

CHERN INEQUALITIES IN HIGHER DIMENSION

BOWEN LIU

ABSTRACT. It's a lecture note for studying the paper [\[Miy87\]](#).

CONTENTS

0. Conventions	2
1. Preliminaries	3
1.1. Torsion-freeness and reflexivity	3
1.2. Chow ring	3
1.3. Chern classes	5
1.4. Cones of divisors and curves	6
1.5. Asymptotic Riemann-Roch	7
2. Techniques	8
2.1. Semistable sheaves	8
2.2. A numerical criterion for semistability on curves	10
2.3. Mumford-Mehta-Ramanathan's theorem	13
2.4. The Bogomolov-Gieseker inequality for semistable sheaves	13
2.5. Semistability in positive and mixed characteristic	15
2.6. Generic semipositive theorem for cotangent bundle	16
3. Results	17
3.1. Semipositivity of $3c_2 - c_1^2$	17
3.2. Non-negativity of the Kodaira dimension of minimal threefolds	17
References	18

0. CONVENTIONS

- (1) An (algebraic) variety over a field k is an integral separated scheme of finite type over k .
- (2) A subvariety of a variety is a closed subscheme which is a variety.
- (3) A curve, surface or a threefold means a variety of dimension 1, 2 or 3.
- (4) A point on a scheme will always be a closed point.

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, unless otherwise specified, X always denote a variety of dimension n over an algebraically closed field k .

1.1. Torsion-freeness and reflexivity.

1.1.1. Torsion-freeness.

Definition 1.1.1. An \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{F} is said to be **locally free sheaf** if there is an open covering $\{U_i\}$ of X such that $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_i}^{\oplus r}$ holds for every U_i .

Definition 1.1.2. An \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{F} is said to be **coherent sheaf** if

- (1) \mathcal{F} is of finite type.
- (2) For every open subset $U \subseteq X$ and every morphism $\alpha: \mathcal{O}_U^r \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_U$, the kernel of α is of finite type.

Definition 1.1.3. A coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X is **torsion-free** if a stalk \mathcal{F}_x is a torsion-free $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ -module for every $x \in X$.

Definition 1.1.4. A coherent subsheaf \mathcal{F} of a torsion-free sheaf \mathcal{E} is said to be **saturated** if the quotient \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{F} is again torsion-free.

Proposition 1.1.1. Let X, Y be two varieties and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a dominant morphism. Then for any torsion-free \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{F} , the direct image $f_*\mathcal{F}$ is a torsion-free \mathcal{O}_Y -module.

Proof. See Proposition 8.4.5 in [GD71]. □

Proposition 1.1.2. Let X be a normal variety. Then every torsion-free sheaf is locally free outside a set of codimension two.

Proof. See Proposition 5.1.7 in [Ish14]. □

Corollary 1.1.1. Every torsion-free sheaf on a smooth curve is locally free.

1.1.2. Reflexivity.

Definition 1.1.5. A coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{F} is said to be **reflexive** if the canonical homomorphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{**}$ is an isomorphism.

Proposition 1.1.3. Every locally free sheaf is reflexive, and every reflexive sheaf is torsion-free.

Proof. It follows from the definitions. □

Proposition 1.1.4. The dual sheaf of any coherent sheaf is reflexive.

Proof. See Proposition 5.5.18 in [Kob87]. □

Theorem 1.1.1. Let S be a smooth surface and \mathcal{E} be a torsion-free on S . Then \mathcal{E}^{**} is a locally free sheaf.

1.2. Chow ring.

1.2.1. Cycles.

Definition 1.2.1. A k -cycle on X is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of irreducible subvarieties of dimension k .

Notation 1.2.1. The group of all k -cycles on X is denoted by $Z_k(X)$.

Definition 1.2.2. A **Weil divisor** on X is an $(n-1)$ -cycle.

Definition 1.2.3. A **Cartier divisor** on X is a global section of quotient sheaf $\mathcal{M}_X^*/\mathcal{O}_X^*$.

Definition 1.2.4. A k -cycle α on X is defined to be **rationally equivalent to zero** if there are finitely many $(k+1)$ -dimensional irreducible subvarieties $W_i \subseteq X$ and non-zero rational functions. $f_i \in \mathbb{C}(W_i)$ such that

$$\alpha = \sum_i [\text{div}_{W_i}(f_i)],$$

where $\text{div}_{W_i}(f_i)$ is the divisor of the rational functions¹ f_i on W_i .

Definition 1.2.5. The group of k -cycles modulo rational equivalences is defined to be $A_k(X)$, which is said to be the k -th **Chow group**.

Example 1.2.1. $A_{n-1}(X)$ is the group of Weil divisors modulo linear equivalence.

Notation 1.2.2. The group of Cartier divisors modulo linear equivalence is denoted by $\text{Pic}(X)$.

Remark 1.2.1. There is a group homomorphism from $\text{Pic}(X)$ to $A_{n-1}(X)$. In general it's neither injective nor surjective, but it's injective when X is normal and an isomorphism when X is smooth.

Definition 1.2.6. The group of **cycles of codimension k modulo rational equivalence** is defined to be $A^k(X) := A_{n-k}(X)$.

1.2.2. The intersection pairing.

Theorem 1.2.1. Let X be a smooth variety. There is a unique intersection product $A^r(X) \times A^s(X) \rightarrow A^{r+s}(X)$ for each r, s satisfying the axioms listed below

- (1) The intersection pairing makes $A^*(X)$ into a commutative associated graded ring with identity. It's called the **Chow ring** of X .
- (2) For any morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$, $f^*: A^*(Y) \rightarrow A^*(X)$ is a ring homomorphism. If $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ is another morphism, then $f^* \circ g^* = (g \circ f)^*$.
- (3) If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a proper morphism, $f_*: A^*(X) \rightarrow A^*(Y)$ is a homomorphism of graded groups. If $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ is another proper morphism, then $g_* \circ f_* = (g \circ f)_*$.

