

Introduction to Analysis I HW2

B13902024 張沂魁

September 17, 2025

Problem 0.0.1 (11pts). If (X, d) is a metric space, define

$$d'(x, y) = \frac{d(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)}.$$

Prove that d' is also a metric on X .

Note that $0 \leq d'(x, y) < 1$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof. In the first three properties we are going to check, they are all true since we can directly these properties on d to conclude that these properties are also true on d' .

- We know $d'(x, x) = \frac{d(x, x)}{1 + d(x, x)} = 0$ for every $x \in X$.
- For every distinct $x, y \in X$, we have

$$d'(x, y) = \frac{d(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)} > 0.$$

- For any $x, y \in X$, we have $d'(x, y) = d'(y, x)$, which is trivial.
- For any $x, y, z \in X$, suppose

$$a = d(x, z) \quad b = d(x, y) \quad c = d(y, z),$$

we want to show that

$$\frac{a}{1 + a} \leq \frac{b}{1 + b} + \frac{c}{1 + c},$$

where we know $a, b, c \geq 0$ and $a \leq b + c$. By directly computing, we know it is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} a(1 + b)(1 + c) &\leq (1 + a)(1 + c)b + (1 + a)(1 + b)c \\ \Leftrightarrow a(1 + b + c + bc) &\leq (1 + a + c + ac)b + (1 + a + b + ab)c \\ \Leftrightarrow a &\leq b(1 + c) + c(1 + b + ab) = b + c + 2bc + abc. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we know this inequality holds because we know $a, b, c \geq 0$.

■

Problem 0.0.2 (12 pts) exercise 1.2.4. Let (X, d) be a metric space, x_0 be a point in X , and $r > 0$. Let B be the open ball

$$B := B(x_0, r) = \{x \in X : d(x, x_0) < r\},$$

and let C be the closed ball

$$C := \{x \in X : d(x, x_0) \leq r\}.$$

- Show that $\overline{B} \subseteq C$.
- Give an example of a metric space (X, d) , a point x_0 , and a radius $r > 0$ such that $\overline{B} \neq C$.

Proof.

- For all $b \in \overline{B}$, we know for all $r' > 0$, we have $B(b, r') \cap B(x_0, r) \neq \emptyset$. Now if $d(b, x_0) > r$, say $\varepsilon = d(b, x_0) - r > 0$. Suppose $z \in B(b, \varepsilon)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, x_0) &\geq d(b, x_0) - d(z, b) \\ &> d(b, x_0) - \varepsilon = r \end{aligned}$$

by triangle inequality. However, this means $z \notin B(x_0, r)$. Hence, $B(b, \varepsilon) \cap B(x_0, r) = \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. By this, we know $d(b, x_0) \leq r$ for all $b \in \overline{B}$, so $\overline{B} \subseteq C$.

(b) Suppose the metric space is $(\mathbb{R}, d_{\text{disc}})$, where d_{disc} is the discrete metric defined by

$$d_{\text{disc}} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \neq y; \\ 0, & \text{if } x = y, \end{cases}$$

and suppose $x_0 = 0$ and $r = 1$. Thus, we know $\overline{B} = B \cup \partial B$, but notice that

$$B = \{x \in X \mid d(x, 0) < 1\} = \{0\},$$

and $\partial B = \emptyset$ since for all $x \neq 0$, we know

$$B\left(x, \frac{1}{2}\right) = \{x\} \subseteq X \setminus B(0, 1),$$

so we know $\text{Ext}(B) = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Also, we know $\text{Int}(B) = \{0\}$ since $B(0, 1) \subseteq B$ and $\text{Ext}(B) \cap \text{Int}(B) = \emptyset$, so $\partial B = \emptyset$. Now we know $\overline{B} = B \cup \partial B = \{0\}$, but

$$C = \{x \in X \mid d(x, 0) \leq 1\} = \mathbb{R},$$

so $\overline{B} \neq C$.

■

Problem 0.0.3 (21pts). Two metrics d_1 and d_2 on a set X are said to be *Lipschitz equivalent* if there exist constants $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$C_1 d_2(x, y) \leq d_1(x, y) \leq C_2 d_2(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

Let $E \subset X$.

- (a) Prove that E is open in (X, d_1) if and only if E is open in (X, d_2) .
- (b) Prove that E is closed in (X, d_1) if and only if E is closed in (X, d_2) .
- (c) Two metrics d_1 and d_2 on a set X are said to be *topologically equivalent* if they induce the same topology on X . That is, a set $U \subset X$ is open in (X, d_1) if and only if it is open in (X, d_2) . Give examples of topologically equivalent metrics that are not Lipschitz equivalent.

