

ALGEBRAIC CURVES

CHENGLONG YU, BOWEN LIU

CONTENTS

0. Motivations	2
0.1. Meromorphic functions	2
0.2. Multivalueness of holomorphic functions	2
0.3. Abelian integrals	3
1. Riemann Surface	6
1.1. Complex Structure	6
1.2. Affine plane curves	8
References	9

0. MOTIVATIONS

0.1. Meromorphic functions. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open subset with coordinate $\{z\}$. In complex analysis we learnt that a meromorphic function f is a function that is holomorphic on all of U except for a set of isolated points, which are poles of the function. In other words, a meromorphic function can be regarded as a function $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$.

Topologically speaking, $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ is S^2 , and in fact there is a complex manifold structure on it. More precisely, we can glue two pieces of complex plane via $w = 1/z$ to obtain a complex manifold called Riemann sphere


$$\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup_{\mathbb{C}^*} \mathbb{C},$$

and topologically \mathbb{P}^1 is exactly $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. By using this viewpoint, meromorphic function on U is exactly the same thing as holomorphic map from U to the Riemann sphere, and thus it gives us a lovely way to study meromorphic functions by using theories of holomorphic maps between Riemann surfaces, such as the number (counted with multiplicity) of zeros is equal to the number (counted with multiplicity) of poles.


0.2. Multivalueness of holomorphic functions. For complex number $z = \rho e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}$, where $\rho \in [0, \infty)$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, one has

$$(\sqrt{\rho} e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta/2})^2 = (\sqrt{\rho} e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta/2 + \pi})^2 = z.$$

This shows there are two candidates for \sqrt{z} , and this phenomenon is called multivalueness of holomorphic function. If we define square root as $\sqrt{z} = \sqrt{\rho} e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta/2}$, then it's only well-defined on $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$, since it will “jump” when passing through the two sides of $[0, \infty)$, and $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$ is called a single value component of \sqrt{z} .



The ideal to solve this phenomenon is that, when passing the segment $[0, \infty)$, \sqrt{z} should come into another single value component. In other words, if we want to make square root \sqrt{z} defined on the whole complex plane, it should be no longer a function from \mathbb{C} to \mathbb{C} , but a function from \mathbb{C} to an object we obtained from gluing two single value components together. This construction also gives the Riemann sphere.




Similarly, $f(z) = \sqrt{1 - z^2}$ is well-defined on $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$, and it gives a well-defined function from \mathbb{C} to something obtained by gluing two copies of $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$, which is also the Riemann sphere.


Now let's consider a more complicated example. For

$$f(z) = \sqrt{(1 - z^2)(1 - k^2 z^2)},$$

where $k \neq \pm 1$, it gives a well-defined function on \mathbb{C} minus two line segments connecting $-1, 1$ and $-1/k, 1/k$.



If we want to obtain a function defined on \mathbb{C} , we should glue two copies of above single value components. This gives a new Riemann surface called complex torus.



0.3. Abelian integrals.

0.3.1. *Arc-length of ellipse.* For ellipse given by $(x/a)^2 + (y/b)^2 = 1$, by using parameterization

$$\begin{aligned} x &= a \cos \theta \\ y &= b \sin \theta, \end{aligned}$$

it's easy to see arc-length is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_1} \sqrt{a^2 \sin^2 \theta + b^2 \cos^2 \theta} d\theta &= a \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_1} \sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \theta} d\theta \\ &\stackrel{z=\sin \theta}{=} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \frac{\sqrt{1 - k^2 z^2}}{\sqrt{1 - z^2}} dz \\ &= \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \frac{1 - k^2 z^2}{\sqrt{(1 - k^2 z^2)(1 - z^2)}} dz, \end{aligned}$$

where $k = \sqrt{1 - b^2/a^2}$. For $k = 0$, since $\arcsin z$ is a primitive function of $1/\sqrt{1 - z^2}$, one has

$$\int_{z_0}^{z_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - z^2}} dz = \arcsin z_1 - \arcsin z_0.$$

The classical theory of “addition formula” gives

$$\sin(\alpha + \beta) = \sin \alpha \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \beta} + \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \alpha} \sin \beta.$$

In terms of integration

$$\int_0^{z_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} dt + \int_0^{z_2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} dt = \int_0^{z_1 \sqrt{1 - z_2^2} + z_2 \sqrt{1 - z_1^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} dt.$$

For analogue of above case, if we define ellipse sine sn as


$$\int_0^{\arcsin z} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 t}} dt = \text{sn}^{-1}(z),$$

one can also show it satisfies some addition formula

$$\text{sn}(\alpha + \beta) = \frac{\text{sn} \alpha \sqrt{(1 - \text{sn}^2 \beta)(1 - k^2 \text{sn}^2 \beta)} + \text{sn} \beta \sqrt{(1 - \text{sn}^2 \alpha)(1 - k^2 \text{sn}^2 \alpha)}}{1 - k^2 \text{sn}^2 \alpha \text{sn}^2 \beta}.$$

However, the ellipse sine cannot be expressed as an elementary function, and this is closely related to the fact that $y^2 = (1 - z^2)(1 - k^2 z^2)$ is not a Riemann sphere.