¹Note that the subvariety W_i may fail to be normal, so this requires a more general definition of $\text{div}_{W_i}(f_i)$ than the usual one.

- (4) If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a proper morphism, $x \in A^*(X)$ and $y \in A^*(Y)$, then

$$f_*(x \cdot f^*y) = f_*(x), y.$$

This is said to be the **projection formula**.

- (5) If Y, Z are cycles on X , and if $\Delta: X \rightarrow X \times X$ is the diagonal morphism, then

$$Y \cdot Z = \Delta^*(Y \times Z).$$

- (6) If Y and Z are subvarieties of X which intersect properly (meaning that every irreducible component of $Y \cap Z$ has codimension equal to $\text{codim } Y + \text{codim } Z$), then

$$Y \cdot Z = \sum i(Y, Z; W_j) W_j,$$

where the sum runs over the irreducible components W_j of $Y \cap Z$, and where the integer $i(Y, Z; W_j)$ depends only on a neighborhood of the generic point of W_j on X , which is said to be the **local intersection multiplicity** of Y and Z along W_j .

- (7) If Y is a subvariety of X , and Z is an effective Cartier divisor meeting Y properly, then $Y \cdot Z$ is just the cycle associated to the Cartier divisor $Y \cap Z$ on Y , which is defined by restricting the local equation of Z to Y .

Proof. See appendix A.1 in [Har77]. \square

Remark 1.2.2. If X is not smooth, the intersection pairing also makes sense in some subtle setting. For example, for any variety (or scheme), there is always an intersection pairing

$$\text{Pic}(X) \times A^k(X) \rightarrow A^{k+1}(X).$$

1.3. Chern classes.

1.3.1. Chern classes of locally free sheaf.

Definition 1.3.1. A locally free sheaf \mathcal{E} of rank r on X has **Chern classes** $c_i(\mathcal{E}) \in A^i(X)$ for all $0 \leq i \leq r$, which is defined by

$$\sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \pi^* c_i(\mathcal{E}) \xi^{r-i} = 0$$

in $A^r(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}))$, where $\xi \in A^1(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}))$ be the class of the divisor corresponding to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ and $\pi: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$ be the projection.

Definition 1.3.2. Let \mathcal{E} be a locally free sheaf of rank r on X . The **total Chern class** is

$$c(\mathcal{E}) = c_0(\mathcal{E}) + \cdots + c_r(\mathcal{E}) \in A^*(X).$$

Proposition 1.3.1.

- (1) $c_0(\mathcal{E}) = 1$ for any \mathcal{E} and $c_1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1$ for any X .
- (2) If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism and \mathcal{E} is locally free on Y , then $c_i(f^*\mathcal{E}) = f^*(c_i(\mathcal{E}))$.

- (3) If $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence, then $c(\mathcal{F}) = c(\mathcal{E})c(\mathcal{G})$.
- (4) $c_i(\mathcal{E}^\vee) = (-1)^i c_i(\mathcal{E})$, where \mathcal{E}^\vee is the dual of \mathcal{E} .
- (5) $c_1(\bigwedge^r \mathcal{E}) = c_1(\mathcal{E})$ when \mathcal{E} has rank r .
- (6) If D is a Cartier divisor on X , then

$$c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = D.$$

Proof. See appendix A.3 in [Har77]. □

1.3.2. Chern classes of coherent sheaf. Let $F(X)$ be the free abelian group generated by the set of coherent sheaves (up to isomorphisms, otherwise it's not a set) on X , that is, an element of $F(X)$ is a formal linear combination $\sum_i n_i \mathcal{F}_i$, where $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and \mathcal{F}_i is coherent. Let

$$(E) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of sheaves, and we associate the element $Q(E) = \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}' - \mathcal{F}''$ of $F(X)$ to this exact sequence.

Definition 1.3.3. The **group of classes of sheaves** $K(X)$ on X is defined to be the quotient of $F(X)$ by the subgroup generated by the $Q(E)$, where E runs over all short exact sequences.

Definition 1.3.4. Let $F_1(X)$ be the free group generated by the set of locally free sheaves (up to isomorphisms), and $K_1(X)$ be the quotient of $F_1(X)$ by the subgroup generated by the $Q(E)$, where E runs over all short exact sequences of locally free sheaves.

Theorem 1.3.1 ([BS58]). Let X be a smooth quasi-projective variety. Then the homomorphism $\epsilon: K_1(X) \rightarrow K(X)$ is a bijection.

Corollary 1.3.1. The definition of Chern classes can be extended to arbitrary coherent sheaves.

1.4. Cones of divisors and curves.

1.4.1. The cones of divisors.

Definition 1.4.1. For two Cartier divisors D_1, D_2 on X , D_1 is **numerically equivalent** to D_2 if $D_1 \cdot C = D_2 \cdot C$ for all irreducible curves C .

Definition 1.4.2. The **Néron-Severi group** $N^1(X)$ is the quotient group of Cartier divisors by numerical equivalence, and

$$N^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = N_1(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}, \quad N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = N^1(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem 1.4.1. The Néron-Severi group $N^1(X)$ is a free abelian group of finite rank, and the rank of $N^1(X)$ is said to be the **Picard number**.

Definition 1.4.3. For two 1-cycles C, C' on X , C is **numerically equivalent** to C' if they have the same intersection number with every Cartier divisor.

Notation 1.4.1. The quotient group of $Z_1(X)$ by numerical equivalence is denoted by $N_1(X)$, and

$$N_1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = N_1(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}, \quad N_1(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = N_1(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}.$$

Remark 1.4.1. The intersection pairing

$$N^1(X) \times N_1(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

is by definition non-degenerate.

Definition 1.4.4. The **cone of effective curves** $\text{NE}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq N_1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the cone spanned by non-negative linear combinations of curves, and $\overline{\text{NE}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the **cone of pseudo-effective curves**, where $N_1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is endowed with its usual topology as a \mathbb{R} -vector space.

