Bachelor Thesis

Benchmark of RISC-V in BTOR2

Jan Krister Möller

Examiner: Dr. Mathias Fleury

University of Freiburg
Faculty of Engineering
Department of Computer Science
Chair of Computer Architecture

September 16, 2025

Writing Period

 $24.\,06.\,2025 - 24.\,09.\,2025$

Examiner

Dr. Mathias Fleury

Declaration

I hereby declare that I am the sole author and o	composer of my thesis and that no
other sources or learning aids, other than those li	sted, have been used. Furthermore,
I declare that I have acknowledged the work of oth	ners by providing detailed references
of said work.	
I hereby also declare that my Thesis has not been	n prepared for another examination
or assignment, either wholly or excerpts thereof.	
Place, Date Sig	nature

Declaration on Usage of Generative AI

I hereby declare that, with the approval of my examiner, I have employed the
generative AI tool "GitHub Copilot" during the preparation of this thesis solely for
spellchecking and enhancing the formality of my written expression. Furthermore,
I expressly confirm that this tool was not used to generate data or as a source of
factual information or content for this thesis.

Place, Date Signature

Abstract

foo bar [1] [2] [3]

Contents

1	Intro	oductic	on	1
2	RIS	RISC-V		3
	2.1	Overv	iew	3
	2.2	The R	RV64I ISA	4
	2.3	Simula	ation of RISC-V	8
		2.3.1	Representing the State of a RISC-V Processor	8
		2.3.2	Running an Instruction	9
		2.3.3	Saving the State of a RISC-V Processor	10
3	вто	OR2		11
	3.1	Model	Checking	11
	3.2	The B	TOR2 Language	11
	3.3	The B	BTOR2 Witness	12
4	Trai	nsformi	ing RISC-V to BTOR2	13
	4.1	The C	Concept	13
	4.2	Encod	ling	15
		4.2.1	Constants	15
		4.2.2	State Representation	17
		4.2.3	Initialization	18
		4.2.4	Fetching the Current Instruction	18
		4.2.5	Deconstruction of the Instruction	18

Bi	ibliography 44				
6	Con	clusion		41	
	5.2	Result	s	38	
	5.1	Tests		33	
5	Ben	chmark	S	33	
	4.3	Testin	g for Correctness	32	
		4.2.8	Constraints	29	
		4.2.7	Next-State Logic	24	
		4.2.6	Instruction Detection	24	

List of Figures

1	RV64I encoding formats	5
2	State representation of RISC-V in c	9
3	Construction of .state files	10
4	Sorts and non-progressive Constants	16
5	State representation for transforming RISC-V to BTOR2	17
6	Fetching instruction	20
7	Extraction (without immediate)	21
8	Extraction of immediate types	22
9	Finding the correct immediate	23
10	Examples for instruction detection	24
11	Examples for instruction execution	26
	11.1 AUIPC	26
	11.2 JALR	26
	11.3 BEQ	26
	11.4 LHU	27
	11.5 SD	27
	11.6 ANDI	28
	11.7 SLLIW	28
	11.8 SLT	28
	11.9 SUBW	28
12	Next-State logic for memory	28

13	Next-State logic for pc	29
14	Iterations counter constraint	30
15	Unknown Instruction constraints	31
16	Instruction address misaligned constraint	31
17	Base test cases	34
18	State of benchmark add 0256	34

List of Tables

1	RV64I Instruction Subset	7
2	Behavior of RV64I operations	8
3	Times of iterations based benchmarks	39
4	Times of extended address space benchmarks	39
5	Relative runtime of benchmarks	39

List of Algorithms

1	progressive constants	17
2	Generating initialisation constants	19
3	Initialising states	20
4	Value extraction from $rs1$	23
5	Instruction detection	25
6	Next-state logic for rd	30

1 Introduction

(TODO: Write this ?!)

2 RISC-V

As the first foundation for my benchmarks and, consequently, this thesis, I will discuss RISC-V and its operational principles.

2.1 Overview

RISC-V is an open-source instruction set architecture (ISA) first published in May 2011 by A. Waterman et al. [4]. As indicated by its name, it is based on the RISC design philosophy. (TODO: Explain RISC (compare wiki)) Since 2015, the development of RISC-V has been coordinated by the RISC-V International Association, a non-profit corporation based in Switzerland since 2020 [5]. Its objectives include providing an *open* ISA that is freely available to all, a *real* ISA suitable for native hardware implementation, and an ISA divided into a *small* base integer ISA usable independently, for example in educational contexts, with optional standard extensions to support general-purpose software development [1, Chapter 1].

Currently, RISC-V comprises four base ISAs: RV32I, RV64I, RV32E, and RV64E, which can be extended with one or more of the 47 ratified extension ISAs [1, Preface].

(EXTEND: Additional content may be required here)

For the purposes of this work, I focus on a subset of the RV64I ISA.

2.2 The RV64I ISA

RV64I is not overly complex, but its structure is essential for understanding the subsequent work presented in this thesis. Therefore, I will explain all elements relevant to my work.

RV64I features 32 64-bit registers, labeled x0-x31, where x0 is hardwired to zero across all bits. Registers x1-x31 are general-purpose and may be interpreted by various instructions as collections of booleans, two's complement signed binary integers, or unsigned integers. Additionally, there is a non-accessible register called pc, which serves as the program counter and holds the address of the current instruction [1, Chapters 4.1, 2.1].

In RV64I, memory addresses are 64 bits in size. As the memory model is defined to be single-byte addressable, the address space of RV64I encompasses 2⁶⁴ bytes [1, Chapter 1.4]. The format of the memory is little endian, so the lower bits of a number are placed at lower addresses.

Like nearly all standard ISAs of RISC-V, RV64I employs a standard instruction encoding length of 32 bits, or one word. Only the compressed extension named C introduces instructions with a length of 16 bits [1, Chapter 1.5], but this special case is not considered here. All RV64I instructions are encoded in one of the six formats illustrated in Figure 1. These formats may consist of

• The opcode:

The opcode is used to differentiate between groups of instructions. It also defines the format type of the instruction.

• rd:

This is the destination register.

31	25 24	20 19		15 14	12 11		7 6		0
funct7		rs2	rs1	fun	ct3	rd		opcode	R-Type
31		20 19		15 14	12 11		7 6		0
imm	[11:0]		rs1	fun	ct3	rd		opcode	I-Type
31	25 24	20 19		15 14	12 11		7 6		0
imm[11:5]		rs2	rs1	fun	ct3 i	imm[4:0]		opcode	S-Type
31 30	25 24	20 19		15 14	12 11	8	7 6		0
[12] imm[10:5]		rs2	rs1	fun	ct3 in	nm[4:1]	111	opcode	B-Type
31					12 11		7 6		0
	imr	n[31:12]				rd		opcode	U-Type
31 30		21 20 19			12 11		7 6		0
[20] imm	[10:1]	111	imm	[19:12]		rd		opcode	J-Type

Figure 1: RV64I encoding formats, used in [1, Chapter 2.3]

• funct3:

This is used to differentiate between instructions with the same opcode.

• rs1 & rs2:

These are the source registers.

• funct7:

This is used for further distinctions between instructions if there are more than eight instructions in an opcode group and funct3 does not suffice.

• *imm*:

This is an immediate value. In square brackets after *imm* is designated a subfield of the immediate which is represented by these bits. From these subfields, non-defined lower bits are filled with zeros whereas the highest defined bit is sign-extended to fill all non-defined higher bits.

The design of these formats results in the following features:

 Due to RISC-V's little-endian nature, the opcode, which encodes the general instruction, is always read first. Further specification of the instruction via funct3 and funct7 is consistently located at the same positions.

- If utilized by the instruction, rd, rs1, and rs2 are also always found in the same locations, simplifying decoding.
- The highest bit of *imm* is always bit 31, making it straightforward to sign-extend the immediate value.

The instructions relevant to my work are listed in Table 1 I have divided the instructions in Table 1 into nine groups based on their operations.

LUI and AUIPC move a high immediate into rd. In the case of AUIPC, the pc is added to this value. JAL and JALR instructions are unconditional jumps, where for JAL imm is added to pc and for JALR imm is added to rs1 and set as pc. Both link to the next instruction (current pc+4) in rd.

branch instructions are conditional jumps. rs1 is compared to rs2 and if the comparison holds, imm is added to pc. The comparisons are = for BEQ, \neq for BNE, < for BLT, and \geq for BGE. In these instructions, the values in rs1 and rs2 are handled as two's complement integers. The suffix *U in an instruction generally designates an unsigned operation. In this case, the values in rs1 and rs2 are handled as unsigned integers. Apart from this, they work as their counterpart without the suffix.

load instructions load values from memory at address (rs1+imm) into rd, either at Byte, Halfword, Word, or Doubleword length. By default, the value is sign-extended, and the suffix *U designates the loading of a non-sign-extended value. Conversely, store instructions write values from rs2 at the address (rs1+imm) to memory. Here also the distinction between the different lengths is made, and the lowest byte, halfword, word, or the whole doubleword is stored at the address.

