✓ forcats 1.0.0 ✓ stringr 1.5.0 ✓ ggplot2 3.4.4 ✓ tibble 3.2.1 ✓ lubridate 1.9.3
 ✓ tidyr
 1.3.0 ✓ purrr 1.0.2 — Conflicts —— — tidyverse_conflicts() — # dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter() # dplyr::lag() masks stats::lag() i Use the conflicted package (http://conflicted.r-lib.org/) to force all conflicts to become errors Attaching package: 'gridExtra' The following object is masked from 'package:dplyr': combine Introduction Pokemon is one of the most renowned and influential media franchises, spanning across electronic gaming, animated series, and film. In recent years, the impact of Pokemon has evolved from being primarily Japanese to a global sensation (Tobin, 2004). According to Mäyrä (2017), Pokemon has transformed the landscape of digital gaming, moving it from the fringes of public life to a central and significant role in mainstream culture. This evolution marks not only a shift in gaming habits, but also an expansion of digital gaming culture, with Pokemon now a game that has spread across the world and has had a profound impact. Our objective is to determine whether there exists a significant disparity of total power between Bug-type and non-Bug Pokemon. This study utilises the Pokemon dataset, encompassing data on 721 Pokemon with various stats and types. Our focus lies in comparing the mean total power of Bug-type and non-Bug Pokemon and determining whether there is a differential between these two. There are two main parts in the project: the inferential and hypothesis. In our inference analysis, our objective is to contrast the total power between Bug-type Pokemon and non-Bug Pokemon, which reveals the strength of Pokemon through data comparison. Through the plots and point estimates we took, there are initial conclusions regarding the disparity in total power. However, to fortify the reliability of this project, it is necessary to proceed with a hypothesis analysis. In the subsequent phase, we delve into a hypothesis analysis concerning the mean total power disparity between Bug-type and non-Bug Pokemon. This analysis hinges on two core hypotheses: the null hypothesis and the alternative hypothesis. Our focus is to calculate the p-value by test statistics and compare the p-value with the predetermined significance level, leading us to derive the necessary conclusion. (296)Wrangling Data In [2]: ## Reading and tidying data from file ## original_pokemon <- read_csv("https://raw.githubusercontent.com/Kooriryuu/Stat201Group2/main/Pokemon.csv")</pre> colnames(original_pokemon) <- gsub(" ", "_", colnames(original_pokemon))</pre> ## Wrangling Data (Removing legendaries and selecting relevant columns) ## pokemon <- original_pokemon |> filter(!Legendary) |> select(Type_1, Type_2, Total) |> mutate(isBug = Type_1 == "Bug" | Type_2 == "Bug" & (!is.na(Type_2))) head(pokemon) Rows: 800 Columns: 13 Column specification Delimiter: "," chr (3): Name, Type 1, Type 2 dbl (9): #, Total, HP, Attack, Defense, Sp. Atk, Sp. Def, Speed, Generation i Use `spec()` to retrieve the full column specification for this data. i Specify the column types or set `show_col_types = FALSE` to quiet this message. Type_1 Type_2 Total isBug <chr> <dbl> <lql> Grass Poison 318 FALSE Grass Poison 405 FALSE Grass Poison 525 FALSE Grass Poison 625 FALSE NA 309 FALSE NA 405 FALSE Fire {The dataset used in this report was obtained from Kaggle which gave this dataset an 8.8/10 regarding its usability, completeness, credibility and compatibility of the dataset. \uneccessary?