

# Abstract Algebra I

## Homework 6

Due: 12th November 2025

B13902024 張沂魁

We begin this exercise sheet with a definition that really should have been introduced alongside the notion of a centralizer. Let  $G$  be a group, and  $X$  be the set containing all the subgroups of  $G$ . If  $G$  acts by conjugation on  $X$ , then the subgroup of  $G$  fixing  $H \in X$  is called the *normalizer of  $H$* , and it is denoted by

$$N_G(H) = \{g \in G : g^{-1}Hg = H\}.$$

Obviously  $H$  is normal in  $G$  if and only if  $N_G(H) = G$ .

**Exercise 1** Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $H$  a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , i.e.,  $|H| = p^m$  for some  $m \geq 1$ .

- (a) Let  $H$  act on a finite set  $S$  and let  $S_0$  denote the subset of  $S$  consisting of elements fixed by all  $h \in H$ , i.e.,  $h \cdot x = x$ . Show that  $|S| \equiv |S_0| \pmod{p}$ .
- (b) Prove that  $[N_G(H) : H] \equiv [G : H] \pmod{p}$ .
- (c) If  $p \mid [G : H]$ , prove that  $N_G(H) \neq H$ .

**Solution:**

- (a) Since we have

$$S_0 = \{x \in S \mid h \cdot x = x \quad \forall h \in H\},$$

so we have  $x \in S_0$  iff  $O(x) = \{x\}$ . Hence, we can partition  $S$  into distinct orbits, i.e.

$$S = S_0 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n O_i,$$

where  $|O_i| \geq 2$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and by orbit-stabilizer theorem we know

$$2 \leq |O_i| \mid |H| = p^m \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

so  $p \mid |O_i|$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and since

$$|S| = |S_0| + \sum_{i=1}^n |O_i|,$$

so we know  $|S| \equiv |S_0| \pmod{p}$ .

- (b) If we let  $S = \{gH : g \in G\}$ , then  $|S| = |G/H|$ . Now we can define a group action of  $H$  on  $S$  by

$$h \cdot (gH) = (hg)H,$$

then we can similarly define

$$S_0 = \{x \in S : h \cdot x = x \quad \forall h \in H\} = \{gH \in S : (hg)H = gH \quad \forall h \in H\}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} gH \in S_0 &\iff hgH = gH \quad \forall h \in H \iff g^{-1}hgH = H \quad \forall h \in H \\ &\iff g^{-1}hg \in H \quad \forall h \in H \iff g^{-1}Hg \subseteq H \iff g^{-1}Hg = H. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the last step holds since  $H$  is finite and thus  $|g^{-1}Hg| = |H|$ . Now since  $g^{-1}Hg = H$  iff  $g \in N_G(H)$ , so  $gH \in S_0$  iff  $g \in N_G(H)$ . Hence,

$$S_0 = \{gH \in S \mid g \in N_G(H)\} = N_G(H)/H.$$

Now by (a) we know  $|S| \equiv |S_0| \pmod{p}$ , so we know

$$|G/H| \equiv |N_G(H)/H| \pmod{p} \iff [G : H] \equiv [N_G(H) : H] \pmod{p}.$$

- (c) If  $p \mid [G : H]$ , then  $[G : H] \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , which means  $[N_G(H) : H] \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  by (b). This means

$$p \mid \frac{|N_G(H)|}{|H|},$$

and since  $e \in N_G(H)$ , so  $|N_G(H)| > 0$ , and thus

$$|H| < |N_G(H)|,$$

which means  $N_G(H) \neq H$ .

**Exercise 2** If  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of a finite group  $G$ , then

$$N_G(N_G(P)) = N_G(P).$$

**Solution:** Note that

$$N_G(P) = \{g \in G : g^{-1}Pg = P\}, \quad N_G(N_G(P)) = \{g \in G \mid g^{-1}N_G(P)g = N_G(P)\}.$$

We can notice that  $P < N_G(P)$  and similarly  $N_G(P) < N_G(N_G(P))$ . Hence,  $N_G(P) \subseteq N_G(N_G(P))$ . Now we show that  $N_G(N_G(P)) \subseteq N_G(P)$ , and then we can conclude that  $N_G(N_G(P)) = N_G(P)$ . Suppose  $|P| = p^e$ , and if  $g \in N_G(N_G(P))$ , then  $g^{-1}N_G(P)g = N_G(P)$ , so we know  $g^{-1}Pg \subseteq N_G(P)$  since  $P < N_G(P)$ . Now since  $|g^{-1}Pg| = |P| = p^e$ , so  $P, g^{-1}Pg$  are both Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $N_G(P)$ . By Sylow's theorem, we know  $P$  and  $g^{-1}Pg$  are conjugating in  $N_G(P)$ , i.e.  $\exists h \in N_G(P)$  s.t.

$$g^{-1}Pg = h^{-1}Ph.$$

Hence, we have  $(hg^{-1})P(gh^{-1}) = P$ , so  $gh^{-1} \in N_G(P)$  by definition, and thus  $g \in N_G(P)$  since  $h \in N_G(P)$  and  $N_G(P)$  is a group. Hence, we showed that  $N_G(N_G(P)) \subseteq N_G(P)$ , and we're done.