All further instructions can be seen as generic operations, differentiated by their suffixes. To simplify the explanation process, all operations without any suffix and their behavior are listed in Table 2. This is almost exactly the group with opcode op, except the SLTU instruction, which is not suffix-free. However, as with all other

Instr	opcode	Type	Instr	opcode	Type	Instr	opcode	Type
LUI	lui	U	SB			ADD		
AUIPC	auipc		SH	store	S	SUB		İ
JAL	jal	J	SW	store		SLT		
JALR	jalr	I	SD			SLTU		
BEQ			ADDI			XOR	op	R
BNE			SLTI		I	OR		
BLT	branch	В	SLTIU	op-imm		AND		
BGE	orancn	D	XORI			SLL		
BLTU			ORI			SRL		
BGEU			ANDI			SRA		
LB			SLLI			ADDW		
LH			SRLI		I*	SUBW		
LW			SRAI			SLLW	op-32	R
LD	load	I	ADDIW	op-imm-32	I	SRLW		
LBU			SLLIW			SRAW		
LHU			SRLIW		I**			
LWU			SRAIW					

Table 1: Subset of RV64I instructions (TODO: Maybe rework, not happy yet)

instructions with the unsigned suffix, it behaves as its signed counterpart except for handling both rs1 and rs2 as unsigned integers.

These operations can be extended by the *I suffix, which is designated by the opcode op-imm. This replaces rs2 with imm in the behavior. Again, SLTI can be extended to an unsigned version SLTIU, which behaves as expected. A SUBI instruction does not exist as it is redundant; its behavior can be achieved by using ADDI with a negative immediate.

Additionally, the operations ADD, SUB, SLL, SRL, and SRA can be extended with the *W suffix. This forms the group with the opcode op-32. In contrast to the base instructions, these new ones behave as if the registers are only 32 bits. The result is placed in the low 32 bits of rd and sign-extended to the full 64 bits. Overflows are ignored.

The last group is the combination of both suffixes *IW with the opcode op-imm-32. The behavior differs from the base instructions, as expected, by a replacement of rs2

Instr	Behavior
ADD	rd := rs1 + rs2
SUB	rd := rs1 - rs2
SLT	rd := 1 if $rs1 < rs2$ else $rd := 0$
XOR	$rd := rs1 \oplus rs2$, bitwise
OR	$rd := rs1 \vee rs2$, bitwise
AND	$rd := rs1 \wedge rs2$, bitwise
SLL	rd := rs1 shifted left by $rs2$, new bits are zeros
SRL	rd := rs1 shifted right by $rs2$, new bits are zeros
SRA	rd := rs1 shifted right by $rs2$, sign extend

Table 2: All suffix free operations in RV64I and their behavior. All values are handled either bitwise or as signed twos complement integers

with *imm* and only operating on 32 bits. Again, a SUBIW instruction is redundant as a negative immediate with ADDIW achieves the same result.

Compared to the full RV64I ISA, I have omitted the FENCE, ECALL, and EBREAK instructions, as without I/O interaction or an environment such as an OS or a debugger, these are not required.

2.3 Simulation of RISC-V

In a previous project (TODO: Must be more precise), I implemented a simulation of this subset of RV64I in C [6]. As I will use it to test my BTOR2 model, I explain the inner workings of the program here.

(TODO: related work in simulation)

First, I implemented a structure to represent a simple RISC-V processor.

2.3.1 Representing the State of a RISC-V Processor

The state requires a representation for all registers. pc is defined as a 64-bit integer, and the other 32 registers are implemented as an array, allowing each register to

Figure 2: State representation of a RISC-V processor in the simulation [6]

be referenced by its number. Additionally, I implemented an array of flags, one for each register, to differentiate between initialized and non-initialized registers. The memory is built from single memory cells, each holding an address and its byte of content. These are accumulated in a hash table called "memorytable", hashing on the address. If adding a new cell causes a collision, it is appended to the cells already in the bucket, forming a linked list. These structures are shown in Figure 2.

2.3.2 Running an Instruction

After fetching the current instruction from the hash table, it must be decoded. The easiest way to do this is using a decision tree. First, I mask out the opcode and match it over all implemented opcodes. From there, either this is an endpoint and the instruction is identified, or *funct3* must be masked and matched. A final differentiation over *funct7* might be needed, but after this every leaf in the tree coincides with an instruction. At every leaf, the state can be changed according to the corresponding instruction. (TODO: Graph of the decision tree?)

```
up to 16 digits of [0-9a-fA-F]
\langle 64bitHex \rangle
                                 ::=
\langle 32bitHex \rangle
                                            up to 8 digits of [0-9a-fA-F]
                                 ::=
\langle 16bitHex \rangle
                                            up to 4 digits of [0-9a-fA-F]
                                  ::=
\langle 8bitHex \rangle
                                            up to 2 digits of [0-9a-fA-F]
                                  ::=
\langle memContent \rangle
                                            \langle 8bitHex \rangle
                                 ::=
                                             \mid \langle 16bitHex \rangle
                                             \mid \langle 32bitHex \rangle
                                             \mid \langle 64bitHex \rangle
\langle cell \rangle
                                             \langle 64bitHex \rangle : \langle memContent \rangle
                                 ::=
                                             |\langle cell \rangle \langle cell \rangle
\langle regNum \rangle
                                            0 | . . . | 31
                                 ::=
\langle reg \rangle
                                  ::=
                                            PC:\langle 64bitHex \rangle \setminus n
                                             | \mathbf{x}\langle regNum \rangle : \langle 64bitHex \rangle \setminus \mathbf{n}
                                             |\langle reg \rangle \langle reg \rangle|
                                            MEMORY: \n\langle cell \rangle
\langle memory \rangle
                                 ::=
                                            REGISTERS: \n\langle reg \rangle
\langle registers \rangle
                                 ::=
\langle state \rangle
                                            \langle registers \rangle \setminus n \langle memory \rangle \setminus n
                                 ::=
```

Figure 3: Construction of .state files

2.3.3 Saving the State of a RISC-V Processor

To preserve the current state of a RISC-V processor, both the registers and memory must be stored. For this purpose, I have devised the format shown in Figure 3. The RISC-V simulation uses this format as input and output. The minimal file consists only of the two designators "REGISTERS:" and "MEMORY:" and one empty line between them. Under "REGISTERS:", all registers can be listed with their corresponding value. Of course, x0 cannot be different from 0. I included the option to reference it nonetheless to have the complete state included. Under "MEMORY:", after giving an address, the memory can be filled with 1-, 2-, 4-, or 8-byte sized memory content. The given address is the starting address of the content, with every byte after the first filling the next higher address.

3 BTOR2

The second foundation of my benchmarks is BTOR2, a word-level model checking format published by A. Niemetz et al. [2].

3.1 Model Checking

(TODO: Write something about model checking...) (TODO: related work :))

3.2 The BTOR2 Language

In BTOR2, each line represents either a sort or a node, with the line number typically serving as an identifier. A sort functions similarly to a type, defining either the length of a bitvector or the size of an array of bitvectors. Nodes represent values of a defined sort and can be constants, operations, or constraints. These values can be referenced by their node identifier, i.e., the line number. The syntax of BTOR2 is detailed in [2, Figure 1], and the available operators are listed in [2, Table 1].

Key features of BTOR2 include its support for sequential operations, which facilitates the implementation of a RISC-V structure. The primary feature is the state operator, which defines a node that is updated sequentially. An init node assigns an initial value to this state, while a next node specifies its subsequent value. Constraints

can be used to define endpoints for a model, indicating either the occurrence of an unintended event or the discovery of the intended information. In both cases, the resulting model produces a witness.

3.3 The BTOR2 Witness

are listed.

Upon receiving a witness, it must be interpreted. The second line of a witness specifies the constraint that was triggered. For each sequential iteration, the witness first presents—marked with #x, where x is the iteration number—a representation of all states in the current iteration. Second, marked with @x, all inputs for the iteration

(TODO: Expand this section for greater detail)

12

4 Transforming RISC-V to BTOR2

(TODO: Explain naming conventions for the model nodes)

This chapter addresses the central problem of this thesis: transforming a RISC-V state into the BTOR2 format for benchmarking purposes. F. Schrögendorfer conducted similar work in his master's thesis "Bounded Model Checking in Lockless Programs" [3], where he describes, among other topics, an encoding concept for a minimal machine in a multiprocessor context [3, Chapter 2]. In [3, Chapter 8], he outlines a method to encode programs for his machine model into a BTOR2 model. This approach cannot be directly replicated here, as his model assumes the entire program is known at encoding time, whereas I aim to preserve the RISC-V property that allows for self-modifying programs during execution. If this property were to be disregarded, it would be possible to analyze the complete behavior of a program by parsing the memory, but this is beyond the scope of this work. Even so, I let myself inspire by his structuring of the model.

