Mole Meeting

Short update on PhD progress to March colloquium presentation

Konrad Höffner

May 4, 2016

Thesis Core Progress

LinkedSpending	SWJ	✓	2014-11
QA Survey	SWJ	submitted	2015-10
QA Survey	SWJ	revised	2016-04
CubeQA Short	SMT	✓	2014-08
CubeQA Long	AAAI	✗	2015-12
CubeQA Long	ESWC	✗	2016-03
CubeQA Long	ISWC	submitted	2016-04
CubeQA Journal	SWJ	planning	2016-??
ProcQA(?)	?	planning	2016-??

Coauthorship

kOre	SMT	✓	2014-08	Ivan E.
*path	WWW	✗	2015-10	Edgard M.
AskNow	ESWC	✓	2016-03	Mohnish D.
*path	SMT	writing	2016-05	Edgard M.
Defacto	COLING	writing	2016-07	Diego E.
HPSS(?)	?	writing	2016-??	André V.

2015–now Other Work

- Supervisor for Software Engineering Student Internship "Interactive Budget Calculator for the City of Leipzig"
- Creation of QALD 6 Task 3 Benchmark (QA on RDF Data Cubes)
- GeoKnow service administration (Website, Wiki, Blog)
- GeoKnow documentation and Handbook
- GeoKnow chapter for "The Semantic Web in Earth and Space Science"
- Reviews for KESW, SWJ, . . .
- aksw.org Website Data Officer MOLE

2015–now

What went well

- ▸ QA Survey (thanks for all the help!)
- ▸ Coauthorships
- ▸ Other Work

What didn't

- ▸ publication of CubeQA long version

Thanks & Outlook

- ► thanks to Jens, other coauthors and other people who give help and advice

Outlook

1. coauthor papers with Edgard, Diego E. and André(?) until reviews are in, then rebuttal
2. write 4th core paper to have enough thesis material
3. write thesis, defend
4. ?

Unrelated Proposal: Virtual Paper Review

Workflow at the moment: finish papers at last moment and only review among coauthors.

Problem

- In case of emergencies no paper
- Authors and coauthors know what the paper is about and thus cannot judge understandability.

Unrelated Proposal: Virtual Paper Review

Workflow at the moment: finish papers at last moment and only review among coauthors.

Solution

- ▸ Virtual deadline one week before real one
- ▸ Coworkers who are not coauthors review it
- ▸ Submitted paper is then already revised