# Introduction to Analysis I

Kon Yi

October 1, 2025

#### Abstract

The lecture note of 2025 Fall Introduction to Analysis I by professor 崔茂培. In this note, we will write  $(X^{(n)})_{n=m}^{\infty}$  and  $\{X^{(n)}\}_{n=m}^{\infty}$  to express a sequence, they are identical, but 崔茂培 use both during lectures, so I follow him.

# Contents

1	Basic Things		
	1.1	Natural Numbers	2
	1.2	Integers	2
	1.3	Field	2
	1.4	Order Relation	3
	1.5	Absolute Value and Triangle Inequality	4
	1.6	Supremum and Infimum	4
	1.7	Density of other number system	6
	1.8	Extended real number system	8
	1.9	Mathematical Induction	8
2	Metric Space		
	2.1	Definition and examples	9
	2.2	Some point set topology of metric space	15
	2.3	Relative topology	24
	2.4	Cauchy sequence and complete metric space	26
	2.5	Compact metric space	28
3	Continuous functions on metric spaces		
	3.1	Continuity and Product Spaces	38
	3.2	Continuity and Compactness	
$\mathbf{A}$	Son	ne Extra proof	43
В	ТА	Class	45

### Chapter 1

# **Basic Things**

#### Lecture 1

#### 1.1 Natural Numbers

2 Sep. 09:10

The set of natural numbers is denoted by  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ . There exists an addition operation

$$1+1=2 \quad 1+1+1=3 \quad \underbrace{1+1+\cdots+1}_{n \text{ times}}=n.$$

#### 1.2 Integers

The set of integers is  $\mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ . There is a zero element 0 such that z + 0 = z for any  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Also, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have n + (-n) = 0 and n - m = n + (-m) for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow[\text{introduce division}]{} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow[\text{Completeness axiom}]{} \mathbb{R}$$

#### 1.3 Field

Next, we introduce the concept of field.

**Definition 1.3.1** (Fields). A field is a set F together with two binary operations, called addition(+) and multiplication(\*), such that the following properties hold:

- (a)  $a+b=b+a, a\cdot b=b\cdot a$  for  $a,b\in F$ .
- (b)  $(a+b)+c=a+(b+c), (a\cdot b)\cdot c=a\cdot (b\cdot c)$  for  $a,b,c\in F$ .
- (c)  $a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ .
- (d) There are distince element 0 and 1 such that a + 0 = a,  $a \cdot 1 = a$  for  $a \in F$ .
- (e) For each  $a \in F$ , there exists  $-a \in F$  such that a + (-a) = 0. If  $a \neq 0$ , there is an element  $\frac{1}{a}$  or  $a^{-1}$  in F such that  $a \cdot \frac{1}{a} = 1$ , or  $a \cdot a^{-1} = 1$ .

**Remark 1.3.1.** If  $a \in F$ , then  $a + a \in F$ . We denote a + a by  $2 \cdot a$ . Similarly,

$$\underbrace{a + a + \dots + a}_{n \text{ times}} = n \cdot a,$$

and

$$a^n = \underbrace{a \cdot a \cdot \cdots \cdot a}_{n \text{ times}}$$

if  $a \in F$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Remark 1.3.2.** In a field, we have subtraction and division a-b=a+(-b) for  $a,b\in F$ . If  $b\neq 0$ , then  $\frac{a}{b}=a\cdot b^{-1}$  for  $a,b\in F$ .

In a field F, we have

$$(a+b)^{2} = (a+b) \cdot (a+b)$$

$$= (a+b) \cdot a + (a+b) \cdot b$$

$$= a \cdot a + b \cdot a + a \cdot b + b \cdot b$$

$$= a^{2} + ab + ab + b^{2}$$

$$= a^{2} + 2ab + b^{2}.$$

#### **Example 1.3.1.**

$$\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ad + bc}{bd}$$

if  $b \neq 0$  and  $d \neq 0$ .

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} &= a \cdot b^{-1} + c \cdot d^{-1} \\ &= ab^{-1}dd^{-1} + cd^{-1}bb^{-1} \\ &= adb^{-1}d^{-1} + cbd^{-1}b^{-1} \\ &= \frac{ad + bc}{bd}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that this is true since we have commutativity in multiplication and

$$d^{-1}b^{-1} = (bd)^{-1} = \frac{1}{bd}.$$

**Example 1.3.2.** The set of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q} = \left\{ \frac{p}{q} \mid p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, q \neq 0 \right\}$  is a field.

**Example 1.3.3.** The set of real numbers is also a field.

**Example 1.3.4.**  $F_2 = \{0, 1\}$  is also a field since we can define addition and multiplication like 0 + 0 = 0, 0 + 1 = 1, 1 + 1 = 0, and  $0 \cdot 0 = 0, 1 \cdot 0 = 0, 1 \cdot 1 = 1$ .

#### 1.4 Order Relation

Next, we introduce the order relation. The real number system is ordered by the relation <, which has the following properties.

- (f) For each pair of real numbers a and b, exactly one of the following is true: a = b, a < b, b < a.
- (g) If a < b and b < c, then a < c.
- (h) If a < b, then a + c < b + c for any c, and if 0 < c, then  $a \cdot c < b \cdot c$ .

**Definition 1.4.1.** A field with an order relation satisfy (f) to (h) is called an ordered field.

**Example 1.4.1.** The set of rational numbers is an ordered field.

**Example 1.4.2.**  $F_2$  is not an ordered field.

**Proof.** If 0 < 1, then 1 = 0 + 1 < 1 + 1 = 0, which is a contradiction. If 1 < 0, then 0 = 1 + 1 < 0 + 1 = 1, which is also a contradiction.

**Notation.** In an ordered field, we use  $a \leq b$  to denote either a < b or a = b.

#### 1.5 Absolute Value and Triangle Inequality

Next, we define the absolute value of a real number

$$|a| = \begin{cases} a, & \text{if } a \ge 0; \\ -a, & \text{if } a \le 0; \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 1.5.1** (Triangle Inequality).

$$|a+b| \le |a| + |b|$$

for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

#### Corollary 1.5.1.

$$||a| - |b|| \le |a - b|$$
 and  $||a| - |b|| \le |a + b|$ 

**Proof.** We write

$$|a| = |a - b + b| < |a - b| + |b|.$$

Similarly we have

$$|b| \le |b - a| + |a|.$$

So

$$-|b-a| \le |a| - |b| \le |a-b|.$$

Thus,

$$||a| - |b|| \le |a - b|.$$

#### 1.6 Supremum and Infimum

Next, we introduce the notion of supremum of a subset of real numbers.

**Definition 1.6.1.** Let S be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

- (1) we say b is an upper bound of S if  $x \leq b$  for all  $x \in S$ .
- (2) If B is an upper bound of S, and no number smaller than B is an upper bound of S, then B is called the supremum or the least upper bound of S. We write  $B = \sup S$ .

Corollary 1.6.1. If  $B = \sup S$ , then

(1)  $x \in S$  implies  $x \leq B$ 

(2) If b < B, then b is not an upper bound of S, i.e. there exists  $x_1 \in S$  such that  $b < x_1$ .

**Definition 1.6.2.** Let S be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

- (1) we say b is an lower bound of S if  $x \ge b$  for all  $x \in S$ .
- (2) If  $\alpha$  is an lower bound of S, and no number bigger than  $\alpha$  is an lower bound of S, then  $\alpha$  is called the infimum or the greatest lower bound of S. We write  $\alpha = \inf S$ .

Corollary 1.6.2. If  $\alpha = \inf S$ , then

- (1)  $x \in S$  implies  $x \ge \alpha$
- (2) If  $\alpha < a$ , then a is not an lower bound of S, i.e. there exists  $x_1 \in S$  such that  $x_1 < a$ .

Notation (Interval Notation).

$$(a,b) = \{x \mid a < x < b\}$$
  

$$(a,b] = \{x \mid a < x \le b\}$$
  

$$[a,b) = \{x \mid a \le x < b\}$$

**Example 1.6.1.**  $S = \{x \mid x < 0\} = (-\infty, 0)$ , then  $\sup S = 0$  but  $\inf S$  does not exists.

**Example 1.6.2.**  $S_1 = \{-1, -2, -3, -4, \dots\} = \{-n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \text{ then } \sup S = -1, \text{ but } \inf S \text{ does not exist.}$ 

**Definition 1.6.3** (Nonempty Sets). A nonempty set is that a set has at least one element. The empty set, written as  $\emptyset$ , is the set has no elements at all.

**Example 1.6.3.**  $S = \{x \mid x \in \mathbb{Q}, x < \sqrt{2}\}$ 

In  $\mathbb{Q}$ , sup S does not exist. In  $\mathbb{R}$ , sup  $S = \sqrt{2}$ .

**Theorem 1.6.1** (Completeness axiom). If a nonempty set of real numbers (an ordered field) is bounded above, then it has a least upper bound or  $\sup S$  exists.

Remark 1.6.1. This is an extra axiom that can't be derived from the properties of ordered field.

**Remark 1.6.2.** Up to "isomorphism", there is exactly one complete ordered field: the field of real numbers.

**Remark 1.6.3.** From now, we assume  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfies the completeness axiom. Thus, any nonempty subset  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  that is bounded above, we have  $\sup S$  exists.

We can prove the following property of  $\sup S$ .

**Theorem 1.6.2.** If  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is bounded above, then  $\sup S$  is the unique real number B such that

- (i)  $x \leq B$  for all  $x \in S$
- (ii) for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist an  $x_0 \in S$  such that  $B \varepsilon < x_0$ .

**Proof.** (i), (ii) follows from the definition. We prove the uniqueness. Suppose  $B_1 = \sup S = B_2$ . We want to show  $B_1 = B_2$ . Suppose  $B_1 \neq B_2$ . Then either  $B_1 < B_2$  or  $B_2 < B_1$ . However, if either one is true, then the other one cannot be  $\sup S$ .

**Theorem 1.6.3** (Archimedean Property). If p > 0 and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $p < n\varepsilon$ .

**Proof.** We prove this contradiction. Suppose it is not true. This implies  $n\varepsilon \leq p$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider  $S = \{n\varepsilon \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , then p is an upper bound of S, so S is bounded above by p, so we know  $B = \sup S$  exists. Hence,  $n\varepsilon \leq B$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so we have  $(n+1)\varepsilon \leq B$ , which means

$$n\varepsilon \leq B - \varepsilon$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies  $B - \varepsilon$  is also an upper bound of S, which is a contradiction.

#### 1.7 Density of other number system

**Theorem 1.7.1.** Every nonempty subset of the integers that is bounded below has a least element.

**Proof.** We first introduce an axiom:

**Theorem 1.7.2** (Well-Ordering principle). Every non-empty subset of the natural numbers has a least element.

**Note 1.7.1.** Here,  $\mathbb{N}$  can be  $\{0,1,2,\ldots\}$  or  $\{1,2,3,\ldots\}$ , which is not that important.

Now we call this subset of integers as S, and suppose we have m as a lower bound of S, then define  $S' = \{s - m \mid s \in S\}$ , then we know S' is a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ , then by well-ordering principle we know there is a least element in S' and thus there is also a least element in S.

**Corollary 1.7.1.** Every nonempty subset of the integers that is bounded above has a greatest element.

**Proof.** Suppose M is an upper bound, then define a set  $S' = \{M - s \mid s \in S\}$ , then by well-ordering principle we know M - a is the least element of S' for some  $a \in S$ , so we have  $M - x \ge M - a$  for all  $x \in S$ , which means  $a \ge x$  for all  $x \in S$  and since  $a \in S$ , so a is the greatest element of S.

**Theorem 1.7.3.** The set of rational numbers is dense in the real number. That is, if a and b are real numbers with a < b, then there exists a rational number  $\frac{p}{a}$  such that  $a < \frac{p}{a} < b$ .

**Proof.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , a < b. By Archimedean Property,  $\exists q \in \mathbb{N}$  such that q(b-a) > 1. Let  $S = \{m \mid m \text{ is an integer with } m > qa\}$ , since we know  $S \neq \emptyset$  and S is bounded below. Hence,  $p = \inf S$  exists and is an integer by the last theorem. So qa < p and  $p-1 \leq qa$ , which means  $qa , so we have <math>a < \frac{p}{q} < b$ .

#### Lecture 2

**Definition 1.7.1** (Floor Function). For any real number x, the floor function of x is denoted by  $\lfloor x \rfloor$ , and is defined by the formula  $\lfloor n \rfloor$  if  $n \leq x < n+1$  where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

4 Sep. 10:20

Corollary 1.7.2.

$$|x| \le x < |x| + 1.$$

#### **Example 1.7.1.** |3.7| = 3, |-1.2| = -2.

Now by floor function, we can reprove Theorem 1.7.3.

**Theorem 1.7.4** (Density of rational number in real number Again). The set of rational numbers is dense in the real number. That is, if a and b are real numbers with a < b, then there exists a rational number  $\frac{q}{p}$  such that  $a < \frac{q}{p} < b$ .

**Reprove Theorem 1.7.3.** Since a < b, so we know b - a > 0. Now by Archimedean Property, we know there exists  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  such that q(b-a) > 1. Let p = |qa| + 1, we have

$$|qa| \le qa < |qa| + 1 = p.$$

From our construction, qb > qa + 1, so we have

$$p = |qa| + 1 \le qa + 1 < qb,$$

hence we have

$$qa \le p \le qb$$
.

**Note 1.7.2.** For some reason, p, q in Theorem 1.7.3 and Theorem 1.7.4 are reversed.

**Definition 1.7.2** (irrational number). x is called irrational if x is not rational.

#### **Example 1.7.2.** $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

**Theorem 1.7.5.** Let  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ , then

- 1. r + x is irrational.
- 2. If  $r \neq 0$ , then rx is irrational.

sketch of proof.

- 1. If  $r + x = q \in \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $x = q r \in \mathbb{Q}$ , contradiction.
- 2. If  $rx = q \in \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $x = \frac{q}{r} \in \mathbb{Q}$  since  $r \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 1.7.6** (irrational number dense in real number). The set of irrational number is dense in real number. That is, if  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and a < b, then there exists a irrational number t such that a < t < b.

**Proof.** By density of rational number, we can find  $a < r_1 < r_2 < b$  where  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ , and then let  $t = r_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(r_2 - r_1)$ , then we know

$$a < r_1 < t < r_2 < b$$
.

**Note 1.7.3.** We should use Theorem 1.7.5 and the fact that  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.

**Definition 1.7.3** (bounded set). A set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is bounded if there are numbers a, b s.t.  $a \le x \le b$  for all  $x \in S$ .

**Corollary 1.7.3.** A bounded non-empty set in  $\mathbb{R}$  has a unique supremum and a unique infimum and inf  $S \leq \sup S$ .