4.1 The Concept

To successfully execute a RISC-V instruction, three fundamental steps must occur in sequence:

- Fetch the current instruction from memory
- Identify the instruction

• Execute the instruction

Due to the fixed instruction length of RISC-V, as mentioned in Section 2.2, fetching the current instruction is straightforward. Ultimately, a node is required that retrieves a word from memory at the location specified by pc.

For basic identification, the opcode must be extracted and checked. Depending on the opcode, further distinctions between instructions require extracting and checking funct3 and, if necessary, funct7. Ultimately, a node for each instruction is needed, holding a boolean value indicating whether this instruction was fetched.

To execute the instruction, the values of the immediate imm and, if used, the registers rs1 and rs2 must be extracted. All instructions only modify rd, pc, or memory. Therefore, the next-state logic can be generalized for these three cases.

Memory is only modified when a store instruction is identified. As all store instructions share the same type, computing the memory address is consistent across them. The final step is overwriting the memory at this address.

For the pc, except for jump commands, it always increments to point to the next instruction. The two unconditional jumps, JAL and JALR, must be handled separately. For branch instructions, after determining whether the relevant condition for the instruction holds, a general approach can be applied, as all branch instructions execute the same operation from this point onward.

With rd, generalization across instructions is not feasible. However, it is possible to generalize across all possible registers by adding a check in each register's update function to determine whether the register in question is rd.

4.2 Encoding

For improved visualization in the BTOR2 code, all sort-IDs are marked in gray, all node-IDs in red, and all non-ID numbers in blue. As described in the BTOR2 syntax [2, Figure 1], each line can have an accompanying symbol. Unfortunately, these cannot be used as aliases for the line numbers, but for clarity, in the following figures I use them as such aliases. This allows each new figure to start with the relative line number n, making it feasible to describe processes with algorithms. It is implied that n is sufficiently incremented after adding to the model so that IDs do not overlap. The following sections describe how a BTOR2 model is constructed from a RISC-V state file.

4.2.1 Constants

First, I added the sorts and non-progressive constants needed in the BTOR2 model, as shown in Figure 4. This is extended by a set of progressive constants used for comparison, e.g., against the register number. Algorithm 1 describes how these are added.

Of note is the representation of memory as an array of addressable memory cells, each 1 byte. The chosen address space of 16 bits is significantly smaller than the expected 64-bit address space, but representing a 64-bit addressable memory with 2^{64} bytes (\approx 18 exabytes) is not feasible. Therefore, I selected a 16-bit address space as a practical minimum, providing approximately 65kB and supporting programs with potentially over 10,000 instructions, which I consider sufficient for most use cases. The encoding is implemented so that the address space can be modified as needed.

(TODO: Change code to make address space modifiable by an option?) (TODO: Explain progressive constants)

1	sort	bitvec	1		Bool
2	sort	bitvec	16		AS
3	sort	bitvec	8		В
4	sort	bitvec	16		Н
5	sort	bitvec	32		W
6	sort	bitvec	64		D
7	sort	array	2	3	Mem
8	one	Bool			true
9	zero	Bool			false
10	one	AS			addressInc
11	constd	AS	4		pcInc
12	zero	В			emptyCell
13	one	W			bitPicker
14	zero	D			emptyReg
15	consth	W	01F		5Bitmask
16	consth	W	03F		6Bitmask
17	consth	W	07F		7Bitmask
18	consth	W	OFFF		12Bitmask
19	consth	W	OFFFFF		20Bitmask
20	constd	\mathbb{W}	7		${\it shiftToRd}$
21	constd	W	15		shiftToRs1
22	constd	W	20		shiftToRs2
23	constd	W	12		${\it shiftToFunct3}$
24	constd	W	25		${\it shiftToFunct7}$
25	constd	W	5		shiftBy5
26	constd	\mathbb{W}	11		shiftBy11
27	constd	\mathbb{W}	3		load
28	constd	\mathbb{W}	19		opImm
29	constd	\mathbb{W}	23		auipc
30	constd	\mathbb{W}	27		opImm32
31	constd	\mathbb{W}	35		store
32	constd	\mathbb{W}	51		op
33	constd	\mathbb{W}	55		lui
34	constd	\mathbb{W}	59		op32
35	constd	\mathbb{W}	99		branch
36	constd	\mathbb{W}	103		jalr
37	constd	\mathbb{W}	111		jal
_					

(TODO: Maybe neusortieren, andere constanten aufnehmen. Explain)

 $\textbf{Figure 4:} \ \, \textbf{Sorts and non-progressive Constants for encoding RISC-V in BTOR2}$

```
for i from 0 to 31 do

add to model:

n constd W i iConst

end
```

Algorithm 1: progressive constants for encoding RISC-V in BTOR2

(n + 0)	state	D	x0	(n + 17)	state	D
(n + 1)	state	D	x1	(n + 18)	state	D
(n + 2)	state	D	x2	(n + 19)	state	D
(n + 3)	state	D	x3	(n + 20)	state	D
(n + 4)	state	D	x4	(n + 21)	state	D
(n + 5)	state	D	x5	(n + 22)	state	D
(n + 6)	state	D	x6	(n + 23)	state	D
(n + 7)	state	D	x7	(n + 24)	state	D
(n + 8)	state	D	x8	(n + 25)	state	D
(n + 9)	state	D	x9	(n + 26)	state	D
(n + 10)	state	D	x10	(n + 27)	state	D
(n + 11)	state	D	x11	(n + 28)	state	D
(n + 12)	state	D	x12	(n + 29)	state	D
(n + 13)	state	D	x13	(n + 30)	state	D
(n + 14)	state	D	x14	(n + 31)	state	D
(n + 15)	state	D	x15	(n + 32)	state	AS
(n + 16)	state	D	x16	(n + 33)	state	Mem

Figure 5: State representation for encoding

4.2.2 State Representation

The next logical step is defining a representation of a RISC-V state. This is straightforward, as shown in Figure 5. I also introduced a flag for each register in my code to track whether the register was written to, enabling the transformation of a witness to a state file containing only the relevant registers. As these flags do not affect the operation of the BTOR2 model and are only included for an aesthetic choice, they are not included in my description and will not be discussed further.

4.2.3 Initialization

To initialize a state in BTOR2 from a RISC-V state file, the values in the registers must be loaded as constants, and for each memory address mentioned in the state file, the value and address must be loaded as constants. Due to the inability to represent a full 64-bit address space, I must manage the reduction of the address space from the state file to the BTOR2 model. I chose to initialize only the addresses up to the BTOR2 model's address space maximum and omit all others from the state file, as this provides the most predictable behavior. All addresses not mentioned in the state file are zero-initialized. Finally, these constants are used to initialize the state. For the registers, this is straightforward; for memory, all memory addresses are first written into a placeholder array, which is then used to initialize the actual memory. Due to BTOR2 constraints, these constants must be defined **before** the states, but initialization with the values must occur after the states. Thus, this initialization process **wraps around** the state representation. The generation of constants is shown in Algorithm 2, while the actual initialization is shown in Algorithm 3.

4.2.4 Fetching the Current Instruction

To fetch the current instruction, I read the four bytes of the instruction and concatenate them, as shown in Figure 6.

4.2.5 Deconstruction of the Instruction

With the instruction available, it can be deconstructed to extract the opcode, rd, rs1, rs2, funct3, funct7, and imm. For everything except imm, this can be accomplished by shifting and masking, as shown in Figure 7.

The immediate, however, must first be constructed from its subfields, which are referenced in Figure 1. In the BTOR2 model, this is shown in Figure 8. (TODO:

```
truePc \leftarrow value of pc in state file
maxPc \leftarrow \text{number of addresses in BTOR2 model}
pcValue \leftarrow truePc \text{ modulo } maxPc
add to model:
                                 pcConst
               AS
                     pcValue
     constd
for every register x_i do
   if register is initialised in state file then
       registerValue \leftarrow value of x_i
       if registerValue \neq 0 then
           add to model:
                 constd D registerValue
                                                  x_i Const
       end
   end
end
add to model:
                                                    memPH
 (n + 0)
                      Mem
             state
 (n + 1)
                             memPH (n + 0)
             init
                      Mem
\overline{lastPH \leftarrow memPH}
allInitialCells \leftarrow all initialised memory cells in the state file
cutInitialCells \leftarrow remove all cells with address over maxPc
for every cell c in cutInitialCells do
   address \leftarrow address of c
   value \leftarrow \text{value of } c
   add to model:
                                  \overline{address}
     (n + 0)
                 constd
     (n + 1)
                                  value
                 constd
                           В
     (n + 2)
                                  lastPH (n + 0) (n + 1)
                                                                  PHAfterC
                 write
                           Mem
   \overline{lastPH \leftarrow PHAfterC}
end
keep lastPH for initialisation
```