1.4.2. *Nef cones and ample cones.*

Definition 1.4.5. A Cartier divisor on X is **nef (numerically effective)** if it has non-negative intersection with every irreducible curve on X .

Definition 1.4.6. The ample classes in $N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ forms an open cone $\text{NA}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, which is said to be **ample cone**.

Definition 1.4.7. The nef classes in $N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ forms a closed cone $\text{Nef}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, which is said to be **nef cone**.

Theorem 1.4.2. Let X be a projective variety.

- (1) The closure of the ample cone is the nef cone;
- (2) The interior of nef cone is the ample cone.

Proof. See Theorem 1.4.23 in [Laz04]. □

Theorem 1.4.3. Let X be a projective variety.

- (1) The pseudo-effective cone is the closed cone dual to the nef cone, that is,

$$\overline{\text{NE}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{\gamma \in N_1(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid D \cdot \gamma \geq 0, \quad \forall D \in \overline{\text{NA}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}\}.$$

- (2)

$$\text{NA}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{\gamma \in N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid D \cdot \gamma > 0, \quad \forall D \in \overline{\text{NE}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} - \{0\}\}.$$

Proof. See Theorem 1.4.28 and Theorem 1.4.29 in [Laz04]. □

1.5. Asymptotic Riemann-Roch.

Theorem 1.5.1. Let X be a projective variety of dimension n and D be a Cartier divisor on X . Then

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{O}(mD)) = \frac{D^n}{n!} m^n + O(m^{n-1}).$$

More generally, for any coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X ,

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(mD)) = \text{rank } \mathcal{F} \cdot \frac{D^n}{n!} m^n + O(m^{n-1}).$$

Proof. See Theorem 1.1.24 in [Laz04]. □

2. TECHNIQUES

2.1. Semistable sheaves. Let X be a normal projective variety of dimension n over an algebraically closed field k of arbitrary characteristic.

Definition 2.1.1. The **average first Chern class** of a torsion-free sheaf \mathcal{E} is

$$\delta(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{c_1(\mathcal{E})}{\text{rank } \mathcal{E}} \in A^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Definition 2.1.2. For a given $(n-1)$ -tuple $\mathfrak{A} = (H_1, \dots, H_{n-1}) \in \overline{\text{NA}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n-1}$, the **average degree** with respect to \mathfrak{A} is the rational number $\delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{E}) = \delta(\mathcal{E})H_1 \dots H_{n-1}$.

Definition 2.1.3. A torsion-free sheaf \mathcal{E} is said to be **semistable** if

$$\delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{E})$$

for every non-zero subsheaf \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{E} .

Notation 2.1. If $\mathfrak{A} = ([H], \dots, [H])$, we use the terminology H -semistable instead of \mathfrak{A} -semistable.

Theorem 2.1.1 ([HN75]). Let \mathcal{E} be a torsion-free sheaf on X and $\mathfrak{A} \in \overline{\text{NA}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n-1}$. Then there exists a unique filtration $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{A}}$

$$0 = \mathcal{E}_0 \subsetneq \mathcal{E}_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathcal{E}_s = \mathcal{E}$$

that has the following properties

- (1) $\text{Gr}_i(\Sigma_{\mathfrak{A}}) = \mathcal{E}_i / \mathcal{E}_{i+1}$ is a torsion-free \mathfrak{A} -semistable sheaf;
- (2) $\delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(\text{Gr}_i(\Sigma_{\mathfrak{A}}))$ is a strictly decreasing function in i .

Definition 2.1.4. The coherent subsheaf \mathcal{E}_1 in the above \mathfrak{A} -semistable filtration is said to be the **maximal \mathfrak{A} -destabilizing subsheaf** of \mathcal{E} , which is characterized by the following properties:

- (1) $\delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{E}_1) \geq \delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{F})$ for every coherent subsheaf \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{E} ;
- (2) If $\delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{E}_1) = \delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{F})$ for $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$, then $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}_1$.

Remark 2.1.1. The \mathfrak{A} -semistable filtration of the dual sheaf \mathcal{E}^* is essentially the same as that of \mathcal{E} , with each entry substituted by the duals of the quotient $\mathcal{E} / \mathcal{E}_{s-i}$.

Theorem 2.1.2. Let $\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{A}} \subset \mathcal{E}$ denote the maximal \mathfrak{A} -destabilizing subsheaf for $\mathfrak{A} \in \overline{\text{NA}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n-1}$.

- (1) Let L be a closed affine segment joining $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{C} \in \overline{\text{NA}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n-1}$ and $\mathfrak{B} = (1-t)\mathfrak{A} + t\mathfrak{C}$ be a rational point on L . Then $\delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{B}}) = \delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{A}})$ whenever $0 < t < \epsilon$, where ϵ is a positive constant depends continuously on \mathfrak{C} provided \mathcal{E} and \mathfrak{A} is fixed.
- (2) Let $K \subset \overline{\text{NA}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n-1}$ be a compact subset and $\mathfrak{A} \in \overline{\text{NA}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n-1}$ is away from K . Let $\mathfrak{A} \sharp K$ stands the union of the segments joining \mathfrak{A} and K . Then there exists an open neighborhood $U \subset N^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of \mathfrak{A} such that $\delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{B}}) = \delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{A}})$ for every $\mathfrak{B} \in U \cap (\mathfrak{A} \sharp K) \cap \overline{\text{NA}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n-1}$.

- (3) If $\mathfrak{A} \in \text{NA}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n-1}$, then there exists an open neighborhood $U \subset \text{NA}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n-1}$ of \mathfrak{A} such that $\delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{B}}) = \delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{A}})$ for every $\mathfrak{B} \in U$.

Proof. For simplicity, we show the case $n = 2$ only, and the proof is quite similar for higher dimensions.