#### 1.8 Extended real number system

The real number system, together with  $\infty$  and  $-\infty$ , then we have the following properties:

- (a) If  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $a + \infty = \infty + a = \infty$  and  $a \infty = -\infty + a = -\infty$ , and  $\frac{a}{\infty} = \frac{a}{-\infty} = 0$ .
- (b) If a > 0, then  $a \cdot \infty = \infty \cdot a = \infty$  and  $a \cdot (-\infty) = (-\infty) \cdot a = -\infty$
- (c) If a < 0, then  $a \cdot \infty = \infty \cdot a = -\infty$  and  $a \cdot -\infty = -\infty \cdot a = \infty$  and  $\infty + \infty = \infty \cdot \infty = (-\infty) \cdot (-\infty) = \infty$  and  $-\infty \infty = \infty \cdot (-\infty) = (-\infty) \cdot \infty = -\infty$  and  $|-\infty| = |\infty| = \infty$

However, there are some indeterminate form:

**Theorem 1.8.1.** The following things are not defined:

$$\infty - \infty$$
,  $0 \cdot \infty$ ,  $\frac{\infty}{\infty}$ , and  $\frac{0}{0}$ .

#### 1.9 Mathematical Induction

**Theorem 1.9.1** (Peano's Postulate). The natural numbers satisfy the following properties

- (a)  $\mathbb{N}$  is nonempty.
- (b) For each natural number n, there exists a unique rational number n called the successor of n.
- (c) There exists a natural number  $\overline{n}$  that is not the successor of any natural number.
- (d) Different natural numbers have different successors, that is,  $n \neq m$  implies  $n' \neq m'$ .
- (e) The only subset of  $\mathbb N$  that contains  $\overline n$  and also contains the successor of every one of its element is  $\mathbb N$

**Theorem 1.9.2** (Principle of Mathematical Induction). Let  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$  be propositions, one for each positive integers, such that

- (a)  $p_1$  is true.
- (b) for each positive integer n,  $p_n$  implies  $p_{n+1}$ .

then  $p_n$  is true for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $M = \{n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } p_n \text{ is true}\}$ , then from (a) we know  $1 \in M$  and from (b) we know  $n \in M$  implies  $n + 1 \in M$ . Hence, from (e) of Peano's Postulate, we know  $M = \mathbb{N}$ .

### Chapter 2

## Metric Space

#### 2.1 Definition and examples

**Definition 2.1.1.** Suppose  $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $n \geq m$ . We use the notation  $(x_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  to denote the sequence of numbers

$$x_m, x_{m+1}, \ldots$$

We first recall the definition of a convergent sequence.

**Definition 2.1.2** (Convergent Sequence). We say that a sequence  $(x_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  of real numbers converges to x if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N \ge m$  s.t.  $|x_n - x| \le \varepsilon$  for all  $n \ge N$ .

**Notation.** We write  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$ .

On  $\mathbb{R}$ , we can define the distance function between two points  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  by d(x, y) = |x - y|. We'll discuss this more later.

**Lemma 2.1.1.** Let  $(x_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of real numbers, and let x be another real number, then  $(x_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  converges to x if and only if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n,x)=0$ .

**Proof.** Assume  $(x_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  converges to x. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary real number. By definition, there exists an  $N \ge m$  such that  $|x_n - x| \le \varepsilon$  for all  $n \ge N$ . But  $d(x_n, x) = |x_n - x|$  by the definition. Hence,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists N \ge m$  such that  $d(x_n, x) \le \varepsilon$  fpr all  $n \ge N$ . This implies that  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists N \ge m$  such that  $|d(x_n, x) - 0| \le \varepsilon$  for all  $n \ge N$ . This implies  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$ .

The proof of the other side is the same but writing the above proof from bottom to top again.

**Definition 2.1.3** (Metric Space). A metric space (X, d) is the space of X of objects(called points), together with a distance function or metric  $d: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  which associates to each x, y of points in X a nonnegative number  $d(x, y) \ge 0$ , the following. Furthermore, the metric must satisfy 4 axioms.

- (a) For any  $x \in X$ , d(x, x) = 0.
- (b) (Positivity) For any distinct  $x, y \in X$ , we have d(x, y) > 0.
- (c) (Symmetry) For any  $x, y \in X$ , we have d(x, y) = d(y, x).
- (d) (Triangle inequality) For any  $x, y, z \in X$ , we have  $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ .

**Example 2.1.1.** On  $\mathbb{R}$ , we can define d(x,y) = |x-y|.

**Proof.** •  $d(x,y) = |x - y| \ge 0$ .

- d(x,y) = 0 iff |x y| = 0 iff x = y.
- |x y| = |y x|, so d(x, y) = d(y, x)
- $|x-z| \le |x-y| + |y-z|$  for all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ .

\*

**Example 2.1.2.** Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $Y \subseteq X$ , then Y inherits a natural distance function

$$d|_{Y\times Y}:Y\times Y\to [0,\infty)$$

defined by  $d|_{Y\times Y}(\alpha,\beta)=d(\alpha,\beta)$  for all  $\alpha,\beta\in Y$ .

**Note 2.1.1.**  $(Y, d|_{Y \times Y})$  is called a metric subspace of (X, d). It is obvious that  $d|_{Y \times Y}$  is a metric on Y.

Recall  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), y = (y_1, y_2, ..., y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Definition 2.1.4** ( $l^2$ -metric). The  $l^2$ -metric is defined by

$$d_2(x,y) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_n - y_n)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 ( or we called  $d_{l_2}(x,y)$ ).

**Definition 2.1.5** ( $l^1$ -metric(taxicab metric)). The  $l^1$ -metric is defined by

$$d_1(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i - y_i|$$
(or we called  $d_{l_1}(x,y)$ )

**Definition 2.1.6** ( $l^{\infty}$ -metric ). The  $l^{\infty}$ -metric is defined by

$$d_{\infty}(x,y) = \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i - y_i|$$

**Exercise 2.1.1.** Verify they are all metrics.

**Note 2.1.2.** Actually we have to define inner product and norm first and then we can use the triangle inequality of norm to prove  $d_2$  is a metric. (See lecture notes by professor)

#### Lecture 3

**Definition 2.1.7** (Cartesian Product). Let A, B be sets. The cartesian product of A and B is defined by

 $A \times B = \{(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\}.$ 

Similarly, the cartesian product of  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  is

$$X_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i \in X_i \ \forall 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

**Definition 2.1.8** (Functions). Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  be sets and let Y be another set. A fuction of n variables with codomains is a map  $f: X_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n \to Y$  which assigns each n-tuple  $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$  with  $x_i \in X_i$  a unique element  $f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ .

9 Sep. 09:10

**Definition.** We talk about the definition of domain, codomain, and range:

**Definition 2.1.9.** The domain of f is  $X_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n$  and Y is the codomain of f.

**Definition 2.1.10.** The range of f is

$$\{f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in Y \mid x_i \in X_i \ \forall i\}.$$

In the definition of metric space, we write (X, d) to emphasize our set X and d is a distance function defined on  $X \times X$ , i.e.

$$d: X \times X \to [0, \infty) \subseteq \mathbb{R},$$

where

$$d:(x,y)\mapsto d(x,y)$$

for  $x, y \in X$ . Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $Y \subseteq X$ . Then  $(Y, d|_{Y \times Y})$  is also a metric space with distance function defined by

$$d|_{Y\times Y}\to [0,\infty)$$

and

$$d|_{Y\times Y}:(\alpha,\beta)\mapsto d(\alpha,\beta)$$
 for  $\alpha,\beta\in Y$ .

**Example 2.1.3.** Recall the Taxi-cab metric, it can be used in cryptography. For example, for two binary strings, we know

 $d_1((10010), (10101)) = 3$  = the number of mismatched bits.

**Example 2.1.4.** Recall the  $l^{\infty}$ -metric. Suppose two jobs where each consists of 3 tasks, and the time (in hours) to complete each task is represented by a vector

$$x = (2, 4, 6), y = (3, 7, 5),$$

so

$$d_{\infty}(x,y) = \max\{|2-3|, |4-7|, |6-5|\} = 3.$$

**Definition 2.1.11** (Lipschitz equivalent metrics). Let  $(X, d_1)$  and  $(X, d_2)$  be two metrics on X. We say  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are Lipschitz equivalent if  $\exists c_1, c_2 > 0$  s.t.

$$c_1 d_1(x, y) \le d_2(x, y) \le c_2 d_1(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X$$

Remark 2.1.1. They will have same topology (defined later).

**Proposition 2.1.1.** For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$d_2(x,y) \le d_1(x,y) \le \sqrt{n}d_2(x,y)$$
 (2.1)

$$d_{\infty}(x,y) \le d_2(x,y) \le \sqrt{n} d_{\infty}(x,y) \tag{2.2}$$

Remark 2.1.2.

$$d_{\infty}(x,y) \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} d_2(x,y)$$
  
  $\ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} d_1(x,y) = \frac{1}{n} d_1(x,y).$ 

Also,

$$d_{\infty}(x,y) \le d_2(x,y) \le d_1(x,y).$$

**Remark 2.1.3.**  $d_1, d_2, d_{\infty}$  are all Lipschitz equivalent.

proof of Proposition 2.1.1. Recall  $x=(x_1,\ldots,x_n),y=(y_1,\ldots,y_n),$  then

$$d_1(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|, \quad d_2(x,y) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_i)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By Cauchy-Schurwatz inequality,

$$d_1(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n 1^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{n}d_2(x,y).$$

Now we show that  $d_1(x,y) \ge d_2(x,y)$ .

$$(d_1(x,y))^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|\right)^2$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|^2 + 2\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} |x_i - y_i||x_j - y_j|$$

$$\ge \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|^2 = d_2(x,y)^2.$$

Hence, we have  $d_1(x,y) \ge d_2(x,y)$ .

Now we show that  $d_2(x,y) \leq \sqrt{n}d_{\infty}(x,y)$ . Note that

$$d_2(x,y) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad d_{\infty}(x,y) = \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i - y_i|.$$

For each i, we know

$$|x_i - y_i| \le d_{\infty}(x, y),$$

so

$$d_2(x,y)^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^n d_\infty(x,y)^2 = nd_\infty(x,y)^2,$$

so  $d_2(x,y) \leq \sqrt{nd_{\infty}(x,y)}$ .

**Definition 2.1.12** (Discrete metric). Let X be any set, define the discrete metric:

$$d_{\mathrm{disc}}: X \times X \to \{0, 1\}$$

where

$$d_{\text{disc}}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = y; \\ 1, & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

Why this is a metric? Because

- $d_{\text{disc}}(x,y) \ge 0$  for all  $x,y \in X$  and d(x,y) = 0 if and only if x = y.
- $d_{\text{disc}}(x,y) = d_{\text{disc}}(y,x)$  by definition.
- $d_{\text{disc}}(x,z) \le d_{\text{disc}}(x,y) + d_{\text{disc}}(y,z)$ ?

proof of triangle inequality in discrete metric. We first consider the case that x=z, then

$$d_{\text{disc}}(x,z) = 0,$$

so it is obviously that the triangle inequality is true.

Now if  $x \neq z$ , then either  $y \neq z$  or  $y \neq x$  must happen, so the triangle inequality must be true.

#### **Example 2.1.5.** We can define

d(x, x) = 0, d(x, y) = minimal length of a path from x to y,

then this is also a metric.



Figure 2.1: Graph metrics

**Definition 2.1.13** (Convergence in metric space). Let m be an integer, (X,d) be a metric space, and let  $(X^{(n)})_{n=m}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of points in X. Let  $x \in X$ . We say that  $(X^{(n)})_{n=m}^{\infty}$  converges to x with respect to d iff

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d\left(X^{(n)}, x\right) = 0,$$

where  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d\left(X^{(n)},x\right)=0$  iff for every  $\varepsilon>0,\ \exists N\geq m$  s.t.  $d\left(X^{(n)},x\right)\leq\varepsilon$  for all  $n\geq N$ .

**Notation.** We also write  $\lim_{n\to\infty} X^{(n)} = x$  in (X,d).

**Remark 2.1.4.** Suppose  $(X^{(n)})_{n=m}^{\infty}$  converges to x in (X,d), then  $(X^{(n)})_{n=m_1}^{\infty}$  also converges to x in (X,d) if  $m_1 \ge m$ .

**Example 2.1.6.** Let  $(X^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  denote the sequence  $X^{(n)}=(\frac{1}{n},\frac{1}{n})$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , then what will this sequence converges to for different metric?

#### Proof.

• If the metric is  $d_1$ , then

$$d_1(X^{(n)}, (0,0)) = \left|\frac{1}{n} - 0\right| + \left|\frac{1}{n} - 0\right| = \frac{2}{n},$$

so

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d_1 \left( X^{(n)}, (0, 0) \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2}{n} = 0.$$

• If the metric is  $d_2$ , then

$$d_2(X^{(d)}, (0,0)) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{n} - 0\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{n} - 0\right)^2} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{n}.$$

Hence, under  $l_2$ -metric  $\{X^{(n)}\}$  also converges to 0.

• If the metric is  $d_{\infty}$ , then

$$d_{\infty}\left(X^{(n)},(0,0)\right) = \max\left\{\left|\frac{1}{n}\right|,\left|\frac{1}{n}\right|\right\} = \frac{1}{n},$$

so it also converges to 0.

• If the metric is discrete metric, then however, it will not converges to (0,0) since

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d_{\mathrm{disc}}\left(X^{(n)}, (0, 0)\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d_{\mathrm{disc}}\left(\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right), (0, 0)\right) = 1.$$

(\*

**Definition.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a function with domain X and codomain Y. The range of  $f = \{f(x) \mid x \in X\} \subseteq Y$ .

**Definition 2.1.14** (injective). We say f is injective or one-to-one if for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ ,  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$  implies  $x_1 = x_2$ .

**Definition 2.1.15** (surjective). We say f is surjective or onto if for every  $y \in Y$ ,  $\exists x \in X$  s.t. f(x) = y.

**Definition 2.1.16** (bijective). We say f is bijective if f is injective and surjective.

Corollary 2.1.1. If f is bijective, then there exists  $f^{-1}: Y \to X$  defined by  $f^{-1}(y) = x$  if f(x) = y. We also have

$$f(f^{-1}(y)) = y \ \forall y \in Y$$
$$f^{-1}(f(x)) = x \ \forall x \in X.$$

**Example 2.1.7.**  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}=0$  in  $(\mathbb{R},d)$ , where d is the standard metric in  $\mathbb{R}$ , which is defined by

$$d(x,y) = |x - y|.$$

But in different metric,  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}$  may not be 0.

**Proof.** Define  $f:[0,1] \to [0,1]$  defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } 0 < x < 1; \\ 1, & \text{if } x = 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

f is bijective on [0,1] to [0,1]

Define another metric  $d^1$  on [0,1] by

$$d^{1}(x,y) = d(f(x), f(y)).$$

We want to show that  $d^1$  is also a metric on [0,1].

- $d^{1}(x,y) = d(f(x), f(y)) = |f(x) f(y)| \ge 0$
- $d^1(x,y) = 0$  iff f(x) = f(y) iff x = y since f is injective.
- The triangle inequality is trivially true since we can just use the triangle inequality in d.

