

Power Chain Diagram (Evidence)

Status:	Template
Version:	0.1
Scope:	One node + its power path
Date (UTC):	YYYY-MM-DD
Operator:	_____
Node ID:	_____

Diagram (fill with real device models)

Grid/Wall

```
|-- [Breaker Panel] (ID: _____)
|
+-- [Surge Protector] (Model: _____)
  |
  +-- [EMI/RFI Filter] (Model: _____) (optional)
    |
    +-- [UPS] (Model: _____) (OFF / Line-interactive / Double-conversion)
      |
      +-- [PDU/Strip] (Model: _____)
        |
        +-- Node PSU (Model: _____)
          |
          +-- (Other loads?) YES/NO
              If YES: list and justify.
```

Measurement points (optional but useful)

- Point A (wall): voltage stability notes _____
- Point B (post-UPS): noise/transfer notes _____
- Point C (node): PSU telemetry / logs _____

Rules (A: strict)

- MUST avoid sharing the strip with noisy loads (heaters, motors, compressors).
- MUST record UPS mode (double-conversion preferred for sensitive ops).
- SHOULD document grounding anomalies if known (hum, coil whine changes).

Bridges (for cross-corpus integrity)

- **Explicit ($c = a + b$)**: a signs the chain; b is the physical path + procedures; c inherits the constraint as auditable reality.
- **Hidden #1 (Ashby / cybernetics)**: power hygiene is feedback control: disturbances (noise/brownouts/transfer) must be observed and regulated.
- **Hidden #2 (Cover & Thomas / info theory)**: evidence must survive low bandwidth: a compact diagram + model IDs (+ optional hashes) compress trust into checkable strings.

Earth paragraph

Power is data. Common-mode noise and brownouts translate into timing drift, throttling, and weird “ghost” failures. A clean, documented chain makes post-mortems possible and raises the bar for power-line injection classes of attacks.

Engineering/anatomy grounding: treat the node like a patient under stress: unstable power looks like arrhythmia - spikes, drops, jitter. You do not argue with an ECG; you stabilize the supply, then the symptoms stop lying.