

COLLATZ-HASSE-SYRACUSE-ULAM-KAKUTANI SEQUENCE : CONVERGENCE TO THE TRIVIAL CYCLE PROVED

PIPR:©: Dr.(Prof.) Keshava Prasad Halemane,
Professor - retired from
Department of Mathematical And Computational Sciences,
National Institute of Technology Karnataka Surathkal,
Srinivasnagar, Mangaluru - 575025, India.
SASHESHA, 8-129/12 Sowjanya Road, Naigara Hills,
Bikarnakatte, Kulshekar Post, Mangaluru-575005. Karnataka State, India
<https://www.linkedin.com/in/keshavaprasadahalemane/>
<https://colab.ws/researchers/R-3D34E-09884-MI42Z>
<https://github.com/KpH8MACS4KREC2NITK>
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3483-3521>
<https://osf.io/xftv8/>



ABSTRACT

The convergence of the *Collatz-Hasse-Syracuse-Ulam-Kakutani Sequence* is proved, thus proving the *Collatz Conjecture*, which has been an *unsolved problem*. The proof is based on the isomorphism established between the set of positive integers and a carefully designed system with a hierarchy (arborescence) of binary exponential ladders defined on the set of positive odd numbers.

Keywords: Collatz-Hasse-Syracuse-Ulam-Kakutani (CHSUK) Sequence;
Collatz-Hasse-Syracuse-Ulam-Kakutani (CHSUK) Conjecture;
Convergence.

AMS MSC Mathematics Subject Classification: 11B50.

1. INTRODUCTION

The *Collatz-Hasse-Syracuse-Ulam-Kakutani Conjecture* (simply referred to as the *Collatz Conjecture*) states that the Collatz-Hasse-Syracuse-Ulam-Kakutani (CHSUK) Sequence (also referred to as the *Collatz Sequence*) converges to the trivial cycle $\{(4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1)\}$, starting from any positive integer.

This research report presents a proof for the same, reasoning with the most fundamental *Peano Axioms* and *Modulus Arithmetic* applied to a meticulously designed *Structured System Framework of Binary-Exponential-Ladders* that *partitions* the *set of positive integers* and establishes an *isomorphism* between the structured system framework of Binary-Exponential-Ladders and the set of natural numbers.

2. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

We define the *Collatz Function* $C(n)$ with a positive integer n as its input argument, in terms of a 'pull-Down' operator $D(n)$ and a 'push-Up' operator $U(n)$ as follows:

$$\text{if } (n \text{ is even}) \ C(n) := D(n) = (n / 2); \text{ else } C(n) := U(n) = (3*n + 1); \quad [\text{Eqn.1}]$$

where the '*pull-Down*' $D(n)$ operator takes only an even number as its input argument whereas the '*push-Up*' operator $U(n)$ takes only an odd number as its input argument and gives an output that is an even number.

For convenience in our study of the Collatz Sequence, we define the *Compact Collatz Function* $T(m)$ by the repeated application of the 'pull-down' operator $D(m)$ wherever applicable, say, $(p \geq 1)$ times, that is, $D^*(m) := D^p(m)$ so as to get an output $D^\#(m)$ that is an odd number:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } (m \text{ is even}) \quad T(m) &:= D^*(m) := D^p(m) = (m / 2^p) := D^\#(m); \\ \text{else } (m \text{ is odd}) \quad T(m) &:= U(m) = (3 * m + 1) := U^\#(m); \end{aligned} \quad [\text{Eqn.2}]$$

where $D^\#(m)$ is called the "D-floor number" associated with the input argument m ; and $U^\#(m)$ is called the "U-ceiling number" associated with the input argument m .

The *Compact Collatz Function* $T(m)$ may as well be considered to have been redefined with the newly introduced two operators, the "D-floor operator" $D^\#(m)$ and the "U-ceiling operator" $U^\#(m)$ as given in [Eqn.2] above.

This new definition for the *Compact Collatz Function* $T(m)$ facilitates our study of the corresponding *Compact Collatz Sequence*; which is no different from its equivalent Collatz Sequence, once we understand that the repeated application, say, $(p \geq 1)$ times, of the 'pull-Down' operator $D(m)$ has now been collapsed into an equivalent single "D-floor operator" $D^\#(m)$ giving the D-floor number $D^\#(m)$ as its output. The push-Up operator U has been simply redefined as the "U-ceiling operator" $U^\#$ for uniformity and elegant completeness.