In fact,  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}=1$  in  $\left([0,1],d^1\right)$  since

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}d^1\left(\frac{1}{n},1\right)=\lim_{n\to\infty}d\left(\frac{1}{n},0\right)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\left|\frac{1}{n}\right|=0.$$

\*

#### 2.2 Some point set topology of metric space

**Definition 2.2.1** (ball). Let (X, d) be a metric space. let  $x_0 \in X$  and r > 0. We define the ball  $B_{(X,d)}(x_0,r)$  in X, centered at  $x_0$  and with radius r in the metric d, to the set

$$B_{(X_0,d)}(X_0,Y) := \{x \in X \mid d(x_0,x) < r\}.$$

Sometimes, we write it as  $B_X(x_0, r)$  or  $B(x_0, r)$ .

Example 2.2.1. In  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$$B_{(\mathbb{R}^2,d_2)}((0,0),1) = \left\{ (x,y) \mid d_2((x,y),(0,0)) = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} < 1 \right\},$$

and

$$B_{(\mathbb{R}^2,d_1)}((0,0),1) = \{(x,y) \mid d_1((x,y),(0,0)) = |x| + |y| < 1\},$$

and

$$B_{(\mathbb{R}^2, d_{\infty})}((0, 0), 1) = \{(x, y) \mid d_{\infty}((x, y), (0, 0)) = \max\{|x|, |y|\} < 1\},\,$$

also we can consider the  $d_{\rm disc}$  case but I am too lazy to write it down.

**Notation.** Let  $E \subseteq X$ , we will write

$$X \setminus E := \{x \in X \mid x \notin E\}.$$

**Definition.** Let (X,d) be a metric space and  $E \subseteq X$ . For a point  $x_0 \in X$ ,

**Definition 2.2.2** (interior point).  $x_0$  is an interior point of E if  $\exists r > 0$  s.t.  $B(x_0, r) \subseteq E$ .

**Definition 2.2.3** (exterior point).  $x_0$  is an exterior point of E if  $\exists r > 0$  s.t.  $B(x_0, r) \subseteq X \setminus E$ .

**Definition 2.2.4** (boundary point).  $x_0$  is a boundary point of E if it is neither an interior point nor an exterior point of E.

**Proposition 2.2.1.**  $x_0$  is a boundary point of E iff for all r > 0,  $B(x_0, r) \cap E \neq \emptyset$  and  $B(x_0, r) \cap (X \setminus E) \neq \emptyset$ .

#### Lecture 4

**Theorem 2.2.1.** Let  $(X, d_1)$  and  $(X, d_2)$  be metrics on X, and suppose  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are Lipschitz equivalent, then for any sequence  $\{x^{(n)}\}_{n=m}^{\infty} \subseteq X$ , then for any  $x \in X$ 

11 Sep. 10:20

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x^{(n)} = x \text{ in } (X, d_1) \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} x^{(n)} = x \text{ in } (X, d_2).$$

**Proof.** Since  $d_1, d_2$  are Lipschitz equivalent, so there exists  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  s.t.

$$c_1d_1(x,y) \le d_2(x,y) \le c_2d_1(x,y).$$

 $(\Rightarrow)$  Given  $\frac{\varepsilon}{c_2} > 0$ , since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x^{(n)} = x$  in  $(X, d_1)$ , so there exists N s.t.  $N \ge m$  and

$$d_1(x^{(n)}, x) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{c_2} \text{ for } n \ge N.$$

This implies  $d_2(x^{(n)}, x) \le c_2 d_1(x^{(n)}, x) \le \varepsilon$  for  $n \ge N$ , which means

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x^{(n)} = x \text{ in } (X, d_2).$$

(⇐) Similar.

**Remark 2.2.1.** On  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the metrics  $d_1, d_2, d_\infty$  are Lipschitz equivalent, that is,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x^{(n)} = x \text{ in } (\mathbb{R}^n, d_1) \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} x^{(n)} = x \text{ in } (\mathbb{R}^n, d_2) \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} x^{(n)} = x \text{ in } (\mathbb{R}^n, d_\infty)$$

**Proposition 2.2.2.** Let  $(X, d_{\text{disc}})$  be a discrete metric space, and  $\{x^{(n)}\}_{n=m}^{\infty} \subseteq X$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x^{(n)} = x \text{ in } (X, d_{\text{disc}}) \Leftrightarrow \exists N \ge m \text{ s.t. } x^{(n)} = x \text{ for } n \ge N.$$

**Proof.**  $(\Leftarrow)$  Easy.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Given  $\frac{1}{2} > 0$ , there exists  $N \ge m$  s.t.  $d(x_n,x) < \frac{1}{2}$  for  $n \ge N$ , but  $d(x_n,x) < \frac{1}{2}$  implies  $d(x_n,x) = 0$ , which means  $x_n = x$  for all  $n \ge N$ .

**Definition.** We define the interior, exterior, and boundary point again.

**Definition 2.2.5.** The set of interior points is denoted by

$$Int(E) = \{x \in X \mid \exists r > 0 \text{ s.t. } B_X(x,r) \subseteq E\}.$$

**Definition 2.2.6.** The set of exterior points is denoted by

$$\operatorname{Ext}(E) = \{ x \in X \mid \exists r > 0 \text{ s.t. } B_X(x,r) \subseteq X \setminus E \}.$$

**Definition 2.2.7.** A point is a boundary points if it is neithe an interior point nor an exterior point, and we define

$$\partial E = \{ x \in X \mid x \notin \operatorname{Int}(E) \text{ and } x \notin \operatorname{Ext}(E) \}.$$

#### Remark 2.2.2.

1.

$$x_0 \notin \operatorname{Int}(E) \Leftrightarrow \forall r > 0, B_X(x_0, r) \cap (X \setminus E) \neq \emptyset.$$

2.

$$x_0 \notin \operatorname{Ext}(E) \Leftrightarrow \forall r > 0, B_X(x_0, r) \cap (E) \neq \emptyset.$$

- 3.  $Int(X \setminus E) = Ext(E)$ .
- 4.  $\partial E = \partial (X \setminus E)$  since

$$x_0 \in \partial E \Leftrightarrow x \notin \operatorname{Int}(E) \text{ and } \operatorname{Ext}(E) \Leftrightarrow x_0 \notin \operatorname{Int}(E) \text{ and } x_0 \notin \operatorname{Int}(X \setminus E).$$

Also,

$$x_0 \in \partial(X \setminus E) \Leftrightarrow x \notin \operatorname{Int}(X \setminus E) \text{ and } \operatorname{Ext}(X \setminus E) \Leftrightarrow x_0 \notin \operatorname{Int}(X \setminus E) \text{ and } x_0 \notin \operatorname{Int}(E).$$

Hence, acutually  $\partial E = \partial (X \setminus E)$ .

#### Proposition 2.2.3.

$$x_0 \in \partial E \Leftrightarrow \text{ For any } r > 0, B_X(x_0, r) \cap E \neq \emptyset \text{ and } B_X(x_0, r) \cap (X \setminus E) \neq \emptyset$$

#### **Example 2.2.2.** Let $(\mathbb{R}, d)$ be the usual metric on $\mathbb{R}$ , where

$$d(x,y) = |x - y|.$$

Then, we know in this space,

$$B_{\mathbb{R}}(x_0, r) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid d(x, x_0) < r \}$$

$$= \{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid |x - x_0| < r \}$$

$$= \{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid -r + x_0 < x < r + x_0 \}.$$

Hence, suppose E = [1, 2), then Int(E) = (1, 2) since we know  $B(x_0, r) = (x_0 - r, x_0 + r)$ , so for all  $x \in (1, 2)$ , we know there is an open ball  $B(x_0, r) \subseteq [1, 2)$  for some r > 0. Also, consider the endpoint 1, 2, we can verify that these two points are not interior points. Besides, consider the points not in [1, 2], it is trivial that they cannot be interior points.

#### **Example 2.2.3.** We consider $(X, d_{\text{disc}})$ . Let $E \subseteq X$ . If $x \in E$ , we know

$$B\left(x,\frac{1}{2}\right) = \left\{y \mid d(y,x) < \frac{1}{2}\right\} = \left\{x\right\} \subseteq E.$$

Hence,  $E \subseteq \text{Int}(E)$ . Besides, for all  $x \in \text{Int}(E)$ , we know there exists r > 0 s.t.  $B(x_0, r) \subseteq E$ , also we know  $x_0 \in B(x_0, r) \subseteq E$ , so  $x_0 \in E$ , and thus  $\text{Int}(E) \subseteq E$ . Hence, E = Int(E). Similarly,  $\text{Int}(X \setminus E) = X \setminus E$ . Suppose there is a  $x \in X$  s.t.  $x \in \partial E$ , then  $x \notin \text{Int}(E) = E$  and  $x \notin \text{Ext}(E) = \text{Int}(X \setminus E) = X \setminus E$ , so such  $x \in X$  does not exist.

**Definition 2.2.8** (Closure). Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let  $E \subseteq X$  and  $x_0 \in X$ . We say  $x_0$  is a adherent point of E if for every r > 0,  $B(x_0, r) \cap E \neq \emptyset$ . The set of adeherent points is called the closure of E, and denoted by  $\overline{E}$ .

#### Proposition 2.2.4 (TFAE).

(a)  $x_0$  is an adherent point of E.

- (b)  $x_0$  is either an interior point or a boundary point of E.
- (c)  $\exists$  a sequence  $\{X^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in E which converges to  $x_0$  in (X,d).

**proof from (a) to (b).** Suppose  $x_0 \in \overline{E}$ , then  $B(x_0, r) \cap E \neq \emptyset$  for all r > 0. If  $\exists s > 0$  s.t.  $B(x_0, s) \subseteq E$ , then  $x_0 \in \text{Int}(E)$ . If such s does not exists, then we know

$$B(x_0,r) \cap E \neq \emptyset$$
 and  $B(x_0,r) \cap (X \setminus E) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $r > 0$ ,

so we can use Proposition 2.2.1 to conclude that  $x_0$  must be a boundary point.

**proof from (b) to (c).** Since either  $x_0 \in \text{Int}(E)$  or  $x_0 \in \partial E$ . If  $x_0 \in \text{Int}(E)$ , then  $x_0 \in E$ , then we can choose  $X^{(n)} = x_0$  for all  $n \ge 1$ . If  $x_0 \in \partial E$ , then given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\exists x_n \in B\left(x_0, \frac{1}{n}\right) \cap E \ne \emptyset$ . Hence,  $x_n \in E$  and  $d(x_n, x_0) < \frac{1}{n}$ . Pick such  $x_n$  to form  $\left\{X^{(n)}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , then we know this sequence converges to  $x_0$ .

**proof from (c) to (a).** Suppose  $\{X^{(n)}\}\subseteq E$  s.t.  $\lim_{n\to\infty}d\left(X^{(n)},x_0\right)=0$ , then we want to show  $x_0\in\overline{E}$ . Given any r>0, choose  $N\geq 1$  s.t.

$$d\left(X^{(n)}, x_0\right) < r \text{ when } n \ge N.$$

This implies for  $n \ge N$ ,  $X^{(n)} \in E$  and  $X^{(n)} \in B(x_0, r)$ , so we know  $E \cap B(x_0, r) \ne \emptyset$  for all r > 0, which means  $x_0 \in \overline{E}$ .

**Remark 2.2.3.** The equation (a) and (b) implies  $\overline{E} = \text{Int}(E) \cup \partial E$ .

An alternative proof. Since we know  $X = \operatorname{Int}(E) \cup \operatorname{Ext}(E) \cup \partial E$  by Theorem 2.2.2, and  $\overline{E} \subseteq X$ , so

$$\overline{E} = \overline{E} \cap X = \overline{E} \cap (\operatorname{Int}(E) \cup \operatorname{ext}(E) \cup \partial E)$$
$$= (\overline{E} \cap \operatorname{Int}(E)) \cup (\overline{E} \cap \operatorname{Ext}(E)) \cup (\overline{E} \cap \partial E).$$

Also, notice that

$$\overline{E} \cap \operatorname{Int}(E) = \operatorname{Int}(E) \quad \overline{E} \cap \operatorname{Ext}(E) = \varnothing \quad \overline{E} \cap \partial E = \partial E,$$

so  $\overline{E} = \operatorname{Int}(E) \cup \partial E$ .

Corollary 2.2.1.  $\overline{E} = \operatorname{Int}(E) \cup \partial E$ .

**Theorem 2.2.2.** Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $E \subseteq X$ . Then,

$$X = \operatorname{Int}(E) \cup \operatorname{Ext}(E) \cup \partial E$$

**Remark 2.2.4.**  $\partial E$  could be empty. (See previous example.)

**Corollary 2.2.2.** Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $E \subseteq X$ . Then

$$\overline{E} = \operatorname{Int}(E) \cup \partial E = X \setminus \operatorname{Ext}(E).$$

#### Lemma 2.2.1. $\overline{E} = E \cup \partial E$

**Proof.** We first show that  $E \cup \partial E \subseteq \overline{E}$ . For every point  $x \in E$ , we know  $x \in B(x,r)$  for all r > 0, so  $B(x,r) \cap E \neq \emptyset$ . Also, by definition, we know  $\partial E \subseteq \overline{E}$ , so we're done.

Next, we show that  $\overline{E} \subseteq E \cup \partial E$ . For every  $x \in \overline{E}$ , if  $x \in E$ , then  $x \in E \cup \partial E$ . If not, since  $x \in \overline{E}$ , so  $B(x,r) \cap E \neq \emptyset$  for all r > 0. Also, since  $x \notin E$ , and  $x \in B(x,r)$ , so  $B(x,r) \cap (X \setminus E) \neq \emptyset$ ,

otherwise  $x \in B(x,r) \subseteq E$ , which is a contradiction. Now we know for every r > 0,  $B(x,r) \cap E \neq \emptyset$  and  $B(x,r) \cap (X \setminus E) \neq \emptyset$ , so  $x \in \partial E$ .

**Lemma 2.2.2** (Discarded). If  $x \in \text{Int}(E)$ , then  $x \in E$ . In other words,  $\text{Int}(E) \subseteq E$ .

**Proof.** If  $x \in \text{Int}(E)$ , then there exists r > 0 s.t.  $B(x,r) \subseteq E$ , and thus  $x \in B(x,r) \subseteq E$ , which means  $x \in E$ .

**Note 2.2.1.** I thought we need Lemma 2.2.2 to prove Theorem 2.2.3, but I found it needless. Nevertheless, I still want to keep it since I think it is useful in some elsewhere.

**Definition 2.2.9.** Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $E \subseteq X$ . We say E is closed if  $\partial E \subseteq E$ . We say E is open if it doesn't contain any boundary points i.e.  $\partial E \cap E = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 2.2.3.** E is closed if and only if  $\overline{E} = E$ .