Proof. In the following text, if we write $\text{Int}_1, \text{Int}_2, B_1, B_2$, then the number of the subscript means it is under which metric. For example, $\text{Int}_1(E)$ means the interior points of E in (X, d_1) , and the others are similarly defined.

- (a) (\Rightarrow) If E is open in (X, d_1) , then we know $E = \text{Int}_1(E)$. Thus, $\forall x_0 \in E, \exists r > 0$ s.t.

$$B_1(x_0, r) = \{x \in X \mid d_1(x, x_0) < r\} \subseteq E.$$

However, it means for all $x_0 \in E$, we know

$$B_2\left(x_0, \frac{r}{C_2}\right) = \left\{x \in X \mid d_2(x, x_0) < \frac{r}{C_2}\right\} \subseteq B_1(x_0, r) \subseteq E$$

because for all $x \in B_2\left(x_0, \frac{r}{C_2}\right)$, we have $d_2(x, x_0) < \frac{r}{C_2}$, so it must have $d_1(x, x_0) < r$ since

$$d_1(x, x_0) \leq C_2 d_2(x, x_0) < r.$$

Hence, we have $E \subseteq \text{Int}_2(E)$.

Also, for every $x \in \text{Int}_2(E)$, we know there exists $r > 0$ s.t. $B_2(x, r) \subseteq E$, and also $x \in B_2(x, r)$, so $x \in E$, which means $\text{Int}_2(E) \subseteq E$.

Hence, we have $\text{Int}_2(E) = E$, which means E is open in (X, d_2) .

(\Leftarrow) Since we know

$$\frac{1}{C_2}d_1(x, y) \leq d_2(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{C_1}d_1(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X,$$

so we can just use the same method in the (\Rightarrow)'s proof to prove (\Leftarrow) direction.

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} E \text{ is closed in } (X, d_1) &\Leftrightarrow X \setminus E \text{ is open in } (X, d_1) \\ &\Leftrightarrow X \setminus E \text{ is open in } (X, d_2) \quad (\text{by (a)}) \\ &\Leftrightarrow E \text{ is closed in } (X, d_2). \end{aligned}$$

(c) For $X = \mathbb{R}$, $d_1 = |x - y|$, and $d_2 = \frac{d_1}{1+d_1}$, we claim that d_1 and d_2 are not Lipschitz equivalent and are topologically equivalent.

Note 0.0.1. In the course, we have shown that d_1 is a metric, and in [Problem 0.0.1](#) we have shown that d_2 is a metric.

Claim 0.0.1. d_1 and d_2 are not Lipschitz equivalent.

Proof. Note that $d_1(x, y)$ can be arbitrarily large in \mathbb{R} and $d_2(x, y) < 1$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, so there does not exist a constant c s.t. $d_1(x, y) < cd_2(x, y)$, which means d_1 and d_2 are not Lipschitz equivalent. \otimes

Now we show that a set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is open in (\mathbb{R}, d_1) if and only if U is open in (\mathbb{R}, d_2) .

First notice that

$$d_2(x, y) = \frac{d_1(x, y)}{1 + d_1(x, y)} \Leftrightarrow d_1(x, y) = \frac{d_2(x, y)}{1 - d_2(x, y)}.$$

(\Rightarrow) If U is open in (\mathbb{R}, d_1) , then for all $u \in U$, there exists $r > 0$ s.t.

$$B_1(u, r) = \{x \in X \mid d_1(x, u) < r\} \subseteq X.$$

Also, we know

$$d_1(x, u) < r \Leftrightarrow \frac{d_2(x, u)}{1 - d_2(x, u)} < r \Leftrightarrow d_2(x, u) < \frac{r}{1 + r}.$$

Thus, we know in (\mathbb{R}, d_2) , for all $u \in U$, there exists $\frac{r}{1+r} > 0$ s.t.

$$B_2\left(u, \frac{r}{1+r}\right) = \left\{x \in X \mid d_2(x, u) < \frac{r}{1+r}\right\} \subseteq X,$$

which means $\text{Int}_2(U) = U$ and thus U is open in (\mathbb{R}, d_2) .