} We have filtered out legendary Pokémon, which could otherwise skew the results. Further, we selected only the columns relavent to focus on answering our question "Are Bug Pokemon weaker in power than non-Bug Pokemon?". (61) In [3]: ## Creating a sample (size = 30) of Bug and non-Bug Pokemon and calculating their sample means ## set.seed(6969) bug_sample <- pokemon |> filter(Type_1 == "Bug" | Type_2 == "Bug") |> $sample_n(size = 30)$ non_bug_sample <- pokemon |> filter(Type_1 != "Bug" & Type_2 != "Bug") |> $sample_n(size = 30)$ sample_means = tibble(bug_power = mean(bug_sample\$Total), non_bug_power = mean(non_bug_sample\$Total)) sample_means ## Creating a combined dataset of the two samples combined_sample = rbind(bug_sample, non_bug_sample) |> mutate(isBug = Type_1 == "Bug" | Type_2 == "Bug" & (!is.na(Type_2))) head(combined_sample) A tibble: 1 × 2 bug_power non_bug_power <dbl> <dbl> 376.3667 476.8667 A tibble: 6 × 4 Type_1 Type_2 Total isBug <chr> <dbl> <lgl> Bug Fighting 600 TRUE NA 195 TRUE Bug Poison 395 TRUE NA 194 TRUE 390 TRUE Steel 484 TRUE In [4]: ## Visualising difference in total power ## options(repr.plot.width = 15) ### Side-by-side bar graph visualising the mean and standard deviation of Bug and non-Bug samples ### pokemon_plot <- combined_sample |> group_by(isBug) |> summarise(meanTotal = mean(Total), $std_d = sd(Total) / sqrt(n())) >$ ggplot() + geom_col(aes(x=isBug, y=meanTotal, fill = isBug)) + $geom_errorbar(aes(x = isBug, ymin=meanTotal-std_d, ymax=meanTotal+std_d), width=0.4) +$ ggtitle("Fig. 1 - Side-by-side bar graph comparing mean Total Power of Bug and non-Bug") + xlab("Bug vs Non-Bug Samples (size = 30)") + ylab("Mean Total Power") ### Side-by-side boxplot shwing the numerical summary of Total Power of Bug and non-Bug samples ### box_plot <- combined_sample |> ggplot(aes(x = isBug, y = Total, fill = isBug)) +geom_boxplot() + ggtitle("Fig. 2 - Side-by-side Boxplot comparing Total Power of Bug and non-Bug samples") + xlab("Bug Type?") grid.arrange(pokemon_plot, box_plot, ncol=2) Fig. 2 - Side-by-side Boxplot comparing Total Power of Bug and non-Bug samples Fig. 1 - Side-by-side bar graph comparing mean Total Power of Bug and non-Bug 400 -500 **-**FALSE FALSE TRUE TRUE 200 **-**TRUE Bug vs Non-Bug Samples (size = 30) In Figures 1 and 2, we observe both mean and median Total Power of Bug is lower than non-Bug Pokemon, providing evidence to support our hypothesis. (26)In [5]: ## Side-by-side visualisation of Bug and non-Bug sample distributions ## options(repr.plot.width = 14) bug_sample_dist <- bug_sample |> ggplot(aes(x = Total, fill = isBug)) +geom_histogram(binwidth = 15, alpha = 0.6, position = position_dodge(width = 5)) + ggtitle("Fig. 3 - Total Stat Distribution for Bug Pokemon") + xlab("Total Power") + scale_fill_brewer(palette="Dark2") + geom_vline(aes(xintercept=sample_means\$bug_power)) non_bug_sample_dist <- non_bug_sample |> ggplot(aes(x = Total, fill = isBug)) +geom_histogram(binwidth = 15, alpha = 0.6, position = position_dodge(width = 5)) + ggtitle("Fig. 4 - Total Stat Distribution for Non-Bug Pokemon") + xlab("Total Power") + scale_fill_brewer(palette="Pastel1") + geom_vline(aes(xintercept=sample_means\$non_bug_power)) grid.arrange(bug_sample_dist, non_bug_sample_dist, ncol=2) Fig. 3 - Total Stat Distribution for Bug Pokemon Fig. 4 - Total Stat Distribution for Non-Bug Pokemon isBug ISBug TRUE 2 FALSE 500 In Figures 3 and 4, we compare the sample distribution of Total Power in our Bug and non-Bug samples. We observe Figure 3 is centred around a mean which is lower than in Figure 4, suggesting evidence to support our hypothesis. (41) Methodology While our preliminary results support our initial claim of bug inferiority, the findings are insufficient to conclude statistical significance. There is no way to ascertain whether any observed differences are statistically meaningful or just the result of sample variations. Therefore, we will employ both theory-based and bootstrapping methods to statistically analyse our research question. We will conduct a theory-based hypothesis test to ascertain whether the average Total Power of Bug-type Pokémon significantly less than the average total power of the population at a 5% significance level. This two-sample t-test will provide a clear conclusion about the statistical