

PRINCIPAL BUNDLE AND ITS APPLICATIONS

BOWEN LIU

CONTENTS

1. To readers	3
1.1. About this lecture	3
1.2. Acknowledgement	3
1.3. Some notations	4
Part 1. Principal bundle and its geometry	5
2. Principal bundle	5
2.1. A glimpse of fiber bundle	5
2.2. Principal bundle	6
2.3. Associated fiber bundle	8
2.4. Reduction of principal bundle	10
3. Connection of principal bundle	13
3.1. Forms valued in vector space	13
3.2. Maurer-Cartan form	15
3.3. Motivation for connection on principal bundle	16
3.4. Connection on principal bundle	17
3.5. Gauge group	19
3.6. Local expression of connection	20
4. Curvature of principal bundle	24
4.1. Definition	24
4.2. Local expression of curvature and basic form	26
5. From connection on principal to connection on vector bundle	29
5.1. Connection on vector bundle	29
5.2. Connection on associated vector bundle	29
6. Flat connection and holonomy	31
6.1. Lifting of curves	31
6.2. Flat connection	31
6.3. Holonomy and Riemann-Hilbert correspondence	32
Part 2. Chern-Weil theory	33
7. Chern-Weil homomorphism	33
7.1. Invariant polynomial	33
7.2. Chern-Weil homomorphism	34

7.3. Transgression	36
8. Characteristic class	38
8.1. Chern class	38
8.2. Pontryagin class	42
8.3. Euler class	43
9. The classifying space	44
9.1. The universal G -bundle	44
9.2. Homotopical properties of classifying spaces	45
9.3. Another viewpoint to characteristic class	46
References	47

1. TO READERS

1.1. About this lecture. It's a lecture note of a seminar organized by myself for learning basic theories of principal bundles and its applications. This lecture is divided into the following two parts:

- (1) In the **first part**: Firstly we mainly concern the basic theory of principal bundle, such as what is principal bundle, associated fiber bundle and the reduction of principal bundle. Then we introduce the heart of this lecture: the local computations for connections on principal bundle and curvatures. In the end of this part, we also show how to obtain a connection on associated vector bundle from the one on principal bundle, and the classical Riemann-Hilbert correspondence.
- (2) In the **second part** we introduce focus on the Chern-Weil theory, which allows us to construct characteristic classes from curvature and invariant polynomials. We also give a brief introduction to the classifying space for principal bundles, and their relations to characteristic classes.

1.2. Acknowledgement. I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to Qiliang Luo, Shan Li, Shihao Wang, Zhiyao Xiong, Yifei Cai, Yuxuan Li, and Zhitong Chen for their attendences and discussions. Also, I'm particularly indebted to Zhiyao Xiong for his enthusiasm and rigor, without which I cannot learn so much.

1.3. Some notations.

1.3.1. *On base manifold.*

- (1) M is used to denote a smooth manifold, and $x \in M$ denotes its point.
- (2) TM and Ω_M^k are used to denote tangent bundle and bundle of k -forms over M respectively.
- (3) $\Omega_M^k(E)$ is used to denote bundle of k -forms over M valued E .
- (4) v is used to denote vector in tangent space.
- (5) X is used to denote a vector field on M , and X_x denotes the value of X at point $x \in M$.
- (6) α is used to denote a k -form on M , and α_x denotes the value of α at point $x \in M$.
- (7) For a vector bundle E over M , $C^\infty(E, M)$ is used to denote its sections.

1.3.2. *On principal bundle.*

- (1) G is used to denote a Lie group, with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .
- (2) $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ is used to denote a principal G -bundle over M , and $p \in P$ denotes its point.
- (3) \tilde{X} is used to denote vector field on principal bundle P , so do $\tilde{\alpha}$ and \tilde{v} .
- (4) ω is used to denote connection 1-form on P , with curvature 2-form Ω .

Part 1. Principal bundle and its geometry

2. PRINCIPAL BUNDLE

2.1. A glimpse of fiber bundle.

Definition 2.1.1 (fiber bundle). Let F, E, B be topological spaces. A fiber bundle with fiber F over B is a surjective map $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ such that for any $p \in B$, there exists an open neighborhood $U \ni p$ and a homeomorphism φ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^{-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & U \times F \\ & \searrow \pi & \swarrow \pi_1 \\ & U & \end{array}$$

We always use $F \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ or (E, B, π, F) to denote this fiber bundle and

- (1) B is called base space.
- (2) $E_x = \pi^{-1}(x)$ is called the fiber of E at x .
- (3) (U, φ) is called a local trivialization at point p , and use $E|_U$ to denote $\pi^{-1}(U)$.

Example 2.1.1 (trivial bundle). Consider $E = B \times F$ and $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ is just the projection onto the first summand.

Example 2.1.2. Consider $E = S^n$ and $B = \mathbb{RP}^n$, then natural map $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ is a fiber bundle with $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. It's clear that this fiber bundle is not trivial, since S^n is connected.

Example 2.1.3 (Hopf fibration). Recall that

$$\mathbb{CP}^n = \{\text{the set of all complex lines through origin in } \mathbb{C}^{n+1}\}$$

Consider the canonical open covering $\{U_i\}$ of \mathbb{CP}^n , that is

$$U_i = \{[z_0 : \dots : z_n] \mid z_i \neq 0\}$$

Now view $S^{2n+1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} = \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ as the set of all $(z_0, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ with $|z_0|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2 = 1$. Then the projection map $\pi: \mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$ restricts to a surjective smooth map

$$\pi: S^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$$

We claim that it's a fiber bundle with fiber S^1 . Indeed, by definition we have

$$\pi^{-1}(U_i) = \{(z_0, \dots, z_n) \in S^{2n+1} \mid z_i \neq 0\}$$

and local trivialization map can be taken as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i: \pi^{-1}(U_i) &\rightarrow U_i \times S^1 \\ z &\mapsto ([z_0 : \dots : z_n], \frac{z_i}{|z_i|}) \end{aligned}$$

It's also not trivial which can be seen by considering their fundamental groups.

Example 2.1.4. The covering space is a fiber bundle with discrete set as fiber.

2.2. Principal bundle.

2.2.1. Definitions. Briefly speaking, given a Lie group G and a smooth manifold M , a principal G -bundle P is a fiber bundle with fiber G equipped with a suitable smooth right G -action on it. For a smooth right G -action we mean a smooth map

$$\begin{aligned} P \times G &\rightarrow P \\ (p, g) &\mapsto pg \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.2.1 (principal G -bundle). A principal G -bundle is a surjective smooth map $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ between smooth manifolds such that:

- (1) There is a smooth right G -action on P .
- (2) For all $x \in M$, $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is a G -orbit.
- (3) For all $x \in M$, there exists an open subset U_α and a G -equivariant diffeomorphism φ_α , which is called a local trivialization, such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\alpha} & U_\alpha \times G \\ & \searrow \pi & \swarrow \pi_{U_\alpha} \\ & U_\alpha & \end{array}$$

Notation 2.2.1. $\mathcal{P}_G M$ is used to denote the set of all principal G -bundles over M up to isomorphism.

Remark 2.2.1. If we write $\varphi_\alpha(p) = (\pi(p), g_\alpha(p))$, then φ_α is G -equivariant if and only if $g_\alpha(pg) = g_\alpha(p)g$ for any $g \in G$.

Proposition 2.2.1. Let P be a principal G -bundle, then G acts on P freely and transitively.

Proof. It's clear from local trivialization. □

Example 2.2.1. $S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^n$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -principal bundle, where $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts on S^n via $x \mapsto -x$.

Example 2.2.2. $S^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$ is a $U(1)$ -principal bundle, where $U(1)$ acts on S^{2n+1} via $(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) \mapsto (z_0 e^{i\theta}, z_1 e^{i\theta}, \dots, z_n e^{i\theta})$.

Definition 2.2.2 (morphism between principal G -bundle). For two principal G -bundles $(P, M, \pi), (P', M, \pi')$, a morphism between them is a G -equivariant smooth map $\varphi: P' \rightarrow P$ making the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & P' \\ & \searrow \pi & \swarrow \pi' \\ & M & \end{array}$$

Proposition 2.2.2. A morphism $\varphi: P \rightarrow P'$ between principal G -bundles over M is an isomorphism.

Proof. All information are encoded in the G -equivariance of φ and properties of principal G -bundle:

- (1) φ is injective: For any $p_1, p_2 \in P$, if $\varphi(p_1) = \varphi(p_2)$, then p_1, p_2 lie in same fiber, since above diagram commutes. If $p_1 = p_2 g$ for $g \in G$, then $\varphi(p_1) = \varphi(p_2)g$, which implies $g = e$, since G acts on P' freely, that is $p_1 = p_2$.
- (2) φ is surjective: For any $p' \in P'$, if $\pi'(p') = x$, then $p' \in P'_x$. So choose an arbitrary element $p \in P_x$, there must be some $g \in G$ such that $\varphi(pg) = p'$, since P'_x is a G -orbit and φ is G -equivariant.

□

Definition 2.2.3 (trivial principal bundle). A principal G -bundle P is called trivial principal bundle, if there exists a principal G -bundle isomorphism $\varphi: P \rightarrow M \times G$.

2.2.2. *Structure group.* Let $\{U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha\}$ be a local trivialization of principal G -bundle P . If $U_{\alpha\beta} := U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$, then transition functions $g_{\alpha\beta}: U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow \text{Diff}G$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\alpha\beta} &:= \varphi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\beta^{-1}: U_{\alpha\beta} \times G \rightarrow U_{\alpha\beta} \times G \\ (x, h) &\mapsto (x, g_{\alpha\beta}(x)h) \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi(p), g_\alpha(p)) &= \varphi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\beta^{-1} \circ \varphi_\beta(p) \\ &= \varphi_{\alpha\beta}(\pi(p), g_\beta(p)) \end{aligned}$$

This shows

$$(2.1) \quad g_{\alpha\beta}(x)g_\beta(p) = g_\alpha(p)$$

where $p \in \pi^{-1}(x)$. Fix $x \in U_{\alpha\beta}$, it's clear

$$g_{\alpha\beta}(x)(h_1 h_2) = g_{\alpha\beta}(h_1)h_2$$

holds for arbitrary $h_1, h_2 \in G$, then $g_{\alpha\beta}(x)$ must take the form $h \mapsto gh$ for some $g \in G$. This shows the transition functions of principal G -bundle valued in G , that is

$$g_{\alpha\beta}: U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow G$$

That is to say, the structure group of a principal G -bundle is G .

2.2.3. *Section.*

Definition 2.2.4 (global section). A global section of principal G -bundle $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ is a smooth map $s: M \rightarrow P$ such that $\pi \circ s = \text{id}$.

Proposition 2.2.3. A principal G -bundle P over M admits a section if and only if it is trivial¹.

¹This is in sharp contrast with vector bundles, which always admit sections.

Proof. If $s: M \rightarrow P$ is a smooth section, consider

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi: P &\rightarrow M \times G \\ p &\mapsto (\pi(p), g(p))\end{aligned}$$

where $g(p) \in G$ such that $p = s(\pi(p))g(p)$, it always exists since the right action of G is transitive on each fiber and it is unique since the action is free on each fiber. Clearly, it's G -equivariant, since

$$\varphi(ph) = (\pi(ph), g(ph)) = (\pi(p), g(p)h)$$

and the last equality holds since

$$ph = s(\pi(ph))g(ph) = s(\pi(p))g(ph) = pg^{-1}(p)g(ph) \implies h = g^{-1}(p)g(ph)$$

Thus $\varphi: P \rightarrow M \times G$ is a morphism between principal G -bundles over M , so by Proposition 2.2.2, P is isomorphic to $M \times G$, that is P is trivial principal G -bundle. \square

Example 2.2.3. Although P may not admit global section, it always admits local section σ_α over local trivialization $\{U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha\}$, which is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_\alpha: U_\alpha &\rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \\ x &\mapsto \varphi_\alpha^{-1}(x, e)\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.2.4.

$$\sigma_\beta(x) = \sigma_\alpha(x)g_{\alpha\beta}(x)$$

where $x \in U_{\alpha\beta}$.

Proof. Direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_\beta(\sigma_\alpha(x)g_{\alpha\beta}(x)) &= \varphi_\beta \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1}(x, e)g_{\alpha\beta}(x) \\ &= (x, g_{\beta\alpha}(x)g_{\alpha\beta}(x)) \\ &= (x, e)\end{aligned}$$

that is $\sigma_\alpha(x)g_{\alpha\beta}(x) = \varphi_\beta^{-1}(x, e) = \sigma_\beta(x)$. \square

2.3. Associated fiber bundle. Given a principal G -bundle $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ and a smooth manifold F admitting a smooth left G -action on it, that is there is a group homomorphism $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{Diff}(F)$.

Proposition 2.1. The set $P \times_\rho F := P \times F / \sim$, where $(p, f) \sim (p', f')$ if and only if $p' = pg, f' = g^{-1}f$, admits a fiber bundle structure over M with fiber F .