(\Leftarrow) If U is open in (\mathbb{R}, d_2) , then for all $u \in U$, there exists $r > 0$ s.t.

$$B_2(u, r) = \{x \in X \mid d_2(x, u) < r\} \subseteq X.$$

Besides, we can let $r < 1$. (If $r \geq 1 > r_2$, then $B_2(u, r_2) \subseteq B(u, r) \subseteq X$, and then we can let $r = r_2$.) Also, we know

$$d_2(x, u) < r \Leftrightarrow \frac{d_1(x, u)}{1 + d_1(x, u)} < r \Leftrightarrow d_1(x, u) < \frac{r}{1 - r}.$$

Notice that since $0 < r < 1$, so $\frac{r}{1-r} > 0$. Thus, we know in (\mathbb{R}, d_2) , for all $u \in U$, there exists $\frac{r}{1-r} > 0$ s.t.

$$B_1\left(u, \frac{r}{1-r}\right) = \left\{x \in X \mid d_1(x, u) < \frac{r}{1-r}\right\} \subseteq X,$$

which means $\text{Int}_1(U) = U$ and thus U is open in (\mathbb{R}, d_1) . ■

Problem 0.0.4 (15 pts). Let $\mathcal{M}_n = M_n(\mathbb{R})$ denote the set of all $n \times n$ real matrices. Define a function on $\mathcal{M}_n \times \mathcal{M}_n$ by

$$\rho(A, B) = \text{rank}(A - B).$$

Then ρ is a metric on \mathcal{M}_n and it is topologically equivalent to the discrete metric on \mathcal{M}_n .

Proof. We first show that ρ is a metric on \mathcal{M}_n .

- For all $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$, we know $\rho(A, A) = \text{rank}(A - A) = \text{rank } 0 = 0$.
- For any distinct $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n$, we know there is a row of $A - B$ not equal to 0-vector, so $\text{rank}(A - B) > 0$.
- For $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n$, we know $\text{rank}(A - B) = \text{rank}(B - A)$, so $\rho(A, B) = \rho(B, A)$.
- For $A, B, C \in \mathcal{M}_n$, we want to show $\text{rank}(A - C) \leq \text{rank}(A - B) + \text{rank}(B - C)$. Suppose $A - B = X, B - C = Y$, then we want to show $\text{rank}(X + Y) \leq \text{rank } X + \text{rank } Y$, which is equivalent to show

$$\dim \text{Im}(X + Y) \leq \dim(\text{Im } X) + \dim(\text{Im } Y).$$

Notice that

$$\text{Im}(X + Y) = \{w \mid (X + Y)v = w \text{ for some } v\} \subseteq \{a + b \mid a \in \text{Im } X, b \in \text{Im } Y\} = \text{Im } X + \text{Im } Y.$$

Hence, we have $\dim \text{Im}(X + Y) \leq \dim(\text{Im } X + \text{Im } Y)$. Also, we know

$$\dim(\text{Im } X + \text{Im } Y) = \dim \text{Im } X + \dim \text{Im } Y - \dim \text{Im } X \cap \text{Im } Y \leq \dim \text{Im } X + \dim \text{Im } Y.$$

Hence, we know $\dim \text{Im}(X + Y) \leq \dim \text{Im } X + \dim \text{Im } Y$.

Now we prove that ρ is topologically equivalent to the discrete metric on \mathcal{M}_n , called d_{disc} . Now we show that for any set $U \subseteq \mathcal{M}_n$, U is open in (\mathcal{M}_n, ρ) and $(\mathcal{M}, d_{\text{disc}})$. For any $U \subseteq \mathcal{M}_n$, and for all $u \in U$, we know $B_\rho(u, \frac{1}{2}) = \{u\} \subseteq U$, so $U = \text{Int}_\rho(U)$, which means U is open in (\mathcal{M}_n, ρ) . Similarly, for all $u \in U$, $B_{\text{disc}}(u, \frac{1}{2}) = \{u\} \subseteq U$, so we can similarly conclude that U is open in $(\mathcal{M}_n, d_{\text{disc}})$. Hence, we can say that $U \subseteq \mathcal{M}_n$ is open in (\mathcal{M}, ρ) if and only if U is open in $(\mathcal{M}_n, d_{\text{disc}})$, so these two metrics are topologically equivalent. ■

Problem 0.0.5 (20 pts). Let E be a subset of a metric space (X, d) . Prove the following:

- (a) The boundary of E is a closed set.
- (b) $\partial E = \overline{E} \cap \overline{X \setminus E}$
- (c) If E is clopen (closed and open), what is ∂E ?
- (d) Give an example of $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\partial(\partial S) \neq \emptyset$, and infer that “the boundary of the boundary $\partial \circ \partial$ is not always zero.”