(1). Suppose $\mathfrak{C} = H \in \overline{\text{NA}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. If $\mathcal{E}^*(H)$ is globally generated, that is, there exists a surjective morphism $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus N} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^*(H)$ for some integer N . By taking dual we have an injective morphism $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus N}(H)$, and thus

$$\delta_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{B}}) \leq c,$$

where c is a constant depending on \mathcal{E} , and on \mathfrak{C} continuously. If H is ample, then there exists some integer m such that mH is globally generated, and thus in this case $\delta_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{B}}) \leq c$ for some constant c depending on \mathcal{E} , and on \mathfrak{C} continuously. Finally if $H \in \overline{\text{NA}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we also have the same result, as it's a limit of ample divisors. Furthermore, we put $c' = \delta_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{A}})$. By the definition of the maximal destabilizing sheaves, we get

$$\delta_{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{A}}) \leq \delta_{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{B}}).$$

As $\delta_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is a linear function in $\mathfrak{B} = (1-t)\mathfrak{A} + t\mathfrak{C}$, this inequality is rewritten as

$$(1-t)\delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{A}}) + t\delta_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{A}}) \leq (1-t)\delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{B}}) + t\delta_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{B}}).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{B}}) &\leq \delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{A}}) \leq \delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{B}}) + \frac{t}{1-t}(\delta_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{B}}) - \delta_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{A}})) \\ &\leq \delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{B}}) + \frac{t}{1-t}(c - c'). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\delta(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{A}}), \delta(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{B}}) \in (1/r!)A^1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathfrak{A} \in (1/m)N^1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ for some positive integer m . Therefore, if

$$\frac{t}{1-t}(c - c') < \frac{1}{r!m},$$

then $\delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{A}}) = \delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{B}})$.

(2). Let U be the open ball centered at \mathfrak{A} with radius r , where $r = \inf_{\mathfrak{C} \in K} \epsilon(\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{C})d(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{C})$, d standing for Euclidean metric.

(3). Let $K \subset \text{NA}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n-1}$ be a sphere centered at \mathfrak{A} and apply (2). \square

Corollary 2.1.1. Given a compact subset $K \subset \overline{\text{NA}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n-1}$ and $\mathfrak{A} \in \overline{\text{NA}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n-1}$ is away from K , the \mathfrak{B} -semistable filtration is a refinement of \mathfrak{A} -semistable filtration for all $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathfrak{A} \sharp K$ sufficiently near \mathfrak{A} .

Proof. By (2) of above theorem, we have $\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{B}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{A}}$ for all $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathfrak{A} \sharp K$ sufficiently near \mathfrak{A} . If \mathcal{E} is semistable, it's clear that the \mathfrak{B} -semistable filtration of \mathcal{E} is a refinement of \mathfrak{A} -semistable filtration of \mathcal{E} , and the general case is obtained by repeating above process for each semistable grade $\mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{i+1}$. \square

Corollary 2.1.2. Let \mathcal{E} be a torsion-free sheaf on X .

- (1) The \mathfrak{A} -semistability of \mathcal{E} is a closed condition for $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathrm{NA}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n-1}$.
- (2) The length of the \mathfrak{A} -semistability of \mathcal{E} is a lower semicontinuous in $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathrm{NA}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n-1}$, while $\mathrm{rank} \mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{A}}$ is upper semicontinuous.
- (3) $\delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathfrak{A}})$ is a continuous, piecewise multilinear function on $\mathrm{NA}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n-1}$ and continuous on any rational segment of $\overline{\mathrm{NA}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n-1}$.

Proof. □

2.2. A numerical criterion for semistability on curves. Throught this section, the ground field k is always an algebraically closed field with characteristic 0 except Lemma 2.2.1, and C is a smooth complete curve.

2.2.1. Projective bundle on curves. Let \mathcal{E} be a locally free sheaf of rank r on C and $\pi: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow C$ the associated projective bundle with tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$.

Definition 2.2.1. The **normalized hyperplane class** $\lambda_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the numerical class of $c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)) - \pi^*\delta(\mathcal{E}) \in N^1(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}))_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Proposition 2.2.1. The class of relative anti-canonical divisor $-K_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})} + \pi^*K_C$ equals $r\lambda_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Proposition 2.2.2. The normalized hyperplane class $\lambda_{\mathcal{E}}$ is uniquely determined by two properties:

- (1) $\lambda_{\mathcal{E}}^r = 0$.
- (2) $\lambda_{\mathcal{E}}$ on each fiber is numerically equivalent to the hyperplane.

Proposition 2.2.3. The Néron-Severi group of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ is

$$N^1(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) = \mathbb{R} \lambda_{\mathcal{E}} + \pi^*N^1(X),$$

and the group of numerically equivalent 1-cycles is

$$N_1(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) = \lambda_{\mathcal{E}}^{r-2} N^1(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})).$$

2.2.2. Criterion.

Lemma 2.2.1. Let f be a seperable surjective k -morphism of a smooth complete curve C' onto C . Then a locally free sheaf \mathcal{E} is semistable if and only if $f^*\mathcal{E}$ is semistable.

Proof. Firstly let's prove "if" part. Let $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ be a non-zero subsheaf. Then $\delta(f^*\mathcal{G}) \leq \delta(f^*\mathcal{E})$ as $f^*\mathcal{E}$ is semistable, and thus $\delta(\mathcal{G}) \leq \delta(\mathcal{E})$.

Conversely, suppose \mathcal{E} is semistable. Without lose of generality we may assume f is a Galois morphism with Galois group G , which acts on $f^*\mathcal{E}$. If $f^*\mathcal{E}$ is not semistable and \mathcal{F}_1 be the maximal destabilizing subbundle of $f^*\mathcal{E}$. For any $g \in G$, we have $g^*\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_1$ as the maximal destabilizing subsheaf is unique. Hence there exists a subbundle \mathcal{E}_1 of \mathcal{E} such that $f^*\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{F}_1$, and by "if" part \mathcal{E}_1 is semistable. On the other hand, $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{E}$ by semistability, and thus $\mathcal{F}_1 = f^*\mathcal{E}$. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 2.2.1. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) \mathcal{E} is semistable;
- (2) $\lambda_{\mathcal{E}}$ is nef;
- (3) $\overline{\text{NA}}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) = \mathbb{R}_+ \lambda_{\mathcal{E}} + \mathbb{R}_+ \pi^* d$, where d is a positive generator of $N^1(C)_{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \mathbb{Z}$;
- (4) $\overline{\text{NE}}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) = \mathbb{R}_+ \lambda_{\mathcal{E}}^{r-1} + \mathbb{R}_+ \lambda_{\mathcal{E}}^{r-2} \pi^* d$;
- (5) Every effective divisor on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ is nef.