Proof. Consider the map taking an equivalence class $[p, f]$ to $\pi(p)$. To see the local structure, since we already have the local structure of principal bundle P , i.e. for any $x \in M$, there exists open $U_\alpha \ni x$ and $\varphi_\alpha: \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha \times G$. Now we define the local trivialization of $P \times_G F$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_\alpha^F: (P \times_\rho F)|_{U_\alpha} &\rightarrow U_\alpha \times F \\ (p, f) &\mapsto (\pi(p), g_\alpha(p)f)\end{aligned}$$

First note that this is well-defined, since

$$(pg, g^{-1}f) \mapsto (\pi(pg), g_\alpha(pg)g^{-1}f) = (\pi(p), g_\alpha(p)gg^{-1}f) = (\pi(p), g_\alpha(p)f)$$

And this map gives a diffeomorphism, since g_α is smooth and taking inverse is a smooth operation of Lie groups. \square

Remark 2.3.1 (transition function of associated bundle). Though we've found the local trivialization of $P \times_\rho F$, it's also necessary to see what does the transition functions look like. Let $(U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha), (U_\beta, \varphi_\beta)$ be local trivializations, with transition functions

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\beta^{-1} : U_{\alpha\beta} \times G &\rightarrow U_{\alpha\beta} \times G \\ (x, g) &\mapsto (x, g_{\alpha\beta}(x)g) \end{aligned}$$

then we can compute the transition functions of associated vector bundles as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\alpha^F \circ (\varphi_\beta^F)^{-1} : U_{\alpha\beta} \times F &\rightarrow U_{\alpha\beta} \times F \\ (x, f) &\mapsto (x, g_\alpha(p)(g_\beta(p))^{-1}f) \end{aligned}$$

Then by equation (2.1), it's clear to see transition functions of associated fiber bundle is exactly $\{\rho(g_{\alpha\beta})\}$.

Example 2.3.1 (associated vector bundle). Now let's consider a special case, that is associated vector bundles. Given a representation of G , that is a group homomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$, thus you can construct a vector bundle $P \times_\rho V$. However, there is a more simple way to construct in transition functions viewpoint: By Remark 2.3.1, we can see the transition function of this associated vector bundle is $\{\rho(g_{\alpha\beta})\}$, where $\{g_{\alpha\beta}\}$ is transition function of P .

Remark 2.3.2 (relations between vector bundle and principal bundle). For real vector bundles endowed with Riemannian metric, consider

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathcal{P}_{\text{O}(n)} M &\rightarrow \text{Vect}_n^{\mathbb{R}} M \\ P &\mapsto P \times_\rho \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho : \text{O}(n) \rightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ is trivial representation, that is inclusion. Φ is bijective with inverse Ψ is given by considering frame bundle of vector bundle. thus we have the following one to one correspondence up to isomorphism

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{O}(n)} M \longleftrightarrow \text{Vect}_n^{\mathbb{R}} M$$

Similarly we also have

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{U}(n)} M \longleftrightarrow \text{Vect}_n^{\mathbb{C}} M$$

In this viewpoint, principal G -bundles generalize the conception of vector bundles.

Example 2.3.2. There are two important examples of associated bundles that we will use later.

- (1) The associated bundle obtained from conjugate action Conj of G acting on G , denoted by $P \times_{\text{Conj}} G$.

- (2) The associated vector bundle obtained from adjoint action Ad of G acting on \mathfrak{g} , denoted by $P \times_{\text{Ad}} \mathfrak{g}$.

Remark 2.3.3. For a principal G -bundle, you can obtain a vector bundle from a representation of G . However, there are too many representations of G , so special representations may correspond to special vector bundles.

Proposition 2.3.1. There is a one to one correspondence

$$C^\infty(M, P \times_\rho F) \xrightarrow{1-1} \{f: P \rightarrow F \mid f \text{ is smooth and } f(xg) = g^{-1}f(x)\}$$

Proof. For a G -equivariant smooth function $f: P \rightarrow F$, consider $s_f \in C^\infty(M, P \times_\rho F)$ given by

$$s_f(x) = \{(p, f(p)) \mid \pi(p) = x\}$$

where $x \in M$. It's well-defined, since if we choose pg instead of p for some $g \in G$, then $s_f(x) = (pg, f(pg)) = (pg, g^{-1}f(p)) \sim (p, f(p)) \in P \times_\rho F$. Conversely, given $s \in C^\infty(M, P \times_\rho F)$, then for any $p \in P$, we consider $\pi(p) = x \in M$ and write $s(x) = [(p, v)]$, then we define $f(p) = v$. It's clear $f(pg) = g^{-1}f(p)$, since $[(p, v)] = [(pg, g^{-1}v)]$. \square

Remark 2.3.4. In fact, this proposition is not a coincidence, and it's a quite important motivation which shows why we introduce principal G -bundles. If $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ is a principal G -bundle, and E is a vector bundle over M such that E is an associated vector bundle of P , then if we use π to pull E back to P , we claim that the vector bundle π^*E is the trivial bundle $P \times V$ over P . Indeed, we define the following bundle map

$$\begin{aligned} \psi: P \times V &\rightarrow P \times_G V \\ (p, v) &\mapsto [p, v] \end{aligned}$$

and consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P \times V & \longrightarrow & P \\ \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \pi \\ E = P \times_G V & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

Clearly $P \times V$ satisfies the universal property of pullback, thus by uniqueness we obtain $\pi^*E \cong P \times V$.

In general case, we can use π to pull $(P \times_G V) \otimes E'$ back to P , and prove it's $(P \times V) \otimes \pi^*E'$ by the same method. The cases we will encounter are $E' = T^*M$ or $E' = \wedge^k T^*M$. We use $\Omega_M^k(P \times_G V)$ to denote $(P \times_G V) \otimes \wedge^k T^*M$, the generalization tells that we have the one to one correspondence between sections of $\Omega_M^k(P \times_G V)$ and sections of $(P \times V) \otimes \pi^* \wedge^k T^*M$ with equivariant conditions, we will call such forms basic forms, a conception we will define in section 4.2.

2.4. Reduction of principal bundle. Given a principal G -bundle $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ and a H -principal bundle $\pi': P' \rightarrow M$. Furthermore, there is a Lie group homomorphism $\alpha: H \rightarrow G$.

Definition 2.4.1 (reduction). If there exists a smooth map $\varphi: P' \rightarrow P$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P' & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & P \\ & \searrow \pi_F & \swarrow \pi_E \\ & M & \end{array}$$

and φ is H -equivariant, that is for any $p \in F, h \in H$

$$\varphi(ph) = \varphi(p)\alpha(h)$$

Then P is called an extension of P' from H to G and P' is called an reduction of P from G to H .

Remark 2.4.1. Here are two cases we're concern about:

- (1) $H < G$ is a subgroup, α is an inclusion.
- (2) $\alpha: H \rightarrow G$ is surjective, for example, H is universal covering of G .

Extension of principal bundle always exists, and it's unique, according to the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4.1. Given a Lie group homomorphism $\alpha: H \rightarrow G$ and a H -principal bundle P' , there exists a unique extension of P' from H to G .

Proof. Existence: Note that $\alpha: H \rightarrow G$ gives a smooth left H -action on G , then consider associated fiber bundle $P' \times_H G$, it's a principal G -bundle, and if we define

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: P' &\rightarrow P' \times_H G \\ p' &\mapsto [p', 1] \end{aligned}$$

Then φ is desired equivariant map which makes diagram commutes.

Uniqueness: If there is another extension $\varphi': P' \rightarrow P$, in order to make the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & P' \times_H G & \\ \varphi \nearrow & & \searrow \psi \\ P' & & P \\ \varphi' \searrow & & \end{array}$$

we define ψ by $\psi([p, 1]) = \varphi'(p)$. Thus principal G -bundles $P' \times_H G$ and P are isomorphic to each other. \square

However, reduction may not exist.

Lemma 2.4.1. Let $\alpha: H \rightarrow G$ be a Lie group homomorphism, P is a principal G -bundle with transition functions $\psi_{\alpha\beta}: U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow G$. The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) There exists reduction of P from G to H .

(2) There exists $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}: U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow H$ such that $\alpha \circ \varphi_{\alpha\beta} = \psi_{\alpha\beta}$.

Corollary 2.4.1. Let P be a principal G -bundle and H is a Lie subgroup of G , then there exists a reduction of P from G to H if and only if there exists transition functions of P valued in H .

Example 2.4.1. If $E \rightarrow M$ is a complex vector bundle with a Hermitian inner product, then a local trivialization

$$\varphi_\alpha: \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha \times \mathbb{C}^n$$

gives a Hermitian inner product on \mathbb{C}^n . Thus a transition function must preserve the inner product, thus

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_\alpha \cap U_\beta & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \\ & & \mathrm{U}(n) \end{array}$$

This gives a reduction of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -principal bundle to a $\mathrm{U}(n)$ -principal bundle.

Example 2.4.2. If $E \rightarrow M$ is a real vector bundle, by the same argument we can always reduce its frame bundle P , that is from a $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ -principal bundle, to a $\mathrm{O}(n)$ -principal bundle. Furthermore,

- (1) P can be reduced to a $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ -principal bundle if and only if E is orientable.
- (2) P can be reduced to a $\{e\}$ -principal bundle if and only if E is trivial.

Example 2.4.3. Let (M, g) be an oriented Riemannian manifold, then TM is a $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ -principal bundle. Consider universal covering² $\mathrm{Spin}(n) \xrightarrow{2:1} \mathrm{SO}(n)$. If there exists a reduction from $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ to $\mathrm{Spin}(n)$, then we say M admits a spin structure.

²See section ?? for more details about spin groups and this universal covering.

3. CONNECTION OF PRINCIPAL BUNDLE

3.1. Forms valued in vector space. In this section, let M be a smooth manifold, V a vector space with basis $\{e_\alpha\}$ and G a Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . A k -form valued in vector space V can be written as

$$\omega = \omega^\alpha e_\alpha$$

where ω^α are k -forms.

Notation 3.1.1. $\Omega_M^k(V)$ denotes the bundle of k -forms valued in V .

$\Omega_M^k(V)$ is an easy generalization of differential forms, just by replacing \mathbb{R} with a general vector space, and properties of k -forms also hold for k -forms value in V .

Definition 3.1.1 (exterior derivative). Let $\omega = \omega^\alpha e_\alpha$ be a k -form valued in V , then its exterior derivative is defined as

$$d\omega = d\omega^\alpha e_\alpha$$

Proposition 3.1.1 (Cartan's formula). Let $\omega = \omega^\alpha e_\alpha$ be a k -form valued in V , then

$$\begin{aligned} (d\omega)(X_1, \dots, X_{k+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} X_i \omega(X_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, X_{k+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([X_i, X_j], X_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, \widehat{X}_j, \dots, X_{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

where X_i are vector fields.

Definition 3.1.2 (wedge product). Let ω_1, ω_2 be forms valued in V with degree k and l respectively, then

$$(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2)(X_1, \dots, X_{k+l}) := \frac{1}{k! \times l!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k+l}} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \omega_1(X_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(k)}) \otimes \omega_2(X_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(k+l)})$$

where X_i are vector fields.

Proposition 3.1.2. Let ω_i , where $i = 1, 2, 3$, be forms valued in V , then

- (1) $(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) \wedge \omega_3 = \omega_1 \wedge (\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3)$.
- (2) $d(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) = d\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 + (-1)^{\deg \omega_1} \omega_1 \wedge d\omega_2$.

Definition 3.1.3. Let $T: V \rightarrow W$ be a linear map between vector spaces, and ω is a k -form valued in V , then $T\omega$ is a k -form valued in W , which is defined as

$$T\omega(X_1, \dots, X_k) := T(\omega(X_1, \dots, X_k))$$

where X_i are vector fields.

Example 3.1.1. Let ω_1, ω_2 be forms with degree k and l respectively, then by our definition one has $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \in \Omega_M^{k+l}(\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{R})$. It's a little bit different from standard definition of wedge product, since $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2$ should be a $(k+l)$ -form. If we consider

$$\begin{aligned} T: \mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ a \otimes b &\mapsto ab \end{aligned}$$

Then $T(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2)$ is a $(k+l)$ -form, coincides with standard definition, so we just denote $T(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2)$ by $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2$ for convenience.

Example 3.1.2. Let ω_1 be a k -form valued in \mathfrak{g} , and ω_2 a l -form valued in V . Given a representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$, it induces a representation of Lie algebra, that is $\rho_*: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$. If we consider

$$\begin{aligned} T: \mathfrak{g} \otimes V &\rightarrow V \\ \xi \otimes v &\mapsto \rho_*(\xi)v \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $T(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2)$ is a $(k+l)$ -form valued in V , we just denote it by $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2$ for convenience.

Example 3.1.3. Let ω_1, ω_2 be forms valued in \mathfrak{g} with degree k and l respectively, by our definition $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2$ is a $(k+l)$ -form valued in \mathfrak{g} . If we consider

$$\begin{aligned} T: \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \\ \xi \otimes \eta &\mapsto [\xi, \eta] \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $T(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2)$ is a $(k+l)$ -form valued in \mathfrak{g} , we just denote it by $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2$ for convenience.

