

Evidence of Compliance:

- ✓ Records of initial establishment and verification of cut-off value at defined frequency

REFERENCES

- 1) Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Clinical laboratory improvement amendments of 1988; final rule. *Fed Register*. 2003(Jan 24): [42CFR493.1255].
- 2) Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Clinical laboratory improvement amendments of 1988; final rule. *Fed Register*. 2003(Jan 24): [42CFR493.1253].

IMM.33910**Maximum Dilution****Phase II**

For analytes that may have results falling outside the limits of the AMR, the laboratory defines the maximum dilution that may be performed to obtain a reportable numeric result.

NOTE:

1. For each analyte, the laboratory procedure defines the maximum dilution that falls within the AMR and that can be subsequently corrected by the dilution factor to obtain a reportable numeric result. Note that for some analytes, an acceptable dilution procedure may not exist because dilution would alter the analyte or the matrix causing erroneous results. Also note that, for some analytes, there may be no clinical relevance to reporting a numeric result greater than a stated value.
2. Analytes for which a dilution procedure is unable to bring the activity or concentration into the AMR should be reported as "greater than" the highest estimated values.
3. Establishment of allowable dilutions is performed when a method is first placed into service. The laboratory director is responsible for establishing the maximum allowable dilution of samples that will yield a credible laboratory result for clinical use.

Evidence of Compliance:

- ✓ Patient results or worksheets

CONTROLS

Controls are samples that act as surrogates for patient specimens. They are processed like a patient sample to monitor the ongoing performance of the entire analytic process.

CONTROLS - WAIVED TESTS**Inspector Instructions:**

 ASK	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How do you determine when QC is unacceptable and when corrective actions are needed?

 DISCOVER	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review a sampling of QC data over the previous two-year period. Select several occurrences in which QC is out of range and follow records to determine if the steps taken follow the laboratory procedure or corrective action
--	--

IMM.33930 QC - Waived Tests Phase II


The laboratory follows manufacturer's instructions for quality control, reviews results, and records acceptability prior to reporting patient results.

NOTE: Quality control must be performed according to manufacturer instructions. To detect problems and evaluate trends, testing personnel or supervisory staff must review quality control data on days when controls are run prior to reporting patient results. The laboratory director or designee must review QC data at least monthly or more frequently if specified in the laboratory QC policy.

*With respect to internal controls, acceptable control results must be recorded at a minimum, once per day of patient testing for each device.**

**Acceptable internal control results need not be recorded, if (and only if) an unacceptable instrument control automatically locks the instrument and prevents release of patient results.*

Evidence of Compliance:

- ✓ Records showing confirmation of acceptable QC results

IMM.33940 QC Corrective Action - Waived Tests Phase II

The laboratory performs and records corrective action when control results exceed defined acceptability limits.

CONTROLS - NONWAIVED TESTS

Inspector Instructions:

 READ	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sampling of quality control policies and procedures Sampling of QC records, including external and internal quality control processes
 ASK	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> How do you determine when quality control is unacceptable and when corrective actions are needed? How does your laboratory verify or establish acceptable quality control ranges? How does your laboratory perform QC for test procedures that report results as reactive, weakly reactive and nonreactive? What is your course of action when you perform test procedures that do not have commercially available calibration or control materials?
 DISCOVER	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review a sampling of QC data over the previous two-year period. Select several occurrences in which QC is out of range and follow records to determine if the steps taken follow the laboratory policy for corrective action

- Use QC data to identify tests that utilize internal quality control processes to confirm that any individualized quality control plan (IQCP) is used as approved by the laboratory director

IMM.34120 Daily QC - Nonwaived Tests**Phase II**

The laboratory performs controls for quantitative and qualitative tests each day of testing, or more frequently if specified in manufacturer's instructions, laboratory procedure, or the CAP Checklist, and when changes occur that may impact patient results.

NOTE: The laboratory must define the number and type of quality control used and the frequency of testing in its quality control procedures. Control testing is not required on days when patient testing is not performed.

Controls must be run prior to resuming patient testing when changes occur that may impact patient results, including after a change of analytically critical reagents, major preventive maintenance, change of a critical instrument component, or with software changes, as appropriate.

Daily quality control must be run as follows:

1. Quantitative tests - two controls at different concentrations at least daily
2. Qualitative tests - a negative control and a positive control (when applicable) at least daily
3. Tests producing a graded or tiered result - a negative control and a control material with graded or tiered reactivity, as applicable, at least daily (serially diluted positive controls are not required)

Controls should verify assay performance at relevant decision points. The selection of these points may be based on clinical or analytical criteria.

If an internal quality control process (eg, electronic/procedural/built-in) is used instead of an external control material to meet daily quality control requirements, the laboratory must have an individualized quality control plan (IQCP) approved by the laboratory director defining the control process, including the frequency and use of external and internal controls. At a minimum, external control materials must be analyzed with new lots and shipments of reagents or more frequently if indicated in the manufacturer's instructions. Please refer to the IQCP section of the All Common Checklist for the eligibility of tests for IQCP and requirements for implementation and ongoing monitoring of an IQCP.

Evidence of Compliance:

- ✓ Records of QC results including external and internal control processes **AND**
- ✓ Manufacturer product insert or manual

REFERENCES

- 1) Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Medicare, Medicaid and CLIA programs; CLIA fee collection; correction and final rule. *Fed Register*. 1993(Jan 19):5232 [42CFR493.1256(d)(3)], [42CFR493.1256(d)(6)].
- 2) Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Evaluation of Qualitative, Binary Output Examination Performance*; 3rd ed. CLSI document EP12. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA; 2023.
- 3) Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Statistical Quality Control for Quantitative Measurement Procedures: Principles and Definitions*. 4th ed. CLSI guideline C24. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA, 2016.
- 4) Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. S & C: 16-20-CLIA: Policy Clarification on Acceptable Control Materials Used when Quality Control (QC) is Performed in Laboratories. April 8, 2016.

IMM.34140 Control Range Establishment or Verification**Phase II**

The laboratory establishes or verifies an acceptable control range for each lot of control material.

NOTE: For unassayed control materials, the laboratory must establish an acceptable control range by repetitive analysis in runs that include previously tested control material. For assayed control materials, the laboratory must verify control ranges supplied by the manufacturer.