Algorithm 2: Generating initialisation constants from state file in BTOR2

```
add to model:
     init
              AS
                    pc pcConst
for every register x_i do
    if x_iConst was defined then
        add to model:
              init
                           x_i \quad x_i Const
    \quad \text{end} \quad
\quad \mathbf{end} \quad
add to model:
                      memory lastPh
      init
 n
              Mem
```

Algorithm 3: Initialising states in the BTOR2 model

(n + 0)	read	В	memory	pc	instrB1
(n + 1)	add	AS	addressInc	pc	$pc{+}1$
(n + 2)	read	В	memory	$pc{+}1$	instrB2
(n + 3)	add	AS	addressInc	$pc{+}1$	pc+2
(n + 4)	read	В	memory	pc+2	instrB3
(n + 5)	add	AS	addressInc	pc+2	pc+3
(n + 6)	read	В	memory	pc+3	instrB4
(n + 7)	concat	Н	instrB2	instrB1	instrH1
(n + 8)	concat	Н	instrB4	instrB3	instrH2
(n + 9)	concat	W	instrH2	instrH1	instr

Figure 6: Fetching the current instruction from memory

(n + 0)	and	W	instr	7Bitmask	opcode
(n + 1)	srl	$\overline{\mathbb{W}}$	instr	shiftToRd	rdPre
(n + 2)	and	$\overline{\mathbb{W}}$	rdPre	5Bitmask	rd
(n + 3)	srl	W	instr	shift ToRs1	rs1Pre
(n + 4)	and	W	rs1Pre	5Bitmask	rs1
(n + 5)	srl	W	instr	shift ToRs2	rs2Pre
(n + 6)	and	W	rs2Pre	5Bitmask	rs2
(n + 7)	srl	W	instr	shift To Funct 3	funct 3 Pre
(n + 8)	and	W	funct 3 Pre	shiftRd	funct3
(n + 9)	srl	W	instr	shift To Funct 7	funct7

Figure 7: Extraction of values from the instruction without imm

Reference to same method in riscvsim) Three points are noteworthy:

First, some immediate subfields overlap exactly. This is utilized in lines (n + 1) with the overlap of imm[11:5] for I- and S-type, and (n + 21) with J- and B-types imm[10:5] overlap. Second, as described in Section 2.2, the immediate is always sign-extended. This is achieved using arithmetic right shifts, which perform sign extension and correctly position the highest immediate bit. Third, at line (n + 8), sign extension requires a right shift by 19. As this matches the opcode for arithmetic instructions with immediate, I reused this constant.

Now, *iTypeImm*, *sTypeImm*, *bTypeImm*, *uTypeImm*, and *jTypeImm* are available. However, it is preferable to have a single node *imm* referencing the immediate value regardless of instruction. This is accomplished in Figure 9, where booleans are defined to check all opcodes that are neither R-type nor I-type. Then, if-then-else nodes are chained to select instructions of J-type, U-type, B-type, or S-type. If the instruction is none of these, I default to I-type, as R-type does not use an immediate value. Finally, *imm* is extended to the 64-bit width required by RV64I.

At this stage, the values of the designated rs1 and rs2 registers can also be extracted. This is shown for rs1 in Figure 4; the process is identical for rs2, with only the names changed. The starting equality comparisons can be omitted for rs2, as they are already defined for rs1 and can be referenced.

(n + 0)	sra	W	instr	shiftToRs2	iTypeImm
(n + 1)	and	W	iTypeImm	-5Bitmask	s[11:5]
(n + 2)	add	W	s[11:5]	rd	sTypeImm
(11 . 2)	aaa	**	5[11.5]	14	втуренин
(n + 3)	and	W	rd	-bitPicker	b[4:0]
(n + 4)	and	\mathbb{W}	funct7	6Bitmask	b[10:5]Pre
(n + 5)	sll	\mathbb{W}	b10.5Pre	shiftBy5	b[10:5]
(n + 6)	and	\mathbb{W}	bitPicker	rd	b[11]Pre
(n + 7)	sll	\mathbb{W}	b[11]Pre	shiftBy11	b[11]
(n + 8)	sra	\mathbb{W}	instr	mathI	b[31:12]Pre
(n + 9)	and	\mathbb{W}	b[31:12]Pre	12Bitmask	b[31:12]
(n + 10)	add	\mathbb{W}	b[10:5]	b[4:0]	b[10:0]
(n + 11)	add	\mathbb{W}	b[11]	b[10:0]	b[11:0]
(n + 12)	add	\mathbb{W}	b[31:12]	b[11:0]	bTypeImm
(n + 13)	and	W	instr	-12Bitmask	uTypeImm
(n + 14)	and	\overline{W}	rs2	-bitPicker	j[4:0]
(n + 15)	and	\mathbb{W}	rs2	bitPicker	j[11]Pre
(n + 16)	sll	\mathbb{W}	j[11]Pre	shiftBy11	j[11]
(n + 17)	sll	\mathbb{W}	funct3	shift To Funct 3	j[14:12]
(n + 18)	sll	\mathbb{W}	rs1	shiftToRs1	j[19:15]
(n + 19)	sra	\mathbb{W}	instr	shiftBy11	j[31:20]Pre
(n + 20)	and	\mathbb{W}	j[31:20]Pre	-20 Bitmask	j[31:20]
(n + 21)	add	\mathbb{W}	b[10:5]	j[4:0]	j[10:0]
(n + 22)	add	\mathbb{W}	j[11]	j[10:0]	j[11:0]
(n + 23)	add	\mathbb{W}	j[14:12]	j[11:0]	j[14:0]
(n + 24)	add	\mathbb{W}	j[19:15]	j[14:0]	j[19:0]
(n + 25)	add	\mathbb{W}	j[31:20]	j[19:0]	jTypeImm

Figure 8: Extraction of all imm types from the instruction

```
(n + 0)
                          opcode
                                                                 isSType
                   Bool
                                      store
            eq
 (n + 1)
                   Bool
                           opcode
                                      branch
                                                                 isBType
            eq
 (n + 2)
                                      auipc
                                                                 uType1
                   Bool
                          opcode
            eq
 (n + 3)
                           opcode
                                      lui
                                                                 uType2
                   Bool
            eq
 (n + 4)
            or
                   Bool
                           uType1
                                      uType2
                                                                 is UType
 (n + 5)
                   Bool
                           opcode
                                     jal
                                                                 isJType
            eq
 (n + 6)
                                                                 checkS
            ite
                   \overline{\mathbb{W}}
                           isSType
                                     sTypeImm
                                                   iTypeImm
 (n + 7)
            ite
                   \mathbb{W}
                           isBType
                                      bTypeImm
                                                   checkS
                                                                 checkB
 (n + 8)
                                      uTypeImm
                                                   checkB
                                                                 checkU
            ite
                   \overline{W}
                           is UType
 (n + 9)
                                     jTypeImm
                                                   checkU
                                                                 imm32
            ite
                           isJType
                                      32
(n + 10)
            sext
                   D
                          imm32
                                                                 imm
```

Figure 9: Finding the correct immediate by opcode

```
for i from 1 to 31 do
   add to model:
                                    isRs1Xi
                         iConst
                    rs1
end
add to model:
    ite
          D
              isRs1X1
                             x0
                                  checkX1
for i from 2 to 30 do
   add to model:
                 isRs1Xi
                                checkX(i-1)
                                               checkXi
        ite
                           хi
end
add to model:
              isRs1X31
                          x31
                               check X30
                                           rs1val
    ite
```

Algorithm 4: Extracting the value of the register designated by rs1

(isJALR already exists)								
n	and	Bool	isLoad	is 5 Funct 3	is LHU			
(n + 0)	consth	W	20		SUBWf7			
(n + 1)	eq	Bool	funct7	SUBWf7	fits F7SUBW			
(n + 2)	and	Bool	is 0 Funct 3	fits F7SUBW	fitsF3SUBW			
(n + 3)	and	Bool	isLoad	fitsF3SUBW	is SUBW			

(TODO: Use subfigs)

Figure 10: Instruction detection of JALR, LHU and SUBW as described in Algorithm 5

4.2.6 Instruction Detection

For the next-state logic, it is essential to determine the current command. Therefore, I defined a check *isInstruction* for each instruction. As this is repetitive, Algorithm 5 describes a generalized approach to obtain these booleans. An example for each instruction subgroup in Algorithm 5 is provided in Figure 10. The funct7 checks from the *needsf7* subgroup can be reused if multiple instructions share the same funct7.

4.2.7 Next-State Logic

The next-state logic is the core of the model. Almost everything else supports this point. The goal is to create the changes each instruction would make and then apply only the changes specific to the instruction in the state. Each state node in the model must have an accompanying next node to function correctly. First, the changed values are computed.

