ECIII Synthesis Essay

	•	
Mrs.	Levin	

Student Name:		
Total Rubric Score:	Scaled Score	/100

Position (x2=8)

- 4 An argumentative main claim/thesis demonstrates complexity in thinking and is consistently maintained throughout the response.
- 3 An argumentative main claim/thesis demonstrates some complexity in thinking and is usually maintained throughout the response.
- 2 An argumentative main claim/thesis is evident but lacks complexity and is usually maintained throughout the response.
- 1 An argumentative main claim is evident but lacks complexity & is not maintained throughout the response.
- 0 The essay does not answer the prompt.

Counter-argument (x2=8)

- 4 Student presents at least one valid counter-argument(s) and both explores its complexities and evaluates the implications and/or complications of the issue. Student's refutation advances the overall argument.
- 3 Student presents at least one valid counter-argument(s) and both explores its complexities and evaluates the implications and/or complications of the issue. Student's refutation does not advance the overall argument.
- 2 Student presents at least one valid counter-argument(s) and explains its complexities, implications, or complications but does not refute it OR student mentions and refutes a counter-argument without explaining its complexities, implications, or complications.
- 1 Student mentions a counter-argument but does not develop it or refute it.
- 0 There is no evidence of a counter-argument.

Reasoning and Development (x3=12)

- 4 The writer thoroughly, specifically, and effectively develops his or her ideas using at least three sources. The writer fully analyzes each piece of evidence, making sure to connect these analyses to each paragraph's sub claim and the main claim through insightful elaboration.
- 3 The writer sufficiently and effectively develops his or her ideas using at least three sources, though these may be to a lesser degree of specificity. The writer analyzes each piece of evidence, making sure to connect these analyses to each paragraph's sub claim and the main claim through elaboration.
- 2 The writer attempts to develop his or her ideas using at least three sources, but the ideas only summarize, don't fully relate to the evidence, or repeat. The writer explains evidence, but only through generalizations, and may leave out connections to the paragraph's sub claim and the essay's main claim.
- 1 The writer uses one or two sources to support the argument, but ideas are only thinly developed OR The writer **misinterprets** the sources as part of the argument.
- 0 The author **does not use any sources** to support an argument.

Organization (x2=8)

- 4- The organization of the essay is strategic. Sophisticated sub claims and transitions reflect the writer's logic.
- 3- The organization of the essay is effective but predictable. Sub claims and transitions are appropriately used to advance the argument.
- 2- The essay is organized/paragraphed, but the organization of ideas is not purposeful. Sub claims and transitions are used but don't always advance the argument.
- 1 Ideas are paragraphed but organization is ineffective. Sub claims and transitions are used but are ineffective or sporadic.
- 0 -There is no evidence of an organizational structure or evidence of the logical grouping of ideas. There are no sub claims or transitions.

Mechanics and Style (x1=4)

- 4 Essay has minimal grammatical errors; language is formal and precise.
- 3 Essay contains some distracting grammatical errors; language is usually formal and precise.
- 2 Essay contains numerous distracting grammatical errors OR language is informal and/or vague.
- 1 Essay contains numerous distracting grammatical errors AND language is informal and/or vague.

*Students whose essays do not fit into the above grading categories may be assigned a 1.5, 2.5, or 3.5.