0.3.2. Simple pendulum. Suppose there is an object with mass m is released at $\theta = \alpha$ with zero initial velocity, and the length of pendulum is r .



The conservation of energy gives the following equation

$$\frac{1}{2}mr^2\left(\frac{d\theta}{dt}\right)^2 = mgr \cos \theta - mgr \cos \alpha.$$

In other words,

$$(0.1) \quad \left(\frac{d\theta}{dt}\right)^2 = 2\frac{g}{r}(\cos \theta - \cos \alpha) = 4\frac{g}{r}\left(\sin^2 \frac{\alpha}{2} - \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}\right).$$

An approximation with θ sufficiently small, one has

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = \sqrt{\frac{g}{r}(\alpha^2 - \theta^2)}.$$

This shows

$$t = \int_0^\theta \sqrt{\frac{r}{g} \frac{1}{\alpha^2 - s^2}} ds.$$

Thus the period of the simple pendulum is given by

$$T = 4 \int_0^\alpha \sqrt{\frac{r}{g} \frac{1}{\alpha^2 - s^2}} ds = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{r}{g}}.$$

However, if we don't use the approximation, and use substitution

$$\sin \varphi = \frac{\sin \frac{\theta}{2}}{\sin \frac{\alpha}{2}}$$

in (0.1), one has

$$\left(\frac{d\varphi}{dt}\right)^2 = \frac{g}{r}\left(1 - \sin^2 \frac{\alpha}{2} \sin^2 \varphi\right).$$

Then

$$t = \sqrt{\frac{r}{g}} \int_0^\varphi \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 s}} ds,$$

where $k = \sin \frac{\alpha}{2}$, and thus explicit formula for the period of simple pendulum is

$$T = 4\sqrt{\frac{r}{g}} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 s}} ds.$$

This is exactly ellipse integral.

0.3.3. *General.* Let P be a polynomial of two variables and $y = f(x)$ be a solution of equation $P(x, y) = 0$. Then

$$\int R(x, f(x)) = 0$$

can be expressed as elementary function if and only if $\deg P = 0, 1, 2$, and in fact $\deg P$ is closely related to the topology of algebraic curves.

1. RIEMANN SURFACE

1.1. Complex Structure.

Definition 1.1.1 (complex atlas). Let X be a topological space. A complex atlas on X consists of the following data:

- (1) $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an open covering of X .
- (2) For each $i \in I$, there exists a homeomorphism $\varphi_i: U_i \rightarrow \varphi_i(U_i) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$.
- (3) For $i, j \in I$, if $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$, then the transition function

$$\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1^{-1}: \varphi_1(U_1 \cap U_2) \rightarrow \varphi_2(U_1 \cap U_2)$$

is holomorphic.

Definition 1.1.2 (equivalent atlas). Two complex atlas \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are equivalent if $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ is also a complex atlas.

Definition 1.1.3 (complex structure). A complex structure is an equivalent class of atlas on X .

Definition 1.1.4 (Riemann surface). A Riemann surface is a connected, second countable, Hausdorff topological space X together with a complex structure on X .

Example 1.1.1 (Riemann sphere). Let $S^2 = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1\}$ be 2-sphere and $\{U_1 = S^2 \setminus (0, 0, 1), U_2 = S^2 \setminus (0, 0, -1)\}$ be an open covering of S^2 . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1: U_1 &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (x_1, x_2, x_3) &\mapsto \frac{x_1}{1 - x_3} + \sqrt{-1} \frac{x_2}{1 - x_3}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2: U_2 &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (x_1, x_2, x_3) &\mapsto \frac{x_1}{1 + x_3} - \sqrt{-1} \frac{x_2}{1 + x_3}. \end{aligned}$$

A direct computation shows that

$$\left(\frac{x_1}{1 - x_3} + \sqrt{-1} \frac{x_2}{1 - x_3}\right) \left(\frac{x_1}{1 + x_3} - \sqrt{-1} \frac{x_2}{1 + x_3}\right) = \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{1 - x_3^2} = 1,$$

and thus the transition function $\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1^{-1}(z) = 1/z$. This shows $\{U_1, U_2\}$ is a complex atlas of S^2 , and thus S^2 is a Riemann surface, called Riemann sphere.