Proof.

$$E \text{ is closed } \Rightarrow \partial E \subseteq E \Rightarrow \overline{E} = E \cup \partial E = E.$$
 
$$E = \overline{E} = E \cup \partial E \Rightarrow \partial E \subseteq E \Rightarrow E \text{ is closed.}$$

**Theorem 2.2.4.** E is open.  $\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Int}(E) = E$ .

**proof of** ( $\Rightarrow$ ). E is open means  $\partial E \cap E = \emptyset$ . Fix  $x \in E$ , since  $x \notin \partial E$ , so  $\exists r > 0$  s.t.  $B(x,r) \cap E = \emptyset$  or  $B(x,r) \cap (X \setminus E) = \emptyset$ . Since  $x \in E$  and  $x \in B(x,r)$ , so  $B(x,r) \cap (X \setminus E) = \emptyset$ , which means  $B(x,r) \subseteq E$ , so  $x \in \operatorname{Int}(E)$ . Now we know  $E \subseteq \operatorname{Int}(E)$ . Also, we know  $\operatorname{Int}(E) \subseteq E$  by Lemma 2.2.2. Hence,  $\operatorname{Int}(E) = E$ .

**proof of** ( $\Leftarrow$ ). If  $\operatorname{Int}(E) = E$ , then given any  $x \in E = \operatorname{Int}(E)$ , there exists r > 0 s.t.  $B(x,r) \subseteq E$ . Hence,  $B(x,r) \cap (X \setminus E) = \emptyset$ , so  $x \notin \partial E$ , and thus  $E \cap \partial E = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 2.2.5.** If  $E \subseteq X$ , then E is open  $\Leftrightarrow X \setminus E$  is closed.

**proof of**  $(\Rightarrow)$ . Since we can write  $X = \operatorname{Int}(E) \cup \operatorname{Ext}(E) \cup \partial E$ , and E is open, so

 $X \setminus E = (\operatorname{Int}(E) \cup \operatorname{Ext}(E) \cup \partial E) \setminus E = (\operatorname{Int}(E) \cup \operatorname{Ext}(E) \cup \partial E) \setminus \operatorname{Int}(E) = \operatorname{Ext}(E) \cup \partial E.$ 

by Theorem 2.2.4. Now we want to show that  $\partial(X \setminus E) \subseteq X \setminus E$ , and we know

$$X \setminus E = \operatorname{Ext}(E) \cup \partial E = \operatorname{Ext}(E) \cup \partial (X \setminus E)$$

since  $\partial E = \partial(X \setminus E)$ . Hence, we have  $\partial(X \setminus E) \subseteq X \setminus E$ .

**proof of**  $\Leftarrow$ . Suppose  $X \setminus E$  is closed, then  $\partial(X \setminus E) \subseteq X \setminus E$ , and since  $\partial E = \partial(X \setminus E)$ , so  $\partial E \subseteq X \setminus E$ , and thus  $\partial E \cap E = \emptyset$ , which means E is open.

#### Lecture 5

**Definition 2.2.10.** Let (X,d) be a metric space,  $E \subseteq X$  and  $x_0 \in E$ . We say  $x_0$  is an adherent point if for every r > 0,  $B(x_0,r) \cap E \neq \emptyset$ , and we denote  $\overline{E}$  to the set of all adherent points.

16 Sep. 10:20

**Remark 2.2.5.**  $E \subseteq \overline{E}$ , since given any  $x_0 \in E$  and r > 0,  $x_0 \in B(x_0, r)$ , so  $B(x_0, r) \cap E \neq \emptyset$ , and thus  $E \subseteq \overline{E}$ .

**Remark 2.2.6.**  $\partial E \subseteq \overline{E}$ . Given  $x_0 \in \partial E$ , we know for any r > 0,  $B(x_0, r) \cap E \neq \emptyset$ , so  $x_0 \in \overline{E}$ .

**Proposition 2.2.5.**  $x_0 \in \overline{E}$  if and only if there exists  $(X^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq E$  s.t.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} X^{(n)}$  exists and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} X^{(n)} = x_0$ .

**proof of** ( $\Rightarrow$ ). Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider  $B\left(x_0, \frac{1}{n}\right)$ . We know  $B\left(x_0, \frac{1}{n}\right) \cap E \neq \emptyset$ . Choose  $X^{(n)} \in B\left(x_0, \frac{1}{n}\right) \cap E$ , then  $d\left(x_0, X^{(n)}\right) < \frac{1}{n}$ , which means  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d\left(x_0, X^{(n)}\right) = 0$ . Hence, there exists  $(X^{(n)}) \subseteq E$  s.t.  $\lim_{n \to \infty} X^{(n)} = x_0$ .

**proof of** ( $\Leftarrow$ ). There exists N s.t.  $X^{(n)} \in B(x_0, r)$  when  $n \geq N$ . Given any r > 0, since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} X^{(n)} = x_0$ , so  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d\left(X^{(n)}, x_0\right) = 0$ . Hence, there exists N s.t.  $d\left(X^{(n)}, x_0\right) < r$  when  $n \geq N$ . Hence, when  $n \geq N$ , we have  $X^{(n)} \subseteq B(x_0, r)$ . Since we know  $X^{(n)} \in E$  for all n, so we know  $B(x_0, r) \cap E \neq \emptyset$ , so  $x_0 \in \overline{E}$ .

**Proposition 2.2.6.** Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $E \subseteq X$ , then

$$X = \operatorname{Int}(E) \cup \operatorname{Ext}(E) \cup \partial E$$
.

**Corollary 2.2.3.** Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $E \subseteq X$ . Then,

$$\overline{E} = \operatorname{Int}(E) \cup \partial E = X \setminus \operatorname{Ext}(E) = E \cup \partial E.$$

**Proof.** Since

$$\overline{E} = \overline{E} \cap X = \overline{E} \cap (\operatorname{Int}(E) \cup \operatorname{Ext}(E) \cup \partial E)$$
$$= (\overline{E} \cap \operatorname{Int}(E)) \cup (\overline{E} \cap \operatorname{Ext}(E)) \cup (\overline{E} \cap \partial E) = \operatorname{Int}(E) \cup \partial E.$$

Also,

$$X \setminus \operatorname{Ext}(E) = (\operatorname{Int}(E) \cup \operatorname{Ext}(E) \cup \partial E) \setminus \operatorname{Ext}(E) = \operatorname{Int}(E) \cup \partial E = \overline{E}.$$

Besides, we know  $\operatorname{Int}(E) \subseteq E \subseteq \overline{E}$ , so

$$\overline{E} = \operatorname{Int}(E) \cup \partial E \subseteq E \cup \partial E.$$

Also, by Remark 2.2.5 and Remark 2.2.6, we know  $E \cup \partial E \subseteq \overline{E}$ , so we know  $\overline{E} = E \cup \partial E$ .

**Definition 2.2.11.** Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $E \subseteq X$ . We say E is open iff  $\partial E \cap E \neq \emptyset$ . We say E is closed iff  $\partial E \subseteq E$ .

#### Proposition 2.2.7.

$$E$$
 is open  $\Leftrightarrow$  Int $(E) = E \Leftrightarrow X \setminus E$  is closed.

proof of E is open  $\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Int}(E) = E$ .

 $(\Rightarrow)$  Since E is open, so  $\partial E\cap E=\varnothing.$  Hence,

$$E = E \cap X = E \cap (\operatorname{Int}(E) \cup \operatorname{Ext}(E) \cup \partial E)$$
$$= (E \cap \operatorname{Int}(E)) \cup (E \cap \operatorname{Ext}(E)) \cup (E \cap \partial E) = \operatorname{Int}(E) \cup (E \cap \partial E) = \operatorname{Int}(E)$$

since  $E \cap \operatorname{Ext}(E) = \emptyset$  and we know  $\partial E \cap E = \emptyset$ .

 $(\Leftarrow)$  Since  $\operatorname{Int}(E) = E$ , and  $\operatorname{Int}(E) \cap \partial E = \emptyset$ , so  $E \cap \partial E = \emptyset$ , and thus E is open.

proof of E is open  $\Leftrightarrow X \setminus E$  is closed.

 $(\Rightarrow) X = \operatorname{Int}(E) \cup \operatorname{Ext}(E) \cup \partial E$ , so

$$X \setminus E = (\operatorname{Int}(E) \cup \operatorname{Ext}(E) \cup \partial E) \setminus \operatorname{Int}(E) = \operatorname{Ext}(E) \cup \partial E = \operatorname{Int}(X \setminus E) \cup \partial (X \setminus E).$$

Hence,  $\partial(X \setminus E) \subseteq X \setminus E$ , which means  $X \setminus E$  is closed.

 $(\Leftarrow)$   $X \setminus E$  is closed, then  $\partial(X \setminus E) \subseteq X \setminus E$ , but  $\partial E = \partial(X \setminus E)$ , so  $\partial E \subseteq X \setminus E$ , and thus  $\partial E \cap E = \varnothing$ .

**Remark 2.2.7.** If  $\partial E = \emptyset$ , then E is open and closed.

**Definition 2.2.12** (Clopen). If a set S is closed and open, then S is clopen.

**Remark 2.2.8.** Let (X,d) be a metric space, then  $\varnothing$  is clopen, and we can deduce that X is also clopen since X is the complement of  $\varnothing$  and we know S is open iff  $X \setminus S$  is closed.

**Remark 2.2.9.** In  $(\mathbb{R}, d)$ , where d is the standard metric, then the only clopen set is  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\emptyset$ .

**Remark 2.2.10.** Let  $(X, d_{\text{disc}})$  be the discrete metric space on X. Let E be any set, then E is open and closed. Given  $x_0 \in E$ , we know  $B_{\text{disc}}\left(x_0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \subseteq E$ , so  $x_0 \in \text{Int}(E)$ , which means E = Int(E), so E is open. Now since  $X \setminus E$  is also open, so E is closed. Thus, E is clopen.

#### **Proposition 2.2.8.** The following hold:

- (a) E is open iff E = Int(E).
- (b) E is closed iff every convergent sequence  $(X^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in E, then the limit  $\lim_{n\to\infty} X^{(n)} \in E$ .
- (c) Let r > 0, then
  - (i)

$$\overline{B}(x_0,r) = \{x \in X \mid d(x,x_0) \le r\}$$
 is closed.

(ii)

$$B(x_0, r) = \{x \in X \mid d(x, x_0) < r\}$$
 is open.

- (d) Any singleton  $\{x_0\}$  where  $x_0 \in X$  is closed.
- (e) E is open iff  $X \setminus E$  is closed.
- (f) (i) If  $E_1, \ldots, E_n$  are open sets in X, then  $E_1 \cap E_2 \cap \cdots \cap E_n$  is open.
  - (ii) If  $F_1, \ldots, F_n$  are closed, then  $F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_n$  is closed.
- (g) (i) If  $\{E_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in I}$  is any collection of open sets in X, then  $\bigcup_{{\alpha}\in I} E_{\alpha}$  is open.
  - (ii) If  $\{F_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in I}$  is any collection of closed sets in X, then  $\bigcap_{{\alpha}\in I}F_{\alpha}$  is closed.
- (h) (i) If  $E \subseteq X$ , then Int(E) is the largest open set that contained in E i.e. Int(E) is open and if  $V \subseteq E$  and V is open, then  $V \subseteq Int(E)$ .
  - (ii) If  $E \subseteq X$ , then  $\overline{E}$  is the smallest closed set containing E i.e.  $\overline{E}$  is closed and if  $E \subseteq K$  and K is closed, then  $\overline{E} \subseteq K$ .

#### proof of (b).

- ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Since E is closed, so  $\overline{E} = E$ , and we know every convergent sequence  $(X^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to  $x_0$  with  $x_0 \in \overline{E}$  by Proposition 2.2.4. Thus, we have  $x_0 \in E$ .
- ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume that every convergent sequence in *E* has its limit in *E*. We want to prove that *E* is closed, i.e. that *X* \ *E* is open.

Take any point  $y \in X \setminus E$ . Suppose, for contradiction, that every ball around y meets E. That is, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a point

$$x^{(k)} \in E \cap B(y, \frac{1}{k})$$
.

Then, by construction, we have  $x^{(k)} \to y$ .

By our assumption, the limit of any convergent sequence from E must lie in E. Hence  $y \in E$ , contradicting the fact that  $y \in X \setminus E$ .

Therefore, there must exist some radius r > 0 such that

$$B(y,r) \cap E = \varnothing$$
,

which means  $B(y,r) \subseteq X \setminus E$ . Thus every point of  $X \setminus E$  is an interior point, so  $X \setminus E$  is open. Hence E is closed.

#### proof of (c).

(i) To show that  $\overline{B}(x_0, r)$  is closed, it sufficies to show that  $X \setminus \overline{B}(x_0, r)$  is open. Note that

$$X \setminus \overline{B}(x_0, r) = \{x \in X \mid d(x, x_0) > r\}.$$

Let  $y \in X \setminus \overline{B}(x_0, r)$ , then define  $\varepsilon = d(x_0, y) - r > 0$ , then we can similarly prove that  $B(y, \varepsilon) \subseteq X \setminus \overline{B}(x_0, r)$ . Hence,  $X \setminus \overline{B}(x_0, r) = \operatorname{Int}(X \setminus \overline{B}(x_0, r))$ , and thus it is open.

(ii) If  $y \in B(x_0, r)$ , then  $d(x_0, y) < r$ . Let  $\varepsilon = r - d(x_0, y) > 0$ , then we claim that  $B(y, \varepsilon) \subseteq B(x_0, r)$ . Given  $z \in B(y, \varepsilon)$ , then  $d(z, y) < \varepsilon$ , then use triangle inequality we know  $z \in B(x_0, r)$ .

**proof of (d).** It sufficies to show that  $X \setminus \{x_0\}$  is open. Given  $y \in X \setminus \{x_0\}$ , so we can show that

$$B\left(y,\frac{d(y,x_0)}{2}\right)\subseteq X\setminus\{x_0\}$$
.

Hence,  $y \in \text{Int}(X \setminus \{x_0\})$ , and thus  $X \setminus \{x_0\}$  is open.

#### proof of (f).

(i) Given  $x_0 \in E_1 \cap E_2 \cap \cdots \cap E_n$ , then  $x_0 \in E_i$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ . Thus, there exists  $r_i > 0$  s.t.

$$B(x_0, r_i) \subseteq E_i$$
 for each  $1 \le i \le n$ .

Let  $r = \min\{r_1, \dots, r_n\} > 0$ , then we know  $B(x_0, r) \subseteq B(x_0, r_i) \subseteq E_i$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ . Hence,  $B(x_0, r) \subseteq E_1 \cap E_2 \cap \dots \cap E_n$ , and thus  $E_1 \cap \dots \cap E_n$  is open.

(ii) Now if  $F_1, \ldots, F_n$  are closed, then  $X \setminus F_1, \ldots, X \setminus F_n$  are open. Since we know  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (X \setminus F_i)$  is open, and

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} (X \setminus F_i) = X \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} F_i\right),\,$$

so  $X \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i)$  is open, which means  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i$  is closed.

proof of (g).

