complexity: $O(n^2)$

Longest Increasing Subsequence Tabulation

We can use tabulation to build a table from which we can deduce the result, similar to the coin change problem.

We know that starting at the last index will result in an increasing subsequence of length 1. We can build up the table from the end to the beginning.

We create a table called `dp` of size `len(nums)`, where `dp[i]` represents the longest increasing subsequence ending at index `i`.

Lets take the example `nums = [1,2,4,3]`

We initialize `dp[3]` to 1, as the longest increasing subsequence starting at index 3 is 1.

Consider `nums[2] = 4`

We can either take `nums[2]` by itself, or include `nums[2]` in any subsequence at any index that comes

Since including it would not result in an increasing subsequence, we must exclude it, so `dp[2] = 1`

Now Consider `nums[1] = 2`

We can either take it by itself or include it in any subsequence at any index that comes after it. I

We choose the option which maximizes the value of `dp[1]`, which is `1+dp[2]` (or equally `1+dp[3]`) = 2

So for `dp[i]`, by the same logic, we simply put `max(1,1+dp[j1],1+dp[j2],1+dp[j3]...)` (only include 1+

```
def length_of_lis_dp(nums, printTable = False):
```

```
    dp = [1] * len(nums)
```

```
    for i in range(len(nums)-1,-1,-1):
```

```
        for j in range(i+1,len(nums)):
```

```
            if nums[i] < nums[j]:
```

```
                dp[i] = max(dp[i], 1+dp[j])
```

```
    if printTable:
```

```
        print(dp)
```

```
    return max(dp)
```

```
print(length_of_lis_dp([10,9,2,5,3,7,101,18], printTable=True))
```

```
## Longest Increasing Subsequence Tabulation Complexity Analysis
```

Let `n` be the length of `nums`.

Time Complexity:

For the worst case scenario, we need to perform a double nested iteration over `nums`.

All other operations within the loops are constant time lookups and `max(a,b)`, so the time complexity

Space Complexity:

The space complexity is determined by the size of the `dp` array. This array is always of size `n`.

Therefore the space complexity is $O(n)$

Overall:

Time Complexity: $O(n^2)$

Space Complexity: $O(n)$