Creating All Values of Instruction Execution

It is unnecessary to detail all instructions, as this simply follows the RV64I ISA. Instead, I provide examples for each group of instructions as divided in Table 1. Examples for AUIPC, JALR, BEQ, LHU, SD, ANDI, SLLIW, SLT, and SUBW are shown in Figure 11. These examples illustrate overlaps that can be utilized, such as addresses for load and store instructions or the 32-bit versions of word instructions. The SD

```
add to model:
  (n + 0)
                          opcode
                                    load
                                                   isLoad
                  Bool
              eq
  (n + 1)
                          opcode
                                    opImm
                                                   isOpImm
              eq
                  Bool
  (n + 2)
                                                   isAUIPC
                  Bool
                          opcode
                                    auipc
              eq
  (n + 3)
                          opcode
                                    opImm32
                                                   isOpImm32
                  Bool
  (n + 4)
                                                   isStore
                  Bool
                          opcode
                                    store
  (n + 5)
                          opcode
                                                   isOp
                  Bool
              eq
                                    op
                                                   isLUI
  (n + 6)
              eq
                  Bool
                          opcode
                                    lui
  (n + 7)
                          opcode
                                    op32
                                                   isOp32
              eq
                  Bool
  (n + 8)
                                                   isBranch
                  Bool
                          opcode
                                    branch
              eq
                                                   is JALR
  (n + 9)
                          opcode
                                    jalr
              eq
                  Bool
 (n + 10)
                                                   isJAL
                          opcode
                  Bool
                                    jal
              eq
for i from 0 to 7 do
   add to model:
                     funct3
                              iConst
                                          isiFunct3
             Bool
        eq
end
onlyOp \leftarrow [LUI, AUIPC, JAL, JALR]
needsf7 \leftarrow [SRL, SRA, SRLI, SRAI, SRLW, SRAW, SRLWI, SRAWI, ADD,
SUB, ADDW, SUBW]
rest \leftarrow [ all other instructions ]
for all instructions I in onlyOp do
|isI| is already defined
end
for all instructions I in rest do
   opname \leftarrow opcode name of I
   f3val \leftarrow \text{expected funct3 of I as digit}
   add to model:
                                   isf3valFunct3
        and
                       <mark>is</mark>opname
                                                      isI
end
for all instructions I in needs f7 do
   opname \leftarrow opcode name of I
   f3val \leftarrow \text{expected funct3 of I as digit}
   f7hex \leftarrow expected funct 7 of I as hexadecimal number
   add to model:
                                  f7hex
                                                              If7
     (n + 0)
                          W
                consth
                                  funct7
                                                  If7
                                                              fitsF7I
     (n + 1)
                          Bool
                eq
     (n + 2)
                          Bool
                                  isf3valFunct3
                                                  fitsF7I
                                                              fitsF3I
                and
     (n + 3)
                                                  fitsF3I
                and
                          Bool
                                  isopname
                                                              isI
end
```

Algorithm 5: Generalised approach to instruction detection

n	add	D	imm	pc	rdAUIPC

11.1: AUIPC

Figure 11: Instruction execution for chosen instructions

(n + 0)	add	AS	pc	pcInc	nextPc
(n + 1)	add	D	imm	rs1val	pcJALR64pre
(n + 2)	and	D	-1Const	pcJALR64pre	pcJALR64
(n + 3)	slice	AS	pcJALR64	15	pcJALR
(n + 4)	uext	D	nextPc	48	rdJALR

(TODO: pc overflow erwähnen)

11.2: JALR

Figure 11: Instruction execution for chosen instructions

example demonstrates that all other store instructions are interim results of preparing SD. Load instructions are similar, but each requires sign extension to 64 bits.

With this, each change can be assigned to its instruction.

The Next Memory

Defining the next memory array is straightforward. All store instructions are cascaded through if-then-else nodes, with the final 'else' set as the current memory array; if no 'if' matches, the array remains unchanged. This is shown in Figure 12.

(n + 0)	add	AS	pc	pcInc		nextPc
(n + 1)	slice	AS	imm	15	0	ImmAS
(n + 2)	add	AS	pc	ImmAS		pcBranch
(n + 3)	eq	Bool	rs1val	rs2val		is BEQ cond
(n + 4)	ite	AS	is BEQ cond	pcBranch	nextPc	pcBEQ

11.3: BEQ

Figure 11: Instruction execution for chosen instructions

(n + 0)	add	D	rs1val	imm		1stAddrPre
(n + 1)	slice	AS	1stAddrPre	15	0	1stAddr
(n + 2)	add	AS	1stAddr	addressInc		2ndAddr
(n + 3)	read	В	memory	1stAddr		loadB1
(n + 4)	read	В	memory	2ndAddr		loadB2
(n + 5)	concat	Н	loadB2	loadB1		loadB2B1
(n + 6)	uext	D	loadB2B1	48	0	rdLHU

11.4: LHU

Figure 11: Instruction execution for chosen instructions

(n + 0)	add	D	rs1val	imm		1stAddrPre
(n + 1)	slice	AS	1stAddrPre	15	0	1stAddr
(n + 2)	add	AS	1stAddr	addressInc		2ndAddr
(n + 3)	add	AS	2ndAddr	addressInc		3rdAddr
(n + 4)	add	AS	3rdAddr	addressInc		4thAddr
(n + 5)	add	AS	4thAddr	addressInc		5thAddr
(n + 6)	add	AS	5thAddr	addressInc		6thAddr
(n + 7)	add	AS	6thAddr	addressInc		7thAddr
(n + 8)	add	AS	7thAddr	addressInc		8thAddr
(n + 9)	slice	В	rs2val	7	0	storeB1
(n + 10)	slice	В	rs2val	15	8	storeB2
(n + 11)	slice	В	rs2val	23	16	storeB3
(n + 12)	slice	В	rs2val	31	24	store B4
(n + 13)	slice	В	rs2val	39	32	store B5
(n + 14)	slice	В	rs2val	47	40	store B6
(n + 15)	slice	В	rs2val	55	48	storeB7
(n + 16)	slice	В	rs2val	63	56	store B8
(n + 17)	write	Mem	memory	1stAddr	storeB1	memorySB
(n + 18)	write	Mem	memorySB	2ndAddr	storeB2	memorySH
(n + 19)	write	Mem	memorySH	3rdAddr	storeB3	memoryB3
(n + 20)	write	Mem	memoryB3	4thAddr	store B4	memorySW
(n + 21)	write	Mem	memorySW	5thAddr	store B5	memoryB5
(n + 22)	write	Mem	memoryB5	6thAddr	store B6	memoryB6
(n + 23)	write	Mem	memoryB6	7thAddr	storeB7	memoryB7
(n + 24)	write	Mem	memoryB7	8thAddr	storeB8	memorySD

11.5: SD

 ${\bf Figure~11:~Instruction~execution~for~chosen~instructions}$

n	and	D	rs1val	imm	rdANDI
			11.6: A	ANDI	

Figure 11: Instruction execution for chosen instructions

(n + 0)	and	W	imm32	5Bitmask		shamtIW
(n + 1)	slice	\mathbb{W}	rs1val	31	0	rs1val32
(n + 2)	sll	$\overline{\mathbb{W}}$	rs1val32	shamtIW		rdSLLIWpre
(n + 3)	sext	D	rs1val32	32		rdSLLIW

11.7: SLLIW

Figure 11: Instruction execution for chosen instructions

(n + 1) uext D $rdSLTpre$ 63 $rdSL$	(n + 0)	slt	Bool	rs1val	rs2val	rdSLTpre
(iii ii, doile ii iidalipie ee	(n + 1)	uext	D	rdSLTpre	63	rdSLT

11.8: SLT

Figure 11: Instruction execution for chosen instructions

(n + 0)	slice	W	rs1val	31	0	rs1val32
(n + 1)	slice	\mathbb{W}	rs2val	31	0	rs2val32
(n + 2)	sub	\mathbb{W}	rs1val32	rs2val32		rdSUBWpre
(n + 3)	sext	D	rdSUBWpre	32		rdSUBW

11.9: SUBW

Figure 11: Instruction execution for chosen instructions

(n + 0)	ite	Mem	isSB	memorySB	memory	newMem3
(n + 1)	ite	Mem	isSH	memorySH	newMem3	newMem2
(n + 2)	ite	Mem	isSW	memorySW	newMem2	newMem1
(n + 3)	ite	Mem	isSD	memorySD	newMem1	newMem
(n + 4)	next	Mem	memory	newMem		

Figure 12: Next-State logic for the memory array

${(n + 0)}$	ite	AS	isBGEU	pcBGEU	nextPc	$\overline{newPc7}$
(n + 1)	ite	AS	isBLTU	pcBLTU	newPc7	
(11 ' 1)	106	AD	ISDLI U	рсын с	Hewr Cr	newi co
(n + 2)	ite	AS	isBGE	pcBGE	newPc6	newPc5
(n + 3)	ite	AS	isBLT	pcBLT	newPc5	newPc4
(n + 4)	ite	AS	isBNE	pcBNE	newPc4	newPc3
(n + 5)	ite	AS	isBEQ	pcBEQ	newPc3	newPc2
(n + 6)	ite	AS	is JALR	pcJALR	newPc2	newPc1
(n + 7)	ite	AS	is JAL	pcJAL	newPc1	newPc
(n + 8)	next	AS	pc	newPc		

Figure 13: Next-State logic for the pc register

The Next pc

For the next pc, the approach is similar, as shown in Figure 13. The only difference is that if no 'if' matches, pc must point to the next instruction to execute. The nextPc value was already computed for the JAL and JALR instructions and is reused here. The unconditional jumps also modify the value in rd, which is handled in the next section.