The *Compact Collatz Sequence* is obtained by the repeated sequential application of the *Compact Collatz Function* $T(m)$ starting with the given initial input number m - represented by an alternating series of $D^\#$ number and $U^\#$ number - except possibly the starting initial 'seed' number m and the final terminating number, which as per the Collatz Conjecture, is anyway a $D^\#$ number that is unity.

3. OBSERVATIONS ON THE PULL-DOWN OPERATOR

The pull-Down operator D *always* takes only an even number n as its input argument. Every application of this pull-down operator results in an alternating

(toggling) effect on the $n \text{MOD} 3$ property of the input argument number; that is, a $1 \text{MOD} 3$ input gives a $2 \text{MOD} 3$ output and a $2 \text{MOD} 3$ input gives a $1 \text{MOD} 3$ output; whereas a $0 \text{MOD} 3$ input gives a $0 \text{MOD} 3$ output. Repeated application of D , in case applicable, results in a final output that is an odd number and therefore becomes an input for the push-Up operator. In such a case, we call it a “D-floor operator” $D^\#$ as defined in [Eqn.2] above, and its output a “D-floor number” $D^\#(n)$ characterized by being a odd number; $D^\#(n)$ may be in any one of the three possible types: (1) a $1 \text{MOD} 6$ odd number, being a $1 \text{MOD} 3$ odd number that is of the type $(6m-5)$; (2) a $5 \text{MOD} 6$ odd number, being a $2 \text{MOD} 3$ odd number that is of the type $(6m-1)$; (3) a $3 \text{MOD} 6$ odd number, being a $0 \text{MOD} 3$ odd number that is of the type $(6m-3)$.

4. OBSERVATIONS ON THE PUSH-UP OPERATOR

The push-Up operator U *always* takes only an odd number m as its input argument, and *always* gives an output that is a $4 \text{MOD} 6$ even number, being a $1 \text{MOD} 3$ even number that is of the type $(6m-2)$ - irrespective of whether the input is a $1 \text{MOD} 6$ odd number or a $3 \text{MOD} 6$ odd number or a $5 \text{MOD} 6$ odd number. Note that one single application of the ‘push-Up’ operator U transforms any input odd number m into a $4 \text{MOD} 6$ even number that becomes an input to the “D-floor operator $D^\#$ ”. That is why we may as well call the push-Up operator U as the “U-ceiling operator $U^\#$ ” as defined in [Eqn.2] above.

5. OBSERVATIONS ON THE COMPACT COLLATZ FUNCTION

Start with any positive integer. (1) If the starting initial number n is even, then we apply the D-floor operator $D^\#$ operator giving an output that is the D-floor number $D^\#(n)$ which is given as input to the U-ceiling operator. Of course, if the starting number is a power of 2 we terminate at unity. So, now we have a D-floor number $D^\#(n)$ that is an odd number greater than unity, in any non-trivial case, as the initial $D^\#$ node in the Compact Collatz Sequence. (2) If on the other hand the starting initial number n is an odd number, we treat that itself as the initial $D^\#$ node in the Compact Collatz Sequence.

Having thus obtained the initial $D^\#$ node in the Compact Collatz Sequence, we apply the U-ceiling operator $U^\#$ to get the U-ceiling number $U^\#$ that is a $4 \text{MOD} 6$ even number. That in turn is given as input to the D-floor operator $D^\#$. Now the process continues.

Note that the Compact Collatz Sequence can therefore be defined by a *trajectory* generated by an alternating sequence of a “D-floor number” $D^\#$ and a “U-ceiling number” $U^\#$, with its starting initial node being a $D^\#$ number. The Compact Collatz Function as presented in [Eqn.2] defines the unique link (directed arc) from any given D-floor number $D^\#$ as the predecessor node to its corresponding unique U-ceiling number $U^\#$ as the successor node and also the unique link (directed arc)

from any given U-ceiling number $U^\#$ as the predecessor node to its corresponding unique D-floor number $D^\#$ as the successor node. The unique link (directed arc) from a starting initial even “seed” number leading to the first node (D-floor number $D^\#$) in the *trajectory* is similarly defined.