Remark 3.1.1. If Lie group $G = \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ consists of matrix. Thus in this case for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}$, we can define T as multiplying them together to obtain an element in $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$. However, these two notations may cause some misunderstandings.

Example 3.1.4. Let ω be a 1-form valued in \mathfrak{g} , then for vector fields X, Y , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \wedge \omega(X, Y) &= T((\omega \wedge \omega)(X, Y)) \\ &= T\left(\frac{1}{1! \times 1!}(\omega(X) \otimes \omega(Y) - \omega(Y) \otimes \omega(X))\right) \\ &= [\omega(X), \omega(Y)] - [\omega(Y), \omega(X)] \\ &= 2[\omega(X), \omega(Y)] \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.1.2. If T is choose as in Remark 3.1.1, then in this case we have

$$\omega \wedge \omega(X, Y) = [\omega(X), \omega(Y)]$$

So be careful about which wedge product you're using.

Proposition 3.1.3. Let ω be a 1-form valued in \mathfrak{g} , then

$$(\omega \wedge \omega) \wedge \omega = \omega \wedge (\omega \wedge \omega) = 0$$

Proof. For arbitrary vector fields X, Y and Z , one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega \wedge \omega) \wedge \omega(X, Y, Z) &= \frac{1}{2! \times 1!} \{ [\omega \wedge \omega(X, Y), \omega(Z)] + [\omega \wedge \omega(Y, Z), \omega(X)] + [\omega \wedge \omega(Z, X), \omega(Y)] \\ &\quad - [\omega \wedge \omega(Y, X), \omega(Z)] + [\omega \wedge \omega(Z, Y), \omega(X)] + [\omega \wedge \omega(X, Z), \omega(Y)] \} \\ &= \frac{2}{2! \times 1!} \{ [[\omega(X), \omega(Y)], \omega(Z)] + [[\omega(Y), \omega(Z)], \omega(X)] + [[\omega(Z), \omega(X)], \omega(Y)] \\ &\quad - [[\omega(Y), \omega(X)], \omega(Z)] + [[\omega(Z), \omega(Y)], \omega(X)] + [[\omega(X), \omega(Z)], \omega(Y)] \} \end{aligned}$$

This equals to zero according to Jacobi identity of Lie bracket. \square

Proposition 3.1.4. Let ω_1, ω_2 be forms valued in \mathfrak{g} with degree k and l respectively, then

$$\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 = (-1)^{kl+1} \omega_2 \wedge \omega_1$$

Proof. Note that for a k -form ω_1 and a l -form ω_2 , we have

$$\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 = (-1)^{kl} \omega_2 \wedge \omega_1$$

But in this case, there is one more -1 coming from Lie bracket. \square

3.2. Maurer-Cartan form.

Definition 3.2.1 (Maurer-Cartan form). The Maurer-Cartan form θ is a \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form on G , defined by

$$\theta_g := (L_{g^{-1}})_*$$

where $g \in G$.

Remark 3.2.1. For arbitrary vector $v \in T_g G$ which is given by $\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} g e^{tX}$, where $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. Direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_g(v) &= (L_{g^{-1}})_* v \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} g^{-1} g e^{tX} \\ &= X \in \mathfrak{g} \end{aligned}$$

This shows Maurer-Cartan form is a \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form.

Proposition 3.2.1. Let $G \subseteq \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ be a matrix Lie group, and $g: M \rightarrow G$ is a smooth map, where M is a smooth manifold. Then $g^* \theta = g^{-1} dg$, where θ is Maurer-Cartan form on G and $g^{-1} dg$ is the multiplication of matrices.

Proof. For $v \in T_x M$, direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} (g^* \theta)_x v &= \theta_{g(x)}((dg)_x v) \\ &= (L_{g(x)^{-1}})_* (dg)_x v \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} L_{g(x)^{-1}}: \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \\ A &\mapsto g(x)^{-1} A \end{aligned}$$

is a linear transformation, which implies $(L_{g(x)^{-1}})_* = L_{g(x)^{-1}}$. Thus

$$(g^* \theta)_x v = g(x)^{-1} (dg)_x v$$

which implies $g^* \theta = g^{-1} dg$. \square

Corollary 3.2.1. Let $G \subseteq \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ be a matrix Lie group. Then Maurer-Cartan form on G is given by $g^{-1} dg$, where $g: G \rightarrow G$ is identity map and $g^{-1} dg$ is the multiplication of matrices.

3.3. Motivation for connection on principal bundle. All in all, our motivation is that connection of principal G -bundles can be used as a tool to study connection of vector bundle E , if E is an associated vector bundle of P . Recall a connection on vector bundle E is defined as the following \mathbb{R} -linear operator

$$\nabla: C^\infty(M, E) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \Omega_M^1(E))$$

satisfying Leibniz rule.

Suppose E is associated to principal G -bundle $\pi: P \rightarrow M$, written as $P \times_\rho V$, then from Proposition 2.3.1, there is an one to one correspondence between sections of E with G -equivariant maps from P to V . Given a section s of E , if we use s^P to denote the G -equivariant map obtained from one to one correspondence, it's easy to take derivatives of s^P to obtain a 1-form on P valued in V , that is a G -equivariant fiber-wise linear map from TP to V . However, this 1-form does not by itself define a covariant derivative of s . Indeed, by definition of connection, $\nabla s \in C^\infty(M, \Omega_M^1(E))$, then by Remark 2.3.4, a covariant derivative appears upstairs on P is supposed to be a G -equivariant section over $(P \times V) \otimes \pi^* T^*M$, that is a G -equivariant fiber-wise linear map from $\pi^* TM$ to V .

To see what is missing, it is important to keep in mind that TP has some properties that arise from the fact that P is a principal bundle over M . In fact, we have the following exact sequence

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \ker \pi_* \rightarrow TP \rightarrow \pi^* TM \rightarrow 0$$

This exact sequence is quite important, let's make following remarks:

Remark 3.3.1. The map from $\ker \pi_*$ is clearly an inclusion. And the surjective map from TP to $\pi^* TM$ is characterized as follows

$$\begin{aligned} TP &\rightarrow \pi^* TM \subseteq P \times TM \\ v &\mapsto (p, \pi_* v) \end{aligned}$$

where $v \in T_p P$.

Remark 3.3.2. $\ker \pi_*$ is isomorphic to trivial bundle $P \times \mathfrak{g}$. Indeed, we have the following bundle isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \psi: P \times \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow \ker \pi_* \\ (p, X) &\mapsto \sigma(X) \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma(X)_p := \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} p e^{tX}$ is called fundamental vector field of X . It's clear $\sigma(X) \in \ker \pi_*$, since for each $p \in P$,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_*(\sigma(X)_p) &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \pi(p e^{tX}) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \pi(p) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.3.3 (G -equivariance of exact sequence). The action of G on P can be lifted to the exact sequence (3.1). Let $R_g: P \rightarrow P$ denote the action of $g \in G$ on P , given by $p \mapsto pg$.

- (1) The G action on TP is given by $(R_g)_*: TP \rightarrow TP$, and it descends to $\ker \pi_*$ since if $v \in \ker \pi_*$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_*((R_g)_*v) &= (\pi \circ R_g)_*(v) \\ &= \pi_*(v) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

- (2) The G action on π^*TM is given by sending defined by sending a pair $(p, v) \in P \times TM$ to the pair (pg, v) . It's well-defined, that is $(pg, v) \in \pi^*TM$, since $\pi(pg) = \pi(p) = \pi(v)$.

Furthermore, we claim the exact sequence (3.1) is equivariant with respect to the lifts.

- (1) It automatically holds for inclusion map from $\ker \pi_*$ to TP , since G action on $\ker \pi_*$ is obtain from descending the one on TP .
 (2) It holds for the map from TP to π^*TM , since for $v \in TP$ we have $(R_g)_*v$ is sent to $(pg, \pi_*(R_g)_*v)$, that is exactly (pg, π_*v) , since $\pi \circ R_g = \pi$.

If we want to identify $\ker \pi_*$ as $P \times \mathfrak{g}$, we need to choose an appropriate G -action on \mathfrak{g} properly such that the isomorphism ψ is G -equivariant. It turns out to be adjoint representation. Indeed, direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned}(R_g)_*\psi(p, X) &= (R_g)_*\left(\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} p \exp(tX)\right) \\ &= \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} p \exp(tX)g \\ &= \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} (pg)(g^{-1} \exp(tX)g) \\ &= \psi(pg, \text{Ad}(g^{-1})X)\end{aligned}$$

3.4. Connection on principal bundle. So if we want to obtain a fiber-wise linear map $\pi^*TM \rightarrow V$ from a fiber-wise linear map $TP \rightarrow V$, one way is to desire exact sequence (3.1) splitting. In other words, we desire there exists a G -equivariant $\omega: TP \rightarrow P \times \mathfrak{g}$, such that $\omega|_{P \times \mathfrak{g}}$ is identity. Such ω is called a connection on principal G -bundle P .

Definition 3.4.1 (connection on principal G -bundle). Let $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ be a principal G -bundle. $\omega \in C^\infty(P, \Omega_P^1(\mathfrak{g}))$ is called a connection on P , if it satisfies

- (1) For any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\omega(\sigma(X)) = X$.
 (2) For any $g \in G$, $(R_g)^*\omega = \text{Ad}(g^{-1})\omega$, that is

$$\omega((R_g)_*X) = \text{Ad}(g^{-1})\omega(X)$$

holds for all $X \in C^\infty(T, TP)$.

Notation 3.4.1. $\mathcal{A}(P)$ denotes the set of all connections on P .

Remark 3.4.1 (horizontal distribution viewpoint). If we define $H = \ker \omega$, then

$$TP = H \oplus (P \times \mathfrak{g})$$

such that $(R_g)_* H_p = H_{pg}$. H is called a horizontal distribution and $P \times \mathfrak{g}$ is called vertical distribution. Conversely, give a horizontal distribution, one can also construct a connection.

Example 3.4.1 (connection on trivial principal G -bundle). *Consider trivial principal G -bundle $P = M \times G$. Recall we have a Maurer-Cartan form θ , which is a 1-form valued in \mathfrak{g} . Then we can use $\pi_G: M \times G \rightarrow G$ to pull it back to P to obtain a 1-form on P valued in \mathfrak{g} , which is called Maurer-Cartan form on trivial principal G -bundle, and it's denoted ω_{mc} . Now let's check ω_{mc} gives a connection on trivial principal bundle.*

(1) For any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{mc}(\sigma(X)) &= \pi_G^* \theta \left(\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} (x, g) e^{tX} \right) \\ &= \theta \left(\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} g e^{tX} \right) \\ &= (L_{g^{-1}})_* \left(\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} g e^{tX} \right) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} e^{tX} \\ &= X \end{aligned}$$

(2) It suffices to check $(R_g)^* \theta = \text{Ad}(g^{-1}) \theta$ holds for $g \in G$. At point $h \in G$, and $v \in T_h G$ given by $\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} h e^{tX}$, where $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. Direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} (R_g)^* \theta_h(v) &= \theta_{hg} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} h e^{tX} g \right) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} (hg)^{-1} h e^{tX} g \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} g^{-1} e^{tX} g \\ &= \text{Ad}(g^{-1}) \theta_h(v) \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.4.2. It's clear to see $\ker \omega_{mc} = \pi^* TM$, since ω_{mc} is pullback from a 1-form on G , thus in this case

$$TP \cong TM \oplus TG$$

that's exactly canonical splitting of TP .

3.5. Gauge group.

Definition 3.5.1 (gauge transformation). For a principal G -bundle $\pi: P \rightarrow M$, the gauge transformation is a G -equivariant diffeomorphism $\Phi: P \rightarrow P$ such that $\pi = \pi \circ \Phi$.

Notation 3.5.1. $\mathcal{G}(P)$ denotes the set of all gauge transformation of P , which forms a group, called gauge group.

Remark 3.5.1 (terminologies). Here we make some clarifications about terminologies. A local gauge is a physicist's terminology for the choice of local trivialization, and the change of local trivialization, that is transition functions, are called gauge transformation. For physicists gauge group is exactly structure group, and gauge group we defined here is sometimes called global gauge group.

Remark 3.5.2 (local expression of gauge transformation). For a gauge transformation Φ , its action on local trivialization $\varphi_\alpha: \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha \times G$, given by $\varphi_\alpha(\Phi(p)) = (\pi(p), g_\alpha(\Phi(p)))$, induces a map $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha: \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow G$ by

$$\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha(p) = g_\alpha(\Phi(p))g_\alpha(p)^{-1}$$

By the G -equivariance of g_α and Φ one has $\tilde{\varphi}$ is G -invariant, which implies $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha$ can descend to U_α , that is one can define $\phi_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow G$ via $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha(p) = \phi_\alpha(\pi(p))$. If we consider on the overlaps $x \in U_{\alpha\beta}$ with $p = \pi^{-1}(x)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\alpha(x) &= g_\alpha(\Phi(p))g_\alpha(p)^{-1} \\ &= g_\alpha(\Phi(p))g_\beta(\Phi(p))^{-1}g_\beta(\Phi(p))g_\beta(p)^{-1}g_\beta(p)g_\alpha(p)^{-1} \\ &= g_{\alpha\beta}(x)\phi_\beta(x)g_{\alpha\beta}(x)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

This shows $\{\phi_\alpha\}$ defines a global section of associated bundle obtained from G acts on G by conjugation, that is $P \times_{\text{Conj}} G$ defined in Example 2.3.2. In fact, we have the following one to one correspondence.