**Exercise 3** Let  $p > q$  be distinct primes, and  $G$  a group of order  $p^n q$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Prove that  $G$  contains a unique normal subgroup of index  $q$ .

**Solution:** If  $Q \triangleleft G$  and  $[G : Q] = q$ , then we know

$$p^n q = |G| = q|Q|,$$

which gives  $|Q| = p^n$ , so  $Q$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Also, since  $Q \triangleleft G$ , so

$$g^{-1}Qg = Q \quad \forall g \in G.$$

Now if there is another  $Q' \triangleleft G$  and  $[G : Q'] = q$ , then we know  $Q'$  is also a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  and thus by Sylow's theorem we have

$$Q' = g_1^{-1}Qg_1$$

for some  $g_1 \in G$ , and since  $g_1^{-1}Qg_1 = Q$ , so  $Q^{-1} = Q$ , so such  $Q$  is unique.

Now we show the existence. Since  $q$  is prime, so by Cauchy's theorem, we know there exists  $g \in G$  s.t.  $\text{ord}(g) = q$ , so  $\langle g \rangle$  is a subgroup of  $G$  with order  $q$ .

**Exercise 4** Prove that if every Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of a finite group  $G$  is normal for every prime  $p$ , then  $G$  is the direct product of its Sylow  $p$ -subgroups.

**Solution:** Now suppose  $|G| = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{a_i}$  where  $p_i$  is a prime for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $p_i \neq p_j$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Now let  $P_i$  be a Sylow  $p_i$ -subgroup of  $G$ , then the problem conditions give  $P_i \triangleleft G$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Now we claim that for  $i \neq j$ ,  $P_i \cap P_j = \{e\}$ . First note that  $P_i \cap P_j < P_i$  and  $P_i \cap P_j < P_j$ , so by Lagrange's theorem, we know

$$|P_i \cap P_j| \mid p_i^{a_i}, \quad |P_i \cap P_j| \mid p_j^{a_j},$$

and since  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  are distinct prime, so we know  $P_i \cap P_j = \{e\}$ . Thus, the claim is true. Now note that we have

$$\begin{cases} P_i, P_j \triangleleft G \\ P_i \cap P_j = \{e\} \end{cases}, \quad \forall i \neq j,$$

so we know  $P_i P_j \simeq P_i \times P_j$  and  $P_i$  and  $P_j$  commute, which has been proved during lecture. Now we claim that  $S_v = P_1 P_2 \dots P_v$  is a subgroup of  $G$  for all  $1 \leq v \leq k$ . Fix some  $v$  with  $1 \leq v \leq k$ . If  $a, b \in S_v$ , we suppose  $a = c_1 c_2 \dots c_v$  and  $b = c'_1 c'_2 \dots c'_v$  where  $c_i, c'_i \in P_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq v$ . Thus we know

$$ab = c_1 c'_1 c_2 c'_2 \dots c_v c'_v \in S_v$$

since  $P_i$  and  $P_j$  commute for distinct  $i, j$ . Besides, if  $a = c_1 c_2 \dots c_v \in S_v$  with  $c_i \in P_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq v$ , then

$$a^{-1} = c_v^{-1} c_{v-1}^{-1} \dots c_1^{-1} = c_1^{-1} c_2^{-1} \dots c_v^{-1} \in S_v,$$

so we're done. Since this proof is true for all  $1 \leq v \leq k$ , so we're done. Now since for  $H, K < G$ , we have

$$|HK| = \frac{|H||K|}{|H \cap K|},$$

so it suffices to show that  $|S_v| = |P_1||P_2| \dots |P_v|$  for all  $1 \leq v \leq k$ . We prove it by induction.