As mentioned earlier, the application of the D-floor operator $D^\#$ on a U-ceiling number $U^\#$ that is a 4MOD6 even number of the form $(6m-2)$ can lead to a D-floor number $D^\#$ that is an odd number that can be either: (1) a 1MOD6 odd number, being a 1MOD3 odd number that is of the type $(6m-5)$; (2) a 5MOD6 odd number, being a 2MOD3 odd number that is of the type $(6m-1)$; but can never be (3) a 3MOD6 odd number, that is a 0MOD3 odd number of the type $(6m-3)$. Note that the only situation when the D-floor operator $D^\#$ gives an output D-floor number $D^\#$ that is a 3MOD6 odd number of the type $(6m-3)$ is when its input is a 0MOD6 even number, which is impossible for any U-ceiling number $U^\#$, although such an input may come in those special cases wherein the starting initial ‘seed’ number itself is a 0MOD6 even number that is of the form $(6m-3).2^p$ leading to an output $D^\#$ that is again a 3MOD6 odd number of the form $(6m-3)$.

6. ANALYSIS OF THE COMPACT COLLATZ SEQUENCE

From the above observations, it is clear that corresponding to every positive integer n as the starting initial ‘seed’ number, there is a starting initial node in the trajectory representing the *Compact Collatz Sequence*, that is a $D^\#$ number in exactly one of the three possible forms as mentioned above - that can be an input argument to the U-ceiling operator $U^\#$ giving exactly one unique output $U^\#$ which itself can be an input to the D-floor operator $D^\#$ so that the process continues. Successive application of each of these two operators ($U^\#$ and $D^\#$) wherever applicable, traces a unique *trajectory*, wherein each node represents a number that is the unique output number of the appropriate operation applied to the input number represented by the preceding node in the trajectory.

The anticipated terminating trivial cycle $\{(4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1)\}$ can be obtained only through a final application of the D-floor operator $D^\#$ on a 4MOD6 even number that is of the form $(6m-2)$.

7. BINARY-EXPONENTIAL-LADDER WITH ITS DEFINING-BASE-RUNG $D^\#$

Here, we present a meticulously designed *Structured System Framework* that *partitions* the *set of positive integers* to facilitate a *general systems analysis* of the *Compact Collatz Sequence*.

Let every positive odd number be associated with a *Binary-Exponential-Ladder*, denoted by $BEL(2m-1)$ and defined as a sequence $\{(2m-1).2^u\}$ with $(u \geq 0)$; its *defining-base-rung* given by the odd number $(2m-1)$. Thus, we establish an exact

one-to-one mapping between the *set of positive odd numbers* that form the $D^\#$ value for the *defining-base-rung* and the corresponding *Binary-Exponential-Ladder* $BEL(D^\#)$.

Every positive even number in the form $(2m-1).2^u$ with $(u>0)$; for which there exists its corresponding $D^\#$ value, $D^\#((2m-1).2^u) = (2m-1)$; for which there exists exactly one corresponding *Binary-Exponential-Ladder* $BEL(2m-1)$ that contains the given even number $(2m-1).2^u$ as one of its higher rungs in that $BEL(2m-1)$ ladder.

Thus, we establish that *the set of all Binary-Exponential-Ladders form a partition of the set of all positive integers*; with an exact one-to-one correspondence between each positive odd number $D^\#$ and the corresponding *Binary-Exponential-Ladder* for which it is the *defining-base-rung* $D^\#$; whereas each of the positive even numbers correspond to exactly one of the higher rungs of a specific *Binary-Exponential-Ladder* identified by the $D^\#$ -floor number $D^\#$ associated with that given positive even number.

This partitioned framework of positive integers goes another step deeper because of the fact that the *defining-base-rung* $D^\#$ of a *Binary-Exponential-Ladder* $BEL(D^\#)$ can itself be in one of the three possible forms $1 \text{MOD} 6$ or $5 \text{MOD} 6$ or $3 \text{MOD} 6$ whereas all the upper rungs of the *Binary-Exponential-Ladder* are either (1) alternately $2 \text{MOD} 6$ and $4 \text{MOD} 6$ or (2) all being $0 \text{MOD} 6$ numbers.