Proposition 3.5.1. There is one to one correspondence between the group $\mathcal{G}(P)$ and $C^\infty(M, P \times_{\text{Conj}} G)$.

Proof. We have already seen that a gauge transformation can give an element in $C^\infty(M, P \times_{\text{Conj}} G)$. Conversely, by Proposition 2.3.1, there is a one to one correspondence between $C^\infty(M, P \times_{\text{Conj}} G)$ and smooth functions $f: P \rightarrow G$ which is G -equivariant. For such f , consider $\Phi_f: P \rightarrow P$ given by $\Phi_f(p) = pf(p)$.

- (1) $\pi \circ \Phi_f = \pi$, since $\pi \circ \Phi_f(p) = \pi(pf(p)) = \pi(p)$
- (2) It's G -equivariant since

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_f(pg) &= pgf(pg) \\ &= pgg^{-1}f(p)g \\ &= pf(p)g \\ &= \Phi_f(p)g \end{aligned}$$

The two maps we constructed are clearly inverse to each other, giving the desired correspondence. \square

Now we're going to show $\mathcal{G}(P)$ acts on $\mathcal{A}(P)$.

Lemma 3.5.1. For any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{G}(P)$, then

$$\Phi_*(\sigma(X)) = \sigma(X)$$

Proof. Direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_*\sigma(X) &= \Phi_*\left(\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} pe^{tX}\right) \\ &= \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} \Phi(pe^{tX}) \\ &= \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} \Phi(p)e^{tX} \\ &= \sigma(X) \end{aligned}$$

\square

Proposition 3.5.2. $\mathcal{G}(P)$ acts on $\mathcal{A}(P)$ via pullback.

Proof. For $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{G}(P)$, let's check $\Phi^*\omega \in \mathcal{A}(P)$.

(1) For any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^*\omega(\sigma(X)) &= \omega(\Phi_*\sigma(X)) \\ &= \omega(\sigma(X)) \\ &= X \end{aligned}$$

(2) Note that $(R_g)^*\Phi^* = (R_g \circ \Phi)^* = (\Phi \circ R_g)^*$, since Φ is G -equivariant, thus

$$\begin{aligned} (R_g)^*(\Phi^*\omega) &= \Phi^*((R_g)^*\omega) \\ &= \Phi^*(\text{Ad}(g^{-1})\omega) \\ &= \text{Ad}(g^{-1})\Phi^*\omega \end{aligned}$$

\square

Remark 3.5.3. Gauge theory concerns about space" of orbit of $\mathcal{G}(P)$, that is $\mathcal{A}(P)/\mathcal{G}(P)$.

3.6. Local expression of connection. Instead of considering connection 1-form living on P , we want to convert it into the one living on base manifold M , since we want to use it to study connection of vector bundle over M . To do this, we divide the process into three steps:

- (1) Given a connection on trivial principal G -bundle, correspond it to a 1-form on M valued \mathfrak{g} .
- (2) Figure out how does this correspondence transform under gauge transformation.

- (3) Since a principal G -bundle admits local trivializations, and transition functions can be regarded as gauge transformations, then we reduce the case to the first two steps.

3.6.1. *Baby case.* Fix a trivial principal G -bundle $P = M \times G$ and following notations:

- (1) $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ is natural projection, given by $p = (x, g) \mapsto x \in M$.
- (2) $i: M \rightarrow P$ is natural inclusion, given by $x \mapsto (x, e) \in P$.

Lemma 3.6.1. For any $A \in C^\infty(M, \Omega_M^1(\mathfrak{g}))$, there exists a unique $\tilde{A} \in C^\infty(P, \Omega_P^1(\mathfrak{g}))$ such that

- (1) $i^* \tilde{A} = A$.
- (2) $\tilde{A}(\sigma(X)) = 0$, where $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.
- (3) $(R_g)^* \tilde{A} = \text{Ad}(g^{-1}) \tilde{A}$.

Proof. It suffices to construct \tilde{A} pointwisely.

- (a) For $p = (x, e) \in M \times G$, we have

$$T_p P = T_x M \oplus \mathfrak{g}$$

Then \tilde{A} is uniquely determined at this point according to (1) and (2).

- (b) At point $p' = (x, g) \in M \times G$, it's clear $p' = pg$ and $(R_g)_*: T_p P \rightarrow T_{p'} P$ is an isomorphism, then for arbitrary $v \in T_{p'} P$, we may assume $v = (R_g)_* w$ for some $w \in T_p P$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_{p'}(v) &= \tilde{A}_{pg}((R_g)_* w) \\ &= ((R_g)^* \tilde{A})_p(w) \\ &= \text{Ad}(g^{-1}) \tilde{A}(w) \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.6.1. $i^*: \mathcal{A}(P) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \Omega_M^1(\mathfrak{g}))$ is bijective, that is the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^\infty(P, \Omega_P^1(\mathfrak{g})) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & C^\infty(M, \Omega_M^1(\mathfrak{g})) \\ \uparrow & \nearrow 1-1 & \\ \mathcal{A}(P) & & \end{array}$$

Proof. For any $A \in C^\infty(M, \Omega_M^1(\mathfrak{g}))$, by Lemma 3.6.1 we have $\omega_{mc} + \tilde{A}$ is also a connection on P , thus we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \tau: C^\infty(M, \Omega_M^1(\mathfrak{g})) &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}(P) \\ A &\mapsto \omega_{mc} + \tilde{A} \end{aligned}$$

It's clear τ is surjective, since for any $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(P)$, we have

$$\tau(i^*(\omega - \omega_{mc})) = \omega_{mc} + \omega - \omega_{mc} = \omega$$

Now it suffices to show $i^* \tau = \text{id}$, which implies τ is injective thus bijective. Indeed, for $A \in C^\infty(M, \Omega_M^1(\mathfrak{g}))$,

$$i^* \tau(A) = i^* (\omega_{mc} + \tilde{A}) = i^* \tilde{A} = A$$

since $i^* \omega_{mc} = 0$. □

3.6.2. How to glue. Any gauge transformation Φ on trivial principal G -bundle $P = M \times G$ can be written as

$$\Phi(x, g) = (x, \phi(x)g)$$

where $\phi: M \rightarrow G$ is smooth map.

Proposition 3.6.2. For $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(P)$

$$i^* \Phi^* \omega = \text{Ad}(\phi^{-1}) i^* \omega + \phi^* \theta$$

where θ is Maurer-Cartan form.

Proof. For any $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(P)$, it can be written as $\omega = \omega_{mc} + \tilde{A}$ according to Proposition 3.6.1. Then

$$\begin{aligned} i^* \Phi^* \omega &= i^* \Phi^* (\omega_{mc} + \tilde{A}) \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} i^* \Phi^* \pi_G^* \theta + i^* \Phi^* \tilde{A} \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{=} \phi^* \theta + i^* \Phi^* \tilde{A} \end{aligned}$$

where

(1) holds from definition of Maurer-Cartan form.

(2) holds from $\pi_G \circ \Phi \circ i(x) = \pi_G \circ \Phi(x, e) = \pi_G(x, \phi(x)) = \phi(x)$ for $x \in M$.

Now it suffices to compute $i^* \Phi^* \tilde{A}$. For $v \in T_x M$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} (i^* \Phi^* \tilde{A})_x(v) &= \Phi^* \tilde{A}_{(x, e)}(v, 0) \\ &= \tilde{A}_{(x, \phi(x))}(v, 0) \\ &= (R_{\phi(x)})^* \tilde{A}_{(x, e)}(v, 0) \\ &= \text{Ad}(\phi^{-1}(x)) (i^* \tilde{A})_x(v) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} i^* (\Phi^* \omega) &= \phi^* \theta + \text{Ad}(\phi^{-1}) i^* \tilde{A} \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} \phi^* \theta + \text{Ad}(\phi^{-1}) i^* \omega \end{aligned}$$

where (3) holds from $i^* \omega_{mc} = 0$ and $\omega = \omega_{mc} + \tilde{A}$. □

3.6.3. General case. Let $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ be a principal G -bundle with local trivializations $\{U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha\}$, and $i_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow U_\alpha \times G$ sends x to (x, e) . For a connection $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(P)$, we define $\omega_\alpha := (\varphi_\alpha^{-1})^* \omega_{\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)}$, which is a \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form on $U_\alpha \times G$, and

$$A_\alpha := i_\alpha^* \omega_\alpha \in C^\infty(U_\alpha, \Omega_{U_\alpha}^1(\mathfrak{g}))$$

Remark 3.6.1. In Example 2.2.3 we introduce local section σ_α with respect to local trivialization $\{U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha\}$, it's clear to see $A_\alpha = \sigma_\alpha^* (\omega|_{\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)})$.

Proposition 3.6.3.

$$\mathcal{A}(P) \xrightarrow{1-1} \{(A_\alpha) \in \prod_\alpha C^\infty(U_\alpha, \Omega_M^1(\mathfrak{g})) \mid A_\beta = \text{Ad}(g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1})A_\alpha + g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}dg_{\alpha\beta}\}$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi: U_{\alpha\beta} \times G &\rightarrow U_{\alpha\beta} \times G \\ (x, h) &\mapsto (x, g_{\alpha\beta}(x)h) \end{aligned}$$

gives a gauge transformation of trivial principal G -bundle $U_{\alpha\beta} \times G$. Then for $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(P)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} i_\beta^* \Phi^* \omega_\alpha &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \text{Ad}(g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1})(i_\alpha^* \omega_\alpha) + g_{\alpha\beta}^* \theta \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{=} \text{Ad}(g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1})A_\alpha + g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}dg_{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned}$$

where $g_{\alpha\beta}: U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow G$ are transition functions, and

(1) holds from Proposition 3.6.2.

(2) holds from Proposition 3.2.1.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\alpha &= (\varphi_\alpha^{-1})^* \omega|_{\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)} \\ &= (\varphi_\alpha^{-1})(\varphi_\beta)^* (\varphi_\beta^{-1})^* \omega|_{\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)} \\ &= (\varphi_\beta \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1})^* \omega_\beta \\ &= (\Phi^{-1})^* \omega_\beta \end{aligned}$$

This shows

$$i_\beta^* \Phi^* \omega_\alpha = i_\beta^* \omega_\beta = A_\beta$$

Conversely, suppose $\{A_\alpha\}$ is a set of \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form satisfying

$$A_\beta = \text{Ad}(g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1})A_\alpha + g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}dg_{\alpha\beta}$$

By Lemma 3.6.1 there exists a \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form \tilde{A}_α on $\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ such that

- (1) $(\sigma_\alpha)^* \tilde{A}_\alpha = A_\alpha$.
- (2) $\tilde{A}_\alpha(\sigma(X)) = 0$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.
- (3) $(R_g)^* \tilde{A}_\alpha = \text{Ad}(g^{-1})\tilde{A}_\alpha$.

Direct computation shows $\{\tilde{A}_\alpha\}$ gives a \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form \tilde{A} defined on P , and then $\tilde{A} + \omega_{mc}$ gives a connection ω on P . Furthermore, these two constructions are inverse to each other, which completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.6.1. $\mathcal{A}(P)$ is an affine space modelled on $C^\infty(M, \Omega_M^1(P \times_{\text{Ad}} \mathfrak{g}))$.

4. CURVATURE OF PRINCIPAL BUNDLE

4.1. Definition.

Definition 4.1.1 (curvature). Let P be a principal G -bundle and $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(P)$. Curvature of ω is defined as

$$\Omega := d\omega + \frac{1}{2}\omega \wedge \omega \in C^\infty(P, \Omega_P^2(\mathfrak{g}))$$

Proposition 4.1.1.

$$(R_g)^*\Omega = \text{Ad}(g^{-1})\Omega$$

where $g \in G$.

Proof. It follows from pullback commutes with exterior derivative and wedge product. \square

Proposition 4.1.2. Let $P = M \times G$ be trivial principal G -bundle equipped with connection ω_{mc} , then $\Omega = 0$.

