Introduction to Analysis I HW 1

B13902024 張沂魁

September 6, 2025

Problem 0.0.1 (10pts). Dyadic density via the Archimedean property. Let a < b be real numbers. Prove that there exists a dyadic rational

$$q = \frac{k}{2^n} \in \mathbb{Q} \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N})$$

such that a < q < b. Further show that there are infinitely many such dyadic rationals in (a, b).

Proof. We first need to show a lemma first:

Lemma 0.0.1. For any real numbers a, b such that a < b, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^n a > b$.

Proof. By Archimedean Property, we know there exists $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that qa > b, so if we pick n = q + 2, then we have

$$2^n = 2^{q+2} > q + 2 > q$$

so we have $2^n a > qa > b$, and we're done.

Now using Lemma 0.0.1, we can get there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^n(b-a) > 1$, so if we let $k = |2^n a| + 1$, then we have

$$2^n a < |2^n a| + 1 = k \le 2^n a + 1 < 2^n b.$$

Hence,

$$a < \frac{k}{2^n} < b$$

here. Note that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, so we can pick $q = \frac{k}{2^n}$. Next we'll show that there are infinitely many such dyadic rationals in (a, b). Actually we can just repeat the step above but let a be $q^{(0)}$ that $q^{(0)}$ is the q we found above and then we know there exists another dyadic rationals $q^{(1)}$ in $(q^{(0)}, b)$, and then doing again this step we know there exists another dyadic rationals $q^{(2)}$ in $(q^{(1)}, b)$. and so on. Then, since $q^{(i)} \neq q^{(j)}$ if $i \neq j$, so we

$$a < q^{(0)} < q^{(1)} < q^{(2)} < \dots < b,$$

which means there are infinitely many such dyadic rationals in (a, b).

Problem 0.0.2 (A tour of the p-adic world.). The field \mathbb{Q} inherits the Euclidean metric from \mathbb{R} , but it also carries a very different metric: the p-adic metric.

Given a prime number p and an integer n, the p-adic norm of n is defined as

$$|n|_p = \frac{1}{p^k},$$

where p^k is the largest power of p dividing n. (We define $|0|_p := 0$.) The more factors of p appear in n, the smaller the p-adic norm becomes.

For a rational number $x = \frac{a}{b}$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, we may factor x as

$$x = p^k \cdot \frac{r}{s},$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and p divides neither r nor s. We then define

$$|x|_p = p^{-k}.$$

The p-adic metric on \mathbb{Q} is given by

$$d_p(x,y) := |x-y|_p$$
.

*

- (a) To compute the 5-adic norm $|x|_5$ of a rational number x, we examine how many factors of 5 occur in x (in either numerator or denominator).
 - If $x = 5^k \cdot \frac{a}{b}$ with a, b not divisible by 5 and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the 5-adic norm is

$$|x|_5 = 5^{-k}$$
.

- Examples.
 - (a) $30 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$. There is exactly one factor of 5, so

$$|30|_5 = 5^{-1} = \frac{1}{5}$$
.

(b) $32 = 2^5$. There is no factor of 5, so

$$|32|_5 = 5^0 = 1.$$

(c) Compute $\left|\frac{1}{250}\right|_5$.

$$250 = 2 \cdot 5^3.$$

So

$$\frac{1}{250} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot 5^3} = 5^{-3} \cdot \frac{1}{2},$$

where $\frac{1}{2}$ has no factor of 5 in numerator or denominator.

Therefore,

$$\left| \frac{1}{250} \right|_5 = 5^{-(-3)} = 5^3 = 125.$$

Hence,

$$\left| \frac{1}{250} \right|_5 = 125.$$

Now practice computing the following 5-adic norms: (6 pts)

- (a) $|75|_5$
- (b) $\left| \frac{10}{9} \right|_5$
- (c) $\left| -\frac{20}{375} \right|_5$
- (b) (9 pts) Further properties of the 5-adic norm.
 - (a) Determine all rational numbers x satisfying $|x|_5 \le 1$.
 - (b) Which rational numbers x satisfy $|x|_5 = 1$?
 - (c) What is $\lim_{n\to\infty} 5^n$ in (\mathbb{Q}, d_5) (the 5-adic metric)? Hint: Compute $d_5(5^n, 0)$.
- (c) (15 pts) Non-Archimedean absolute value and metric. Prove that $|\cdot|_p$ satisfies

$$|xy|_p = |x|_p |y|_p, \qquad |x+y|_p \le \max\{|x|_p, |y|_p\},$$

and show that d_p is a metric on \mathbb{Q} .

Proof.

(a)

- (a) First note that $75 = 5^2 \cdot 3$, so $|75|_5 = 5^{-2} = \frac{1}{25}$.
- (b) First note that $\frac{10}{9} = 5 \cdot \frac{2}{9}$, so $\left| \frac{10}{9} \right|_5 = 5^{-1} = \frac{1}{5}$.
- (c) First note that $-\frac{4\cdot 5}{5^3\cdot 3} = 5^{-2} \cdot \frac{-4}{3}$, so $\left| -\frac{20}{375} \right|_5 = 5^{-(-2)} = 25$.

(b)

(a) Suppose $x=5^k\cdot\frac{r}{s}$ where $k,r,s\in\mathbb{Z}$ and 5 divides neither r nor s, then we know $|x|_5=5^{-k}$, and we want $5^{-k}\le 1$, which means $k\ge 0$. Hence,

{all rational numbers x satisfying $|x|_5 \le 1$ } = $\left\{5^k \cdot \frac{r}{s} \mid k, r, s \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } k \ge 0 \text{ and } 5 \nmid rs\right\}$.

(b) $\{ \text{all rational numbers } x \text{ satisfying } |x|_5 = 1 \} = \left\{ \frac{r}{\varsigma} \mid r,s \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } 5 \nmid rs \right\}$

(c) First notice that $d_5(5^n, 0) = |5^n - 0|_5 = 5^{-n}$. Also, we know

$$0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} 5^{-n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} d_5(5^n, 0),$$

so we know $\lim_{n\to\infty} 5^n = 0$ in (\mathbb{Q}, d_5) .

(c) First we consider the case that x, y are both not zero. Now suppose $x = p^{k_1} \frac{r_1}{s_1}$ and $y = p^{k_2} \frac{r_2}{s_2}$, where $p \nmid r_1 s_1 r_2 s_2$. Hence, $xy = p^{k_1 + k_2} \frac{r_1 r_2}{s_1 s_2}$, and thus

$$|xy|_p = p^{-(k_1+k_2)}.$$

Also, we know

$$|x|_p = p^{-k_1}$$
 $|y|_p = p^{-k_2}$

so

$$|xy|_p = p^{-(k_1+k_2)} = p^{-k_1}p^{-k_2} = |x|_p|y|_p.$$

Now without lose of genrality, suppose $k_1 \geq k_2$, then we know

$$x + y = p^{k_2} \left(\frac{p^{k_1 - k_2} r_1 s_2 + r_2 s_1}{s_1 s_2} \right),$$

and thus

$$|x+y|_p \le p^{-k_2} = |y|_p = \max\{|x|_p, |y|_p\}.$$

Note. When $k_1 = k_2$, it may happen that $|x + y|_p < \max\{|x|_p, |y|_p\}$.

And the case that $k_2 \geq k_1$ is similar.

As for the case that either x or y is zero, we know that $|0|_p = 0$. We first talk about the case that x = 0, so

$$|xy|_p = |0|_p = 0 = |x|_p |y|_p$$

and

$$|x + y|_p = |y|_p = \max\{|x|_p, |y|_p\}.$$

Similarly, we know the case that y = 0 is also true by repeating the steps above.

Next, we want to show that d_p is a metric on \mathbb{Q} . From now on we suppose $x=p^{k_1}\frac{r_1}{s_1}$, $y=p^{k_2}\frac{r_2}{s_2}$, and $z=p^{k_3}\frac{r_3}{s_3}$ for some $x,y,z\in\mathbb{Q}$ and $p\nmid r_is_i$ for i=1,2,3. Hence,

$$-d_p(x,x) = |0|_p = 0.$$

$$-d_p(x,y) = |x-y|_p = \frac{1}{p^z}$$
 for some $z \in \mathbb{Z}$, so $d_p(x,y) > 0$.

- Without lose of generality, suppose $k_1 \geq k_2$, then

$$x - y = p^{k_2} \left(\frac{p^{k_1 - k_2} r_1 s_2 - r_2 s_1}{s_1 s_2} \right)$$

and

$$y - x = -p^{k_2} \left(\frac{p^{k_1 - k_2} r_1 s_2 - r_2 s_1}{s_1 s_2} \right),$$

so we know

$$d_p(x,y) = |x - y|_p = k_2 = |y - x|_p = d(y,x).$$

 $d_p(x,z) = |x-z|_p = |(x-y) + (y-z)|_p$ $\leq \max\{|x-y|_p, |y-z|_p\} \leq |x-y|_p + |y-z|_p = d_p(x,y) + d_p(y,z).$

By the above four properties of d_p , we can conclude that d_p is a metric on \mathbb{Q} .

Problem 0.0.3 (exercise 1.1.3 (20 pts)). Let X be a set, and let $d: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ be a function.

- (a) Give an example of a pair (X, d) which obeys axioms (bcd) of Definition 1.1.2, but not (a). (Hint: modify the discrete metric.)
- (b) Give an example of a pair (X, d) which obeys axioms (acd) of Definition 1.1.2, but not (b).
- (c) Give an example of a pair (X, d) which obeys axioms (abd) of Definition 1.1.2, but not (c).
- (d) Give an example of a pair (X, d) which obeys axioms (abc) of Definition 1.1.2, but not (d). (Hint: try examples where X is a finite set.)

Proof.

- (a) Suppose $X = \mathbb{R}$ and define d(x, y) = 1 for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.
 - For any $x \in X$, we have $d(x,x) = 1 \neq 0$.
 - For any distinct $x, y \in X$, we have d(x, y) = 1 > 0.
 - For any $x, y \in X$, we have d(x, y) = 1 = d(y, x).
 - For any $x, y, z \in X$, we have $d(x, z) = 1 \le 2 = d(x, y) + d(y, z)$.
- (b) Suppose $X = \mathbb{R}$ and d(x, y) = 0 for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.
 - For any $x \in X$, we have d(x, x) = 0.
 - For any distinct $x, y \in X$, we have d(x, y) = 0.
 - For any $x, y \in X$, we have d(x, y) = 0 = d(y, x).
 - For any $x, y, z \in X$, we have $d(x, z) = 0 \le 0 + 0 = d(x, y) + d(y, z)$.
- (c) Suppose $X = \mathbb{R}$ and

$$d(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = y; \\ |x| + 114514, & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

- For any $x \in X$, we have d(x, x) = 0.
- For any distinct $x, y \in X$, we have d(x, y) = |x| + 114514 > 0.
- For any $x, y \in X$, we have d(x, y) = |x| + 114514 and d(y, x) = |y| + 114514, and when $x \neq y$, we have $d(x, y) \neq d(y, x)$.

• For any $x, y, z \in X$, we have

$$d(x,z) = |x| + 114514 \le |x| + 114514 + |y| + 114514 = d(x,y) + d(y,z).$$

(d) Suppose $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$, and define

$$d(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } (x,y) = (0,0), (1,1), (2,2); \\ 48763, & \text{if } (x,y) = (0,1), (1,0); \\ 5269, & \text{if } (x,y) = (0,2), (2,0); \\ 7414, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, we have

- For any $x \in X$, we have d(x, x) = 0.
- For any distinct $x, y \in X$, we have d(x, y) > 0 by the definition of d.
- For any $x, y \in X$, we have d(x, y) = d(y, x) by definition.
- For any (x, z) = (0, 1) and y = 2, we know

$$d(0,1) = 48763 \ge 5269 + 7414 = d(0,2) + d(2,1).$$

Problem 0.0.4 (20 pts). Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ be vectors in \mathbb{R}^n .

(a) The ℓ^1 metric is defined by

$$d_1(x,y) := \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|.$$

Show that d_1 is a metric on \mathbb{R}^n

(b) The ℓ^{∞} metric is defined by

$$d_{\infty}(x,y) := \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i - y_i|.$$

Show that d_{∞} is a metric on \mathbb{R}^n

Proof. From now on, if we suppose $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then we also suppose

$$x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n), z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n).$$

- (a) For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $d_1(x, x) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i x_i| = 0$.
 - For distinct $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $x_j \neq y_j$, so

$$d_1(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i| \ge |x_j - y_j| > 0$$

• For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$d_1(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i - x_i| = d_1(y,x).$$

• For $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$d_1(x,z) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - z_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n |(x_i - y_i) + (y_i - z_i)|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i| + |y_i - z_i| = d_1(x,y) + d_1(y,z).$$

- (b) For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $d_{\infty}(x, x) = \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i x_i| = 0$.
 - For distinct $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $1 \le j \le n$ and $x_j \ne y_j$, so

$$d_{\infty}(x,y) = \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i - y_i| \ge |x_j - y_j| > 0.$$

• For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$d_{\infty}(x,y) = \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i - y_i| = \max_{1 \le i \le n} |y_i - x_i| = d_{\infty}(y,x).$$

• For $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, suppose

$$p = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i - z_i|,$$

then we know

$$d_{\infty}(x,z) = |x_p - z_p| \le |x_p - y_p| + |y_p - z_p|$$

$$\le \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i - y_i| + \max_{1 \le i \le n} |y_i - z_i| = d_{\infty}(x,y) + d_{\infty}(y,z).$$

Problem 0.0.5 (10 pts). A vector space V over \mathbb{R} is a set equipped with two operations:

- 1. Vector addition: $+: V \times V \to V$, written $(u, v) \mapsto u + v$.
- 2. Scalar multiplication: $\cdot : \mathbb{R} \times V \to V$, written $(\alpha, v) \mapsto \alpha v$,

such that the following properties hold for all $u, v, w \in V$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$:

(VS1)
$$(u+v) + w = u + (v+w)$$
 (associativity of addition)

(VS2)
$$u + v = v + u$$
 (commutativity of addition)

- (VS3) There exists $0 \in V$ such that u + 0 = u (additive identity)
- (VS4) For each $u \in V$, there exists $-u \in V$ such that u + (-u) = 0 (additive inverse)

(VS5)
$$\alpha(u+v) = \alpha u + \alpha v$$
 (distributivity I)

(VS6)
$$(\alpha + \beta)u = \alpha u + \beta u$$
 (distributivity II)

(VS7)
$$(\alpha\beta)u = \alpha(\beta u)$$
 (compatibility of scalar multiplication)

(VS8)
$$1 \cdot u = u$$
 (identity element of scalar multiplication)

A function $\|\cdot\|:V\to [0,\infty)$ is called a *norm* on V if, for all $u,v\in V$ and $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$, the following properties hold:

(N1)
$$||v|| \ge 0$$
, and $||v|| = 0$ if and only if $v = 0$. (positivity)

(N2)
$$\|\alpha v\| = |\alpha| \cdot \|v\|$$
. (homogeneity)

(N3)
$$||u+v|| \le ||u|| + ||v||$$
. (triangle inequality)

Given a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on V, define $d: V \times V \to [0, \infty)$ by

$$d(u,v) = ||u - v||.$$

Prove that d is a metric on V, that is, for all $x, y, z \in V$ show that:

- 1. $d(x,y) \ge 0$ and d(x,y) = 0 if and only if x = y.
- 2. d(x,y) = d(y,x).
- 3. $d(x,z) \le d(x,y) + d(y,z)$.

(Thus we conclude that every normed vector space $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ is also a metric space with metric $d(u, v) = \|u - v\|$.)

Proof.

- 1. We have $d(x,y) = ||x-y|| \ge 0$, and ||x-y|| = 0 if and only if x-y=0, which means x=y.
- 2. $d(x,y) = ||x-y|| = ||(-1)\cdot(y-x)|| = |-1|\cdot||y-x|| = ||y-x|| = d(y,x).$
- 3. $d(x,z) = ||x-z|| = ||(x-y) + (y-z)|| \le ||x-y|| + ||y-z|| = d(x,y) + d(y,z)$.

Problem 0.0.6 (10 pts). Let S be a bounded nonempty set of real numbers, and let a and b be fixed nonzero real numbers. Define $T = \{as + b | s \in S\}$ Find formulas for $\sup T$ and $\inf T$ in terms of $\sup S$ and $\inf S$. Prove your formulas.

Proof. We first consider the case that a > 0.

Claim. If a > 0, then $\sup T = a \sup S + b$.

Proof. First notice that for all $t \in T$, we can write t = as + b for some $s \in S$. Hence,

$$t = as + b \le a \sup S + b$$
,

which means $a \sup S + b$ is an upper bound of T. Now if $a \sup S + b \neq \sup T$, then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $a \sup S + b - \varepsilon \ge t$ for all $t \in T$, and we can write all $t \in T$ as as' + b for some $s' \in S$, so

$$a \sup S + b - \varepsilon \ge as' + b \Leftrightarrow \sup S - \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{a}\right) \ge s' \quad \forall s' \in S,$$

so $\sup S - \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{a}\right)$ is an upper bound of S and smaller than $\sup S$, which is a contradiction, so $\sup T = a \sup S + b$.

Claim. If a > 0, then $\inf T = a \inf S + b$.

Proof. First notice that for all $t \in T$, we can write t = as + b for some $s \in S$. Hence,

$$t = as + b \ge a \inf S + b$$
,

which means $a \inf S + b$ is a lower bound of T. Now if $a \inf S + b \neq \inf T$, then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $a \inf S + b + \varepsilon \leq t$ for all $t \in T$, and we can write all $t \in T$ as as' + b for some $s' \in S$, so

$$a\inf S + b + \varepsilon \le as' + b \Leftrightarrow \inf S + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{a}\right) \le s' \quad \forall s' \in S,$$

so $\inf S + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{a}\right)$ is a lower bound of S and bigger than $\inf S$, which is a contradiction, so $\inf T = a\inf S + b$.

Now we talk about the case a < 0, but it is actually very similar.

Claim. If a < 0, then $\sup T = a \inf S + b$.

Proof. First notice that for all $t \in T$, we can write t = as + b for some $s \in S$. Hence,

$$t = as + b \le a \inf S + b$$
,

which means $a\inf S+b$ is an upper bound of T. Now if $a\inf S+b\neq\sup T$, then there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that $a\inf S+b-\varepsilon\geq t$ for all $t\in T$. Also, we can write every $t\in T$ as as'+b for some $s'\in S$, so

$$a\inf S + b - \varepsilon \ge as' + b \Leftrightarrow a\inf S \ge as' + \varepsilon \Leftrightarrow \inf S \le s' + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{a}\right).$$

Note that $\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{a}\right) \leq 0$, so we know

$$\inf S \le \inf S - \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{a}\right) \le s' \quad \forall s' \in S,$$

so we can find that $\inf S - \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{a}\right)$ is also a lower bound of S but bigger than $\inf S$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $\sup T = a \inf S + b$ if a < 0.

Claim. If a < 0, then $\inf T = a \sup S + b$.

Proof. First notice that for all $t \in T$, we can write t = as + b for some $s \in S$. Hence,

$$t = as + b \ge a \sup S + b$$
,

which means $a \sup S + b$ is a lower bound of T. Now if $a \sup S + b \neq \inf T$, then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $a \sup S + b + \varepsilon \leq t$ for all $t \in T$. Also, we can write every $t \in T$ as as' + b for some $s' \in S$, so

$$a \sup S + b + \varepsilon \le as' + b \Leftrightarrow a \sup S + \varepsilon \le as' \Leftrightarrow \sup S + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{a}\right) \ge s'.$$

Note that $\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{a}\right) \leq 0$, so we know

$$\sup S \ge \sup S + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{a}\right) \ge s' \quad \forall s' \in S,$$

so we can find that $\sup S + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{a}\right)$ is also a lower bound of S but smaller than $\sup S$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $\inf T = a \sup S + b$ if a < 0.