The Collatz Conjecture states that every Collatz Sequence, starting from any positive integer, converges to the trivial cycle $\{(4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1)\}$ which is in $BEL(1)$ that is uniquely identified by its *defining-base-rung* $D^\#$ value that is unity. Therefore, our focus will be the *Binary-Exponential-Ladders* $BEL(1)$ and its relationship with every other *Binary-Exponential-Ladder* $BEL(D^\#)$.

As seen above, $D^\#$ can be (1) either a $1 \text{MOD} 6$ number of the form $(6m-5)$; (2) or a $5 \text{MOD} 6$ number of the form $(6m-1)$; (3) or a $3 \text{MOD} 6$ number of the form $(6m-3)$. $BEL(6m-5)$ contains the output of $U^\#$ at $(6m-5)2^w$ with w being an even exponent that is of the form $(2k)$ wherein the input of $U^\#$ is given by $\lceil \{(6m-5).2^w - 1\} / 3 \rceil$. $BEL(6m-1)$ contains the output of $U^\#$ at $(6m-1)2^v$ with v being an odd exponent that is of the form $(2k-1)$ wherein the input of $U^\#$ is given by $\lceil \{(6m-1).2^v - 1\} / 3 \rceil$. However, $BEL(6m-3)$ cannot contain any such output of the $U^\#$ -ceiling operator $U^\#$ irrespective of any input argument.

8. IMMEDIATE NEIGHBORHOOD OF A BINARY-EXPONENTIAL-LADDER

The relationship between a pair of *Binary-Exponential-Ladders* $BEL(m)$ and $BEL(n)$ can be considered to be defined and characterized by the relationship between the corresponding pair of the *defining-base-rung* $D^\#$ values m and n along with the corresponding pair $U^\#(m)$ and $U^\#(n)$.

The immediate-neighborhood of a given *Binary-Exponential-Ladder* $BEL(D^\#)$ is defined by the immediate-predecessors and immediate-successors, considering

the U-ceiling operator $U^\#$; since the D-floor operator $D^\#$ is applicable only within a given Binary-exponential-Ladder and not between a pair of them.

It turns out that the only *one single unique immediate successor* of $BEL(m)$ is $BEL(D^\#(U^\#(m)))$ that contains $U^\#(m)$ as one of its higher rungs, with its identifying characteristic D-floor number $D^\#(U^\#(m))$ as its defining-base-rung. However, there exists a *set of immediate-predecessors* for each $BEL(D^\#)$ of the form $BEL(6m-5)$ and $BEL(6m-1)$ although none for $BEL(6m-3)$.

$BEL(1 \bmod 6)$ or equivalently $BEL(6m-5)$ has, as its set of immediate-predecessors, $\{BEL([(1 \bmod 6) \cdot 2^w - 1]/3)\}$ or equivalently $\{BEL([(6m-5) \cdot 2^w - 1]/3)\}$ with w being an positive even exponent of the form $(2k)$, wherein the input of $U^\#$ is given by $\{[(1 \bmod 6) \cdot 2^w - 1]/3\}$ or equivalently $\{[(6m-5) \cdot 2^w - 1]/3\}$ and the output of $U^\#$ being $\{(1 \bmod 6) \cdot 2^w\}$ or equivalently $\{(6m-5) \cdot 2^w\}$ that is contained in $BEL(1 \bmod 6)$ or equivalently $BEL(6m-5)$.

$BEL(5 \bmod 6)$ or equivalently $BEL(6m-1)$ has, its set of immediate-predecessors, $\{BEL([(5 \bmod 6) \cdot 2^v - 1]/3)\}$ or equivalently $\{BEL([(6m-1) \cdot 2^v - 1]/3)\}$ with v being a positive odd exponent of the form $(2k-1)$, wherein the input of $U^\#$ is given by $\{[(5 \bmod 6) \cdot 2^v - 1]/3\}$ or equivalently $\{[(6m-1) \cdot 2^v - 1]/3\}$ and the output of $U^\#$ being $\{(5 \bmod 6) \cdot 2^v\}$ or equivalently $\{(6m-1) \cdot 2^v\}$ that is contained in $BEL(5 \bmod 6)$ or equivalently $BEL(6m-1)$.