Proof. It suffices to check Maurer-Cartan form $\theta \in C^\infty(G, \Omega_G^1(\mathfrak{g}))$ satisfying

$$d\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta \wedge \theta = 0$$

which is called Maurer-Cartan equation. Firstly we suppose X, Y are left-invariant vector fields, then

$$\theta(X) = (L_{g^{-1}})_*X_g = (L_{g^{-1}})_*(L_g)_*X_e = X_e$$

is constant. Thus

$$d\theta(X, Y) = -\theta([X, Y]) = -\frac{1}{2}\theta \wedge \theta(X, Y)$$

since $X(\theta(Y)) = Y(\theta(X)) = 0$. But left-invariant vector fields span the tangent space at any point, thus Maurer-Cartan equation holds for arbitrary vector fields X, Y . \square

Theorem 4.1.1 (Bianchi identity).

$$d\Omega + \omega \wedge \Omega = 0$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} d\Omega &= d(d\omega + \frac{1}{2}\omega \wedge \omega) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}d\omega \wedge \omega - \frac{1}{2}\omega \wedge d\omega \\ &= -\omega \wedge d\omega \\ &= -\omega \wedge (\Omega - \frac{1}{2}\omega \wedge \omega) \\ &= -\omega \wedge \Omega \end{aligned}$$

\square

Definition 4.1.2 (horizontal form). Let α be a k -form on P valued in vector space V , it's called horizontal if $\iota_{\sigma(X)}\alpha = 0$ for arbitrary $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Lemma 4.1.1. For $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, the flow of $\sigma(X)$ is given by

$$\phi_t(p) = p e^{tX}$$

where $p \in P$.

Proposition 4.1.3. Ω is a horizontal 2-form.

Proof. Direct computation shows

(1) For $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega(\sigma(X), \sigma(Y)) &= \sigma(X)(\omega(\sigma(Y))) - \sigma(Y)(\omega(\sigma(X))) - \omega([\sigma(X), \sigma(Y)]) \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} -[\omega(\sigma(X)), \omega(\sigma(Y))] \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{=} -\frac{1}{2}\omega \wedge \omega(\sigma(X), \sigma(Y)) \end{aligned}$$

where

(1) holds from $\omega(\sigma(Y))$ and $\omega(\sigma(X))$ are constant functions valued Y and X respectively.

(2) holds from Example 3.1.3.

(2) If $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and Y is a horizontal vector field, note that

$$\frac{1}{2}\omega \wedge \omega(\sigma(X), Y) = 0$$

since $\omega(Y) = 0$, and direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega(\sigma(X), Y) &= \sigma(X)(\omega(Y)) - Y\omega(\sigma(X)) - \omega([\sigma(X), Y]) \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} -\omega([\sigma(X), Y]) \\ &\stackrel{(4)}{=} -\omega(\mathcal{L}_{\sigma(X)}Y) \end{aligned}$$

where

(3) holds from $\omega(Y) = 0$ and $\omega(\sigma(X))$ is a constant function valued X .

(4) holds from property of Lie derivative.

By definition one has

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\sigma(X)}Y)_p = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(\phi_{-t})_* Y_{\phi_t(p)} - Y_p}{t}$$

where ϕ_t is the flow generated by $\sigma(X)$ and $p \in P$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 \omega_p((\mathcal{L}_{\sigma(X)}Y)_p) &\stackrel{(5)}{=} \omega_p\left(\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(\phi_{-t})^*Y_{\phi_t(p)} - Y_p}{t}\right) \\
 &\stackrel{(6)}{=} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \{\omega_p((\phi_{-t})^*Y_{\phi_t(p)}) - \omega_p(Y_p)\} \\
 &\stackrel{(7)}{=} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \{((R_{e^{-tX}})^*\omega_p)(Y_{pe^{tX}}) - \omega_p(Y_p)\} \\
 &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \{\text{Ad}(e^{tX})\omega_{pe^{tX}}(Y_{pe^{tX}}) - \omega_p(Y_p)\} \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

where

(5) holds from definition of Lie derivative.

(6) holds from ω is a smooth form.

(7) holds from Lemma 4.1.1.

□

Remark 4.1.1 (curvature vanishes and integrability). Given a horizontal distribution $H \subseteq TP$, we define the horizontal projection $h: TP \rightarrow TP$ to be the projection onto the horizontal distribution along the vertical distribution. Since both vertical and horizontal distribution are invariant under the action of G , so is h . Then $\Omega = h^*d\omega$. Indeed, it suffices to show for vector fields X, Y , one has

$$d\omega(hX, hY) = d\omega(X, Y) + \frac{1}{2}\omega \wedge \omega(X, Y)$$

Consider the following cases:

- (1) Let X, Y be horizontal. In this case there is nothing to prove, since $\omega(X) = \omega(Y) = 0$ and $hX = X, hY = Y$.
- (2) If one of X, Y is vertical, then it's clear both sides are zero, since both Ω and $h^*d\omega$ are horizontal.

As a consequence one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega(X, Y) &= d\omega(hX, hY) \\
 &= -\omega([hX, hY])
 \end{aligned}$$

where X, Y are two vector fields on P . This shows $\Omega(X, Y) = 0$ if and only if $[hX, hY]$ is horizontal. In other words, the curvature of the connection measures the failure of integrability of the horizontal distribution $H \subseteq TP$.

4.2. Local expression of curvature and basic form. Let $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ be a principal G -bundle with local trivializations $\{U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha\}$. If we define

$$\Theta_\alpha = \sigma_\alpha^*(\Omega|_{\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)}) \in C^\infty(U_\alpha, \Omega_{U_\alpha}^2(\mathfrak{g}))$$

By definition one has

$$\Theta_\alpha = dA_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}A_\alpha \wedge A_\alpha$$

Lemma 4.2.1. For $x \in U_{\alpha\beta}$ and $v \in T_x M$

$$(\sigma_\beta)_*(v) = (R_{g_{\alpha\beta}(x)})_*((\sigma_\alpha)_*v) + (\sigma_\alpha(x))_*((g_{\alpha\beta})_*v)$$

where $(\sigma(x))_*$ is the differential of the following map

$$\begin{aligned} G &\rightarrow P \\ h &\mapsto \sigma_\alpha(x)h \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\gamma(t)$ be a curve with $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\gamma'(0) = v$. Direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_\beta)_*(v) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \sigma_\beta(\gamma(t)) \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \sigma_\alpha(\gamma(t))g_{\alpha\beta}(\gamma(t)) \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \sigma_\alpha(\gamma(t))g_{\alpha\beta}(x) + \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \sigma_\alpha(x)g_{\alpha\beta}(\gamma(t)) \\ &= (R_{g_{\alpha\beta}(x)})_*((\sigma_\alpha)_*v) + (\sigma_\alpha(x))_*((g_{\alpha\beta})_*v) \end{aligned}$$

where (1) follows from Proposition 2.2.4. \square

Remark 4.2.1. From above proof it's clear to see $(\sigma_\alpha(x))_*((g_{\alpha\beta})_*v)$ is a vertical vector, which is a crucial property.

Proposition 4.2.1.

$$\Theta_\beta = \text{Ad}(g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1})\Theta_\alpha$$

where $g_{\alpha\beta}: U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow G$ is transition function.

Proof. For $x \in U_{\alpha\beta}$ and $v, w \in T_x M$, direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} (\Theta_\beta)_x(v, w) &= \Omega_{\sigma_\beta(x)}((\sigma_\beta)_*v, (\sigma_\beta)_*w) \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \Omega_{\sigma_\beta(x)}((R_{g_{\alpha\beta}(x)})_*((\sigma_\alpha)_*v), (R_{g_{\alpha\beta}(x)})_*((\sigma_\alpha)_*w)) \\ &= ((R_{g_{\alpha\beta}(x)})^*\Omega)_{\sigma_\alpha(x)}((\sigma_\alpha)_*v, (\sigma_\alpha)_*w) \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{=} \text{Ad}(g_{\alpha\beta}(x)^{-1})\Omega_{\sigma_\alpha(x)}((\sigma_\alpha)_*v, (\sigma_\alpha)_*w) \\ &= \text{Ad}(g_{\alpha\beta}(x)^{-1})(\Theta_\alpha)_x(v, w) \end{aligned}$$

where

(1) holds from Ω is horizontal and remark of Lemma 4.2.1.

(2) holds from Ω is Proposition 4.1.1. \square

Definition 4.2.1 (basic form). Let $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ be a representation of G , a k -form α on P valued in V is called a basic form, if it satisfies

(1) α is horizontal.

(2) It's ρ -equivariant, that is

$$(R_g)^*\alpha = \rho(g^{-1})\alpha$$

where $g \in G$.

Notation 4.2.1. The set of all basic k -forms on P valued V is denoted by $C^\infty(P, \Omega_P^k(V))^{\text{basic}}$.

Theorem 4.2.1. Let $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ be a linear representation, and $E = P \times_\rho V$. Then

$$C^\infty(M, \Omega_M^k(E)) \xrightarrow{1-1} C^\infty(P, \Omega_P^k(V))^{\text{basic}}$$

Example 4.2.1. For $k = 0$, one has

$$C^\infty(P, \Omega_P^0(V))^{\text{basic}} = \{f: P \rightarrow V \mid f(xg) = \rho(g^{-1})f(x)\}$$

Thus Theorem 4.2.1 recovers Proposition 2.3.1.

5. FROM CONNECTION ON PRINCIPAL TO CONNECTION ON VECTOR BUNDLE

5.1. Connection on vector bundle. Let $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle of rank n , and $\{U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha\}$ is a local trivialization of E with transition functions $\{g_{\alpha\beta}\}$. If $\{e_i\}$ is the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n consisting of row vectors³, then there is a local frame over U_α given by

$$e_i^\alpha := \varphi_\alpha^{-1}((x, e_i))$$

Direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} e_i^\beta &= \varphi_\alpha^{-1} \circ \varphi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\beta^{-1}((x, e_i)) \\ &= \varphi_\alpha^{-1}((x, g_{\alpha\beta} e_i)) \\ &= (g_{\alpha\beta})_i^j e_j^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

where j is row index and i is column index of $(g_{\alpha\beta})_i^j$. Let ∇ be a connection on E , which is locally given by $\{A_\alpha\} \in \prod C^\infty(U_\alpha, \Omega_M^1(\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})))$, that is

$$\nabla e_i^\alpha = (A_\alpha)_i^j e_j^\alpha$$

Direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla e_i^\beta &= \nabla((g_{\alpha\beta})_i^j e_j^\alpha) \\ &= d(g_{\alpha\beta})_i^j e_j^\alpha + (g_{\alpha\beta})_i^j (A_\alpha)_j^k e_k^\alpha \\ &= (d(g_{\alpha\beta})_i^k + (g_{\alpha\beta})_i^j (A_\alpha)_j^k) e_k^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla e_i^\beta &= (A_\beta)_i^j e_j^\beta \\ &= (A_\beta)_i^j (g_{\alpha\beta})_j^k e_k^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

This shows

$$(A_\beta)_i^j (g_{\alpha\beta})_j^k = d(g_{\alpha\beta})_i^k + (g_{\alpha\beta})_i^j (A_\alpha)_j^k$$

and in matrix notation one has

$$(5.1) \quad A_\beta = g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} A_\alpha g_{\alpha\beta} + g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} d g_{\alpha\beta}$$

That is to say, if we want to give a connection on E , it suffices to give $\{A_\alpha\} \in \prod C^\infty(U_\alpha, \Omega_M^1(\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})))$ satisfying relation (5.1).

5.2. Connection on associated vector bundle. In this section we will show if E is an associated vector bundle of principal G -bundle P over M , then connection ω on P gives a connection on E .

³To be explicit, $e_i = (0, \dots, \underbrace{1}_{i\text{-th}}, \dots, 0)$.

5.2.1. *Baby version.* Let E be a vector bundle over M , and it's realized as an associated vector bundle of principal $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ -bundle P by trivial representation. Let $\{U_\alpha\}$ be a local trivialization of P with transition functions $\{g_{\alpha\beta}\}$. For connection $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(P)$, by Proposition 3.6.3 one has a set of $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ -valued 1-forms $\{A_\alpha\}$ with

$$A_\beta = \mathrm{Ad}(g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1})A_\alpha + g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}dg_{\alpha\beta}$$

Note that A_α is a 1-form valued $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$, and in matrix group adjoint representation can be expressed explicitly, that is

$$\mathrm{Ad}(g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1})A_\alpha = g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}A_\alpha g_{\alpha\beta}$$

This shows $\{A_\alpha\}$ which is obtained from ω satisfies relation (5.1), and thus it gives a connection on E .

5.2.2. *General case.* Let P be a principal G -bundle with local trivializations $\{U_\alpha\}$ and transition functions $\{g_{\alpha\beta}\}$, and suppose $E = P \times_\rho \mathbb{R}^n$ is an associated vector bundle given by representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$. For connection $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(P)$, by Proposition 3.6.3 one has a set of \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-forms $\{A_\alpha\}$ with

$$A_\alpha = \mathrm{Ad}(g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1})A_\beta + g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}dg_{\alpha\beta}$$

Let $\rho_*: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ be the differential of ρ , and note that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ad}} & \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \\ \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \rho_* \\ \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ad}} & \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \end{array}$$

Then $\{\rho_*(A_\alpha)\}$ is a set of $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ -valued 1-forms satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_*(A_\alpha) &= \rho_*(\mathrm{Ad}(g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1})A_\beta) + \rho_*(g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}dg_{\alpha\beta}) \\ &= \rho(g_{\alpha\beta})^{-1}\rho_*(A_\beta)\rho(g_{\alpha\beta}) + \rho_*(g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}dg_{\alpha\beta}) \\ &= \rho(g_{\alpha\beta})^{-1}\rho_*(A_\beta)\rho(g_{\alpha\beta}) + \rho(g_{\alpha\beta})^{-1}d\rho(g_{\alpha\beta}) \end{aligned}$$

This shows $\{\rho_*(A_\alpha)\}$ gives a connection on E , since the transition function⁴ of E is $\{\rho(g_{\alpha\beta})\}$.