Proof.

- (a) We want to show that $\partial(\partial E) \subseteq \partial E$. For all $x \in \partial(\partial E)$, if $x \in \partial E$, then we're done. Now

consider the second case: $x \in X \setminus \partial E = \text{Int}(E) \cup \text{Ext}(E)$. Note that for all $r > 0$, we have

$$B(x, r) \cap \partial E \neq \emptyset \quad B(x, r) \cap (X \setminus \partial E) = B(x, r) \cap (\text{Int}(E) \cup \text{Ext}(E)) \neq \emptyset.$$

Case 1: $x \in \text{Int}(E)$.

We know there exists $r' > 0$ s.t. $B(x, r') \subseteq E$. If there exists $c \in B(x, r') \cap \partial E$, then we know $c \in B(x, r') \subseteq E$, so $c \in E$. Also, we know

$$B(c, r'') \cap E \neq \emptyset \quad B(c, r'') \cap (X \setminus E) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall r'' > 0.$$

Now suppose $\varepsilon = d(c, x) < r'$. If we pick some $r'' < r' - \varepsilon$, then for all $p \in B(c, r'')$, we have $d(p, c) < r''$, and by triangle inequality we have

$$d(p, x) \leq d(p, c) + d(c, x) < r'' + \varepsilon < r' - \varepsilon + \varepsilon = r',$$

which means $p \in B(x, r')$. Hence, $B(c, r'') \subseteq B(x, r') \subseteq E$, which means $B(c, r'') \cap (X \setminus E) = \emptyset$, and this is a contradiction, so we know there does not exist $x \in \partial(\partial E)$ s.t. $x \in \text{Int}(E)$.

Case 2: $x \in \text{Ext}(E)$.

We know there exists $r' > 0$ s.t. $B(x, r') \subseteq X \setminus E$. If there exists $c \in B(x, r') \cap \partial E$, then we know $c \in B(x, r') \subseteq X \setminus E$, so $c \in X \setminus E$. Also, we know

$$B(c, r'') \cap E \neq \emptyset \quad B(c, r'') \cap (X \setminus E) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall r'' > 0.$$

Now suppose $\varepsilon = d(c, x) < r'$. If we pick some $r'' < r' - \varepsilon$, then for all $p \in B(c, r'')$, we have $d(p, c) < r''$, and by triangle inequality we have

$$d(p, x) \leq d(p, c) + d(c, x) < r'' + \varepsilon < r' - \varepsilon + \varepsilon = r',$$

which means $p \in B(x, r')$. Hence, $B(c, r'') \subseteq B(x, r') \subseteq X \setminus E$, which means $B(c, r'') \cap E = \emptyset$, and this is a contradiction, so we know there does not exist $x \in \partial(\partial E)$ s.t. $x \in \text{Ext}(E)$.

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a point } x \in \partial E &\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} B(x, r) \cap E \neq \emptyset \\ B(x, r) \cap (X \setminus E) \neq \emptyset \end{cases} \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in \overline{E} \text{ and } x \in \overline{X \setminus E}. \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in \overline{E} \cap x \in \overline{X \setminus E}. \end{aligned}$$

(c) If E is clopen, then we know

$$\begin{cases} \partial E \subseteq E \\ \partial E \cap E = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Hence, $\partial E = \emptyset$. Otherwise, if there exists $a \in \partial E$, then $a \in \partial E \subseteq E$, and thus $a \in \partial E \cap E$, which means $\partial E \cap E \neq \emptyset$, and this is a contradiction.

(d) Consider $S = (-1, 1)$, and the metric is defined by $d(x, y) = |x - y|$, then $\{-1, 1\} = \partial S$, and for any $r > 0$, we know $-1 \in B(-1, r)$, so $B(-1, r) \cap \partial S \neq \emptyset$. Also, for any $r > 0$, we know $-1 + \min\{0.1, \frac{r}{2}\} \in B(-1, r)$. Note that $-1 + \min\{0.1, \frac{r}{2}\} \in X \setminus \partial S$, so we know $B(-1, r) \cap (X \setminus \partial S) \neq \emptyset$. Hence, $-1 \in \partial(\partial S)$, and thus $\partial(\partial S) \neq \emptyset$.