The above observed property, that *only* the alternating rungs, defined by $(1 \bmod 6) \cdot 4^u$ of $BEL(1 \bmod 6)$ or $(5 \bmod 6) \cdot 2 \cdot 4^u$ of $BEL(5 \bmod 6)$ being the 'active' nodes in the CHSUK-Sequence; naturally makes it convenient to define a system of *Quarternary-Exponential-Ladders* QEL wherein every rung of QEL becomes an 'active' node in the CHSUK-Sequence. This concept is not directly needed for proving the convergence of the Collatz Sequence, and therefore we will not take up this line of study in this research report.

Considering $BEL(1)$ as our central focus of interest, which itself belongs to the type $BEL(1 \bmod 6)$ or equivalently $BEL(6m-5)$; it is interesting to note that it has its single unique immediate-successor as $BEL(D^\#(U^\#(1)))$ that is $BEL(1)$ itself because of the trivial cycle $\{4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1\}$ being contained within $BEL(1)$.

9. STRUCTURED SYSTEM FRAMEWORK OF BINARY-EXPONENTIAL-LADDERS

From the above discussion we find that it is convenient for our study to consider a *Structured System Framework* $H = \{H_0, H_1, H_2, \dots\}$ consisting of a countably infinite hierarchy of countably infinite set of *Binary Exponential Ladders* except its 'root' $H_0 = \{BEL(1)\}$ at tier-0 level of the hierarchy being a singleton set. The set of k^{th} immediate predecessors of $BEL(1)$ form the set H_k at tier-k in the hierarchy, etc.

The uniqueness characteristic of the *immediate-successor* relationship among the Binary-Exponential-Ladders can be considered to be a *ordering* relation among

these sets H_k except for the first element $H_0 = \{\text{BEL}(1)\}$ which is its own successor, implying that $H_0 = \{\text{BEL}(1)\}$ acts as a final sink node in the corresponding sequence.

However, the multiplicity of the *immediate-predecessor* relationship among the Binary-Exponential-Ladders requires that the set of all immediate-predecessors of every element of H_{k-1} form the elements of the set H_k as indicated in the above definition of the Structured System Framework H , thus satisfying the strict and complete ordering relation $H_{k-1} < H_k$ among these sets.

This Structured System Framework H of Binary Exponential Ladders has a direct one-to-one correspondence (mapping) with the set of positive integers, considering the distinctly specific rungs of each of the Binary Exponential Ladders; the lowest rung in each $\text{BEL}(D^\#)$ being the *defining-base-rung* $D^\#$ that is mapped to the corresponding odd number and each of the higher rungs being mapped to the corresponding even number.

There is a strict and complete ordering relation $H_{k-1} < H_k$ between the different levels of the hierarchy or the tier levels, because of the above-mentioned predecessor successor relationship between them, and a clear idempotent element H_0 which is its own successor.

The (1) strict and complete ordering in hierarchy of H with a single unique root node H_0 ; with the above mentioned (2) predecessor-successor relationship between the Binary-Exponential-Ladders that form the elements of H_k at tier level k and those of H_{k-1} at tier level $k-1$ in the hierarchy; and the fact that (3) there is an exact one-to-one correspondence between the set of positive integers and the set of all rungs in all the Binary-Exponential-Ladders; imply that - the *Structured System Framework* H has been meticulously designed to represent an *arborescence*, wherein there is *exactly one single unique directed path from every positive integer to the trivial cycle* $\{(4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1)\}$ that is *at the defining-base-rung of* $\text{BEL}(1)$.

10. COLLATZ-HASSE-SYRACUSE-ULAM-KAKUTANI (CHSUK) THEOREM

STATEMENT OF THE CHSUK THEOREM

The CHSUK Sequence converges to the trivial cycle $\{(4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1)\}$.

PROOF

We show that the *Structured System Framework* H by its very design, satisfies the Peano's axioms (replacing the 'successor' by the 'predecessor') and therefore H is isomorphic with the set of natural numbers; and satisfies the above stated convergence statement.

PEANO'S AXIOM : Existence of 0.

$H_0 \in H$.

The trivial cycle $\{(4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1)\}$ is contained in $H_0 \in H$.

PEANO'S AXIOM : Existence of a *successor function*.

By the very design of F , for every positive integer k ,

$H_k \in H$ is the *predecessor* of $H_{k-1} \in H$.

Application of the Collatz Function with the input from numbers in H_k yield the output contained in H_{k-1} .