⁴See Remark 2.3.1.

6. FLAT CONNECTION AND HOLONOMY

6.1. Lifting of curves. Let $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ be a principal G -bundle equipped with connection ω , consider smooth curve $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ and a point $p \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma(0))$, we claim there exists a unique smooth map $\tilde{\gamma}: [0, 1] \rightarrow P$ such that

(1) The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & P \\ & \nearrow \tilde{\gamma} & \downarrow \pi \\ [0, 1] & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & M \end{array}$$

(2) $\tilde{\gamma}'(t)$ is horizontal.

(3) $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = p$.

Proof. For convenience we assume G is a matrix group, and without lose of generality, we may assume P is trivial principal G -bundle $M \times G$, since it's a local problem. In this case we write $\tilde{\gamma} = (\gamma(t), g(t))$, it's clear $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma} = \gamma$. For conditions (2) and (3), it's an ODE with initial value in fact: Note that we can write connection $\omega = \omega_{mc} + \tilde{A}$, so $\tilde{\gamma}'(t)$ is horizontal if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega_{mc} + \tilde{A})(\tilde{\gamma}'(t)) &= (\omega_{mc} + \tilde{A})(\gamma'(t), g'(t)) \\ &= g^{-1}(t)g'(t) + \tilde{A}((\gamma'(t), g'(t))) \\ &= g^{-1}(t)g'(t) + \text{Ad}(g^{-1}(t))A_{\gamma(t)}(\gamma'(t)) \\ &= g^{-1}(t)g'(t) + g^{-1}(t)A_{\gamma(t)}(\gamma'(t))g(t) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

6.2. Flat connection.

Definition 6.2.1 (flat connection). Let P be a principal G -bundle, a connection $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ is called flat, if its curvature form $\Omega = 0$.

Theorem 6.2.1. The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) ω is flat.
- (2) There exists a local trivialization $\{U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha\}$ such that $\omega|_{\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)} = (\varphi_\alpha)^* \omega_{mc}$.

Hint. The curvature vanishes if and only if horizontal distribution is integrable. \square

Corollary 6.2.1. The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) There is a flat connection on P .
- (2) There is a local trivializations $\{U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha\}$ such that transition functions $\{g_{\alpha\beta}: U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \rightarrow G\}$ are locally constant functions.

Proof. From (2) to (1). By Proposition 3.6.3 a connection $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ is given by $\{A_\alpha\}$ such that

$$A_\beta = \text{Ad}(g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1})A_\alpha + g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}dg_{\alpha\beta}$$

If $g_{\alpha\beta}$ are locally constant functions, then $dg_{\alpha\beta} = 0$, and thus $A_\alpha = 0$ gives a flat connection.

From (1) to (2). If ω is a flat connection, by Theorem 6.2.1 there exists a local trivialization $\{U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha\}$ of P such that $\omega|_{\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)}$ are $(\varphi_\alpha)^* \omega_{mc}$. Then with respect to this local trivialization, one has

$$A_\alpha = (\sigma_\alpha)^* (\varphi_\alpha)^* \omega_{mc} = 0$$

for all α . This shows $g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} dg_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ for all α, β , that is $g_{\alpha\beta}$ are locally constant functions. \square

Corollary 6.2.2. The flat connection is equivalent to \mathbb{R} -valued local systems.

6.3. Holonomy and Riemann-Hilbert correspondence. Let $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ be a smooth closed curve with lifting $\tilde{\gamma}: [0, 1] \rightarrow P$ starting at $\tilde{\gamma}(0) \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma(0))$. Note that

$$\tilde{\gamma}(1) \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma(1)) = \pi^{-1}(\gamma(0))$$

So there exists $g \in G$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}(1) = \tilde{\gamma}(0)g$, since fiber is an orbit of G . The element g is called holonomy, which is denoted by $\text{Hol}(\gamma, p)$, since it only depends on γ and p .

Proposition 6.3.1.

(1) For $p, pg \in P$, where $g \in G$, one has

$$\text{Hol}(\gamma, pg) = g^{-1} \text{Hol}(\gamma, p)g$$

(2) Let γ_1, γ_2 be two smooth closed curves, then

$$\text{Hol}(\gamma_1 \gamma_2, p) = \text{Hol}(\gamma_1, p) \text{Hol}(\gamma_2, p)$$

Proof. It's clear. \square

From (2) of above proposition, Hol can be regarded as a group homomorphism to some extent, so if we want to give a homomorphism

$$\text{Hol}: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow G$$

It suffices to check when $\text{Hol}(\gamma, p)$ is independent of homotopy class. Consider the following homotopy

$$\gamma_s: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M$$

such that $\gamma_0 = \gamma$. If we write its lifting on local trivialization as $\tilde{\gamma}_s(t) = (\gamma_s(t), g_s(t))$, then the following equation holds

$$\frac{\partial g_s}{\partial t}(t) + A_{\gamma(t)}\left(\frac{\partial \gamma_s}{\partial t}(t)\right)g_s(t) = 0$$

So if ω is a flat connection, then it reduces to for arbitrary $s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, one has $\frac{\partial g_s}{\partial t}(t) = 0$. This shows it's independent of s .

Theorem 6.3.1 (Riemann-Hilbert correspondence).

$$\{\text{flat connections on } P\} / \text{isomorphism} \xrightarrow{1-1} \text{Hom}(\pi_1(M), G) / \text{conjugate}$$

Part 2. Chern-Weil theory

7. CHERN-WEIL HOMOMORPHISM

7.1. Invariant polynomial.

7.1.1. *General theory.* Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{R} and $\text{Sym}^k V^*$ the space of symmetric k -linear mappings f from $V \times \cdots \times V$ to \mathbb{R} , and $\text{Sym} V^* = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \text{Sym}^k V^*$ is a commutative algebra over \mathbb{R} , where the multiplication is given by

$$f g(x_1, \dots, x_{k+l}) = \frac{1}{(k+l)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k+l}} f(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k)}) g(x_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k+l)})$$

where $f \in \text{Sym}^k V^*$, $g \in \text{Sym}^l V^*$ and $x_i \in V$. Let $P^k(V)$ denote the space of homogeneous polynomial functions of degree k on V . Then $P(V) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} P^k(V)$ is the algebra of polynomial functions on V .

Proposition 7.1.1. The mapping $\varphi: \text{Sym} V^* \rightarrow P(V)$ defined by

$$(\varphi f)(t) := f(t, \dots, t)$$

for $f \in \text{Sym}^k(V)$ and $t \in V$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. See Proposition 2.1 in [KN96]. \square

Proposition 7.1.2. Given a group of linear transformation of V , let $\text{Sym}_G V^*$ and $P_G(V)$ be the subalgebra of $\text{Sym} V^*$ and $P(V)$, respectively, consisting of G -invariant elements. Then isomorphism in Proposition 7.1.1 gives an isomorphism from $\text{Sym}_G V^*$ to $P_G(V)$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward and is left to the reader. \square

7.1.2. *G-invariant polynomial.* Let G be a Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and $\text{Sym}^k \mathfrak{g}^*$ be the symmetric k -linear functionals, that is,

$$\text{Sym}^k \mathfrak{g}^* = \{f: \underbrace{\mathfrak{g} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{g}}_{k \text{ times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is } k\text{-linear and symmetric}\}$$

Furthermore, G acts on $\text{Sym}^k \mathfrak{g}^*$ as follows

$$gf(x_1, \dots, x_k) := f(\text{Ad}(g)x_1, \dots, \text{Ad}(g)x_k)$$

where $f \in \text{Sym}^k \mathfrak{g}^*$ and $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Definition 7.1.1 (G -invariant polynomial). The set of G -invariant polynomials of degree k is

$$I^k(\mathfrak{g}) := \{f \in \text{Sym}^k \mathfrak{g}^* \mid gf = f, \forall g \in G\}$$

and

$$I(\mathfrak{g}) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} I^k(\mathfrak{g})$$

which is a commutative algebra over \mathbb{R} .

Proposition 7.1.3. The algebra $I(\mathfrak{g})$ may be identified with the algebra of $\text{Ad}(G)$ -invariant polynomial functions on \mathfrak{g} .

7.2. Chern-Weil homomorphism. Let $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ be a principal G -bundle, and ω is a connection on P with curvature Ω .

Lemma 7.2.1. Let $\tilde{\alpha}$ be a k -form on P such that

- (1) $R_g^*(\tilde{\alpha}) = \tilde{\alpha}$.
- (2) $\tilde{\alpha}$ is horizontal.

Then there exists a unique k -form α on M such that $\tilde{\alpha} = \pi^* \alpha$.

Proof. For any vector fields X_1, \dots, X_k on M , there are R_g -invariant vector fields $\tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_k$ such that $\pi_*(\tilde{X}_i) = X_i$, where $i = 1, \dots, k$. Given $\tilde{\alpha}$ as above, we define

$$\alpha(X_1, \dots, X_k) = \tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_k)$$

It suffices to check it's well-defined, that is it's independent of the choice of $\tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_k$. Indeed, suppose $\tilde{X}'_1, \dots, \tilde{X}'_k$ are another R_g -invariant vector fields with $\pi_*(\tilde{X}'_i) = X_i$, where $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_k) - \tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{X}'_1, \dots, \tilde{X}'_k) &= \tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{X}_1 - \tilde{X}'_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_k - \tilde{X}'_k) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $\tilde{X}_i - \tilde{X}'_i$ is horizontal for $i = 1, \dots, k$. The uniqueness follows from π is a submersion. \square

Proposition 7.2.1. For $f \in I^k(\mathfrak{g})$, one has

$$f(\Omega) := f(\underbrace{\Omega \wedge \dots \wedge \Omega}_{k \text{ times}})$$

is a $2k$ -form⁵ on P , and

- (1) $f(\Omega)$ is horizontal, G -invariant and closed.
- (2) there exists a unique $2k$ -form $f(\Theta)$ on M such that $\pi^*(f(\Theta)) = f(\Omega)$ and $df(\Theta) = 0$.
- (3) $[f(\Theta)] \in H^{2k}(M, \mathbb{R})$ is independent of the choice of connection ω .

Proof. For (1). $f(\Omega)$ is horizontal since Ω is, and it's G -invariant since

$$\begin{aligned} (R_g)^*(f(\Omega)) &= f((R_g)^*\Omega) \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} f(\text{Ad}(g^{-1})\Omega) \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} f(\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

where

- (a) holds from Ω is G -equivariant.
- (b) holds from f is G -invariant.

⁵Here we regard $\underbrace{\Omega \wedge \dots \wedge \Omega}_{k \text{ times}}$ as a $\otimes_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{g}$ valued $2k$ -form on P , so $f(\Omega)$ is well-defined.

To see it's closed, direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} df(\Omega) &= f(d\Omega \wedge \cdots \wedge \Omega) + \cdots + f(\Omega \wedge \cdots \wedge d\Omega) \\ &\stackrel{(c)}{=} f(-\omega \wedge \Omega \wedge \cdots \wedge \Omega) + \cdots + f(\Omega \wedge \cdots \wedge -\omega \wedge d\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

where (c) holds from Bianchi identity. Since $\ker \omega$ is horizontal distribution, it suffices to show $df(\Omega)$ is horizontal to conclude $df(\Omega) = 0$. Let X be a vertical vector field, by proof of Proposition 4.1.3 one has $\mathcal{L}_X f(\Omega) = 0$ since $f(\Omega)$ is horizontal. Then by Cartan formula one has

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathcal{L}_X f(\Omega) \\ &= d \circ \iota_X f(\Omega) + \iota_X \circ df(\Omega) \\ &= \iota_X df(\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (1).

For (2). The unique existence of $f(\Theta)$ follows from Lemma 7.2.1, and it's closed since

$$\pi^*(df(\Theta)) = d(\pi^*(f(\Theta))) = df(\Omega) = 0$$

For (3). Suppose ω' is another connection on P . Let $P \times \mathbb{R}$ be a principal G -bundle over $M \times \mathbb{R}$, and $\tilde{\omega} = (1-t)\omega + t\omega'$ is a connection on it with curvature $\tilde{\Omega}$. Then $f(\tilde{\Omega})$ gives a unique $2k$ -form $\tilde{\Theta}$ on $M \times \mathbb{R}$. If we use i_0, i_1 to denote maps from M to $M \times \{0\}$ and $M \times \{1\}$ respectively, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\Theta) &= i_0^* f(\tilde{\Theta}) \\ f(\Theta') &= i_1^* f(\tilde{\Theta}) \end{aligned}$$

Since i_0 is homotopic to i_1 , the homotopy invariance of de Rham cohomology implies $i_0^*, i_1^*: H^{2k}(M \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{2k}(M, \mathbb{R})$ coincide, and thus $[f(\Theta)] = [f(\Theta')]$. \square

Theorem 7.2.1 (Chern-Weil homomorphism). There is a ring homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} W(P, -): I(\mathfrak{g}) &\rightarrow H^*(M, \mathbb{R}) \\ f &\mapsto [f(\Theta)] \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $f \in I^k(\mathfrak{g}), g \in I^l(\mathfrak{g})$, it suffices to show

$$f g(\Theta) = f(\Theta) \wedge g(\Theta)$$

Note that π^* is injective, so it suffices to check

$$f g(\Omega) = f(\Omega) \wedge g(\Omega)$$

which is clear. \square

Remark 7.2.1.