Proof. (1) to (2). Suppose $\lambda_{\mathcal{E}}$ is not nef, that is, there exists an irreducible curve $C' \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ with $C' \lambda_{\mathcal{E}} < 0$. It's clear that C' is mapped surjective onto C . **Then, by some base change $f: C'' \rightarrow C$, the multi-section C' becomes a union of cross sections C''_i on the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(f^* \mathcal{E})$ over C'' . The intersection number $C''_i \lambda_{\mathbb{P}(f^* \mathcal{E})}$ is evidently negative. There is a natural surjection $f^* \mathcal{E} = \pi''_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(f^* \mathcal{E})}(1) \rightarrow \pi''_* \mathcal{O}_{C''}(1)$. The line bundle $\pi''_* \mathcal{O}_{C''_i}(1) \cong \mathcal{O}_{C''_i}(1)$ has degree $C''_i \lambda_{f^* \mathcal{E}} + \delta(f^* \mathcal{E}) < \delta(f^* \mathcal{E})$, so that $f^* \mathcal{E}$ is unstable, and thus \mathcal{E} is unstable.**

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}(f^* \mathcal{E}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \\ \pi'' \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ C'' & \xrightarrow{f} & C \end{array}$$

(2) to (4). If $\lambda_{\mathcal{E}}^{r-2}(a\lambda_{\mathcal{E}} + b\pi^* d)$ is pseudo-effective and $\lambda_{\mathcal{E}}$ is nef, then

$$b = \lambda_{\mathcal{E}}^{r-1}(a\lambda_{\mathcal{E}} + b\pi^* d) \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, $\lambda_{\mathcal{E}}^{r-1}$ is pseudo-effective since $\lambda_{\mathcal{E}}$ is nef, and thus $a \geq 0$.

The equivalent between (3) and (4) is straightforward since the nef cone is the closed cone dual to the pseudo-effective cone (Theorem 1.4.3).

(3) and (4) to (5). Since $\lambda_{\mathcal{E}}$ is nef, $\lambda_{\mathcal{E}} + \epsilon \pi^* d$ is ample for any positive real number ϵ . Assume $a\lambda_{\mathcal{E}} + b\pi^* d$ is an effective divisor. Then the 1-cycles $(a\lambda_{\mathcal{E}} + b\pi^* d)(\lambda_{\mathcal{E}} + \epsilon \pi^* d)^{r-2}$ is effective, and thus their limit $(a\lambda_{\mathcal{E}} + b\pi^* d)\lambda_{\mathcal{E}}^{r-2}$ is pseudo-effective. Then by (4) one has $a, b \geq 0$, and thus $a\lambda_{\mathcal{E}} + b\pi^* d$ is nef by (3).

(5) to (1). Suppose that \mathcal{E} is unstable and let \mathcal{E}_1 be the maximal destabilizing subbundle. Let α be a rational number with $\delta(\mathcal{E}_1) > \alpha > \delta(\mathcal{E})$. Then by the Riemann-Roch theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(C, \mathcal{S}^N \mathcal{E}_1(-N\alpha d)) &\subseteq H^0(C, \mathcal{S}^N \mathcal{E}(-N\alpha d)) \\ &\cong H^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(N) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_C(-N\alpha d)) \end{aligned}$$

is non-trivial for sufficiently large N . Then $N\{\lambda_{\mathcal{E}} + (\delta(\mathcal{E}) - \alpha)\pi^* d\}$ is effective but clearly not nef. \square

2.2.3. Semipositive and semistability.

Definition 2.2.2. Let D be a \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor on C . A \mathbb{Q} -torsion-free sheaf $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}(D)$ is said to be **ample** or **semipositive** if $\xi + \pi^* D$ is ample or nef, where $\xi = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1))$.

Definition 2.2.3. A \mathbb{Q} -torsion-free sheaf \mathcal{F} is said to be **negative** or **seminegative** if \mathcal{F}^* is ample or semipositive.

Proposition 2.2.4. The direct sums, tensor products, symmetric products and exterior products of ample (or semipositive) \mathbb{Q} -torsion-free sheaves are all ample (or semipositive).

Theorem 2.2.2. Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle on C . Then \mathcal{E} is semistable if and only if $\mathcal{E}(-\delta(E))$ is semipositive.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.2.1. \square

Corollary 2.2.1. Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle on C . Then \mathcal{E} is semistable if and only if $\mathcal{E}(-\delta(E))$ is seminegative.

Corollary 2.2.2.

- (1) The \mathbb{Q} -vector bundle $\mathcal{E}(-D)$ is seminegative if and only if $\deg D \geq \deg \delta(\mathcal{E}_1)$, where \mathcal{E}_1 is the maximal destabilizing subsheaf of \mathcal{E} .
- (2) The \mathbb{Q} -vector bundle $\mathcal{E}(-D)$ is negative if and only if $\deg D > \deg \delta(\mathcal{E}_1)$, where \mathcal{E}_1 is the maximal destabilizing subsheaf of \mathcal{E} .
- (3) The \mathbb{Q} -vector bundle $\mathcal{E}(D)$ is semipositive if and only if $\deg D \geq \deg \delta((\mathcal{E}^*)_1)$.
- (4) The \mathbb{Q} -vector bundle $\mathcal{E}(D)$ is positive if and only if $\deg D > \deg \delta((\mathcal{E}^*)_1)$.

