

Suppose  $g(T)$  were nameable in the system. Then by complementation  $\overline{g(T)}$  would also be nameable. Then by the diagonal lemma, there would be a Gödel sentence  $X$  for  $\overline{g(T)}$ , and we would have  $X \in T$  if and only if  $g(X) \in \overline{g(T)}$ , but  $g(X) \in \overline{g(T)}$  if and only if  $X \notin T$ , and so we would have the absurdity that  $X$  is in  $T$  if and only if  $X$  is not in  $T$ . Therefore  $g(T)$  is not one of the nameable sets.

It should be of interest to note that this result of Tarski provides an alternative proof of Gödel's theorem: Suppose  $(S)$  is rich, complemented, and diagonalizable. By Tarski's result, the set  $g(T)$  is not nameable in the system, but by richness, the set  $g(P)$  is nameable in the system. Therefore  $P, T$  must be different sets. Since  $P \subseteq T$ , then  $T$  must contain a sentence not in  $P$ , which alternatively proves Theorem A.

### 3. AN ABSTRACT RECURSION THEOREM

Consider now a denumerable set of any objects whatsoever arranged in an infinite sequence  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n, \dots$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be a collection of functions from the positive integers to the positive integers.  $\Sigma$  is said to be closed under composition if for any functions  $f, g$  in  $\Sigma$ , there is a function  $h$  in  $\Sigma$  such that for all (positive integers)  $x$ ,  $h(x) = f(g(x))$ . We shall also consider a function  $F(x, y)$  from the set of ordered pairs of positive integers to the positive integers.

#### Theorem 2

Suppose the following three conditions hold:

$C_1$ :  $\Sigma$  is closed under composition.

$C_2$ : The function  $F(x, x)$  is in  $\Sigma$ .

$C_3$ : For any  $f \in \Sigma$ , there is a positive integer  $a$  such that for all  $x$ ,

$$E_{F(a, x)} = E_{f(x)}.$$

Conclusion: For any  $f \in \Sigma$  there is at least one positive integer  $i$  such that

$$E_i = E_{f(i)}.$$

#### Proof

Take any function  $f$  in  $\Sigma$ . By  $C_2$ , the function  $F(x, x)$  is in  $\Sigma$ , and so by  $C_1$ , the function  $f[F(x, x)]$  is in  $\Sigma$ . Then by  $C_3$ , there is a number  $a$  such that for all  $x$ ,  $E_{F(a, x)} = E_{f(F(x, x))}$ . Taking  $a$  for  $x$ , it follows that  $E_{F(a, a)} = E_{f(F(a, a))}$ . We take  $F(a, a)$  for  $i$ , and so  $E_i = E_{f(i)}$ .

#### Discussion

In applications to Recursion Theory,  $\Sigma$  is the class of recursive functions of one argument. This class is closed under composition, so  $C_1$  holds. For one form of the recursion theorem, we take  $E_i$  to be the  $i^{\text{th}}$  partial recursive function of one argument (in a standard enumeration). By a result known as the iteration theorem there is a recursive function  $F(x, y)$  satisfying  $C_3$ , and condition  $C_2$  is automatic (because for a recursive function  $G(x, y)$ , the function  $G(x, x)$  is recursive). Then by Theorem 2, for any recursive function  $f(x)$  there is an  $i$  such that the partial recursive function  $E_i$  is the same as the partial recursive function  $E_{f(i)}$ ; this is one form of the Recursion Theorem.