The Next rd

At last, the remaining registers must be updated. The procedure is defined in Figure 6. With the exception of x0, this is the same for all registers. The process is similar to defining the next memory or pc, but instead of a handful of instructions, all 39 relevant instructions must be considered, as only branch and store instructions do not modify rd. For brevity, the cascade for all relevant instructions is not shown in full in Algorithm 6, but only indicated.

4.2.8 Constraints

The final step is to define constraints to terminate the model checker. The primary constraint is reaching a set number of iterations, as shown in Figure 14.

```
add to model:
                   x0
    next
               x0
for i from 1 to 31 do
   add to model:
                               isLUI
                                         rdLUI
                                                               newXi-49
       (n + 0)
                 ite
                        D
                                                    xi
                        D
                 ite
     (n + 47)
                 ite
                        D
                               isSRAW
                                         rdSRAW
                                                    newXi-2
                                                               newXi-1
                                                               isRdXi
     (n + 48)
                 eq
                        Bool
                               rd
                                         iConst
     (n + 49)
                               isRdXi
                                         newXi-1
                                                               newXi
                 ite
                        D
                                                    xi
     (n + 50)
                                         newXi
                        D
                               xi
                 next
end
```

Algorithm 6: Next-state logic for all x registers

(n + 0) (n + 1)	one constd	D D	nIterations		counterInc maxIterations
		_	1110012010113		
(n + 2)	state	D			counter
(n + 3)	init	D	counter	emptyReg	
(n + 4)	add	D	counter	counterInc	newCounter
(n + 5)	next	D	counter	newCounter	
(n + 6)	eq	Bool	counter	$\max I terations$	is Max Iter
(n + 7)	bad		is Max Iter		

Figure 14: Constraining the model by iteration count

Additional constraints are defined to check for invalid instructions. The first checks if the opcode is valid for the model. The second constraint detects if the instruction cannot be identified even when the opcode is valid, as shown in Figure 15. The constraint in Figure 16 handles instruction-address-misaligned exceptions for jump instructions.

Other constraints can be defined, such as terminating on a specific pc value or when a register reaches a specified value.

```
(TODO: Maybe add examples on how to do this)(TODO: Maybe internal references in figures should be numbers...)
```

(n + 0)	or	Bool	isLoad	isOpImm	isOpcodeValid9
(n + 1)	or	Bool	is AUIPC	is Op code Valid 9	is Opcode Valid 8
(n + 2)	or	Bool	isOpImm32	is Opcode Valid 8	is Opcode Valid 7
(n + 3)	or	Bool	isStore	is Opcode Valid 7	is Opcode Valid 6
(n + 4)	or	Bool	isOp	is Opcode Valid 6	is Opcode Valid 5
(n + 5)	or	Bool	is LUI	is Opcode Valid 5	is Opcode Valid 4
(n + 6)	or	Bool	isOp32	is Opcode Valid 4	is Opcode Valid 3
(n + 7)	or	Bool	is Branch	is Opcode Valid 3	isOpcodeValid2
(n + 8)	or	Bool	is JALR	is Opcode Valid 2	is Opcode Valid 1
(n + 9)	or	Bool	is JAL	is Opcode Valid 1	isOpcodeValid
(n + 10)	bad		-isOpcodeValid		
(n + 11)	or	Bool	is LUI	is AUIPC	is Instr Valid 47
(n + 12)	or	Bool	is JAL	is Instr Valid 47	is Instr Valid 46
:	or	Bool	:	:	:
			· CD AIII	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
(n + 58)	or	Bool	is SRAW	is Instr Valid1	is Instr Valid
(n + 59)	and	Bool	-is Instr Valid	isOpcodeValid	unknownInstr
(n + 60)	bad		unknownInstr		

Figure 15: Constraining the model on unknown instructions

(n + 0)	zero	AS			pcZero
(n + 1)	constd	AS	3		pcBitmask
(n + 2)	and	AS	pcBitmask	pcJAL	lowbits JAL
(n + 3)	and	AS	pcBitmask	pcJALR	lowbits JALR
(n + 4)	and	AS	pcBitmask	pcBEQ	lowbits BEQ
:	and	AS	pcBitmask	:	:
(n + 9)	and	AS	pcBitmask	pcBGEU	low bits BGEU
(n + 10)	neq	Bool	pcZero	lowbits JAL	pcMsaJAL
(n + 11)	neq	Bool	pcZero	lowbits JALR	pcMsaJALR
(n + 12)	neq	Bool	pcZero	lowbits BEQ	pcMsaBEQ
:	neq	Bool	pcZero	:	:
(n + 17)	neq	Bool	pcZero	low bits BGEU	pcMsaBGEU
(n + 18)	or	Bool	pcMsaJAL	pcMsaJALR	pcMsa6
(n + 19)	or	Bool	pcMsaBEQ	pcBEQ	pcMsa5
:	or	Bool	:	:	<u>:</u>
(n + 24)	or	Bool	pcMsaBGEU	pcMsa1	pcMsa
(n + 25)	bad		pcMsa		

 $\textbf{Figure 16:} \ \ \textbf{Constraining the model on misaligned addresses} \\$

4.3 Testing for Correctness

To test my model, I compared its results to those of my RISC-V simulator (Section 2.3).

Given a state, both the simulation and the BTOR2 model are run with the iteration maximum set to 1. The resulting BTOR2 witness cannot be directly compared to the resulting state of the simulation. Therefore, I implemented a simple converter from witness to state [7, src/restate_witness.c]. These two states can then be compared. A shell script for this purpose is provided at [7, sh_utils/compare_iterations.sh].

To generate RISC-V states, I implemented a fuzzer [7, src/state_fuzzer.c] that generates randomized states with one valid instruction at the address of pc. The fuzzer first selects an instruction to test and fills all variable parts of the instruction, such as rd or imm. All registers relevant to the instruction are then assigned random 64-bit values. A pc value is generated to ensure the instruction fits within the limited address space of the BTOR2 model. If a jump instruction is chosen, possible address misalignment is corrected and address overflow is prevented. This simplifies later comparison of the resulting states, as correct execution of the instruction always results in the same state, despite differences between the simulation and the BTOR2 model.

With this setup, a series of tests can be conducted. For this, I implemented a shell script [7, sh_utils/test_btor2_model.sh]. As the number of tests increases, it becomes more challenging to track failed tests. To address this, I wrote a script to aggregate all failed tests into one file and add additional information such as instruction name or immediate value [7, sh_utils/diff_logger.sh].

I have executed approximately 5,000,000 tests on this model without a single failure, which leads me to conclude that my implementation is correct.

5 Benchmarks

With the model implemented, I was able to evaluate its performance. All benchmarks were executed on an Intel Core i5-6200U using the btormc model checker, which is distributed with the BTOR2 format [2]. Each test was run five times, and the resulting times were averaged. I also attempted to run the tests with the model checkers AVR [8] and Pono [9]. The challenges encountered with these tools are discussed in Section 5.2.

5.1 Tests

I devised two basic tests, each composed of four RISC-V instructions as illustrated in Figure 17. One test includes a memory operation, while the other does not, allowing for measurement of the impact of memory operations on the model's performance. The programs for these tests are intentionally similar: both feature three instructions forming a loop and one instruction serving as a "workhorse". The test names, add and write_mem, are derived from this key instruction. The program is embedded into a state, as exemplified in Figure 18, where the add program is configured for 256 loops. In this setup, x1 acts as a loop limiter, x2 as a loop counter, and x3 as an accumulator. The instructions are placed in the initial bytes of memory. In contrast, the memory operation test uses x2 as an address to store the first byte of a register, with x3 serving as this register. Both tests were also implemented with increasing loop counts up to 2048 to provide a reference for processing more iterations.

```
bge x2 x1 0x10 jump\ out\ of\ program\ if\ x1=x2 add x3 x3 x2 | either (add counter onto x3) | sb x3 0x14(x2) or (store the first byte of x3 at counter + 0x14) addi x2 x2 0x1 increment\ counter\ in\ x2 jump\ back\ to\ address\ 0
```

Figure 17: Base test cases for the benchmarks

```
REGISTERS:
PC:0
x1:100
x2:0

MEMORY:
0:001158E3 # BGE x2 x1 0x10
4:002181B3 # ADD x3 x3 x2
8:00110113 # ADDI x2 x2 1
c:00000067 # JALR x0 x0 0
```

Figure 18: Example state for benchmark add 0256

Additionally, I evaluated the impact of initialized memory on runtime. For this, I introduced tests with the prefix fullmem, where memory addresses 0x18 to 0xfff were filled with the bit pattern '0101'. The first four words of memory were filled with the test program. The fifth word could also be filled, but I opted to leave it zero-initialized to ensure the test terminates by jumping to this address and not finding a valid instruction. This guarantees termination. To further extend this evaluation, I added tests with double the initialized memory, filling up to address 0x1fff.(TODO: benchmark them, add times)

I also investigated the impact of address space size by generating models from add_0256, add_1024, write_mem_0256, and write_mem_1024 with extended address space. These tests use the prefix "extaddr_x", where x denotes the maximum address length in bits.