Proof. For simplicity we only prove the first statement, and the proof is quite similar for others. Let $\mathcal{E}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}_s = \mathcal{E}$ be the semistable filtration of \mathcal{E} . Since $\mathcal{G}_i = \mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{i-1}$ is semistable and $\deg \delta(\mathcal{G}_i)$ is decreasing in i , one has $\mathcal{G}_i(-\delta(\mathcal{E}_1))$ is seminegative for all i , and thus $\mathcal{E}(-\delta(\mathcal{E}_1))$ is seminegative. If $\deg D \geq \deg \delta(\mathcal{E}_1)$, then $\mathcal{E}(-D)$ is also seminegative.

Conversely, if $\deg D$ is smaller than $\deg \delta(\mathcal{E}_1)$ for a \mathbb{Q} -divisor D , then $\mathcal{E}(-D)$, containing an ample \mathbb{Q} -vector bundle $\mathcal{E}_1(-D)$, is never seminegative. \square

Corollary 2.2.3. A semistable vector bundle \mathcal{E} on C is ample (resp. semipositive, seminegative, negative) if and only if its degree is positive (resp. semipositive, seminegative, negative).

Proof. Take $D = 0$ in Corollary 2.2.2. \square

Corollary 2.2.4. Let \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} be semistable bundles on C . Then $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ are also semistable.

Proof. It follows from the semipositive bundle tensor with semipositive bundle is still semipositive. \square

Corollary 2.2.5. Let \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} be two vector bundles. Then $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is negative if and only if $\deg \delta(\mathcal{F}_1) + \deg \delta((\mathcal{E}^*)_1) < 0$. As a consequence, $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}_1)$ is negative.

Proof. For the first part, note that $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}$ and take $D = 0$ in Corollary 2.2.2. For the half part, it suffices to note $(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}_1)_1 = \mathcal{E}_2/\mathcal{E}_1$. \square

Proposition 2.2.5. Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle on C . The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) \mathcal{E} is semistable;
- (2) $\mathcal{E}(-D)$ is negative with D is a \mathbb{Q} -divisor of degree $\delta(\mathcal{E}) + (1/2r!)$.

Proof. The implication (1) to (2) follows from Corollary 2.2.1.

Conversely, assume (2) and let \mathcal{E}_1 be the maximal destabilizing subsheaf. Then by Corollary 2.2.2 we have $\mathcal{E}(-D)$ is negative if and only if $\deg D > \deg \delta(\mathcal{E}_1)$ so that

$$\delta(\mathcal{E}) \leq \delta(\mathcal{E}_1) < \delta(\mathcal{E}) + \frac{1}{2r!}.$$

On the other hand, both $\deg \delta(\mathcal{E}_1)$ and $\deg \delta(\mathcal{E})$ sit in $(1/r!)\mathbb{Z}$. Hence we have $\deg \delta(\mathcal{E}_1) = \deg \delta(\mathcal{E})$, and thus $\mathcal{E}_1 \cong \mathcal{E}$. \square

2.3. Mumford-Mehta-Ramanathan's theorem.

Theorem 2.3.1 ([MR82]). Let X be a complex normal projective variety of dimension n and \mathcal{E} be a torsion-free sheaf. Let H_1, \dots, H_{n-1} be ample Cartier divisors. Then for sufficiently large integers m_1, \dots, m_{n-1} , the maximal destabilizing subsheaf \mathcal{F} of $\mathcal{E}|_C$ extends to a saturated subsheaf of \mathcal{E} on X if C is a general complete intersection curve of $|m_i H_i|$'s. (Such an extension of \mathcal{F} is necessarily the maximal (H_1, \dots, H_{n-1}) -destabilizing subsheaf of \mathcal{E} and hence unique.)

2.4. The Bogomolov-Gieseker inequality for semistable sheaves.

Lemma 2.4.1. Let X be a normal projective variety of dimension n and $\mathfrak{A} \in \text{NA}(X)^{n-1}$. Let \mathcal{E} be an \mathfrak{A} -semistable torsion-free sheaf on X , with its first Chern class being a \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor. Let D be a non-zero effective Cartier divisor on X . Then

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{S}^{rt} \mathcal{E}(-tc_1(\mathcal{E}) - D)) = 0$$

for every positive integer t such that $tc_1(\mathcal{E})$ is an integral Cartier divisor.

Corollary 2.4.1. Let things be as Lemma 2.4.1 and L be a fixed Cartier divisor. Then $h^0(X, \mathcal{S}^{rt} \mathcal{E}(-tc_1(\mathcal{E}) + L))$ is bounded by a polynomial of degree $r - 1$ in t .

Proof. For simplicity of the notation, put $\mathcal{F}^t = \mathcal{S}^{rt} \mathcal{E}(-tc_1(\mathcal{E}))$. The proof is by induction on the dimension n of X . If $n = 1$, let D be a reduced effective divisor of degree $d > \deg L$. Then there is a natural exact sequence

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^t(-D)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^t(L)) \rightarrow H^0(D, \mathcal{F}^t(L))$$

of which the first term vanishes by Lemma 2.4.1, where the last term is a k -vector space of dimension $d \binom{rt+r-1}{rt} = d \binom{rt+r-1}{r-1}$. This completes the proof of $n = 1$.

For $n \geq 2$, let $\mathfrak{A} = (H_1, \dots, H_n)$ in $\text{NA}(X)^{n-1}$, where H_i is integral and ample. Let Y be a general hyperplane section in $|mH_i|$ for sufficiently large m such that $\mathcal{E}|_Y$ is (H_1, \dots, H_{n-2}) -semistable on Y and $Y - L$ is ample.