significance of total power differences: Null Hypothesis: The true Mean Total power of Bug Pokemon is equal to the true mean total power of Non-Bug Pokemon. Alternative Hypothesis: The true Mean Total power of Bug Pokemon is less than the true Mean Total power of non-Bug pokemon. In addition, we will use bootstrapping to construct a confidence interval of the difference in means between non-Bug and Bug type Pokemon at a 95% confidence level. Using the infer package, we generate 2000 bootstrap samples of size 30 from a combined sample of 30 Bug and 30 non-Bug type Pokemon and calculate the difference in mean Total Power (non-Bug Type – Bug Type). From this we construct our confidence level (1 - alpha). If our confidence interval does not capture 0, and the difference is positive, we are 95% confident that the difference in mean is greater than 0 (i.e. non-Bug Pokemon have higher mean Total Power than Bug Pokemon). Finally, we will use bootstrapping to construct a confidence interval at a 95% level to estimate the true standard deviation of our population. (284)Theory-Based Hypothesis Testing We are conducting a two-sample t-test, at a significance level of $\alpha=0.05$, to determine whether there is a significant difference in mean Total Power between Bug and non-Bug Pokemon. For this hypothesis test, we are using: $H_0: \mu_0 - \mu_1 = 0$ $H_A: \mu_0-\mu_1>0$ where μ_0 represents the mean of non-bug pokemon and μ_1 represents the mean of bug pokemon. The first step is to calculate the test statistic, using the formula where we estimate the standard error σ to be, $\sigma=\sqrt{rac{s_1^2}{n_1}+rac{s_2^2}{n_2}}$ where s^2 represents sample variance and n represents the sample size. (79)In [6]: #Calculating the mean of each sample mean_bug <- mean(bug_sample\$Total)</pre> mean_nonBug <- mean(non_bug_sample\$Total)</pre> #Calculating the variance of each sample var_bug <- var(bug_sample\$Total)</pre> var_nonBug <- var(non_bug_sample\$Total)</pre> #Calculating the standard error n1 <- 30 no <- 30 sigma <- sqrt(var_bug/n1 + var_nonBug/n0)</pre> sigma 29.6932678103422 Our standard error is found to be about 29.6932. In [7]: #Calculating test statistic using the given formula test_stat <- (mean_nonBug - mean_bug)/(sigma)</pre> test_stat 3.38460558271716 The test statistic is found to be about 3.3846. To calculate the likelihood of this value occurring, we can determine the p value through the use of the function pt. However, pt requires an argument for degrees of freedom. The degrees of freedom of this t-test is calculated through and then rounded down to the next integer. (57)In [8]: #Calculating the degrees of freedom $df \leftarrow floor(sigma^4/(var_bug^2/(n^2*(n-1)) + var_nonBug^2/(n^2*(n-1))))$ df 57 The degrees of freedom (ν) equals 57. Now, the p value of the null hypothesis can be determined. (17)In [9]: #Calculating the p value p_val <- pt(test_stat, df, lower.tail = FALSE)</pre> 0.000647780129664302 Since our p-value (0.0648%) is less than our 5% significance level, we reject the null hypothesis. Conclusion: There is sufficient evidence to suggest Bug type Pokemon are weaker than non-Bug Type Pokemon. Simulation-Based Bootstrapping to construct Difference in Means Confidence Interval To validate our theory-based testing, we can also use bootstrapping to construct a confidence interval for the difference in mean Total Power of non-Bug and Bug Pokemon at a 95% confidence level $(1-\alpha)$. (32)In [10]: ## Using infer package, generate bootstrap distribution of the difference in means between non-Bug and Bug Pokemon ## Note: order of difference goes (Non-Bug - Bug) set.seed(6969) bootstrap_dist <- combined_sample |> specify(formula = Total ~ isBug) |> generate(reps = 2000, size = 30, type = "bootstrap") |> calculate(stat = "diff in means", order =c(FALSE, TRUE)) head(bootstrap_dist) A infer: 6 × 2 <int> <dbl> 1 72.26286 2 110.50000 3 75.16667 4 84.79083 5 97.34149 6 145.27586 In [11]: ## Get Confidence Interval at 95% confidence level ci <- bootstrap_dist |> get_ci(0.95) Сİ A tibble: 1 × 2 lower_ci upper_ci <dbl> 40.91781 154.0944 In [12]: ## Visualising bootstrap distribution with shaded confidence intervals and marked $\Delta = 0$ options(repr.plot.width = 14) ci_plot <-bootstrap_dist|> visualise() + shade_ci(ci) + geom_vline(xintercept=0, linewidth = 1, colour = "red") + xlab("Difference in Total Power") + ggtitle("Fig. 5 - Bootstrap Distribution of difference in mean Total Power of non-Bug - Bug type Pokemon with 95% confidence interval shaded") + theme(text = element_text(size = 12)) ci_plot Fig. 5 - Bootstrap Distribution of difference in mean Total Power of non-Bug - Bug type Pokemon with 95% confidence interval shaded 300 count Difference in Total Power From our confidence interval, we observe that the range of values where the true difference in means could lie does not capture 0. Further, we see a positive difference in means in non-Bug mean Total Power - Bug mean Total Power. Therefore, we can conclude with a 95% confidence level non-Bug Pokemon have higher mean Total Power than Bug Pokemon. (59)Simulation-Based Bootstrapping to construct Standard Deviation Confidence Interval We can also use simulation-based bootstrapping to construct a confidence interval where in the true standard deviation of Total Power of our population could lie at a 95% confidence level. In [13]: bootstrap_dist_sd <- combined_sample |> specify(response = Total) |> generate(reps = 2000, size = 30, type = "bootstrap") |> calculate(stat = "sd") ci_sd <- bootstrap_dist |> get_ci(0.95) #combined_sample ci_plot_sd <-bootstrap_dist|> visualise() + shade_ci(ci) + xlab("Standard Deviation") + ggtitle("Fig. 6 - Bootstrap Distribution of standard deviation of sample with 95% confidence interval shaded") + theme(text = element_text(size = 14)) ci_plot_sd ci_sd A tibble: 1 × 2 <dbl> <dbl> 40.91781 154.0944 Fig. 6 - Bootstrap Distribution of standard deviation of sample with 95% confidence interval shaded 400 -300count 100 -50 150 200 Standard Deviation From Figure 6, we can observe that the range of values wherein the true standard deviation of Total Power of Pokemon could lie is between 41-154 (0.dp) (25)Discussion Our study employed both simulation-based, and theory-based methods to answer our question of whether Bug Pokemon. Our population is all Pokemon, and our variables of interest are Total Power, and Type (specifically if the Pokemon is Bug Type). We used a theory-based hypothesis test at a 5% significance level, and assumed no difference in mean Total Power between Bug and non-Bug Pokemon as our null hypothesis. This one-tailed two-sample t-test was further supported by a simulation-based confidence interval which looked at the range of values wherein the true difference in mean Total Power between Bug and non-Bug Pokemon could lie at a 95% significance level. As both tests rejected the null hypothesis, we can conclude there is sufficient evidence to suggest Bug Pokemon have lower Total Power compared to non-Bug Pokemon. This conclusion is in-line with our preliminary findings. (145)Significance and Further Questions Our study impacts professional Pokemon trainers who need to optimise performance when forming their teams, as well as game designers who need to balance the game and make it more enjoyable for fans and professional players. Our findings lead to potential questions regarding the balancing of Bug-type Pokmeon and non-Bug-type Pokemon: One question is how can Bug-type Pokemon be balanced in future game releases to make-up for their lower? This could involve introducing new moves, abilities, or stat adjustments to ensure a more equitable distribution of power among different Pokemon types. Specifically, it may be good game design to specialise Bug-types in one particular stat, like Speed. (109)In [14]: set.seed(100) options(repr.plot.width = 10, repr.plot.height = 6) original_pokemon <- original_pokemon |> filter(!Legendary) ## create mean stats for Bug sample (size = 30) bug_stats <- original_pokemon |> filter(Type_1 == "Bug" | Type_2 == "Bug") |> $sample_n(size = 30) >$ summarise(isBug = TRUE, $mean_{HP} = mean(HP),$ mean_Attack = mean(Attack), mean_Defense = mean(Defense), mean_Sp_Attack = mean(Sp._Atk), mean_Sp_Defense = mean(Sp._Def), mean_Speed = mean(Speed)) ## calculating mean stats for non-Bug sample (size = 30) non_bug_stats <- original_pokemon |> filter(Type_1 != "Bug" & Type_2 != "Bug") |> $sample_n(size = 30) >$ summarise(isBug = FALSE, $mean_HP = mean(HP),$ mean_Attack = mean(Attack), mean_Defense = mean(Defense), mean_Sp_Attack = mean(Sp._Atk), mean_Sp_Defense = mean(Sp._Def), mean_Speed = mean(Speed)) summary_data = rbind(bug_stats, non_bug_stats) |> gather(Total, Value, -isBug) ## visualsing data in side-by-side bar graph summary_plot <- summary_data |> ggplot(aes(x = Total, y = Value, fill = isBug)) +geom_col(position = "dodge") + ggtitle("Fig. 7 - Side-by-side bar graph showing mean stats of Bug vs non-Bug Pokemon") + theme(text = element_text(size = 14)) summary_plot Fig. 7 - Side-by-side bar graph showing mean stats of Bug vs non-Bug Pokemon Value -05 isBug FALSE TRUE mean_HP mean_Sp_Attack mean_Sp_Defense mean_Speed mean_Attack mean_Defense As we can see in Figure 7, our Bug-type sample has a higher average speed stat when compared to non-Bug-types. This preliminary findings could mean Bug-types have a higher speed stat than non-Bug-types, but further investigation is necessary. Another potential question could involve a statistical analysis of how other Types compare to the general Pokemon population. For example, are Fire-type Pokemon stronger than the general population? In [15]: set.seed(1000) options(repr.plot.width = 12) ## Creating sample of size 30 of Fire-type Pokemon fire_sample <- pokemon |> filter(Type_1 == "Fire" | Type_2 == "Fire") |> $sample_n(size = 30)$ ## Creating sample (size = 30) of non-Fire-type Pokemon non_fire_sample <- pokemon |> filter(Type_1 != "Fire" & Type_2 != "Fire") |> $sample_n(size = 30)$ ## Creating a combined dataset of the two samples combined_fire_sample = rbind(fire_sample, non_fire_sample) |> mutate(isFire = Type_1 == "Fire" | Type_2 == "Fire" & (!is.na(Type_2))) ## Visualising data in side-by-side box-plot fire_box_plot <- combined_fire_sample |> ggplot(aes(x = isFire, y = Total, fill = isFire)) +geom_boxplot() + ggtitle("Fig. 8 - Side-by-side Boxplot comparing Total Power of Fire-type and non-Fire-type Samples") + xlab("Fire Type?") + theme(text = element_text(size = 14)) fire_box_plot Fig. 8 - Side-by-side Boxplot comparing Total Power of Fire-type and non-Fire-type Samples 600 -500 isFire Total FALSE TRUE 400 -300-TRUE FALSE Fire Type? As we can see in Figure 8, the sample of Fire-type Pokemon have a mean Total Power higher than the non-Fire-type sample. However, further investigation involving theory-based and simulation-based methods is necessary for a conclusion to be reached. (38)References Barradas, A. (2016). Kaggle.com. Pokemon with stats. Retrieved from https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/abcsds/pokemon/data. Mäyrä, F. (2017). Pokémon GO: Entering the Ludic Society. Mobile Media & Communication, 5(1), 47-50. https://doi.org/10.1177/2050157916678270 Tobin, J., Buckingham, D., Sefton-Green, J., Allison, A., & Iwabuchi, K. (2004). Pikachu's global adventure: The rise and fall of pokémon (1st ed.). Duke University Press. https://doi.org/10.1515/9780822385813 Word Count: 1405

A Statistical Analysis on the Relative Competitiveness of Bug Pokemon

– tidyverse 2.0.0 —

In [1]: ## Libraries to be used in statistical analysis

— Attaching core tidyverse packages —

✓ dplyr 1.1.3 ✓ readr 2.1.4

library(tidyverse)
library(infer)
library(repr)

library(RColorBrewer)
library(gridExtra)