Example 1.1.2 (projective space). The complex projective space $\mathbb{CP}^1 = \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus (0, 0) / \sim$, where $(x, y) \sim (z, w)$ if and only if $(\lambda x, \lambda y) = (z, w)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$. $[x: y]$ denotes the equivalent class of (x, y) , and it's called the homogenous coordinate of \mathbb{CP}^1 . Consider

$$U_1 = \{[z, w] \mid z \neq 0\} \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \mathbb{C}$$


where φ_1 is defined as $\varphi_1([z, w]) = z/w$. Similarly consider

$$U_2 = \{[z, w] \mid w \neq 0\} \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \mathbb{C}$$


where φ_2 is defined as $\varphi_2([z, w]) = w/z$. It's easy to check $\{U_1, U_2\}$ is a atlas of \mathbb{CP}^1 .

Example 1.1.3. Let P be a convex polyhedra in Euclidean 3-dimensional space \mathbb{E}^3 . Topologically P is S^2 , and let's construct a complex atlas on it.


- (1) Suppose p is the interior point of some face F . Since F can be isometrically embedded into \mathbb{E}^2 , we choose an orientation-preserving, isometric embedding f which maps an open neighborhood U of p into $\mathbb{E}^2 = \mathbb{C}$.



- (2) Suppose p is the interior point of some edge $l = F_1 \cap F_2$. Firstly we rotate F_2 along l to the plane of F_1 , and then choose an orientation-preserving, isometric embedding f which maps an open neighborhood U of p into $\mathbb{E}^2 = \mathbb{C}$.



- (3) Suppose p is a vertex which is the intersection of three faces F_1, F_2 and F_3 . Firstly we cut it along some edge $l = F_1 \cap F_2$, and then rotate F_1, F_2 to the plane of F_3 . Then we use some orientation-preserving, isometric embedding f to map it into \mathbb{E}^2 , and finally composite it with $z \mapsto z^{2\pi/\alpha}$.



Prove this gives a complex atlas.

Remark 1.1.1. All of above three examples give complex structure on topological sphere S^2 , and we will see all of them are the “same” after we define the isomorphism between Riemann surfaces. In fact, there is only one complex structure on S^2 .

Example 1.1.4 (complex torus). For non-zero $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that w_1, w_2 are \mathbb{R} -linearly independent, $L = \mathbb{Z}w_1 + \mathbb{Z}w_2$ is a discrete subgroup of $(\mathbb{C}, +)$. Then $T = \mathbb{C}/L$ equipped with quotient topology is a topological manifold. Let $\pi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow T$ be the natural projection. For $p \in T$, suppose z_0 is an inverse image of p . For $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

$$B_{2\varepsilon}(0) \cap L = \{0\},$$

one has $B_\varepsilon(z_0) \xrightarrow{\pi} \pi(B_\varepsilon(z_0)) \subseteq T$ is injective, and thus $\pi^{-1}: \pi(B_\varepsilon(z_0)) \rightarrow B_\varepsilon(z_0) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is a homeomorphism. By choosing different $p \in T$, $\{\pi(B_\varepsilon(z_0))\}$ gives an open covering of T , and together with π^{-1} it gives a complex atlas of T .

Remark 1.1.2. It's clear complex structure constructed above depends on the choice of w_1, w_2 , but it's not obvious to see whether w_1, w_2 and w'_1, w'_2 give the same complex structure or not. Moreover, all complex structure on torus are arisen in this way.

1.2. Affine plane curves. Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a connected open subset and g be a holomorphic function defined on V . The graph X of g , as a subset of \mathbb{C}^2 is defined by

$$\{(z, g(z)) \mid z \in V\}.$$

Given X the subspace topology, and let $\pi: X \rightarrow V$ be the projection to the first factor. Note that π is a homeomorphism, whose inverse sends the point $z \in V$ to the ordered pair $(z, g(z))$. This makes X a Riemann surface.

A generalization of the graph of holomorphic function is that we consider “Riemann surface” which is locally a graph, but perhaps not globally. The tools we use is implicit function theorem in fact.

Theorem 1.2.1 (The implicit function theorem). Let $f(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ be a polynomial and $X = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid f(z, w) = 0\}$ be its zero locus. Let $p = (z_0, w_0)$ be a point of X and $\partial f / \partial w(p) \neq 0$. Then there exists a function $g(z)$ defined and holomorphic in a neighborhood of z_0 such that, near p , X is equal to the graph $w = g(z)$.

Definition 1.2.1 (affine plane curve). An affine plane curve is the locus of zeros in \mathbb{C}^2 of a polynomial $f(z, w)$.

Definition 1.2.2 (non-singular). A polynomial $f(z, w)$ is non-singular at root p if either $\partial f / \partial z$ or $\partial f / \partial w$ is not zero at p . The affine plane curve X defined by $f(z, w)$ is non-singular at p if f is non-singular at p . The curve X is non-singular if it's non-singular at each of its points.

Example 1.2.1. The affine plane curve defined by $z^2 + w^2 - 1$ is non-singular.

REFERENCES

YAU MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CENTER, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100084,
P.R. CHINA,
Email address: liubw22@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn