

## Lecture 2:

$$y \in \mathcal{Y} = \{0, 1\}$$

$$Y = t \xrightarrow{\text{The function.}} (z_1, z_2, z_3)$$

↓  
Phenomenon      true Causal drivers.

Payback mortgage (1 or 0)

$z_1$ : has the money (1)

$z_2$ : Unforces emergency (1)

$z_3$ : is criminal (1)

$$t = z_1 (1 - z_2) (1 - z_3)$$

Next best thing find features that  
approximate "information" in  $z_1, z_2, z_3$ .

Here are 3 that are directly ~~read~~ related

$x_1$ : Salary at the time of application (continuous)  $\in \mathbb{R}$

$x_2$ : Miss Previous Payment (binary)  $\in \{0,1\}$

$x_3$ : Do they have a record? (binary)  $\in \{0,1\}$

$x_j$ 's are called "features", "characteristic",  
"attributes", "Variable", "independent Variables",  
"regressors", "Covariates"

$\vec{x}_i$  is called the  $i$ th "Observation",  
"setting", "record", "input", "unit",  
"Subject"

Let  $P$  denote the # of features  $\xrightarrow{\text{eg}} \mathbb{R}^P$

Let  $\vec{x}_i := [x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{ip}] \in \mathcal{X}$   
input space

$$Y = f(z_1, z_2, z_3) \neq f(x_1, \dots, x_p)$$

Can I measure  $x_3$  better?

$x_3 \in \{ \text{none, in fraction, misdemeanor, felony} \}$

Categorical or factor Variable with  
 $L \geq 4$  labels

Mathematical models require numerical values?

What do we do?

Two options:-

① Note: This is a factor  
 Validated with a monotonic order  
 Code this Variables in

$$x_3 = \begin{matrix} 0, & 1, & 2, & 3 \\ \downarrow & & & \downarrow \\ \text{none} & & & \text{felony} \end{matrix}$$

Downside:- The coding is arbitrary

e.g. why not  
 $x_3 \in \{0, 1, 5, 100\}$



(2) Create multiple features:-

$x_{3a} \in \{0,1\}$  is infraction?

$x_{3b} \in \{0,1\}$  is misdemeanor?

$x_{3c} \in \{0,1\}$  is felony?

none is captured by  $x_{3a} = x_{3b} = x_{3c} = 0$

L-1 binary variables

Consider  $x_j \in \{\text{Red, Green, blue}\}$

unordered features

$\Rightarrow$  must use option 2.

$$y = t(z_1, z_2, z_3) \neq f(x_1, \dots, x_p)$$

$$y = t(z_1, z_2, z_3) = f(x_1, \dots, x_p) + \delta$$

$\delta := t - f$  is the error due to ignorance

$f$ : is the "learn" possible way of combining

$x_1, \dots, x_p$  to minimize  $\mathcal{E}$ .

How to get  $f$ ?

Analytical Solution? NO

The approach we will use is "learning from data"

Use data to get an estimate of  $f$ .

This procedure is also termed "supervised learning"

There are three ingredients?

① Training Data (Data)

Notation:  $D$

$$D = \{(\vec{x}_1, y_1), (\vec{x}_2, y_2), \dots, (\vec{x}_n, y_n)\}$$

$n$ : ~~this~~ historical examples.

↓  
Sample size (subject with response)  
i.e. it happened already

$\vec{x}_1$ : Bob's feature       $y_1$ : 1 (he paid it back)  
 $\vec{x}_2$ : Bells feature       $y_2$ : 0 (he didn't pay back)  
 $\vdots$   
 $\vdots$

Standard notation:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \leftarrow \vec{x}_1 \rightarrow \\ \leftarrow \vec{x}_2 \rightarrow \\ \vdots \\ \leftarrow \vec{x}_n \rightarrow \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$n \times p$  matrix

col. Vector length  $n$ .

$$\mathcal{D} = \{x, y\}$$

②  $\mathcal{H}$ : a set of candidate functions for

$\mathcal{D}$

Recall  $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  e.g.  $f: \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

You need to simplify the set of possible functions.

③  $A$ : an Algorithm s.t.  $g = A(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$

a way to select/learn a model,

$g \in \mathcal{H}$  using  $\mathcal{D}$ .