

- 5.B——

- 1.

- a.

$$(I + T + T^2 + \cdots + T^{n-1})(I - T) = (I + T + T^2 + \cdots + T^{n-1}) - (T + T^2 + \cdots + T^{n-1} + T^n) = I$$

Thus  $I - T$  is invertible

- b.

$$(1 - x)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n$$

Substitute  $x$  with  $T$  we can get the formula

- 4.

$$\forall v \in V, v = Pv + (v - Pv)$$

Since  $Pv \in \text{range } P$  and  $P(v - Pv) = 0$  which implies  $v - Pv \in \text{null } P$

$$V = \text{null } P + \text{range } P$$

Suppose  $v \in \text{range } P \cap \text{null } P$

$$\exists u \in V \text{ s.t. } Pu = v$$

$$0 = Pv = PPu = Pu = v$$

$$\text{Thus } \text{range } P \cap \text{null } P = \{0\}$$

- 6.

Since  $U$  is invariant under  $T$ ,  $u \in U$  implies  $Tu \in U$

Thus  $u \in U$  implies  $TTu \in U$

Thus similarly  $U$  is invariant under  $T^n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$

$$\text{Since } p(T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n T^n$$

Thus  $U$  is invariant under  $p(T)$

- 11.

If  $\alpha = p(\lambda)$  where  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$ ,  $p(T)v = p(\lambda)v = \alpha v$

Thus  $\alpha$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$

If  $\alpha$  is an eigenvalue of  $p(T)$ ,  $p(T) - \alpha I$  is not invertible

Suppose  $p - \alpha = c(x - \lambda_1) \cdots (x - \lambda_n)$  where  $c, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$

$$p(T) - \alpha I = c(T - \lambda_1 I) \cdots (T - \lambda_n I)$$

Thus  $\exists \lambda_k$  s.t.  $T - \lambda_k I$  is not invertible

$$p(T)v = \alpha v = p(\lambda_k)v$$

- 12.

Suppose  $T(x, y) = (-x, y)$

Thus  $T$  has no eigenvalue

However  $T^2 = I$  which has eigenvalue 1

- 15.

Can't find such operator....

- 16.

Define  $\phi(p) = p(T)v$  where  $\phi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{C}), V)$

$$\phi(ap_1 + bp_2) = (ap_1 + bp_2)(T)v = ap_1(T)v + bp_2(T)v = a\phi(p_1) + b\phi(p_2)$$

Thus  $\phi$  is linear.

Since  $\dim \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{C}) = n + 1$

$\phi$  is not injective

Hence  $\exists p \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$  s.t.  $\phi(p) = 0 = p(T)v$

Thus we can write  $c(T - \lambda_1 I) \cdots (T - \lambda_m I)v = 0$

Thus  $\exists \lambda_j$  s.t.  $Tv = \lambda v$

- 5.C—

- 1.

Since  $T$  is diagonalizable, exist 1-dimensional subspace  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  of  $V$

For each  $U_k$  if  $x \in U_k$ ,  $Tx \in U_k$

Thus if  $Tx = 0$ ,  $\text{null } T|_{U_k} = U_k$  otherwise  $\text{range } T|_{U_k} = U_k$

Thus  $V = \text{null } T \oplus \text{range } T$

- 3.

- a to b is obvious

- b to c

$$\dim V = \dim \text{null } T + \dim \text{range } T = \dim(\text{null } T + \text{range } T) = \dim V + \dim(\text{range } T \cap \text{null } T)$$

Thus  $\dim(\text{range } T \cap \text{null } T) = 0$  which implies  $\text{range } T \cap \text{null } T = \{0\}$

- c to a

Since  $\text{range } T \cap \text{null } T = \{0\}$  and  $\dim \text{range } T + \dim \text{null } T = \dim V$

Hence  $\text{range } T + \text{null } T = V$

which proves (a)

- 6.

Since  $T$  has  $\dim V$  distinct eigenvalues,  $T$  is diagonalizable

$S$  has the same eigen vectors as  $T$ ,

Thus with respect to eigenvectors of  $T$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  has only eigenvalues of  $T$  on the diagonal

Thus with respect to eigenvectors of  $T$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(S)$  has also only eigenvalues of  $S$  on the diagonal

Thus with respect to this basis  $\mathcal{M}(ST) = \mathcal{M}(TS)$  which implies that  $ST = TS$

• 9.

$$Tv = \lambda v \Leftrightarrow T^{-1}Tv = \lambda T^{-1}v \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda}v = T^{-1}v$$

$$\text{Hence } E(\lambda, T) = E(\frac{1}{\lambda}, T^{-1})$$

• 13.

Suppose  $v_1, \dots, v_4$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{F}^4$

Define  $\mathcal{M}(R)$  with respect to this basis is  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

And define  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  with respect to this basis is  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

If exist  $S$  s.t.  $R = S^{-1}TS, T = SRS^{-1}$

Since  $S$  is invertible and  $R$  can be diagonalizable

With respect to  $sv_1, \dots, sv_4$ ,  $T$  can be diagonalizable

However,  $\dim E(2, T) = 1, \dim E(6, T) = 1, \dim E(7, T) = 1$  which implies  $T$  is not diagonalizable

Hence such  $S$  doesn't exist

• 15.

To get existence of  $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{F}^3$  s.t.  $T(x, y, z) = (17 + 8x, \sqrt{5} + 8y, 2\pi + 8z)$

We must show that  $(T - 8I)(x, y, z) = (17, \sqrt{5}, 2\pi)$  and such  $(x, y, z)$  exist

Since 6, 7 are eigenvalues of  $T$  and  $T$  is not diagonalizable

$T$  has no other eigenvalues

$$\text{Thus } \text{null}(T - 8I) = \{0\}$$

Since  $T - 8I$  is an operator, it is surjective

which implies such  $(x, y, z)$  must exist