(i) Suppose  $x_0 \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} E_\alpha$ , then there exists  $\mathcal{B} \in I$  s.t.  $x_0 \in E_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Now since  $E_{\mathcal{B}}$  is open, so there exists  $r_{x_0} > 0$  s.t.

$$B(x_0, r_{x_0}) \subseteq E_{\mathcal{B}} \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \alpha} E_{\alpha}.$$

Hence,  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} E_{\alpha}$  is open.

(ii)

$$\left(X\setminus\left(\bigcap_{\alpha\in I}F_{\alpha}\right)\right)=\bigcup_{\alpha\in I}\left(X\setminus F_{\alpha}\right)$$

is open since  $X \setminus F_{\alpha}$  is open for all  $\alpha \in I$ , so we have  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} F_{\alpha}$  is closed.

Remark 2.2.11.

(1)  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} E_{\alpha}$  may NOT be open. For example,

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( -\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n} \right) = \left\{ 0 \right\},\,$$

which is closed.

(2)  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} F_{\alpha}$  may NOT be closed. For example,

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ -1 + \frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right] = (-1, 1),$$

which is open.

**Note 2.2.2.** In the proof of (f), if the index set I is infinite, then we can not pick min  $\{r_1, \ldots, r_n\}$ , so we can not deduce that (f) is correct when there infinitely many open sets or closed sets.

proof of (h).

(i) We first claim that Int(E) is open.

**Proof.** Since for all  $x \in \text{Int}(E)$ ,  $\exists r_x > 0 \text{ s.t. } B(x, r_x) \subseteq E$ , so

$$Int(E) = \bigcup_{x \in Int(E)} B(x, r_x),$$

and by (ii) of (c) and (i) of (g) in Proposition 2.2.8, we know Int(E) is open.

Now if we have  $V \subseteq E$  and V is open, then  $y \in V$  implies there exists s > 0 s.t.  $B(y,s) \subseteq V$ , and thus  $B(y,s) \subseteq E$  since  $V \subseteq E$ . Hence, we know  $y \in Int(E)$ , and thus  $V \subseteq Int(E)$ .

(ii) To show  $\overline{E}$  is closed, it sufficies to show that  $X \setminus \overline{E}$  is open. Note that

$$\overline{E} = X \setminus \text{Ext}(E) = X \setminus \underbrace{\text{Int}(X \setminus E)}_{\text{open}},$$

so  $\overline{E}$  is closed. Now if  $E \subseteq K$  and K is closed, then if  $x \in \overline{E}$ , we have  $B(x,r) \cap E \neq \emptyset$  for all r > 0. Hence,  $B(x,r) \cap K \neq \emptyset$  since  $E \subseteq K$ , so  $x \in \overline{K} = K$  (since K is closed). Thus,  $\overline{E} \subseteq K$ .

#### Lecture 6

#### 2.3 Relative topology

18 Sep. 10:20

Let (X,d) be a metric space and  $Y \subseteq X$ , then  $(Y,d|_{Y\times Y})$  is also a metric space.

**Example 2.3.1.** Consider  $(\mathbb{R}^2, d_2)$  and  $X = \{(x,0) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , then on  $(X, d_2|_{X \times X}) = (X, d)$ , it is also a metric space.

**Proof.** Since

$$d((x,0),(y,0)) = \sqrt{(x-y)^2 + 0^2} = |x-y|,$$

so it is obvious that d is a metric.

Note that X is not open in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Also, if  $E = \{(x,0) \mid -1 < x < 1\}$ , then E is not open in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , but E is open in  $(X, d_2|_{X \times X})$ .

**Example 2.3.2.** Suppose  $X = (-1,1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , then  $(X,d|_{X\times X})$  is a metric space. Consider E = [0,1), then we know E is not closed in  $(\mathbb{R},d)$  since  $1\notin \overline{E}$ . But E is closed in  $(X,d|_{X\times X})$  since  $\overline{E}=X$  in  $(X,d|_{X\times X})$ .

**Definition 2.3.1** (relatively open/close). Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $Y \subseteq X$ . We say E is relatively open (resp. closed) in Y if E is open (resp. closed) in  $(Y, d|_{Y \times Y})$ .

**Note 2.3.1.** In the following context, if we say E is open in Y, then we mean E is "relatively" open, and if we say E is closed in Y, then we mean E is relatively closed in Y.

**Note 2.3.2.** If Y is open/closed in E, then  $Y \subseteq E$ . Otherwise, we cannot define  $d|_{Y \times Y}(a,b)$  for  $a, b \in E \setminus Y$ .

**Remark 2.3.1.** If  $Y \subseteq X$ , and  $(X,d), (Y,d|_{Y\times Y})$  are both metric spaces, then

$$B_Y(x,r) = \{ y \in Y \mid d(y,x) < r \} = B_X(x,r) \cap Y.$$

**Remark 2.3.2.** If E is relatively open in Y, then given  $x_0 \in E$ ,  $\exists r_0 > 0$  s.t.  $B_X(x_0, r_0) \cap Y \subseteq E$ . This is because by Remark 2.3.1, we have

$$B_X(x_0, r_0) \cap Y = B_Y(x_0, r_0) \subseteq E.$$

**Remark 2.3.3.** A set  $E \subseteq Y$  is relatively closed in Y if given any r > 0 and  $x_0 \in Y$ ,

$$B_Y(x_0,r) \cap E \neq \emptyset$$
,

then  $x_0 \in E$ . This is because "closed" gives  $E = \overline{E}_Y$ . Note that this statement is equivalent to

If 
$$x_0 \in \overline{E}_Y$$
, then  $x_0 \in E = E_Y$ .

**Proposition 2.3.1.** Let (X, d) be a metric space, and  $Y \subseteq X$  and  $E \subseteq Y$ , then

- (1) E is relatively open in Y iff  $\exists$  open set V in (X,d) s.t.  $E = V \cap Y$ .
- (2) E is relatively closed in Y iff  $\exists$  closed set K in (X,d) s.t.  $E = K \cap Y$ .

#### proof of (1).

- (⇒) Given any  $x \in E$ ,  $\exists r_x > 0$  s.t.  $B_X(x, r_x) \cap Y \subseteq E$ . Let  $V = \bigcup_{x \in E} B_X(x, r_x)$ . Obviously,  $V \cap Y = E$  and V is open.
- ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $E = V \cap Y$ , then given any  $x \in E$ , since V is open, so there exists r > 0 s.t.  $B_X(x,r) \subseteq V$ , and then  $B_X(x,r) \cap Y \subseteq V \cap Y = E$ . Since x is an interior point of E in Y, so  $\operatorname{Int}_Y(E) = E$ , and thus E is open in Y.

proof of (2).

(⇒) E is relatively closed in Y, then  $Y \setminus E$  is relatively open, so there exists V open in X s.t.  $Y \setminus E = V \cap Y$ . Hence,

$$E = Y \setminus (Y \setminus E) = (X \setminus (Y \setminus E)) \cap Y = (X \setminus (V \cap Y)) \cap Y$$
$$= ((X \setminus V) \cup (X \setminus Y)) \cap Y$$
$$= ((X \setminus V) \cap Y) \cup ((X \setminus Y) \cap Y)$$
$$= (X \setminus V) \cap Y$$

Let  $E = (X \setminus V) \cap Y = K \cap Y$ , then since  $K = X \setminus V$  is closed in X, so we're done.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $E = K \cap Y$  for some closed K, then  $Y \setminus E = (X \setminus K) \cap Y$ , which means  $Y \setminus E$  is relatively open in Y since  $X \setminus K$  is open and by (a), so E is closed in Y.

**Example 2.3.3.** Let  $X = [0,1] \cup [2,3] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  with the standard metric d(x,y) = |x-y| with  $x,y \in X$ , then

- (i) [0,1] is open and closed in X.
- (ii)  $\partial_X[0,1] = \varnothing$ .

#### Proof.

(i) We want to find V open in  $\mathbb{R}$  s.t.

$$[0,1] = V \cap \overbrace{([0,1] \cup [2,3])}^{X},$$

we can choosed  $V = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right)$ , so [0, 1] is open in X.

We want to find K closed in  $\mathbb{R}$  and

$$[0,1] = K \cap ([0,1] \cup [2,3]),$$

and we can choosed  $K = \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right]$ , so [0, 1] is closed in X.

(ii) If  $x \in \partial_X[0,1]$ , then  $B_X(x,r) \cap [0,1]$  and  $B_X(x,r) \cap [2,3]$  are both nonempty for any r > 0. However, this is impossible for any x in X, so  $\partial_X[0,1] = \emptyset$ .

\*

#### 2.4 Cauchy sequence and complete metric space

**Definition 2.4.1** (subsequence). Suppose  $(X^{(n)})_{n=m}^{\infty}$  is a sequence in (X,d). Suppose  $m \leq n_1 < n_2 < \ldots$ , then  $(X^{(n_j)})_{j=1}^{\infty}$  is called a subsequence of  $(X^{(n)})_{n=m}^{\infty}$ .

**Example 2.4.1.**  $X^{(n)} = (-1)^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Proof.

$$\left\{X^{(2n)}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

is a subsequence of  $\{X^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ .

(¥)

**Lemma 2.4.1.** Let  $\{X^{(n)}\}_{n=m}^{\infty}$  be a convergent sequence with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} X^{(n)} = x$ , then every subsequence of  $\{X^{(n)}\}_{n=m}^{\infty}$  also converges to  $x_0$ .

**Definition 2.4.2** (limit points). Suppose  $(X^{(n)})_{n=m}^{\infty}$  is a sequence in (X,d), then we say L is a limit point of  $(X^{(n)})_{n=m}^{\infty}$  if for every  $N \geq m$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n \geq N$  s.t.  $d(X^{(n)}, L) \leq \varepsilon$ .

**Proposition 2.4.1.** L is a limit point of  $(X^{(n)})_{n=m}^{\infty}$  iff there exists a subsequence

$$\left(X^{(n_j)}\right)_{j=1}^n$$

converges to L.

Proof.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume L is a limit point, now we build a subsequence converges to L by an inductive method. Our goal is to build a subsequence  $\left\{X^{(n_j)}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  so that

$$d\left(X^{(n_j)},L\right) < \frac{1}{j} \quad \forall 1 \le j.$$

For j = 1, pick N = m, and pick  $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{1}$  to pick  $n_1 \ge N$  s.t.

$$d\left(X^{(n_1)},L\right) \le \varepsilon < \frac{1}{1}.$$

Now suppose  $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{k-1}$  are all chosen, then now we can pick  $N = n_{k-1} + 1$  and  $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{k}$ , so that we can pick  $n_k \ge N$  s.t.  $d\left(X^{(n_k)}, L\right) \le \varepsilon < \frac{1}{k}$ , so we're done. Now we show that this subsequence converges to L. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we know there exists  $0 < \frac{1}{k} < \varepsilon$ , so for all  $K \ge k$ , we have

$$d\left(X^{(K)},L\right)<\frac{1}{K}\leq\frac{1}{k}<\varepsilon,$$

so we're done.

 $(\Leftarrow)$  Left as exercise to the reader.

**Proposition 2.4.2.** L is a limit point iff  $L \in \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \overline{S_N}$  where  $S_N = \{X^{(K)}\}_{K>N}$ .

**Definition 2.4.3** (Cauchy sequence). Let  $(X^{(n)})_{n=m}^{\infty}$  be a sequence in (X, d). We say this sequence is a Cauchy sequence if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \ge m$  s.t.  $d(X^{(j)}, X^{(k)}) < \varepsilon$  for all  $j, k \ge N$ .

**Lemma 2.4.2.** Suppose  $(X^{(n)})_{n=m}^{\infty}$  converges in (X,d), then  $(X^{(n)})_{n=m}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence in (X,d).

**Proof.** Suppose  $\lim_{n\to\infty}X^{(n)}=X_0$ , then for every  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}>0$ , there exists  $N\geq m$  s.t.  $d\left(X^{(n)},X_0\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for all  $n\geq N$ . If  $j,k\geq N$ , then

$$d\left(X^{(j)},X^{(k)}\right) \leq d\left(X^{(j)},X_0\right) + d\left(X^{(k)},X_0\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

**Example 2.4.2.** A sequence in  $\mathbb{Q}$  may not converges in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Proof.** See teacher's note.

\*

**Definition 2.4.4** (Complete space). A metric space (X, d) is complete iff every Cauchy sequence converges to some points in X.

**Remark 2.4.1.**  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , then  $(\mathbb{Q}, d)$  is not complete.

Remark 2.4.2. The limit of a convergent sequence in metric space is unique. If

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x^{(n)} = y \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} x^{(n)} = z,$$

then suppose by contradiction,  $y \neq z$ . Then,

$$0 \le d(y, z) \le d(y, x^{(n)}) + d(z, x^{(n)})$$

By squeeze theorem, we know d(y, z) = 0 and thus y = z.

**Proposition 2.4.3.** Let (X,d) be a metric space and let  $(Y,d|_{Y\times Y})$  be a subspace of (X,d). If  $(Y,d|_{Y\times Y})$  is complete, then Y is closed in X.

**Proof.** We want to show that  $Y = \overline{Y}$ , so we want to show for all  $y \in \overline{Y}$ , we have  $y \in Y$ . Now for every  $y \in \overline{Y}$ , then by Proposition 2.2.4, we know there exists a convergent sequence  $\{Y^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in Y and converges to y. However, every convergent sequence is Cauchy, and since  $(Y, d|_{Y \times Y})$  is complete, so  $\{Y^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges in Y, which means  $y \in Y$ , and we're done.

**Proposition 2.4.4.** If (X,d) is complete and  $Y\subseteq X$  is closed, then  $(Y,d|_{Y\times Y})$  is complete.

**Proof.** Given a Cauchy sequence  $(X^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in Y, so this is also a Cauchy sequence in X, so it converges in X. If  $\exists x_0 \in X$  s.t.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} X^{(n)} = x_0$ . Since Y is closed, so  $Y = \overline{Y}$ , and by Proposition 2.2.4, we know  $x_0 \in \overline{Y} = Y$ , so  $x_0 \in Y$ , and thus  $(X^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  also converges in Y.

#### Lecture 7

Completeness of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $d_2, d_1, d_{\infty}$ 

23 Sep. 09:10

As previously seen.  $(X, d_1)$  and  $(X, d_2)$  are Lipschitz equivalent if  $\exists c_1, c_2 > 0$ s.t.

$$c_1 d_1(x, y) \le d_2(x, y) \le c_2 d_2(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

**Theorem 2.4.1.** Suppose  $(X, d_1)$  and  $(X, d_2)$  are Lipschitz equivalent, then

 $(X_1, d_1)$  is complete  $\Leftrightarrow (X, d_2)$  is complete.

#### Proof.

- ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Given any Cauchy sequence  $(x^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in  $(X, d_2)$ , then since  $d_1(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{c_1} d_2(x, y)$ , so  $(x^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is Cauchy in  $(X, d_1)$ . Since  $(X, d_1)$  is complete, so there exists  $x \in X$  s.t.  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x \in (X, d_1)$ . However,  $x \in (X, d_2)$ , so  $(X, d_2)$  is complete.
- $(\Leftarrow)$  Similar.

**Theorem 2.4.2.**  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_2)$  is a complete metric space.

**Corollary 2.4.1.** ince  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_2)$ ,  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_1)$ ,  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_\infty)$  are Lipschitz equivalent, so they are all complete by Theorem 2.4.1 and Theorem 2.4.2.

#### 2.5 Compact metric space

**Definition 2.5.1** (Compact space). A metric space (X,d) is compact iff every sequence in (X,d) has at least one convergent subsequence convergeing in X. A subset  $Y \subseteq X$  is compact if  $(Y,d|_{Y\times Y})$  is compact. That is,  $(Y,d|_{Y\times Y})$  is compact if for any sequence  $(y^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}\subseteq Y$ , there exists a subsequence  $(y^{(n_j)})_{j=1}^{\infty}$  and  $y\in Y$  s.t.  $\lim_{k\to\infty}y^{(n_k)}=y$ .

**Definition 2.5.2** (Bounded). Let (X, d) be a metric space and let  $Y \subseteq X$ . We say Y is bounded iff for any  $x \in X$ , there exists r > 0 s.t.  $Y \subseteq B_X(x, r)$ .

#### Theorem 2.5.1.

Y is bounded  $\Leftrightarrow \exists x_0 \in X \text{ and } R > 0 \text{ s.t. } Y \subseteq B_X(x_0, R).$ 

**Proof.** The " $(\Rightarrow)$ " is easy, so we just prove the other direction. Given any  $x \in X$ , we can choose  $r_x = R + d(x, x_0)$ .

**Claim 2.5.1.**  $Y \subseteq B_X(x, r_x)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $y \in Y$ , we know

$$d(y,x) \le d(y,x_0) + d(x_0,x) < R + d(x_0,x).$$

Hence,  $y \in B_X(x, r_x)$ .

**Proposition 2.5.1.** Let (X, d) be a compact metric space. Then (X, d) is complete and bounded.

CHAPTER 2. METRIC SPACE

#### Proof.

- We want to show that (X,d) is complete. Given any Cauchy sequence  $(x^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in (X,d), then since (X,d) is compact, so there exists a compact subsequence  $(x^{(n_k)})_{k=1}^{\infty}$  in X s.t.  $\lim_{k\to\infty} x^{(n_k)} = x$ . Since  $(x^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is Cauchy sequence and  $(x^{(n_k)})_{k=1}^{\infty}$  converges to x, so  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x^{(n)} = x$ . (See Theorem A.0.1)
- Consider  $x_0 \in X$ . Suppose X is not bounded, then  $B(x_0, n)$  will not contain X for all n. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\exists y^{(n)} \in X \text{ and } y^{(n)} \notin B_X(x_0, n) \text{ i.e. } d\left(y^{(n)}, x_0\right) \geq n.$$

Hence,  $\{y^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence in (X,d) with  $d(y^{(n)},x_0) \geq n$ . Since (X,d) is compact, so there exists a convergent sequence  $\{y^{(n_k)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  and  $y \in X$  s.t.  $\lim_{k \to \infty} y^{(n_k)} = y$ . Hence, there exists R > 0 s.t.  $d(y,y^{(n_k)}) < R$  for all k which is big enough, but this means

$$n_k \le d\left(y^{(n_k)}, x_0\right) \le d\left(y^{(n_k)}, y\right) + d(y, x_0) < R + d(y, x_0),$$

which is a fixed value, but  $n_k$  can be arbitrary large, so this is a contradiction.

Corollary 2.5.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space and Y be a compact subset, then Y is closed and bounded.

**Proof.** Since Y is a compact subset, so  $(Y, d|_{Y \times Y})$  is compact. Thus, Y is bounded by Proposition 2.5.1. Hence,  $\exists y_0 \in Y$  and R > 0 s.t.

$$Y \subseteq B_Y(y_0, R) = B_X(y_0, R) \cap Y \subseteq B_X(y_0, R).$$

Let  $y \in \overline{Y}$ , then  $\exists (y^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in Y s.t.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} y^{(n)} = y$ . Also, since Y is compact, so for the convergent sequence  $\{y^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , there is a subsequence  $\{y^{(n_k)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  and  $y_0 \in Y$  s.t.  $\lim_{k\to\infty} y^{(n_k)} = y_0 \in Y$ . By uniqueness of limit in metric space, we know  $y = y_0$ , and thus  $y \in \overline{Y}$ . Hence,  $\overline{Y} = Y$ . (Actually, by Lemma 2.4.2, we know  $\{y^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is Cauchy, and then by Theorem A.0.1, we know  $y = y_0$ .)

**Theorem 2.5.2** (Heine-Borel Theorem). Let  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d)$  be  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $d = d_2, d_\infty, d_1$ , and let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , then

E is compact  $\Leftrightarrow E$  is closed and bounded.

#### Proof.

- $(\Rightarrow)$  Trivial by the corollary.
- ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose E is closed and bounded. Given a sequence  $(X^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in E. By Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem , every bounded sequence has a convergent subsequence. Since E is closed, so  $E = \overline{E}$ , and thus the convergent subsequence converges in E. Hence, E is compact.

Remark 2.5.1. In a metric space, closed and bounded do not imply compact but compact implies closed and bounded.

**Example 2.5.1.** Consider  $(\mathbb{Z}.d_{\mathrm{disc}})$ , then  $\mathbb{Z}$  is bounded since  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq B_{\mathrm{disc}}(0,2)$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$  is closed in  $\mathbb{Z}$  but  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not compact since any subsequence of  $\{n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  does not converge in  $(Z,d_{\mathrm{disc}})$ .

**Theorem 2.5.3.** Let (X, d) be a metric space, let Y be a compact subset of X. Let  $(V_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$  be a collection of open sets in X, and suppose that  $Y \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} V_{\alpha}$  (i.e.  $(V_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$  covers Y). Then, there exists a finite subset  $F \subseteq A$  s.t.  $Y \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in F} V_{\alpha}$ .

**Proof.** We prove by contradiction. Suppose there does not exist a finite subset  $F \subseteq A$  s.t.  $Y \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in F} V_{\alpha}$ . For each  $y \in Y \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} V_{\alpha}$ .  $\exists \alpha \in A$  s.t.  $y \in V_{\alpha}$ . Since  $V_{\alpha}$  is open, so there exists r > 0 s.t.  $B(y,r) \subseteq V_{\alpha}$ . Define

$$r(y) = \sup \{r > 0 : B_X(y, r) \subseteq V_\alpha \text{ for some } \alpha \in A\}.$$

Note that r(y) > 0 for all  $y \in Y$ . Now if we pick  $r_0 = \inf\{r(y) : y \in Y\}$ , then  $r_0 \ge 0$ .

• Case 1:  $r_0 = 0$ , there exists  $y^{(n)} \in Y$  s.t.  $0 < r\left(y^{(n)}\right) < \frac{1}{n}$ . Thus,  $\left(y^{(n)}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence in Y, and since Y is compact, so there exists a convergent subsequence  $\left(y^{(n_k)}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  converging to  $y_0 \in Y$ . Also, there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\alpha \in A$  s.t.  $B_X(y_0, \varepsilon) \subseteq V_\alpha$ . Since  $\lim_{k \to \infty} d\left(y^{(n_k)}, y_0\right) = 0$ , so there exists N > 0 s.t.  $j \geq N$  implies

$$y^{(n_j)} \in B_X\left(y_0, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right).$$

**Claim 2.5.2.** For all  $j \geq N$ ,  $B\left(y^{(n_j)}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \subseteq B\left(y_0, \varepsilon\right)$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $z \in B\left(y^{(n_j)}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)$ , then  $d\left(z, y^{(n_j)}\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ , and thus

$$d(z, y_0) \le d\left(z, y^{(n_j)}\right) + d\left(y^{(n_j)}, y_0\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

(\*

Now since  $B_X(y_0,\varepsilon)\subseteq V_\alpha$ , so for  $j\geq N$ ,  $B\left(y^{(n_j)},\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\subseteq V_\alpha$ , which means

$$r\left(y^{(n_j)}\right) \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > 0.$$

However, this contradicts to the assumption that  $r(y^{(n_j)}) < \frac{1}{n_j}$  for all j. Hence, Case 1 is impossible.

• Case 2:  $\infty > r_0 > 0$ . We know  $r_0 \le r(y)$  for all  $y \in Y$  by definition. Hence,  $0 < \frac{r_0}{2} < r(y)$ . This means for each  $y \in Y$ , there exists  $\alpha \in A$  s.t.  $B_X\left(y, \frac{r_0}{2}\right) \subseteq V_\alpha$ . Choose a point  $y^{(1)} \in Y$  s.t.  $\exists \alpha_1 \in A$  s.t.  $B_X\left(y^{(1)}, \frac{r_0}{2}\right) \subseteq V_{\alpha_1}$ . Since  $V_{\alpha_1}$  cannot cover Y, so there exists  $y^{(2)} \in Y$  and  $y^{(2)} \notin B_X\left(y^{(1)}, \frac{r_0}{2}\right) \subseteq V_{\alpha_1}$ . Hence,  $d\left(y^{(2)}, y^{(1)}\right) \ge \frac{r_0}{2}$ . Now we set the induction hypothesis: Suppose there exists  $y^{(1)}, \ldots, y^{(k)} \in Y$  and  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \in A$  s.t.

$$B_X\left(y^{(j)}, \frac{r_0}{2}\right) \subseteq V_{\alpha_j} \text{ and } d\left(y^{(i)}, y^{(j)}\right) \ge \frac{r_0}{2} \quad \forall i \ne j,$$

and  $B_X\left(y^{(1)}, \frac{r_0}{2}\right) \cup \cdots \cup B_X\left(y^{(k)}, \frac{r_0}{2}\right)$  cannot cover Y, then we can find

$$y^{(k+1)} \notin B_X\left(y^{(1)}, \frac{r_0}{2}\right) \cup \dots \cup B_X\left(y^{(k)}, \frac{r_0}{2}\right),$$

and thus  $d\left(y^{(k+1)},y^{(i)}\right) \geq \frac{r_0}{2}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Also,  $\exists \alpha_{k+1}$  s.t.  $B\left(y^{(k+1)},\frac{r_0}{2}\right) \subseteq V_{\alpha_{k+1}}$ . Now we know  $B\left(y^{(1)},\frac{r_0}{2}\right) \cup \cdots \cup B\left(y^{(k+1)},\frac{r_0}{2}\right)$  won't cover Y, then  $\left\{y^{(k)}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence in Y and  $d\left(y^{(j)},y^{(l)}\right) \geq \frac{r_0}{2}$ . Since Y is compact, so there exists a subsequence of  $\left\{y^{(k)}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  which is convergent, but it is impossible, so we have a contradiction.

• Case 3:  $r_0 = \infty$ . If so, then it means  $\inf\{r(y): y \in Y\} = \infty$ , so  $r(y) = \infty$  for all  $y \in Y$ , otherwise if for some  $y' \in Y$ , r(y') is finite, then  $r_0 \leq r(y')$ , and will get a contradiction. Now we have  $r(y) = \infty$  for all  $y \in Y$ . This means for all r > 0, there exists some  $\alpha \in A$  s.t.

 $B_X(y,r)\subseteq V_\alpha$ . Now since Y is compact, so Y is bounded, which means for all  $y\in Y$ , there exists  $r_y$  s.t.  $Y\subseteq B_X(y,r_y)$ . However, since  $r(y)=\infty$  and by the previous argument, we know  $B_X(y,r_y)\subseteq V_{\alpha_y}$  for some  $\alpha_y\in A$ , and thus  $Y\subseteq V_{\alpha_y}$ , and thus  $V_{\alpha_y}$  covers Y, which is a contradiction.

#### Lecture 8

**Theorem 2.5.4** (Review Theorem 2.5.3). Let Y be a compact subset of a metric space (X, d) and let  $\{V_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in A}$  be an open cover of Y. Then  $\exists$  a finite subcover of  $\{V_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in A}$  i.e.  $\exists \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in A$  s.t.  $Y \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i}$ .

25 Sep. 10:20

#### Remark 2.5.2.

Y is compact  $\Leftrightarrow$  Any open cover of Y has a finite subcover.

**Proof.** The  $(\Rightarrow)$  direction is proved. Now we proved the other direction.

**Claim 2.5.3.** If (X, d) is a metric space and for all open cover of X, there exists finite subcover of X, then X is complete.

**Proof.** We will prove this by contradiction, using the definition of compactness (the open cover property).

- 1. Assumption Assume for the sake of contradiction that X is **compact** but **not complete**. Since X is not complete, there exists a Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in X that **does not converge** to any point  $p \in X$ .
- **2.** Constructing the Open Cover Since  $\{x_n\}$  does not converge to a point  $p \in X$ , for every  $p \in X$ , the point p is not the limit of the sequence. This means there exists some  $\epsilon_p > 0$  such that the open ball  $B_{\epsilon_n}(p)$  contains only a **finite number of terms** of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$ .

To see why, suppose for a contradiction that there was some  $p \in X$  such that for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , the ball  $B_{\epsilon}(p)$  contains an infinite number of terms of  $\{x_n\}$ . Let  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  be a subsequence with  $x_{n_k} \in B_{1/k}(p)$ . This subsequence converges to p. Since  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence and has a convergent subsequence, the entire sequence  $\{x_n\}$  must converge to the same limit p, which contradicts our initial assumption. Therefore, the property holds: for every  $p \in X$ , there is an  $\epsilon_p > 0$  such that  $B_{\epsilon_p}(p)$  contains  $x_n$  for only finitely many n.

Consider the collection of open balls  $\mathcal{U} = \{B_{\epsilon_p}(p) : p \in X\}$ . Since the union of these balls covers every point  $p \in X$ ,  $\mathcal{U}$  is an **open cover** of X:

$$X \subseteq \bigcup_{p \in X} B_{\epsilon_p}(p).$$

3. Using Compactness to Find a Finite Subcover Since X is compact, the open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  must have a finite subcover. That is, there exist a finite number of points  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k \in X$  such that

$$X \subseteq B_{\epsilon_{p_1}}(p_1) \cup B_{\epsilon_{p_2}}(p_2) \cup \cdots \cup B_{\epsilon_{p_k}}(p_k) = \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_{\epsilon_{p_i}}(p_i).$$

**4. Reaching the Contradiction** By the definition of  $\epsilon_{p_i}$ , each ball  $B_{\epsilon_{p_i}}(p_i)$  contains  $x_n$  for only a **finite number** of indices n. The union of a finite number of finite sets is a finite set. Therefore, the finite union  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k B_{\epsilon_{p_i}}(p_i)$  can contain  $x_n$  for only a finite number of indices n. However, since this finite union covers all of X (step 3), it must contain **all** terms of the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . Since the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is an infinite set of points, this is a **contradiction**.

The initial assumption that X is not complete must be false. Thus, every compact metric space is complete.

Suppose any open cover of Y has a finite subcover, then given any sequence  $(y^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . Consider

$$\bigcup_{x \in Y} B_Y(x,1) ,$$

then this is an open cover of Y, and now we know there is a finite subcover

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} B_Y(x_i, 1)$$

of Y where  $x_i \in Y$  for all i. Now since  $(y^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  has infinitely many terms, so we know for some i, we have infinitely many terms of  $(y^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq B_Y(x_i, 1)$  by Pigeonhole principle. Hence, there are infinitely many terms of  $(y^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  are in

$$\left\{ y \in Y : 0 \le d(y, x_i) < \frac{1}{2} \right\} \cup \left\{ y \in Y : \frac{1}{2} \le d(y, x_i) < 1 \right\}.$$

Thus, again, by Pigeonhold principle we know there are infinitely many terms of  $(y^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  are in either one of the above two sets. By repeating split the space into half as what we do above, we know for all  $k \geq 0$ , there are infinitely many terms of  $(y^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  has the following property: Every two terms of these "infinitely many terms" has distance less than  $\frac{1}{2^k}$ . Note that this means we can pick a subsequence of  $(y^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  so that it is Cauchy, and since every Cauchy sequence converges in Y (Since Claim 2.5.3), so we're done.

**Corollary 2.5.2.** Let (X, d) be a metric space and let  $K_1, K_2, ...$  be a sequence of nonempty compact subsets of X s.t.  $K_{i+1} \subseteq K_i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , that is,  $K_1 \supseteq K_2 \supseteq K_3 \supseteq ...$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i \neq \emptyset.$$

**Proof.** Suppose  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i = \emptyset$ . Since  $K_i$ 's are compact, so they are closed. Also, we have

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (K_1 \setminus K_n) = K_1 \setminus \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} K_n\right) = K_1.$$

Let  $V_i = K_1 \setminus K_i = K_1 \cap K_i^C$ . Note that  $K_i^C$  is open in X. Hence, we have  $V_i$  is open in  $K_1$ , and thus  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is an open cover of  $K_1$  in  $K_1$ .  $((K_1, d|_{K_1 \times K_1})$  is compact.) By Theorem 2.5.3, we know there exists  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_l$  with  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_l$  s.t.

$$K_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} V_{\alpha_i} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} (K_1 \setminus K_{\alpha_i})$$
$$= K_1 \setminus \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} K_{\alpha_i} = K_1 \setminus K_{\alpha_l}$$

since  $K_{\alpha_1} \supseteq K_{\alpha_2} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq K_{\alpha_l}$ . However,  $K_{\alpha_l} \subseteq K_1$  and  $K_{\alpha_l} \neq \emptyset$ . Thus, we have a contradiction.

**Example 2.5.2.** Consider  $I_1 = [0,1]$ , and  $I_2 = [0,\frac{1}{3}] \cup [\frac{2}{3},1]$ , and picking  $I_3,I_4,\ldots$  with same method, then  $I_{n+1} \subseteq I_n$  for all n and they are compact, so

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i \neq \emptyset.$$

**Theorem 2.5.5.** Let (X, d) be a metric space.

- (a) If Y is a compact subset of X, and  $Z \subseteq Y$ , then Z is compact iff Z is closed.
- (b) If  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$  are a finite collection of compact subsets of X, then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_i$  are also compact.

**proof of (a).** If Z is compact, then by Corollary 2.5.1, we know Z is closed. Now we show that if Z is closed, then Z is compact. If Z is closed, then  $Y \setminus Z$  is open in Y, then we know

$$Y \setminus Z = V \cap Y$$

for some open set  $V \subseteq Y$ , so note that  $(Y \setminus Z) \subseteq V$ . Now suppose  $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha} \in A}$  is an open cover of Z. Hence, we know  $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha} \in A} \cup \{V\}$  is an open cover of Y since the former covers Z and the latter covers  $Y \setminus Z$ . Now since Y is compact, so we know for some  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$ , there is

$$Y \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_{\alpha_i}\right) \cup V,$$

and thus we can write

$$Z \subseteq Y \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_{\alpha_i}\right) \cup V.$$

However, note that  $Z \cap V = \emptyset$  since

$$Z = Y \setminus (Y \setminus Z) = Y \setminus (V \cap Y) = (Y \setminus V) \cup (Y \setminus Y) = Y \setminus V.$$

Hence, we know

$$Z\subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i},$$

and thus for any open cover of Z, we know there exists a finite subcover of Z, and we're done.

### Chapter 3

# Continuous functions on metric spaces

Suppose  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  are metric spaces. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a function from X to Y. Then we want that if  $x \in X$  is close to  $y \in X$ , then, then  $f(x) \in Y$  is close to  $f(y) \in Y$ .

**Definition 3.0.1** (Continuous function). Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces and let  $f: X \to Y$  be a function. Suppose  $x_0 \in X$ , we will say f is continuous at  $x_0$  iff for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  s.t.

$$d_Y(f(x), f(x_0)) < \varepsilon$$
 whereas  $d_X(x, x_0) < \delta$ .

We say f is continuous if f is continuous at every point  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 3.0.2** (Preimage). Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a function from  $X \to Y$  and  $V \subseteq Y$ . The preimage (inverse image) of V is

$$f^{-1}(V) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \in V\} \subseteq X.$$

**Example 3.0.1.** Suppose  $f(x) = x^2$ , then what is the preimage of  $(1, \infty)$ ?

Answer.

$$f^{-1}((1,\infty)) = (-\infty, -1) \cup (1,\infty).$$

\*

Now we build an equivalent definition of continuity. If f is continuous at  $x_0$ , then given any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.

$$f(x) \in B_Y(f(x_0), \varepsilon)$$
 whereas  $x \in B_X(x_0, \delta)$ .

Also,  $f(x) \in B_Y(f(x_0), \varepsilon)$  if and only if

$$x \in f^{-1}(B_Y(f(x_0, \varepsilon), \varepsilon)).$$

Hence, we have

**Corollary 3.0.1.** f is continuous at  $x_0$  if and only if

Given any 
$$\varepsilon > 0$$
,  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $B_X(x_0, \delta) \subseteq f^{-1}(B_Y(f(x_0), \varepsilon))$ ..



Figure 3.1: Continuous function from  $(X, d_X)$  to  $(Y, d_Y)$ 

**Remark 3.0.1.** If  $f: X \to Y$  is continuous and  $K \subseteq X$ , then  $f|_K: K \to Y$  is continuous.

**Proof.** Given any point  $x_0 \in K \subseteq X$ . Since f is continuous at  $x_0$ , so  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.

$$d_Y(f(x), f(x_0)) < \varepsilon$$
 if  $d_X(x, x_0) < \delta$ .

If  $z \in K$  and  $d_K(z, x_0) < \delta$ , then  $d_Y(f(z), f(x_0)) < \varepsilon$  since  $d_K$  is actually  $d_X$  but intersected to K, so f is continuous on K.

**Theorem 3.0.1.** Suppose that  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces, and  $f: X \to Y$  is a function and let  $x_0 \in X$ , then TFAE:

- (a) f is continuous at  $x_0$ .
- (b) Whenever  $(x^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence in X converges to  $x_0$ , then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f\left(x^{(n)}\right) = f(x_0) \text{ in } (Y, d_Y).$$

(c) For every open set  $V \subseteq Y$  that contains  $f(x_0)$ ,  $\exists$  an open set  $U \subseteq X$  containing  $x_0$  s.t.  $f(U) \subseteq V$ , or equivalently,  $U \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$ .

**proof of (a)**  $\Rightarrow$  **(b).** Given any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , since f is continuous at  $x_0$ , so  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t. if  $d_X(x,x_0) < \delta$ , then  $d_Y(f(x),f(x_0)) < \varepsilon$ . Now if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x^{(n)} = x_0$ . Then there exists N>0 s.t.  $n\geq N$  implies  $d_X\left(x^{(n)},x_0\right) < \delta$ . Hence, we know  $d_Y\left(f\left(x^{(n)}\right),f(x_0)\right) < \varepsilon$ . Hence, for this  $\varepsilon$ , we know there exists N s.t.  $n\geq N$  implies  $d_Y\left(f\left(x^{(n)}\right),f(x_0)\right) < \varepsilon$ , and thus  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f\left(x^{(n)}\right) = f(x_0)$ .

**proof of (b)**  $\Rightarrow$  **(c).** Let  $f(x_0) \in V \subseteq Y$  for some open V.

**Claim 3.0.1.** There exists an open set U s.t.  $x_0 \in U \subseteq X$  and  $f(U) \subseteq V$ .

**Proof.** If this is not true, then this implies that for every open set U with  $x_0 \in U$ , consider  $U_n = B_X\left(x_0, \frac{1}{n}\right)$  for all n, then  $\exists x_n \in U_n$  s.t.  $f(x_n) \notin V$ , then pick all of this  $x_n$  to be  $\left\{x^{(n)}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  with  $x^{(n)} \in U_n$  for all n, we know  $\forall x^{(n)}$  we have  $f\left(x^{(n)}\right) \notin V$ . Then,  $\left\{x^{(n)}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence converges to  $x_0$ . By (b), we know  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f\left(x^{(n)}\right) = f(x_0) \in V$ . However, by our choice,  $f\left(x^{(n)}\right) \notin V$ , so  $f\left(x^{(n)}\right) \in Y \setminus V$ . Since V is open, so  $Y \setminus V$  is closed. Hence, we must have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f\left(x^{(n)}\right) = f(x_0) \in Y \setminus V$ , which is a contradiction.

**proof of (c)**  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Suppose (c) holds, then we want to show f is continuous at  $x_0$ , which means for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  s.t.  $B_X(x_0, \delta) \subseteq f^{-1}(B_Y(f(x_0), \varepsilon))$ . Now consider  $V = B_Y(f(x_0), \varepsilon) \subseteq Y$ , then by (c) we know there exists open  $U \subseteq X$  s.t.  $x_0 \in U \subseteq X$  s.t.  $U \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$ . Now since U is open and  $x_0 \in U$ , so there exists  $B_X(x_0, \delta) \subseteq U$ , and thus

$$B_X(x_0, \delta) \subseteq U \subseteq f^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(B_Y(f(x_0), \varepsilon)),$$

and we're done.

#### **Theorem 3.0.2.** Suppose $f: X \to Y$ , then TFAE

- (a) f is continuous.
- (b) If  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x^{(n)} = x \in (X, d_X)$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(x^{(n)}) = f(x)$  in  $(Y, d_Y)$ .
- (c) If V is open in Y, then  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open in X.
- (d) If F is closed in Y, then  $f^{-1}(F)$  is closed in X.

**Note 3.0.1.** (a) says f is continuous, so it is a statement for every point in X and Theorem 3.0.1 is a theorem for a single  $x_0 \in X$ .

- (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b). By Theorem 3.0.1, we know it is true.
- (c) holds iff for every  $x \in X$ , (c) in Theorem 3.0.1 holds. If we have (c) in Theorem 3.0.1 holding for all  $x \in X$  and we know V is open in Y, then for each  $x \in f^{-1}(V)$ , we have  $f(x) \in V$ , so there exists an open set  $u_x$  s.t.  $x \in u_x \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$ . Hence,

$$f^{-1}(V) = \bigcup_{x \in f^{-1}(V)} u_x.$$

Since  $u_x$  is open, so  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open.

Now if we have (c), then

If V is open in Y, then  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open in X.

Now for all open  $V \subseteq Y$  that contains  $f(x_0)$  for some  $x_0 \in X$ , we know  $f(x_0) \in V$ , so  $x_0 \in f^{-1}(V)$ , and since  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open in X, so we can just pick  $U = f^{-1}(V)$ , and we're done since this proof is valid for all  $x_0 \in X$ .

(c)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (d). If F is closed in Y, then  $Y \setminus F$  is open in Y, and thus  $f^{-1}(Y \setminus F)$  is open in X, and since  $f^{-1}(Y \setminus F) = X \setminus f^{-1}(F)$  is open, so  $f^{-1}(F)$  is closed. This is the proof from (c) to (d), and the proof from (d) to (c) is similar.

**Note 3.0.2.** Theorem 3.0.2 is a stronger version of Theorem 3.0.1 since it states the version holding for all x.

**Remark 3.0.2.** Theorem 3.0.2 tells us that continuity tells us if the image is open/closed, then the preimage is open/closed. However, if f is continuous, then the image of an open set on f may not be open, and the image of a closed set on f may not be closed.

**Example 3.0.2.** Consider  $f(x) = x^2$ , then f(-1, 1) = [0, 1) is not open.

**Example 3.0.3.** Consider  $f(x) = \arctan(x)$ , then  $f([0, \infty)) = [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ .

Now if  $f: X \to Y$  and  $g: X \to Z$ , then consider

$$(f,g): X \to Y \times Z \text{ with } x \mapsto (f(x),g(x)),$$

we know  $Y \times Z$  has a natural metric, which is defined as: If  $(y_1, z_1)$  and  $(y_2, z_2)$  are in  $Y \times Z$ , then

$$d_{Y\times Z}((y_1, z_1), (y_2, z_2)) = d_Y(y_1, y_2) + d_Z(z_1, z_2).$$

**Lemma 3.0.1.** Consider  $f: X \to Y$  and  $g: X \to Z$  and  $(f,g): X \to Y \times Z$ , then f and g are both continuous if and only if (f,g) is continuous.

**Proof.** Suppose f, g are both continuous, then given  $x \in X$ , we know  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x^{(n)} = x \in (X, d_X)$  implies

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f\left(x^{(n)}\right) = f(x) \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} g\left(x^{(n)}\right) = g(x).$$

Claim 3.0.2.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (f, g) \left( x^{(n)} \right) = (f, g)(x).$$

Proof. Check.

The other direction is also easy to prove.

#### Lecture 9

Corollary 3.0.2. Let  $(X, d_X)$ ,  $(Y, d_Y)$ , and  $(Z, d_Z)$  be metric spaces.

- 30 Sep. 9:10
- (a) If  $f: X \to Y$  is continuous at  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $g: Y \to Z$  is continuous at  $f(x_0)$ , then  $g \circ f: X \to Z$  is continuous at  $x_0$ .
- (b) If  $f: X \to Y$  and  $g: Y \to Z$  are continuous, then  $g \circ f: X \to Z$  is continuous.

**proof of (a).** Fix  $x_0 \in X$ . Since f is continuous at  $x_0$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x^{(n)} = x_0 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} f\left(x^{(n)}\right) = f(x_0) \in Y.$$

Recall  $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$ . Since g is continuous at  $f(x_0) \in Y$ . It follows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f\left(x^{(n)}\right) = f(x_0) \Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} g\left(f\left(x^{(n)}\right)\right) = g(f(x_0)).$$

Note that this means

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f\left(x^{(n)}\right) = f(x_0) \Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} (g \circ f)\left(x^{(n)}\right) = (g \circ f)(x_0).$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x^{(n)} = x_0 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} (g \circ f) \left( x^{(n)} \right) = (g \circ f)(x_0),$$

which means  $g \circ f$  is continuous at  $x_0$ .

#### 3.1 Continuity and Product Spaces

Given two functions  $f: X \to Y$  and  $g: X \to Z$ , we can define the pairing  $(f,g): X \to Y \times Z$  by

$$(f,g)(x) = (f(x),g(x)).$$

**Example 3.1.1.** Suppose  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined by  $f(x) = x^2 + 3$  and  $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined by g(x) = 4x, then we can define  $(f,g): \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  by

$$(f,g)(x) = (x^2 + 3x, 4x).$$

**Definition 3.1.1** (Product metric). Let  $(Y, d_Y)$  and  $(Z, d_Z)$  be metric spaces. Define a metric  $d_{Y \times Z}^1$  on  $Y \times Z$  by

$$d_{Y\times Z}^{1}((y_{1},z_{1}),(y_{2},z_{2}))=d_{Y}(y_{1},y_{2})+d_{Z}(z_{1},z_{2}).$$

Also, we can define  $d_{Y\times Z}^{\infty}$  by

$$d_{Y\times Z}^{\infty}((y_1, z_1), (y_2, z_2)) = \max\{d_Y(y_1, y_2), d_Z(z_1, z_2)\}.$$

Finally, we can define

$$d_{Y\times Z}^{2}((y_{1},z_{1}),(y_{2},z_{2})) = \sqrt{(d_{Y}(y_{1},y_{2}))^{2} + (d_{Z}(z_{1},z_{2}))^{2}}$$

**Proposition 3.1.1.** Let  $(Y, d_Y)$  and  $(Z, d_Z)$  be metric spaces, then  $d_{Y \times Z}^1, d_{Y \times Z}^2, d_{Y \times Z}^\infty$  are metrics on  $Y \times Z$ .

**Proof.** Here we only prove  $d_{Y\times Z}^1$  is a metric. For  $(y_1,z_1),(y_2,z_2),(y_3,z_3)$  in  $Y\times Z$ .

- $d_{Y \times Z}^1((y_1, z_1), (y_2, z_2)) = d_Y(y_1, y_2) + d_Z(z_1, z_2) \ge 0.$
- If  $d_{Y\times Z}^1((y_1, z_1), (y_2, z_2)) = 0$ , then  $y_1 = y_2 = z_1 = z_2 = 0$ .
- $d_{Y\times Z}^1((y_1, z_1), (y_2, z_2)) = d_{Y\times Z}^1((y_2, z_2), (y_1, z_1)).$

•

$$\begin{split} d_{Y \times Z}^{1}\left((y_{1}, z_{1}), (y_{3}, z_{3})\right) &= d_{Y}(y_{1}, y_{3}) + d_{Z}(z_{1}, z_{3}) \\ &\leq d_{Y}(y_{1}, y_{2}) + d_{Y}(y_{2}, y_{3}) + d_{Z}(z_{1}, z_{2}) + d_{Z}(z_{2}, z_{3}) \\ &= d_{Y \times Z}^{1}\left((y_{1}, z_{1}), (y_{2}, z_{2})\right) + d_{Y \times Z}^{1}\left((y_{2}, z_{2}), (y_{3}, z_{3})\right). \end{split}$$

**Proposition 3.1.2.**  $\lim_{n\to\infty}(y_n,z_n)=(y,z)$  in  $Y\times Z$  w.r.t.  $d^1,d^2,d^\infty$  iff

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = y$$
 in  $(Y, d_Y)$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} z_n = z$  in  $(Z, d_Z)$ ,

**Proof.** We prove the case w.r.t.  $d_{Y\times Z}^{\infty}$  metric. Since

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}(y_n,z_n)=(y,z)\Leftrightarrow \lim_{n\to\infty}d_{Y\times Z}^\infty\left((y_n,z_n),(y,z)\right)=0\Leftrightarrow \lim_{n\to\infty}\max\left\{d_Y(y_n,y),d_Z(z_n,z)\right\}=0.$$

Also,

$$0 \le d_Y(y_n, y) \le \max \{ d_Y(y_n, y), d_Z(z_n, z) \}$$
  
$$0 \le d_Z(z_n, z) \le \max \{ d_Y(y_n, y), d_Z(z_n, z) \}.$$

Hence, by squeeze theorem, we must have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_Y(y_n,y) = \lim_{n\to\infty} d_Z(z,z_n) = 0$ .

If  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_Y(y_n,y) = 0$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_Z(z_n,z) = 0$ , then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d_{Y \times Z}^{\infty} \left( (y_n, z_n), (y, z) \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \max \left\{ d_Y(y_n, y), d_Z(z_n, z) \right\} = 0.$$

Hence,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (y_n, z_n) = (y, z)$  in  $d_{Y\times Z}^{\infty}$  metrics.

**Theorem 3.1.1.** Let  $(Y, d_Y)$  and  $(Z, d_Z)$  be metric spaces. On  $Y \times Z$ , we have the metric  $d^1, d^2, d^{\infty}$ . The map  $(f, g): X \to Y \times Z$  is continuous iff  $f: X \to Y$  and  $g: X \to Z$  are both continuous.

**Proof.** If (f,g) is continuous. Take any sequence  $x^{(n)}$  with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x^{(n)} = x$  in  $(X,d_X)$ . Then,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (f, g) \left( x^{(n)} \right) = (f, g) \left( x \right) \in Y \times Z.$$

Recall that  $(f,g)(x^{(n)}) = (f(x^{(n)}), g(x^{(n)}))$ . Hence, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f\left(x^{(n)}\right) = f(x) \in (Y, d_Y) \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} g\left(x^{(n)}\right) = g(x) \in (Z, d_Z)$$

by Proposition 3.1.2. Thus, f, g are both continuous at x. Since x can be arbitrary point in  $(X, d_X)$ , so f, g are both continuous.

**Lemma 3.1.1.** Let  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $g: X \to \mathbb{R}$  be functions, and define  $(f,g): X \to \mathbb{R}^2$ . We give  $\mathbb{R}^2$  the Euclidean metric. Then, f,g are both continuous iff (f,g) is continuous.

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.1.1. Choose  $d^2$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ , then  $d^2 = d_2 = \text{Euclidean metric}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.2.** The following functions are continuous.

- $(x,y) \mapsto x + y$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$
- $(x,y) \mapsto x y$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$
- $(x,y) \mapsto x \cdot y$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$
- $(x,y) \mapsto \max\{x,y\}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$
- $(x,y) \mapsto \frac{x}{y}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(x,0) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}.$
- $x \mapsto cx$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  for any  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof.** We prove the  $(x,y) \mapsto x \cdot y$  case. Define  $M(x,y) = x \cdot y$ . Choose  $(x_0,y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we want to find  $\delta > 0$  s.t.

$$d_2((x,y),(x_0,y_0)) = \sqrt{(x-x_0)^2 + (y-y_0)^2} < \delta \Rightarrow |M(x,y) - M(x_0,y_0)| < \varepsilon.$$

We will choose  $\delta$  later. Now suppose we have chosen some appropriate  $\delta$ , then we have  $|x-x_0|, |y-y_0| < \delta$ . Then,

$$|M(x,y) - M(x_0,y_0)| = |xy - x_0y_0| = |x(y - y_0) + y_0(x - x_0)|.$$

Now we choose some  $\delta \leq 1$ . Then since  $|x - x_0| < \delta \leq 1$ , we have

$$|x| = |x - x_0 + x_0| < |x - x_0| + |x_0| < 1 + |x_0|$$

Thus, we have

$$|M(x,y) - M(x_0,y_0)| \le |x_0||y - y_0| + |y_0||x - x_0|$$

$$< (1 + |x_0|)|y - y_0| + |y_0||x - x_0|$$

$$< (1 + |x_0|)\delta + |y_0|\delta$$

$$= (1 + |x_0| + |y_0|)\delta.$$

Hence, we can choose

$$\delta = \min\left\{1, \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + |x_0| + |y_0|}\right\},\,$$

and we will have

$$|M(x,y)-M(x_0,y_0)|<\varepsilon$$
 whenever  $d_2((x,y),(x_0,y_0))<\delta$ .

**Proof.** Here we prove the  $(x,y) \mapsto \max\{x,y\}$  case. Note that

$$\max\{x, y\} = \frac{x + y + |x - y|}{2}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\max\{x,y\} - \max\{a,b\}| &= \left| \frac{x+y+|x-y|}{2} - \frac{a+b+|a-b|}{2} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left| (x-a) + (y-b) + |x-y| - |a-b| \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left( |x-a| + |y-b| + ||x-y| - |a-b| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left( |x-a| + |y-b| + |(x-y) - (a-b)| \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( |x-a| + |y-b| + |(x-a) + (b-y)| \right) \\ &\leq |x-a| + |b-y|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if  $d_2((x,y),(a,b)) < \delta$ , then  $|x-a| < \delta$  and  $|y-b| < \delta$ . Hence, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can just pick  $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ , and then we can show that

$$|\max\{x, y\} - \max\{a, b\}| < \varepsilon.$$

Corollary 3.1.1. Let (X,d) be a metric space, and let  $f,g:X\to\mathbb{R}$  be functions. Let  $c\in\mathbb{R}$ . If f,g are continuous on X, then  $f+g,f-g,f\cdot g,\max\{f,g\}$ ,  $\min\{f,g\}$ , cf are continuous. Also,  $\frac{f}{g}$  is also continuous at  $x_0$  if  $g(x_0)\neq 0$ .

**Proof.** For example, we know

$$(f+g)(x) = \operatorname{Add} \circ (f,g)(x) = \operatorname{Add}(f(x),g(x)) = f(x) + g(x).$$

Since (f,g) is continuous and Add is continuous, so the composition function  $Add \circ (f,g)$  is also continuous.

#### 3.2 Continuity and Compactness

**Theorem 3.2.1.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a continuous function from  $(X, d_X)$  to  $(Y, d_Y)$ . Let  $K \subseteq X$  be

a compact subset of X. Then

$$f(K) = \{ f(x) \mid x \in K \}$$

is also compact in Y.

**Proof.** Given any sequence  $(y^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in f(K), then  $\exists x^{(n)} \in K$  s.t.  $f(x^{(n)}) = y^{(n)}$ . Since K is compact, then there exists a convergent subsequence  $(x^{(n_k)})_{k=1}^{\infty}$  s.t.  $\lim_{k\to\infty} x^{(n_k)} = x_* \in K$ . Since f is continuous, so  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(x^{(n_k)}) = f(x_*)$  in Y. Hence,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} y^{(n_k)} = f(x_*) \in f(K)$ . Thus, f(K) is compact.

**Antoher method.** Let  $\{V_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$  be any open cover of f(K) i.e.  $f(K) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} V_{\alpha}$  and  $V_{\alpha}$  is open in Y. Hence,

$$K \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} f^{-1}(V_{\alpha}).$$

Note that since f is continuous and  $V_{\alpha}$  is open, so  $f^{-1}(V_{\alpha})$  is open by Theorem 3.0.2. Hence,  $\{f^{-1}(V_{\alpha}): \alpha \in A\}$  is an open cover of K. Since K is compact, then

$$K \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f^{-1}\left(V_{\alpha_i}\right)$$

Hence,  $f(K) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} V_{\alpha_i}$ , which shows f(K) is compact.

# Appendix

### Appendix A

# Some Extra proof

**Theorem A.0.1.** For a Cauchy sequence  $\{x^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , if there exists a subsequence  $\{x^{(n_j)}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  converges to x, then  $\{x^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  also converges to x.

**Proof.** For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we know there exists N > 0 s.t.  $j \geq N$  implies

$$d\left(x^{(n_j)},x\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Also, there exists N' > 0 s.t.  $i, j \ge N'$  implies

$$d\left(x^{(i)}, x^{(j)}\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Hence, if we pick some  $d \geq N$  and  $n_d \geq N'$ , then we know for all  $n \geq N'$ , we have

$$d\left(x^{(n)},x\right) \leq d\left(x^{(n)},x^{(n_d)}\right) + d\left(x^{(n_d)},x\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon,$$

which means  $\{x^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to x.

**Definition A.0.1.** A sequence of intervals  $I_n$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$  is nested if  $I_n \neq \emptyset$  and  $I_{n+1} \subseteq I_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $(I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \ldots)$ .

Now we want to know  $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}^{\infty} I_n \neq \emptyset$ ?

Here is some counterexamples. Consider  $I_n = (0, \frac{1}{n}), n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We can show that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n = \emptyset$  by Archimedean Property. Besides, if  $I_n = [n, \infty), n \in \mathbb{N}$ , this is trivial that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem A.0.2** (Theorem of nested intervals). If  $I_n$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$  is a sequence of bounded closed nested intervals, then  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \neq \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** Write  $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . First, we know  $I_n$  is nested iff  $a_n \leq b_n$  and  $a_n$  is nondecreasing and  $b_n$  is nonincreasing. Hence,  $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $a_n \leq a_{\max\{n,m\}} \leq b_{\max\{n,m\}} \leq b_m$ . In other words, for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $b_m$  is a upper bound of  $\{a_n\}$ . Hence, we know  $c = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \sup\{a_n\}$ .exists. Then,  $c \leq b_m$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Also,  $c \geq a_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,  $a_n \leq c \leq b_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and thus we know  $c \in I_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $c \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ .

**Theorem A.0.3** (Bolzano Weierstrass Theorem). Suppose we have a bounded infinite sequence  $a_n \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , then  $\exists$  a subsequence  $a_{n(m)}$  such that  $a_{n(m)}$  is convergent.

**Proof.** We just talk about the case m=2, and the higher case is similar. Choose M>0 such that  $a_n\in [-M,M]\times [-M,M]$  for all  $n\in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $[-M,M]\times [-M,M]$  is called Q. Divide Q into 4 squares with equal size, and choose one, say  $Q_1$  such that  $|\{n\mid a_n\in Q_1\}=\infty|$ . Select  $n_1\in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a_{n_1}\in Q_1$ . Repeat this step, that is, divide  $Q_1$  into 4 subparts, then says the one subpart with

infinite many  $a_n$  in it is  $Q_2$  ( $Q_2$  must exists). Select  $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a_{n_2} \in Q_2$  and  $n_2 > n_1$ . Keep repeating this step, then by Theorem A.0.2 we know

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_n \neq \varnothing.$$

Note A.0.1. Just think of the nested intervals are in x and y directions.

Actually,  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_n = \{a\}$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , otherwise if there are two points in the intersection, then at some moment we will divide them into different subpart, which is a contradiction. It can been seen that  $\lim_{k\to\infty} a_{n(k)} = a$ .

# Appendix B

# TA Class