Furthermore, I implemented the base add tests in a manner similar to the BTOR2 model described by F. Schrögendorfer in his master thesis [3, Chapter 8]. These tests

use the prefix "nopc", as the program counter is abstracted to activation flags for each instruction. The model generation is demonstrated using nopc_add_0256 as an example. First, the necessary sorts and constants for RISC-V are defined:

```
bitvec
                             bool
    sort
2
                       8
                             memcell
    sort
              bitvec
3
                       16
              bitvec
                             addressspace
    sort
              bitvec
                       64
                             register
    sort
5
              array
                       3 2
                             Memory
    sort
6
                             false
    zero
              1
                             true
    one
              2
8
                             emptymem
    zero
              4
9
    zero
                             emptyreg
10
    zero
              3
                             pcinit
                       4
11
    consth
                             pcinc
              3
                       10
                             instr1
12
    consth
                                    pcmod
13
                       100
                             nloops
    consth
```

With this now the registers and memory can be defined:

```
99
                            110
                                              x10
                                                        121
                                                                          x21
     state
                 pc
                                  state
                                                              state
                 x0
100
              4
                            111
                                          4
                                              x11
                                                        122
                                                                      4
                                                                          x22
                                  state
                                                              state
     state
                                                                          x23
101
     state
              4
                 x1
                            112
                                  state
                                          4
                                              x12
                                                        123
                                                              state
                                              x13
                                                                          x24
102
              4
                 x2
                                          4
                                                        124
                                                                      4
                            113
     state
                                  state
                                                              state
103
                 x3
                            114
                                              x14
                                                        125
                                                                          x25
     state
                                  state
                                                              state
104
     state
              4
                 x4
                            115
                                  state
                                          4
                                              x15
                                                        126
                                                              state
                                                                      4
                                                                          x26
              4
                                          4
                                                        127
                                                                      4
                                                                          x27
105
     state
                            116
                                              x16
                 x5
                                  state
                                                              state
106
                            117
                                          4
     state
                 x6
                                  state
                                              x17
                                                        128
                                                              state
107
              4
                                          4
                                              x18
                                                        129
                                                                      4
                                                                          x29
     state
                 x7
                            118
                                  state
                                                              state
                 x8
108
                            119
                                          4
                                                        130
                                                                          x30
     state
                                  state
                                              x19
                                                              state
109
     state
                 x09
                            120
                                  state
                                              x20
                                                        131
                                                              state
                                                                          x31
```

Note that node IDs 14-98 are skipped. As BTOR2 requires unique but not continuous node IDs, I assigned each register node ID to equal the register number plus 100 for clarity when writing models manually.

Next, the initial memory is defined:

```
144
                                       169
                                                          166 167 168
     state
                                            write
145
               5
                  14 8
                                       170
                                                      3
     init
                                            consth
                                                         8
               3
                                                      2
146
     consth
                  0
                                       171
                                            consth
                                                         13
               2
                                                      5
                                                          169 170 171
147
                  e3
                                       172
     consth
                                            write
               5
                                                      3
148
                  144 146 147
                                       173
     write
                                            consth
                                                      25
               3
                                       174
                                                         01
149
                  1
     consth
                                            consth
150
               2
                                       175
                                                          172 173 174
     consth
                  58
                                            write
                                                      3
               5
                  148 149 150
151
                                       176
                                            consth
     write
               3
                  2
                                                      2
152
     consth
                                       177
                                                         11
                                            consth
153
     consth
               2
                  11
                                       178
                                            write
                                                      5
                                                          175 176 177
                                                      3
               5
                  151 152 153
154
     write
                                       179
                                            consth
                                                         b
                                                      2
155
     consth
               3
                  3
                                       180
                                                         00
                                            consth
156
                  00
                                       181
                                                          178 179 180
     consth
                                            write
               5
                                                      3
157
                  154 155 156
                                       182
     write
                                            consth
               3
                                                      2
158
                  4
                                       183
                                            consth
                                                         67
     consth
                                                      5
               2
                                                          181 182 183
159
     consth
                  b3
                                       184
                                            write
                                                      3
160
               5
                  157 158 159
                                       185
                                                         d
     write
                                            consth
161
               3
                  5
                                       186
                                                      2
                                                          00
     consth
                                            consth
                                                      5
162
               2
                  81
                                                          184 185 186
     consth
                                       187
                                            write
163
               5
                  16 161 162
                                       188
                                                      3
     write
                                            consth
                                                      2
164
     consth
               3
                  6
                                       189
                                            consth
                                                          00
                                                      5
                  21
                                       190
                                                         187 188 189
165
     consth
                                            write
               5
                     164 165
                                                      3
166
     write
                  16
                                       191
                                            consth
                                                         f
                                                      2
167
      consth
               3
                  7
                                       192
                                            consth
                                                         00
                                                      5
168
     consth
                  00
                                       193
                                            write
                                                         190 191 192
```

Due to BTOR2 constraints, initial memory must be defined before the intended memory state node. Node 144 serves only for memory initialization, as previously described in Section 4.2.3.

The actual memory state and instruction flags are then defined. I renamed the flags from stmt (Schrögendorfer) to instr for clarity. An exit code is unnecessary, as differentiation between model terminations is not required; only the termination time is of interest.

```
199
      state
              5
                  memory
200
      state
               1
                  instr0
201
               1
                  instr1
      state
202
               1
                   instr2
      state
203
               1
                   instr3
      state
              1
204
                   endflag
      state
```

Next up would be the inputs and constraints for these. Both are not needed for the RISC-V model because neither are threads needed as I do not model parallel processing, nor is flushing as I use a naive memory model. And without inputs, the constraints are also nonexistent. So really, next up is initialization and transitions of the states. First is pc:

```
300
      init
             3
                99
                      10
                                  pc is zero initialized
                                  normal pc operation
             3
301
     add
                99
                      11
302
             3
                99
                      12
                                  instr1 jump
      add
303
                      102
                                  instr1 branch condition
             1
                101
      eq
304
                                  IF condition THEN jump ELSE increment
     ite
             3
                303
                      302
                            301
                                  IF instr1 THEN check ELSE leave pc
305
             3
                200
                      304
                            99
      ite
306
                201
                       202
      or
             3
                                  IF instr2-3 THEN increment ELSE instr1
                            305
307
      ite
                306
                      301
308
             3
                203
                      10
                            307
                                  IF instr4 THEN jump0 ELSE try instr2-3
      ite
309
             3
                99
                      308
     next
```

As the transition for pc are quite possibly the most complex I added some explanation. x0 and x1 are skipped for now, so next registers x2 and x3:

```
314
                 102
                                         319
                                                           103
                                                                 9
      init
                                                init
                                                                 103
315
      one
             4
                                         320
                                                add
                                                       4
                                                           102
             4
                                                       4
                                                                        103
316
      add
                 102
                        315
                                         321
                                                ite
                                                           201
                                                                 320
             4
317
      ite
                 202
                        316
                              102
                                         322
                                               next
                                                       4
                                                           103
                                                                 321
318
             4
                 102
                       317
      next
```