PEANO'S AXIOM : 0 is not a successor.

H_0 is its own predecessor. However, there *does not exist any* $H_k \in H$, $k \neq 0$; that is distinct from H_0 ; with $H_k \neq H_0$; such that H_0 is the predecessor of H_k .

Once the Collatz Sequence reaches the trivial cycle (sink) there is no exit from it.

PEANO'S AXIOM : Successor function is a unique one-to-one mapping.

If H_u is the predecessor of H_v and also H_u is the predecessor of H_w ;

then it necessarily implies $H_v = H_w$ by the very design of H ;

and,

If H_v is the predecessor of H_u and also H_w is the predecessor of H_u ;

then it necessarily implies $H_v = H_w$ by the very design of H ;

This is because the predecessor relation is a unique one-to-one mapping (bijection). The Compact Collatz Sequence is a linear directed path (chain) with no forking or merging.

PEANO'S AXIOM : Principle of induction.

Collatz Sequence starting with numbers from H_0 converge in the trivial cycle that is contained in H_0 .

Collatz Sequence starting with a positive number from H_k passes through H_{k-1} .

Therefore, the Collatz Sequence starting with any positive integer being contained in some $H_k \in H$, $k \geq 0$; must necessarily reach H_0 and therefore converge in the trivial cycle.

Thus, we establish a direct isomorphism between the *Structured System Framework* H and the set of Natural Numbers N ; and the proof of convergence of the Collatz Sequence is an immediate consequence of this isomorphism according to the property of induction as mentioned above.

END OF PROOF

11. SOME EXPLICIT FORMS FOR THE BEL-NEIGHBORHOOD

We can perform some simple algebraic manipulation to get the parametric relation [Eqn.4] that gives a generic form for the set H_k that is the set of k^{th} predecessors of $H_0 = \{\text{BEL}(1)\}$; that is, the set H_k corresponds to the set of tier- k level of the hierarchy with the set of Binary-Exponential-Ladders $\{\text{BEL}(m)\}$ each with its defining-base-rung m being a positive odd number $m > 1$.

$$m = [2^z - \{3^0.2^{z_0} + 3^1.2^{z_1} + 3^2.2^{z_2} + \dots + 3^{k-1}.2^{z_{k-1}}\}] / 3^k \quad [\text{Eqn.4}]$$

wherein $k > 0$ is the tier-level whereas $z > 0$ and the k -tuple $(z_0, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{k-1})$ form the set of non-negative integer exponents in [Eqn.4] each of which takes a unique value corresponding to each positive odd number $m > 1$. That is, each positive odd number $m > 1$ can be considered to be defined by the corresponding unique set of these parameters. Here the set of values for the k -tuple $(z_0, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{k-1})$ are of decreasing values all less than z ; ($z_k := 0$; $z_{k-1} = 0$ for positive odd number $m > 1$).

Now, define $p_0 := (z - z_0)$; $p_j := (z_{j-1} - z_j)$; where p_j corresponds to the number of rungs in $\text{BEL}\{H_j\}$ above the *defining-base-rung* of $\text{BEL}\{H_j\}$ for the node located in $\text{BEL}\{H_j\}$ that the Collatz sequence/trajectory passes through; $\text{BEL}\{H_j\}$ being the *Binary-Exponential-Ladder* at tier- j with $j=0, 1, 2, \dots, k$. Thus, we may as well redefine the set of $(k+1)$ parameters as a **tuple $(P_k) := (p_0, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k)$** the set of $(k+1)$ **CHSUK generative parameters** that generate each positive integer n as per the parametric relation [Eqn.4] given above ($p_k = 0$ for positive odd number m).

For any $k > 0$, the above set of exponents $z, z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_k$, can be redefined in terms of the newly defined **CHSUK generative parameters**, by rewriting the above definition as $z := (z_0 + p_0)$; $z_{j-1} := (z_j + p_j)$; $z_k := 0$; $p_k = 0$ for positive odd number m .

Table-1 gives some of the possible set of valid **CHSUK generative parameters** and therefore the corresponding valid values of the exponents in [Eqn.4] above along with the resultant **$n(P_k) := n(p_0, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k)$** values. Note that the set of valid values for the CHSUK generative parameters and therefore for the exponents in [Eqn.4] above are governed by certain rules as can be seen from the earlier observations above, regarding the matching relationship between the $((D^\#) \text{MOD} 3)$ of the predecessor and the $((U^\#) \text{MOD} 3)$ of the successor in the CHSUK Sequence.