■

Problem 0.0.6 (21 pts). In a metric space (X, d) , if subsets satisfy $A \subseteq S \subseteq \overline{A}$, where \overline{A} is the closure of A , then A is said to be *dense* in S . For example, the set \mathbb{Q} of rational numbers is dense in \mathbb{R} .

(a) If A is dense in S and S is dense in T , prove that A is dense in T .

(b) If A is dense in S and if B is open in S , prove that

$$B \subseteq \overline{A \cap B}.$$

(c) If each of A and B is dense in S and if B is open in S , prove that

$$A \cap B \text{ is dense in } S.$$

Proof.

(a) We know that

$$\begin{cases} A \subseteq S \subseteq \overline{A} \\ A \subseteq T \subseteq \overline{S}, \end{cases}$$

and we want to show that $A \subseteq T \subseteq \overline{A}$. Since $A \subseteq S \subseteq T$, so we have $A \subseteq T$. Now we want to show $T \subseteq \overline{A}$. If there exists $x \in T$ but $x \notin \overline{A}$, then we know

$$\forall r > 0, \begin{cases} B(x, r) \cap S \neq \emptyset \\ B(x, r) \cap (X \setminus S) \neq \emptyset \end{cases} \quad \text{since } T \subseteq \overline{S}.$$

Also, we know there exists $r' > 0$ s.t. $B(x, r') \cap A = \emptyset$. Now since $A \subseteq S$, so we know

$$B(x, r') \cap (S \setminus A) \neq \emptyset.$$

Besides, note that $S \subseteq \overline{A} = A \cup \partial A$, so $(S \setminus A) \subseteq \partial A$, so we know there exists $y \in \partial A$ s.t. $y \in B(x, r')$. Note that $d(y, x) < r'$, and we define $\varepsilon = r' - d(y, x) > 0$. Also, we have

$$\forall r'' > 0, \begin{cases} B(y, r'') \cap A \neq \emptyset \\ B(y, r'') \cap (X \setminus A) \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Hence, we know for some $a \in A$, $a \in B(y, r'')$ for all $r'' > 0$, which means $d(y, a) < r''$. If we pick r'' s.t. $0 < r'' < \varepsilon$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(a, x) &\leq d(a, y) + d(y, x) \\ &< \varepsilon + d(y, x) \\ &= r' - d(y, x) + d(y, x) = r'. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have $a \in B(x, r')$, but $a \in A$, so $a \in B(x, r') \cap A = \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. Thus, we know for every $x \in T$, we must have $x \in \overline{A}$, which means $T \subseteq \overline{A}$, and we're done.

(b) Since $S \subseteq \overline{A}$, so for all $x \in S$ and $r > 0$, we know $B(x, r) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. We want to show that for all $x \in B$, we have $B(x, r) \cap A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ for all $r > 0$. Now suppose $x \in B \subseteq S$. Since B is open in S , so there exists $O \subseteq X$ s.t. O is open and $B = O \cap S$. Note that for all $x \in B \subseteq S$, there exists $r_1 > 0$ s.t. $B(x, r_1) \subseteq O$. Hence, we have $B(x, r_1) \cap S \subseteq O \cap S = B$. Also, since we know $A \subseteq S$, so

$$B(x, r_1) \cap A \subseteq B(x, r_1) \cap S \subseteq B,$$

which shows that $B(x, r_1) \cap A \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Now if $0 < r_2 < r_1$, then since $B(x, r_2) \subseteq B(x, r_1)$, so we have

$$B(x, r_2) \cap S \subseteq B(x, r_1) \cap S \subseteq B.$$

Also, we still have $B(x, r_2) \cap A \neq \emptyset$, and similarly we have

$$B(x, r_2) \cap A \subseteq B(x, r_1) \cap S \subseteq B,$$

which shows $B(x, r_2) \cap A \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Now if $r_3 > r_1$, then since $B(x, r_1) \subseteq B(x, r_3)$, and we have shown that $B(x, r_1) \cap A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, so we have

$$\emptyset \neq B(x, r_1) \cap A \cap B \subseteq B(x, r_3) \cap A \cap B.$$

Hence, for all $r > 0$, we know $B(x, r) \cap A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, and we're done.

- (c) By (b), we know $B \subseteq \overline{A \cap B}$. Also, we always have $A \cap B \subseteq B$, so we have $A \cap B \subseteq B \subseteq \overline{A \cap B}$, which means $A \cap B$ is dense in B . Now since B is dense in S , so by (a) we know $A \cap B$ is dense in S .

■