7.3. Transgression. In this section we will show for a given principal G -bundle P and a connection ω on it with curvature Ω , $[f(\Omega)] = 0 \in H^{2k}(P, \mathbb{R})$, where $f \in I^k(\mathfrak{g}), k \geq 1$. To see this, let's introduce the functorial Chern-Weil homomorphism. Given the following homomorphism between principal G -bundles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P' & \longrightarrow & P \\ \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ M' & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & M \end{array}$$

where $P' = \varphi^* P$.

Proposition 7.3.1 (functorial). For all $f \in I(\mathfrak{g})$, we have

$$W(\varphi^* P, f) = \varphi^* W(P, f)$$

Proof. Given a connection $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ with curvature Ω , and use ω' to denote the pullback connection $\tilde{\varphi}^* \omega \in \mathcal{A}(P')$ with curvature Ω' . For any $f \in I(\mathfrak{g})$, it's clear

$$f(\Omega') = \tilde{\varphi}^* f(\Omega)$$

Then

$$(\pi')^*(f(\Omega')) = \tilde{\varphi}^* \pi^* f(\Omega) = (\pi')^* \varphi^* f(\Omega)$$

which implies $f(\Omega') = \varphi^* f(\Omega)$, since $(\pi')^*$ is injective. \square

Example 7.3.1. Let $P = M \times G$ be trivial principal G -bundle, consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times G & \longrightarrow & G \\ \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ M & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \{pt\} \end{array}$$

So for any $f \in I^k(\mathfrak{g}), k \geq 1$, we have

$$W(P, f) = \varphi^* W(G, f) = 0$$

since $W(G, f) \in H^{2k}(\{pt\}) = 0$ if $k \geq 1$.

Remark 7.3.1. This example shows if P is a trivial principal G -bundle, then the Chern-Weil homomorphism $W(P, -)$ is trivial.

Now let's consider the following case

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^* P & \longrightarrow & P \\ \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ P & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & M \end{array}$$

where $\varphi = \pi$. In fact we can write $f^* P$ down as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^* P &= \{(x', x) \in P \times P \mid \varphi(x') = \pi(x)\} \\ &= \{(x', x) \in P \times P \mid \pi(x') = \pi(x)\} \end{aligned}$$

It's clear it has global section, given by

$$\begin{aligned}s: P &\rightarrow \varphi^* P \\ x &\mapsto (x, x)\end{aligned}$$

so $\varphi^* P$ is trivial principal bundle. Thus for any $f \in I^k(\mathfrak{g}), k \geq 1$, we have

$$W(\varphi^* P, f) = 0 \in H^{2k}(P)$$

However, functorial implies

$$\begin{aligned}W(\varphi^* P, f) &= \varphi^* W(P, f) \\ &= \varphi^* [f(\Theta)] \\ &= \pi^* [f(\Theta)] \\ &= [f(\Omega)]\end{aligned}$$

This shows $[f(\Omega)] = 0$ in $H^{2k}(P, \mathbb{R})$.

8. CHARACTERISTIC CLASS

8.1. Chern class.

8.1.1. Chern-Weil viewpoint.

Proposition 8.1.1. Let $G = U(n)$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}(n)$. For any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, consider

$$\det(I - \frac{t}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}X) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k(X)t^k$$

Then

- (1) For each $1 \leq k \leq n$, $c_k \in I(\mathfrak{g})$.
- (2) $I(\mathfrak{g})$ is generated by c_1, \dots, c_n .

Proof. For (1). For arbitrary $g \in G$, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \det(I - \frac{t}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \text{Ad}(g)X) &= \det(I - \frac{t}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} gXg^{-1}) \\ &= \det(I - \frac{t}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} X) \end{aligned}$$

which implies $c_k \in I(\mathfrak{g})$.

For (2). Note that any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is diagonalizable, so without lose of generality we may assume $X = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$. Then $I(\mathfrak{g})$ consists of symmetric polynomial of $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. Then the proof follows since any symmetric function can be expressed in terms of elementary symmetric functions and

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n \\ &\vdots \\ c_n &= (\frac{1}{2\pi})^n \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n \end{aligned}$$

□

Let E be a complex vector bundle of rank n over M equipped with a Hermitian metric. If we consider its frame bundle we obtain a $U(n)$ -principal bundle $\pi: P \rightarrow M$, then arbitrary connection ω on P with curvature Ω gives a unique $2k$ -form $c_k(\Theta)$ on M such that $\pi^*(c_k(\Theta)) = c_k(\Omega)$ by Chern-Weil theory.

Definition 8.1.1 (Chern class). The k -th Chern class of E is defined as

$$c_k := [c_k(\Theta)] \in H^{2k}(M, \mathbb{C})$$

Definition 8.1.2 (Total Chern class). The total Chern class of E is defined as $c(E) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i(E)$.

Definition 8.1.3 (Chern polynomial). The Chern polynomial is defined as

$$c(t) = \det(I - \frac{t}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\Theta) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k t^k$$

Proposition 8.1.2.

$$c_k \in H^{2k}(M, \mathbb{R})$$

Proof. Note that $u(n)$ consists of skew-symmetric matrices, so for arbitrary $X \in u(n)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \det\left(I - \frac{t}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}X\right) &= \det\left(I + \frac{t}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\overline{X}^t\right) \\ &= \overline{\det\left(I - \frac{t}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}X\right)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \overline{c_k} t^k \end{aligned}$$

which implies $c_k = \overline{c_k}$. □

Proposition 8.1.3. Let \overline{E} be the complex vector bundle obtained from E by taking conjugate. Then $c_k(\overline{E}) = (-1)^k c_k(E)$.

Proposition 8.1.4 (Whitney sum formula). Let E, F be two complex vector bundles. Then

$$c(E \oplus F) = c(E)c(F)$$

Proof. If ∇^E, ∇^F are connections on E, F respectively with curvature Θ_E and Θ_F , then $\nabla^E \oplus \nabla^F$ gives a connection on $E \oplus F$ with curvature $\begin{pmatrix} \Theta_E & 0 \\ 0 & \Theta_F \end{pmatrix}$. This shows

$$\begin{aligned} c(E \oplus F) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} I - \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\Theta_E & 0 \\ 0 & I - \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\Theta_F \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det\left(I - \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\Theta_E\right) \det\left(I - \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\Theta_F\right) \\ &= c(E)c(F) \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 8.1.1. Let E be a complex vector bundle and F be a trivial complex bundle. Then

$$c(E \oplus F) = c(E)$$

8.1.2. *Axiom viewpoint.* In this section we introduce the axiomatic definition of Chern classes which is given by Hirzebruch and Husemoller. To be explicit, the Chern class of complex vector bundle is given by the following four axioms.

- (1) For each complex vector bundle E over a smooth manifold M and for each integer $i \geq 0$, the i -th Chern class $c_i(E) \in H^{2i}(M, \mathbb{R})$ is given and $c_0(E) = 1$.
- (2) Let E be a complex vector bundle over M and $f: M \rightarrow M'$ a smooth map. Then

$$c(f^*E) = f^*(c(E)) \in H^*(M', \mathbb{R})$$

- (3) Let E, F are two complex vector bundles. Then

$$c(E \oplus F) = c(E)c(F)$$

(4) $-c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-1))$ is the generator⁶ of $H^2(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathbb{Z})$, where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-1)$ is the tautological line bundle on \mathbb{CP}^1 .

Theorem 8.1.1. Let E be a complex vector bundle. The Chern classes of E defined by axioms is the same as the one defined by Chern-Weil theory.

Proof. Here it suffices to show Chern classes of E defined by Chern-Weil theory satisfy the axiom (4), which is a normalization condition. Let $P = \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ be the natural projection. There is a principal $U(1)$ -bundle structure on P , given by $U(1)$ acting on P as

$$\begin{aligned} P \times U(1) &\rightarrow P \\ ((z^0, z^1), \lambda) &\mapsto (z^0 \lambda, z^1 \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

By a direct computation of transition functions, one can see $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-1)$ can be realized as its associated vector bundle via trivial representation. Now we define a 1-form ω on P as follows

$$\omega = \frac{(\bar{z}, dz)}{(\bar{z}, z)}$$

where $(\bar{z}, dz) = \bar{z}^0 dz^0 + \bar{z}^1 dz^1$ and $(\bar{z}, z) = \bar{z}^0 z^0 + \bar{z}^1 z^1$. A straightforward verification yields ω is a connection 1-form on P with curvature form

$$\Omega = d\omega = \frac{(\bar{z}, z)(d\bar{z}, dz) - (z, d\bar{z}) \wedge (\bar{z}, dz)}{(\bar{z}, z)^2}$$

where

$$(d\bar{z}, dz) = d\bar{z}^0 \wedge dz^0 + d\bar{z}^1 \wedge dz^1$$

Let U be the open subset of \mathbb{CP}^1 defined by $z^0 \neq 0$. Since $\mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus U$ is just a point, so it suffices to compute $-\int_U c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-1))$. If we set $w = z^1/z^0$, then w gives a local coordinate of U . Substituting $z^1 = z^0 w$ in the formula of Ω , one has

$$\Theta = \frac{d\bar{w} \wedge dw}{(1 + w\bar{w})^2}$$

Thus

$$-\int_U c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-1)) = -\int_U \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \frac{d\bar{w} \wedge dw}{(1 + w\bar{w})^2} = 1$$

where the last equality can be derived from setting $w = re^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\theta}$. \square

8.1.3. Chern character. Let $G = U(n)$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}(n)$ and E be a complex vector bundle over smooth manifold M with frame bundle P . Consider the following power series which is Ad-invariant.

$$\text{tr} \left(\exp \left(\frac{-1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} t \right) \right)$$

⁶In other words, $c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-1))$ evaluated on the fundamental 2-cycle \mathbb{CP}^1 equal to -1 , which is equivalent to say $\int_{\mathbb{CP}^1} c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-1)) = -1$.

Suppose ω is a connection 1-form on P with curvature Ω . Then by Chern-Weil theory

$$\mathrm{tr} \left(\exp \left(\frac{-1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \Omega \right) \right)$$

descends to an element in $H^*(M, \mathbb{R})$, which is denoted by $\mathrm{ch}(E)$ and called Chern character of E .

Proposition 8.1.5. If $c(E) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + x_i)$, then $\mathrm{ch}(E) = \sum_{i=1}^n \exp x_i$.

Proof. For arbitrary $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, without lose of generality we can assume $X = \mathrm{diag}\{\sqrt{-1}\lambda_1, \dots, \sqrt{-1}\lambda_n\}$. Then

$$\mathrm{tr} \left(\exp \left(\frac{-1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} X \right) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda_i}{2\pi} \right)$$

On the other hand, one has

$$\det \left(I - \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} X \right) = \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_1}{2\pi} \right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_n}{2\pi} \right)$$

□

Remark 8.1.1 (splitting principle). It is interesting to note that $c(E) = \prod_i c(L_i)$, where L_i is a line bundle with curvature given by $-2\pi\sqrt{-1}x_i$. Thus, as far as the Chern classes are concerned, the vector bundle E behaves as a direct sum of the line bundles $L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_n$. This phenomenon is called the splitting principle. Using this notation, the Todd classes are defined by

$$\mathrm{Td}(E) = \prod_i \frac{x_i}{1 - e^{-x_i}}$$

The L -genus are defined by

$$L(E) = \prod_i \frac{x_i}{\tanh x_i}$$

and the \hat{A} -genus are defined by

$$\hat{A}(E) = \prod_i \frac{x_i/2}{\sinh(x_i/2)}$$

Proposition 8.1.6. Suppose E_1 and E_2 are two complex vector bundles. Then

$$\mathrm{ch}(E_1 \oplus E_2) = \mathrm{ch}(E_1) + \mathrm{ch}(E_2)$$

$$\mathrm{ch}(E_1 \otimes E_2) = \mathrm{ch}(E_1) \wedge \mathrm{ch}(E_2)$$

8.1.4. *Chern classes of complex projective space.*

Definition 8.1.4 (Chern class of complex manifold). Let X be a complex manifold. Then its k -th Chern classes $c_k(X)$ are defined to be Chern classes of its holomorphic tangent bundle.

Theorem 8.1.2. The total Chern class of \mathbb{CP}^n is equal to $(1 + \omega)^{n+1}$, where ω is a suitably chosen generator for $H^2(\mathbb{CP}^n, \mathbb{Z})$.