Table-1 : Some typical CHSUK generative parameter tuples																	
p0	p1	p2	p3	p4	p5	p6		n		z	z0	z1	z2	z3	z4	z5	z6
0								1		2	0						
1								2									
2								4									
3								8									
4								16									
4	0							5		4	0	0					
4	1	0						3		5	1	0	0				
4	3	0						13		7	3	0	0				
4	5	0						85		9	5	0	0				
4	3	2	0					17		9	5	2	0	0			
4	3	2	1	0				11		10	6	3	1	0	0		
4	3	2	1	1	0			7		11	7	4	2	1	0	0	
4	3	2	1	1	2	0		9		13	9	6	4	3	2	0	0
Table-1 : Some typical CHSUK generative parameter tuples																	

Table-1 : Some typical CHSUK generative parameter tuples

12. A CHALLENGE TO MY COOL-HEADED BRAVE-HEARTS

If you can prove that corresponding to every positive integer n there exists a unique valid set of CHSUK generative parameters $\{p_0, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$ and therefore the corresponding valid set of parameters $\{z, z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_{k-1}, z_k\}$ that generates every positive integer n as per the parametric equation [Eqn.4] given above, then you can directly prove the CHSUK Conjecture establishing the convergence of the CHSUK Sequence to the trivial cycle $\{(4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1)\}$.

13. CONCLUSION

We have presented a meticulously designed *structured system framework* of *Binary-Exponential-Ladders* and established its isomorphism with the set of positive integers, that directly leads to a simple and elegant proof of the convergence of the CHSUK Sequence.

14. RECOMMENDED READING

- [1]. Wikipedia Page – https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Collatz_conjecture
- [2]. Jeffrey C Lagarias;
“The $3x+1$ problem: An annotated bibliography (1963--1999) (sorted by author)”;
<https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0309224>
- [3]. Jeffrey C Lagarias;
“The $3x+1$ Problem: An Annotated Bibliography, II (2000-2009)”;
<https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0608208>
- [4]. Jeffrey C Lagarias;
“The $3x + 1$ Problem : An Overview”
<https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.02635>
- [5]. Halemane, K. P. (2014);
“Unbelievable $O(L^{1.5})$ worst case computational complexity achieved by *spdspds* algorithm for linear programming problem”;
<https://arxiv.org/abs/1405.6902> (2025).
- [6]. Halemane, K. P. (2025);
“Monty-Hall Theorem”;
<https://engrxiv.org/preprint/view/5594>

15. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I acknowledge the fact that the most revered Number-Theory Expert Paul Erdos once said about the Collatz Conjecture - "Mathematics is not yet ready for such problems" as quoted by Jeffrey Lagarias [4].

I must necessarily confess here that the *core idea behind this analysis is so stunningly & elusively simple*, that one may simply be taken aback in a profound wonder-struck jaw-drop-silence, maybe with an after-thought: "*oh my goodness, how could it be that it never flashed on me any time earlier*"! as was also the case in earlier research reports [5]&[6].

16. DEDICATION

To my ಅಜ್ಜ (ajja) Karinja Halemane Keshava Bhat & ಅಜ್ಜಿ (ajji) Thirumaleshwari, ಅಪ್ಪ (appa) Shama Bhat & ಅಮ್ಮ (amma) Thirumaleshwari, for their *teachings through love*, that *quality matters more than quantity*; to my wife Vijayalakshmi for her *ever consistent love & support*; to my daughter [Sriwidya.Bharati](#) and my twin sons [Sriwidya.Ramana](#) & [Sriwidya.Prawina](#) for their *love & affection*.

Whereas [this Original Author-Creator](#) holds the (PIPR:©:) Perpetual Intellectual Property Rights, his legal heirs (three children mentioned above) may avail the same for perpetuity.

To all the *cool-headed brave-hearts*, eagerly awaited but probably yet to be visible among the world professionals, especially the *Subject-Matter-Experts*, who would be attracted to and certainly capable of effectively understanding without any prejudice and appreciating the deeper insights enshrined in this research report.

ॐ तत्सत्