(Note that such a number m , though possible very large, is independent of t .) Consider the exact sequence

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^t(L - Y)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^t(L)) \rightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{F}^t(L)).$$

The first term vanishes by Lemma 2.4.1 and the dimension of the last term is bounded by a polynomial of degree $r - 1$ by the induction hypothesis. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.4.1 (The Bogomolov-Gieseker inequality). Let S be a smooth projective surface over k . If \mathcal{E} is an H -semistable torsion-free sheaf of rank r on S , where H is an ample divisor, then

$$(r - 1)c_1^2(\mathcal{E}) \leq 2rc_2(\mathcal{E}).$$

Proof. From Corollary 2.4.1, it follows that neither $h^0(S, \mathcal{S}^{rt}\mathcal{E}(-tc_1(\mathcal{E})))$ nor $h^2(S, \mathcal{S}^{rt}\mathcal{E}(-tc_1(\mathcal{E}))) = h^0(S, \mathcal{S}^{rt}\mathcal{E}^*(-tc_1(\mathcal{E}^*)) + K_S)$ grows like t^{r+1} . Hence we obtain the inequality

$$\chi(S, \mathcal{S}^{rt}\mathcal{E}(-tc_1(\mathcal{E}))) \leq \text{polynomial of degree } r \text{ in } t.$$

On the other hand, by the asymptotic Riemann-Roch theorem (Theorem 1.5.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(S, \mathcal{S}^{rt}\mathcal{E}(-tc_1(\mathcal{E}))) &= \frac{t^{r+1}}{(r+1)!} \{rc_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)) - \pi^*c_1(\mathcal{E})\}^{r+1} + O(t^r) \\ &= \frac{(rt)^{r+1}}{(r+1)!} \left\{ -c_2(\mathcal{E}) + \frac{r-1}{2r}c_1^2(\mathcal{E}) \right\} + O(t^r). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.4.2. Let \mathcal{E} be a locally free sheaf of rank r on a smooth surface S . Let L be an ample integral divisor on S such that $\mathcal{E}(-\delta(\mathcal{E}) + L)$ is ample and $\mathcal{E}(-\delta(\mathcal{E}) - L)$ is negative (as \mathbb{Q} -vector bundles). Assume the inequality $2rc_2(\mathcal{E}) < (r - 1)c_1^2(\mathcal{E})$ and put

$$\alpha = \frac{(r - 1)c_1^2(\mathcal{E}) - 2rc_2(\mathcal{E})}{6r^2(r + 1)L^2} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Then either $\mathcal{S}^t\mathcal{E}(-t\delta(\mathcal{E}))$ or $\mathcal{S}^t\mathcal{E}^*(-t\delta(\mathcal{E}^*))$ contains the ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_S(t\alpha L)$, where t is any very large integer such that $t\delta(\mathcal{E})$ and $t\alpha$ are integral.

Proof. For simplicity, we put $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}(-\delta(\mathcal{E}))$. Then by the same computation we have

$$\chi(S, \mathcal{S}^t\mathcal{F}) = \frac{1}{(r+1)!} \left\{ -c_2(\mathcal{E}) + \frac{r-1}{2r}c_1^2(\mathcal{E}) \right\} + O(t^r).$$

Hence, by the Serre duality, we infer that $h^0(S, \mathcal{S}^t\mathcal{F})$ or $h^0(S, \mathcal{S}^t\mathcal{F}^* + K_S)$ is

$$\geq \frac{1}{4(r+1)!r} \{ (r-1)c_1^2(\mathcal{E}) - 2rc_2(\mathcal{E}) \} + O(t^r).$$

Assume the first case and consider the following natural exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{S}^t \mathcal{F}(-t\alpha L)) &\rightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{S}^t \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{S}^t \mathcal{F}), \\ 0 \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{S}^t \mathcal{F}(-tL)) &\rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{S}^t \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^0(D, \mathcal{S}^t \mathcal{F}), \end{aligned}$$

where C is a general curve linearly equivalent to $t\alpha L$ and D is a 0-cycle of degree $t^2\alpha L^2$. The first term of the second sequence vanishes as $\mathcal{F}(-tL)$ is negative. Hence $h^0(C, \mathcal{S}^t \mathcal{F})$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} t^2\alpha(\text{rank } \mathcal{S}^t \mathcal{F})L^2 &\equiv \frac{\alpha t^{r+1}}{(r-1)!}L^2 \\ &\equiv \frac{t^{r+1}}{6(r+1)!r} \{(r-1)c_1^2(\mathcal{E}) - 2rc_2(\mathcal{E})\} \pmod{O(t^r)}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows $H^0(S, \mathcal{S}^t \mathcal{F}(-t\alpha L))$ is non-zero whenever t is very large in view of the first exact sequence, and thus such a non-zero global section gives the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_S(t\alpha L) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}^t \mathcal{F}$. Similarly, the second case will yield $H^0(S, \mathcal{S}^t \mathcal{F}^*(-t\alpha L)) \neq 0$. \square

Corollary 2.4.3. Let \mathcal{E} be a torsion-free sheaf of rank r on a normal projective variety X of dimension n and H_1, \dots, H_{n-2} be ample Cartier divisors. Let D be a nef Cartier divisor on X . Assume that $H_1 \dots H_{n-2} D$ is not numerically trivial. If \mathcal{E} is (H_1, \dots, H_{n-2}, D) -semistable, then

$$(r-1)c_1^2(\mathcal{E})H_1 \dots H_{n-2} \leq 2rc_2(\mathcal{E})H_1 \dots H_{n-2}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 1.1.1, we may assume \mathcal{E} is locally free by taking double dual, and $c_1(\mathcal{E}^{**}) = c_1(\mathcal{E})$, $c_2(\mathcal{E}^{**}) \leq c_2(\mathcal{E})$. We employ the same notation as above.

(1) If $\mathcal{S}^t \mathcal{F}$ contains $\mathcal{O}_S(t\alpha L)$, then

$$\delta_D(\mathcal{E}_1^D) - \delta_D(\mathcal{E}) \geq \alpha LD.$$

(2) If $\mathcal{S}^t \mathcal{F}^*$ contains $\mathcal{O}_S(t\alpha L)$, then

$$\delta_D(\mathcal{E}_1^D) - \delta_D(\mathcal{E}) \geq \frac{1}{r} \{\delta_D((\mathcal{E}^*)_1) - \delta_D(\mathcal{E}^*)\} \geq \frac{\alpha LD}{r}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.4.4. Let \mathcal{E} be a torsion-free sheaf of rank r on a normal projective variety X of dimension n and H_1, \dots, H_{n-2} be ample Cartier divisors. If

$$\{(r-1)c_1^2(\mathcal{E}) - 2rc_2(\mathcal{E})\}H_1 \dots H_{n-2} > 0,$$

then \mathcal{E} is (H_1, \dots, H_{n-2}, D) -unstable for any non-zero nef divisor D .

2.5. Semistability in positive and mixed characteristic.

2.5.1. *Semistability in positive characteristic.* Let C be a smooth complete curve over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$.

Definition 2.5.1. A vector bundle \mathcal{E} on C is said to be **strongly semistable** if, for every positive integer s , $(F^s)^*\mathcal{E}$ is semistable, where $F^s: F^{-s}C \rightarrow C$ is the Frobenius k -morphism of degree $q = p^s$.

Proposition 2.5.1. If \mathcal{E} is strongly semistable on C , then $f^*\mathcal{E}$ is semistable for any surjective k -morphism $f: C' \rightarrow C$.

2.5.2. *Semistability in mixed characteristic.*

2.6. **Generic semipositive theorem for cotangent bundle.** From now on, all varieties are defined over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. Let X be a normal projective variety of dimension n .

Definition 2.6.1. Let $\mathfrak{B} \in \overline{\text{NA}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n-2}$.

- (1) A torsion-free sheaf \mathcal{E} on X is said to be **generically \mathfrak{B} -seminegative** if, for every numerically effective \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor D on X , its maximal (\mathfrak{B}, D) -destabilizing subsheaf \mathcal{E}_1 satisfies $\delta_{(\mathfrak{B}, D)}(\mathcal{E}_1) < 0$.
- (2) A torsion-free sheaf \mathcal{E} on X is said to be **generically \mathfrak{B} -semipositive** if \mathcal{E}^* is generically \mathfrak{B} -seminegative.

Lemma 2.6.1. Let \mathcal{E} be a torsion-free sheaf on X and

$$0 = \mathcal{E}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{E}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}_s = \mathcal{E}$$

be the (\mathfrak{B}, D) -semistable filtration of \mathcal{E} and put $\alpha_i = \delta_{(\mathfrak{B}, D)}(\mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{i-1})$. Then $\alpha_1 > \cdots > \alpha_s \geq 0$ for every $D \in \overline{\text{NA}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ if \mathcal{E} is generically \mathfrak{B} -semipositive.

Proof. It follows from the definition. \square

Theorem 2.6.1. Let $\mathfrak{B} = (H_1, \dots, H_{n-2}) \in \text{NA}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n-2}$ and \mathcal{E} be a generically \mathfrak{B} -semipositive torsion-free sheaf on X . Then

$$c_2(\mathcal{E})H_1 \cdots H_{n-2} \geq 0$$

holds.

Theorem 2.6.2. Let $\mathfrak{B} = (H_1, \dots, H_{n-2}) \in \text{NA}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n-2}$. Then the torsion-free sheaf $\rho_*\Omega_{X'}^1$ is generically \mathfrak{B} -semipositive unless X is uniruled, where $\rho: X' \rightarrow X$ denotes an arbitrary resolution.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Semipositivity of $3c_2 - c_1^2$.

Proposition 3.1.1. Let X be a non-uniruled, normal projective variety of dimension n with \mathbb{Q} -Cartier canonical divisor K_X which is nef. Let $\mathfrak{B} \in \text{NA}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n-2}$ such that $K_X^2|\mathfrak{B}|$ is positive. Then

$$\{3c_2(\mathcal{E}) - c_1(\mathcal{E})^2\}|\mathfrak{B}| \geq 0,$$

where $\mathcal{E} = \rho_*\Omega_{X'}^1$ and $\rho: X' \rightarrow X$ is an arbitrary resolution.

3.2. Non-negativity of the Kodaira dimension of minimal threefolds.

3.2.1. The Gorenstein case.

Theorem 3.2.1. Let X be a normal projective Gorenstein threefold with only canonical singularities (X is Gorenstein if and only if K_X is a Cartier divisor). Assume K_X is nef. Then the Euler characteristic $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is non-negative. In particular, either $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X))$ or $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is non-zero, and thus $\kappa(X) \geq 0$.

3.2.2. The K_X^2 is numerically non-trivial case.

Theorem 3.2.2. Let X be a normal projective Gorenstein threefold with only isolated singularities. Assume the \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor K_X is nef and K_X^2 is numerically non-trivial. Then $\kappa(X) \geq 0$.

REFERENCES

- [BS58] Armand Borel and Jean-Pierre Serre. Le théorème de Riemann-Roch. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 86:97–136, 1958.
- [GD71] A. Grothendieck and J. A. Dieudonné. *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. I*, volume 166 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*, volume No. 52 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [HN75] G. Harder and M. S. Narasimhan. On the cohomology groups of moduli spaces of vector bundles on curves. *Math. Ann.*, 212:215–248, 1974/75.
- [Ish14] Shihoko Ishii. *Introduction to singularities*. Springer, Tokyo, 2014.
- [Kob87] Shoshichi Kobayashi. *Differential geometry of complex vector bundles*, volume 15 of *Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ; Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1987. Kanô Memorial Lectures, 5.
- [Laz04] Robert Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*, volume 48 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series.
- [Miy87] Yoichi Miyaoka. The Chern classes and Kodaira dimension of a minimal variety. In *Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985*, volume 10 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 449–476. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [MR82] V. B. Mehta and A. Ramanathan. Semistable sheaves on projective varieties and their restriction to curves. *Math. Ann.*, 258(3):213–224, 1981/82.