x2 increments by one each time the third instruction is run and x3 adds x2 every time the second instruction is run. All other registers and the memory do not change during execution, so I show them in one big block:

```
init 4 100 9
310
                            340
                                  next 4 112 112
                                                        361
                                                               init 4
                                                                       123 9
           4
              100 100
                                           113 9
311
      next
                            341
                                  init 4
                                                        362
                                                              next
                                                                    4
                                                                       123
                                                                            123
312
      init
            4
              101
                   13
                            342
                                  next
                                        4
                                           113
                                               113
                                                                       124 9
                                                        363
                                                                    4
                                                              init
            4
313
     next
              101
                   101
                            343
                                  \mathtt{init}\ 4
                                           114 9
                                                        364
                                                              next 4
                                                                       124 124
                                  next 4
323
      init
            4
              104
                   9
                            344
                                           114 114
                                                        365
                                                               init 4
                                                                       125 9
324
            4
                            345
                                  init 4
      next
              104
                   104
                                           115 9
                                                                       125
                                                        366
                                                              \mathtt{next} 4
                                                                            125
325
            4
              105
                   9
                            346
                                  \mathtt{next} 4
                                           115
                                               115
      init
                                                        367
                                                               init 4
                                                                       126
326
      next
            4
              105
                   105
                            347
                                  init
                                        4
                                           116
                                               9
                                                              next 4
                                                                            126
                                                        368
                                                                       126
327
            4
                   9
                            348
              106
                                        4
                                           116
                                               116
      init
                                  next
                                                        369
                                                              \mathtt{init}\ 4
                                                                       127
328
           4
                            349
                                           117
      next
              106
                   106
                                  init 4
                                                        370
                                                              next 4
                                                                       127
                                                                            127
329
      init
           4
              107
                   9
                            350
                                  next
                                        4
                                           117 117
                                                        371
                                                              \mathtt{init}\ 4
                                                                       128
                                                                            9
                                  \mathtt{init}\ 4
330
           4
                            351
              107
                   107
                                           118 9
      next
                                                        372
                                                              next 4
                                                                       128
                                                                            128
331
            4
              108
                   9
                            352
                                  next 4
                                           118
                                               118
      init
                                                        373
                                                               init
                                                                    4
                                                                       129
332
           4
              108
                   108
                            353
                                  init 4
                                           119
                                               9
      next
                                                              \mathtt{next} 4
                                                                       129
                                                        374
                                                                           129
333
      init
           4
              109
                   9
                            354
                                  next 4
                                           119 119
                                                        375
                                                               init 4
                                                                       130 9
334
      next
           4
              109 109
                            355
                                  init 4
                                           120 9
                                                        376
                                                              next 4
                                                                       130 130
335
      \mathtt{init}\ 4
              110 9
                            356
                                  next 4
                                           120 120
                                                        377
                                                              init 4
                                                                       131
                                                                            9
336
      next
            4
              110
                   110
                            357
                                  init 4
                                           121
                                               9
                                                        378
                                                                    4
                                                                       131
                                                                            131
                                                              next
337
      init
            4
              111
                   9
                            358
                                  next
                                        4
                                           121
                                               121
                                                              init 5 199 193
                                                        379
                                  \mathtt{init}\ 4
338
            4
                            359
                                           122 9
     next
              111 111
                                                        380
                                                              next 5 199 199
339
      init 4 112 9
                            360
                                  next 4 122 122
```

Now only the instruction flags are left to handle:

```
200 7
381
      init
                                instr0 executes initally
382
                   200 203
                                instr0 only after instr3
     next
                   201 6
      init
                   200 -303
                                instr0 and no branch
384
      and
                                instr1 only after non-branching instr0
385
      next
                   201 384
386
                   202 6
      init
                                instr2 only after instr2
387
                    202 201
      next
                   203 6
388
      init
389
                   203 202
                                instr3 only after instr3
     next
390
                   204 6
                   200 303
391
      and
392
                   204 391
                                endflag if instr0 branches
      next
400
      bad
             204
                                endflag terminates
```

And with this, the model is can be run. The whole suite of add tests can be derived from this model by changing the constant value of node 13 to the appropriate loop count.

5.2 Results

To start I placed all iterations based benchmarks with btormc into Table 3 and the benchmarks of extended address space into Table 4. From Table 4 one can assume that the extension of address space does not impact the runtime of the model checker in a meaningful way. As seen in Table 5, with rising loop counts, memory operations need increasingly more time in comparison to their respective non-memory operation benchmarks. Also with rising loop counts the impact of large amounts of memory initialised is reduced, which was to be expected.

The nopc benchmark is significantly faster than my model. This was to be expected, as Schrögendorfer models one RISC-V program specifically whereas I model the processor and feed it different programs by initialization. In this perspective, it is not surprising that a specialised model is faster than a generalised one. (TODO: Add example for finding an instruction?)

I also attempted to benchmark with the AVR and Pono model checkers, as they ranked first and second in the 2024 hardware model checking competition. Unfortunately, AVR did not terminate within 15 minutes when checking the add_0256 benchmark.

loong		base	fu	nopc	
loops	add	writemem	add	writemem	add
-0256	2.635	2.877	9.759	13.344	0.136
0512	6.195	7.306	16.402	24.209	0.268
0768	10.802	13.283	24.093	36.388	0.414
1024	16.306	21.004	32.732	50.376	0.569
1280	23.032	30.200	42.410	65.746	0.728
1536	30.669	41.262	52.961	83.036	0.898
1792	39.463	53.940	64.598	101.475	1.075
2048	48.944	68.521	77.084	122.189	1.276

 Table 3: Times of iterations based benchmarks

bits of address space	16	17	18	19	20
add 0256	2.635	2.632	2.626	2.626	2.624
$add^{-}1024$	16.306	16.464	16.511	16.452	16.460
$writemem^-0256$	2.877	2.88	2.890	2.889	2.890
$writemem^{-}1024$	21.004	21.131	21.215	21.181	21.163

Table 4: Times of extended address space benchmarks

loops	$\frac{add}{writemem}$	$\frac{fullmem_add}{fullmem_writemem}$	$\frac{add}{fullmem_add}$	$\frac{writemem}{fullmem_writemem}$	$\frac{add}{nopc_add}$
0256	0.92	0.73	0.27	0.22	19.38
0512	0.85	0.68	0.38	0.3	23.12
0768	0.81	0.66	0.45	0.37	26.09
1024	0.78	0.65	0.5	0.42	28.66
1280	0.76	0.65	0.54	0.46	31.64
1536	0.74	0.64	0.58	0.5	34.15
1792	0.73	0.64	0.61	0.53	36.71
2048	0.71	0.63	0.63	0.56	38.36

 Table 5: Relative runtime of benchmarks

Pono determined that this benchmark is satisfiable, but required nearly six minutes using the -smt-solver cvc5 option. With -smt-solver bzla, Pono was slower but functional; other solvers reported inability to handle arrays. These results suggest that model checkers considered superior in general are not necessarily optimal for my model, whereas btormc, despite being unmaintained since August 2024, performed best. I suspect that newer model checkers are optimized for handling inputs, as most competition benchmarks involve at least one input beyond a clock signal, while my model operates solely with known constants and its execution time is dependent on rapid state iteration.

Another issue with AVR and Pono is their inability to generate a "complete" witness, as produced by the btormc option -trace-gen-full, which provides the values of all states in the final frame. Without this feature, it is not possible to reconstruct a state from their output after execution.

6 Conclusion

I developed tools to transition from a state to a model and from a witness of this model back to a state. Additionally, I implemented a fuzzer for the states to verify the correct functioning of the model, as well as a set of basic tests to benchmark its performance. Finally, I presented and discussed the results of these benchmarks, concluding that model checkers which appear superior in general are not necessarily better suited for this specific case.

Bibliography

- [1] The RISC-V Instruction Set Manual Volume I: Unprivileged ISA, 2025, version 20250508. [Online]. Available: https://lf-riscv.atlassian.net/wiki/spaces/HOME/pages/16154769/RISC-V+Technical+Specifications
- [2] A. Niemetz, M. Preiner, C. Wolf, and A. Biere, "Btor2, BtorMC and Boolector 3.0," in *Computer Aided Verification*, H. Chockler and G. Weissenbacher, Eds. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2018, pp. 587–595.
- [3] F. Schrögendorfer, "Bounded Model Checking of Lockless Programs," Master's thesis, Johannes Kepler University Linz, August 2021. [Online]. Available: https://epub.jku.at/obvulihs/download/pdf/6579523
- [4] A. Waterman, Y. Lee, D. A. Patterson, and K. Asanović, "The risc-v instruction set manual, volume i: Base user-level isa," UC Berkeley, Tech. Rep. UCB/EECS-2011-62, May 2011. [Online]. Available: http://www2.eecs.berkeley.edu/Pubs/TechRpts/2011/EECS-2011-62.html
- [5] "History of RISC-V," https://riscv.org/about/, accessed: 15.08.2025.
- [6] "RISC-V-Simulator," https://github.com/Kr1mo/Risc-V-Simulator.
- [7] "RISC-V to BTOR2," https://github.com/Kr1mo/RISC-V to BTOR2.
- [8] A. Goel and K. Sakallah, "Avr: Abstractly verifying reachability," in Tools and Algorithms for the Construction and Analysis of Systems, A. Biere and D. Parker, Eds. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2020, pp. 413–422.

[9] M. Mann, A. Irfan, F. Lonsing, Y. Yang, H. Zhang, K. Brown, A. Gupta, and C. Barrett, "Pono: A flexible and extensible smt-based model checker," in Computer Aided Verification: 33rd International Conference, CAV 2021, Virtual Event, July 20–23, 2021, Proceedings, Part II. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 2021, p. 461–474. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-81688-9_22

(TODO: Add repo versions)