- Base case:  $|S_1| = |P_1|$  is trivial.
- Now suppose  $|S_{v-1}| = |P_1| \dots |P_{v-1}|$  for some  $v > 1$ , then we know

$$|S_v| = |S_{v-1}P_v| = \frac{|S_{v-1}||P_v|}{|S_{v-1} \cap P_v|} = \frac{|P_1| \dots |P_v|}{|S_{v-1} \cap P_v|}.$$

Now we show that  $|S_{v-1} \cap P_v| = 1$ . Since  $S_{v-1} \cap P_v < S_{v-1}$  and  $S_{v-1} \cap P_v < P_v$ , so

$$|S_{v-1} \cap P_v| \mid \gcd(|S_{v-1}|, |P_v|) = 1,$$

which means  $|S_{v-1} \cap P_v| = 1$ . Hence, we know

$$|S_v| = |P_1||P_2| \dots |P_v|,$$

and we're done.

Hence, pick  $v = k$ , we have

$$|S_k| = |P_1| \dots |P_k| = |G|,$$

and since  $S_k \subseteq G$ , so we know  $S_k = G$ , which means  $G = P_1P_2 \dots P_k$ . Now we define a map

$$\varphi : G \rightarrow P_1 \times P_2 \times \dots \times P_k, \quad \varphi(g) = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k), \text{ where } g = c_1c_2 \dots c_k \text{ with } c_i \in P_i.$$

We first show that this map is well-defined. Since  $|G| = |P_1 \dots P_k|$ , so we know if  $g \in G$  has

$$g = c_1c_2 \dots c_k = c'_1c'_2 \dots c'_k$$

with  $c_i, c'_i \in P_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , then  $c_i = c'_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Hence,  $\varphi$  is well-defined. Now we show  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism. Since

$$|G| = |P_1 \times P_2 \times \dots \times P_k|,$$

and  $\varphi$  is surjective (for  $d = (c_1, \dots, c_k) \in P_1 \times P_2 \times \dots \times P_k$ , so  $\varphi(c_1c_2 \dots c_k) = d$ ). Hence,  $\varphi$  is injective. Now we show that it is an homomorphism: If  $g = c_1 \dots c_k$  and  $h = c'_1 \dots c'_k$  with  $c_i, c'_i \in P_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(gh) &= \varphi(c_1 \dots c_k c'_1 \dots c'_k) = \varphi(c_1 c'_1 c_2 c'_2 \dots c_k c'_k) = (c_1 c'_1, c_2 c'_2, \dots, c_k c'_k) \\ &= (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k) \cdot (c'_1, c'_2, \dots, c'_k) = \varphi(g)\varphi(h), \end{aligned}$$

so we're done. Hence, we know

$$G \simeq P_1 \times P_2 \times \dots \times P_k.$$

**Exercise 5** Prove that groups of order 30 and 105 are not simple.

**Solution:**

- Suppose  $G$  is a group of size 30, then since  $30 = 2 \times 3 \times 5$ , so if  $n_2, n_3, n_5$  are the number of Sylow 2, 3, 5-subgroups, respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned}n_2 &\equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\n_3 &\equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\n_5 &\equiv 1 \pmod{5},\end{aligned}$$

and since if we define a group action by conjugacy, then by orbit-stabilizer theorem we know

$$n_2 \mid 30, \quad n_3 \mid 30, \quad n_5 \mid 30,$$

and thus  $n_2 = 1, 3, 5, 15$  and  $n_3 = 1, 10$  and  $n_5 = 1, 6$ . If either  $n_2, n_3, n_5 = 1$ , then the Sylow 2, 3, 5-subgroup is unique and by Sylow's theorem we know this group is normal in  $G$ , and thus  $G$  is not simple. Now suppose  $n_2, n_3, n_5 > 1$ . Note that for distinct Sylow 3-subgroup of  $G$ , say  $P_1, P_2$ , we claim that  $P_1 \cap P_2 = \{1\}$ . If  $x \neq e$  and  $x \in P_1 \cap P_2$ , then since  $\text{ord}(x) > 1$  and  $\langle x \rangle$  is a subgroup of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , so  $\text{ord}(x) = 3$ , and since  $\langle x \rangle \subseteq P_1 \cap P_2$ , so  $P_1 \cap P_2 = P_1$  and  $P_1 \cap P_2 = P_2$  ( $|P_1| = |P_2| = 3 = |\langle x \rangle| = |P_1 \cap P_2|$ ). This means  $P_1 = P_2$ , which is a contradiction. Hence,  $P_1 \cap P_2 = \{1\}$ . Similar argument can be used on Sylow 5-subgroup. Hence,  $G$  has  $10(3 - 1)$  elements of order 3 and  $6(5 - 1)$  elements of order 5, which means  $G$  has at least

$$10(3 - 1) + 6(5 - 1) = 20 + 24 = 44 > 30$$

elements, which is impossible, so  $G$  is not simple.

- Since  $105 = 3 \times 5 \times 7$ , so identical arguments of the case of group of size 30 can be stated here, which shows groups of size 105 is not simple.