8.2. Pontryagin class. Let E be a real vector bundle of rank n over a smooth manifold M . Then its frame bundle P is a principal $O(n)$ -bundle. For any $X \in \mathfrak{o}(n)$, consider

$$\det(I - \frac{t}{2\pi}X) = \sum_{k=0}^n q_k(X)t^k$$

By the same argument one can show $q_k \in I(\mathfrak{g})$, so if we pick arbitrary connection ω of P with curvature Ω , then it gives rise to a closed $2k$ -form $q_k(\Theta)$ on M for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Since $X + X^t = 0$, one has

$$\det(I + \frac{t}{2\pi}X) = \det(I - \frac{-t}{2\pi}X)$$

which implies

$$q_k(X) = q_k(-X) = (-1)^k q_k(X)$$

Thus we can conclude $q_k = 0$ when k is odd.

Definition 8.2.1 (Pontryagin class). The k -th Pontryagin class of E is defined as $[p_k(\Theta)] := [q_{2k}(\Theta)] \in H^{4k}(M, \mathbb{R})$, where $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$.

Definition 8.2.2 (Pontryagin class of manifold). Let M be a smooth manifold. Then its k -th Pontryagin classes $p_k(M)$ are defined to be Pontryagin classes of its tangent bundle.

Proposition 8.2.1 (Whitney sum formula). Let E, F be two vector bundles. Then

$$p(E \oplus F) = p(E)p(F)$$

Corollary 8.2.1. Let E be a vector bundle and F be a trivial bundle. Then

$$p(E \oplus F) = p(E)$$

Corollary 8.2.2. $p(S^n) = 1$.

Proof. Note that $S^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. If NS^n denotes the normal bundle of S^n , then $TS^n \oplus NS^n$ is trivial bundle, which implies $p(S^n) = p(TS^n) = 1$. \square

Proposition 8.2.2. Let E be a vector bundle with its complexification $E_{\mathbb{C}} = E \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$p_k(E) = (-1)^k c_{2k}(E_{\mathbb{C}})$$

Proof. See Theorem 4.1 in Chapter 12 of [KN96]. \square

Corollary 8.2.3. Let E be a complex vector bundle and $E_{\mathbb{R}}$ be its underlying real bundle. Then

$$1 - p_1(E_{\mathbb{R}}) + p_2(E_{\mathbb{R}}) - \cdots \pm p_n(E_{\mathbb{R}}) = (1 - c_1(E) + c_2(E) - \cdots \pm c_n(E))(1 + c_1(E) + c_2(E) + \cdots + c_n(E))$$

In particular, if X is a complex manifold, then $p_1(X) = c_1(X)^2 - 2c_2(X)$.

Example 8.2.1. Since by Theorem 8.1.2 the total Chern class $c(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ equals to $(1 + \omega)^{n+1}$, where ω is a suitably chosen generator of $H^2(\mathbb{CP}^n, \mathbb{Z})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - p_1(\mathbb{CP}^n) + c_2(\mathbb{CP}^n) + \cdots \pm p_n(\mathbb{CP}^n)) &= (1 - \omega)^{n+1}(1 + \omega)^{n+1} \\ &= (1 - \omega^2)^{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the total Pontrjagin class $p(\mathbb{CP}^n) = (1 + \omega^2)^{n+1}$. In other words, one has

$$p_k(\mathbb{CP}^n) = \binom{n+1}{k} \omega^{2k}$$

Corollary 8.2.4. \mathbb{RP}^2 can not be embedded into \mathbb{R}^3 .

8.3. Euler class. Let $n = 2m$ and consider Lie group $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(n)$. A basic fact is that $\mathfrak{so}(n) = \mathfrak{o}(n)$ since $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ is the identity component of $\mathrm{O}(n)$, and thus $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ is the set of all skew-symmetric matrices. For $A = (a_{i,j}) \in \mathfrak{so}(n)$, the Pfaffian of A is defined as

$$\mathrm{Pf}(A) := \frac{1}{2^m m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \mathrm{sign}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^m a_{\sigma(2i-1), \sigma(2i)}$$

A direct computation shows $\mathrm{Pf} \in I^m(\mathfrak{so}(n))$, and thus it gives a characteristic class by Chern-Weil theory.

Definition 8.3.1 (Euler class). Let E be an oriented vector bundle of rank $2m$. Then $[\frac{1}{(2\pi)^m} \mathrm{Pf}(\Theta)] \in H^{2m}(M, \mathbb{R})$ is called the Euler class of E , which is denoted by $e(E)$.

Proposition 8.3.1. Let A, B be two skew-symmetric matrices. Then

$$\mathrm{Pf}(A \oplus B) = \mathrm{Pf}(A) \mathrm{Pf}(B)$$

Corollary 8.3.1 (Whitney sum formula). Let E, F be two oriented vector bundles. Then

$$e(E \oplus F) = e(E) e(F)$$

Proposition 8.3.2. Let E be an oriented vector bundle of rank $2m$. Then

$$c_{2m}(E \otimes \mathbb{C}) = e((E \otimes \mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{R}})$$

As a consequence, if E is a complex vector bundle of rank n , then $c_n(E) = e(E_{\mathbb{R}})$.

Corollary 8.3.2. Let E be an oriented vector bundle of rank $2m$. Then $p_m(E)$ equals to the square of the Euler class $e(E)$.

Theorem 8.3.1 (Gauss-Bonnet-Chern). Let M be an oriented $2m$ -dimensional manifold. Then

$$\int_M e(TM) = \chi(M)$$

Corollary 8.3.3 (Gauss-Bonnet-Chern). Let X be a complex n -dimensional manifold. Then

$$\int_M c_n(X) = \chi(X)$$

9. THE CLASSIFYING SPACE

In last section, we have defined characteristic classes in a geometrical viewpoint. However, they're topological invariants. In this section, we work on category of topological spaces (In particular, CW-complexes) instead of smooth manifolds, and give another explanation about characteristic class.

9.1. The universal G -bundle.

Definition 9.1.1 (contractible). A topological space is called contractible, if it's homotopic equivalent to a point.

Definition 9.1.2 (weakly homotopy). Let X, Y be topological spaces. X is called weakly homotopy equivalent to Y , if there exists a continuous map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that f induces isomorphisms between homotopy groups of X and Y .

Definition 9.1.3 (weakly contractible). A topological space X is called weakly contractible, if it's weakly homotopy to a point.

Example 9.1.1. A contractible space is weakly contractible.

Proposition 9.1.1. For any topological space, there exists a CW-complex which is weakly homotopic to it.

Theorem 9.1.1 (Whitehead). The weakly homotopy equivalence between CW-complexes is the same as homotopy equivalence.

Corollary 9.1.1. A CW-complex is weakly contractible if and only if it's contractible.

Definition 9.1.4 (classifying space). Let $EG \rightarrow BG$ be a principal G -bundle, where EG, BG are topological spaces. If EG is weakly contractible, then

- (1) BG is called a classifying space for G .
- (2) EG is called a universal G -bundle.

Proposition 9.1.2. If the classifying space for G exists, then there exists a classifying space $EG \rightarrow BG$ for G such that BG is CW-complex.

Proof. Suppose $P \rightarrow B$ is a classifying space for G , where P, B are topological spaces. By Proposition 9.1.1, there exists a CW-complex BG and a weakly homotopy $f: BG \rightarrow B$. By exact homotopy sequence of fibration, one has

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 \cdots \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}(B) & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(G) & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(P) & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(B) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{n-1}(G) \rightarrow \cdots \\
 \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
 \cdots \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}(EG) & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(G) & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(f^*P) & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(EB) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{n-1}(G) \rightarrow \cdots
 \end{array}$$

Then $f^*P \rightarrow BG$ is a classifying space for G by 5-lemma. □

Theorem 9.1.2. Let $EG \rightarrow BG$ be a universal G -bundle. For all CW-complexes X , the following map is bijective:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi: [X, BG] &\rightarrow \mathcal{P}_G X \\ f &\mapsto f^*P \end{aligned}$$

where $[X, BG]$ denotes the set of all continuous maps up to homotopy.

Proof. See [Mit01]. □

Remark 9.1.1. This theorem implies why BG is called classifying space, since it can be used to classify principal G -bundles over a given CW-complex.

Theorem 9.1.3. For any topological group G , classifying space for G exists, and it's unique up to G -homotopy equivalence.

Proof. See [Mil56]. □

Proposition 9.1.3. For a discrete group G , $PK(G, 1) \rightarrow K(G, 1)$ is the universal G -bundle, and hence $K(G, 1)$ is a classifying space for G .

Proof. It's clear path space $PK(G, 1)$ is contractible. □

Remark 9.1.2. In [Liu22] we have already computed $K(G, 1)$ for groups, for example, $K(\mathbb{Z}, 1) = \mathbb{S}^1$, $K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 1) = \mathbb{RP}^\infty$ and so on.

Proposition 9.1.4. $V_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty) \rightarrow Gr_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ is a universal $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -bundle, and hence $Gr_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ is a classifying space for $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. It suffices to show $V_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ is contractible. Since we have already computed low dimensional homotopy groups of $V_n(\mathbb{R}^N)$ in [Liu22], and then telescope construction completes the proof. □

Corollary 9.1.2. For all CW-complexes X , $[X, Gr_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty)] \rightarrow \text{Vect}_n^{\mathbb{R}} X$.

Proof. See Remark 2.3.2. □

Remark 9.1.3. The analogous result also holds with \mathbb{R} replaced by \mathbb{C} .

9.2. Homotopical properties of classifying spaces. In this section we collect some Homotopical properties of classifying spaces.

Theorem 9.2.1. For any topological group G , G is weakly equivalent to the loop space $\Omega(BG)$.

Corollary 9.2.1. For $n \geq 1$, $\pi_n(BG) = \pi_{n-1}(G)$.

Theorem 9.2.2. Let G be a topological space and H a subgroup. Then the homotopy fiber of $BH \rightarrow BG$ is G/H , up to weakly equivalent.

Theorem 9.2.3. Let G be a topological space and H a subgroup. Then there is a fibration $BH \rightarrow BG \rightarrow B(G/H)$.

Example 9.2.1. The exact sequences $1 \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(n) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow 1$ and $1 \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(n) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(n) \rightarrow S^1 \rightarrow 1$ give rise to fibration

$$B\mathrm{SO}(n) \rightarrow B\mathrm{O}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^\infty$$

and

$$B\mathrm{SU}(n) \rightarrow B\mathrm{U}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^\infty$$

9.3. Another viewpoint to characteristic class.

Proposition 9.3.1. The cohomology ring of $B\mathrm{U}(n)$ with integer coefficients is $\mathbb{Z}[c_1, \dots, c_n]$.

Proof. If we consider $\mathrm{U}(n-1)$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(n)$, then we have the following filtration

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^{2n-1} \cong \mathrm{U}(n)/\mathrm{U}(n-1) & \longrightarrow & B\mathrm{U}(n-1) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & B\mathrm{U}(n) \end{array}$$

Apply Leray spectral sequence this fibration and use the fact that the cohomology ring of $B\mathrm{U}(1) = \mathbb{CP}^\infty$ is $\mathbb{Z}[c_1]$ to conclude. \square

Definition 9.3.1 (universal Chern class). The generators c_1, \dots, c_n of $H^*(B\mathrm{U}(n), \mathbb{Z})$ are called the universal Chern classes of $\mathrm{U}(n)$ -bundles.

Definition 9.3.2 (Chern class). The k -th Chern class of the $\mathrm{U}(n)$ -bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ with classifying map $f_\pi: M \rightarrow B\mathrm{U}(n)$ is defined as

$$c_k(E) := f_\pi^*(c_k) \in H^{2k}(M, \mathbb{Z})$$

Proposition 9.3.2. The cohomology ring of $B\mathrm{O}(n)$ with \mathbb{Z}_2 coefficients is $\mathbb{Z}_2[w_1, \dots, w_n]$.

Proof. The same as above, just note that cohomology ring of \mathbb{RP}^∞ with \mathbb{Z}_2 coefficient is $\mathbb{Z}_2[w_1]$. \square

Definition 9.3.3 (universal Steifel-Whitney class). The generators w_1, \dots, w_n of $H^*(B\mathrm{O}(n), \mathbb{Z}_2)$ are called the universal Steifel-Whitney classes of $\mathrm{O}(n)$ -bundles.

Definition 9.3.4 (Steifel-Whitney class). The k -th Steifel-Whitney class of the $\mathrm{O}(n)$ -bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ with classifying map $f_\pi: M \rightarrow B\mathrm{O}(n)$ is defined as

$$w_k(E) := f_\pi^*(w_k) \in H^{2k}(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

REFERENCES

- [KN96] Shoshichi Kobayashi and Katsumi Nomizu. *Foundations of Differential Geometry, Volume 2*, volume 2. University of Texas Press, 1996.
- [Liu22] Bowen Liu. Spectral sequences and its application. https://bowenl-math.github.io/notes/2022Fall/Spectral_sequence.pdf, 2022.
- [Mil56] John W. Milnor. Construction of universal bundles, ii. *Annals of Mathematics*, 63:272, 1956.
- [Mit01] Stephen A. Mitchell. Notes on principal bundles and classifying spaces. 2001.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100084, P.R. CHINA,
Email address: liubw22@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn