

International Macroeconomics

Problem Set #1

Studnikov Dmitry*

September 2020

Task 1

a) Household Constraint

$$B_{t+1} - RB_t = V_t s_t - V_{t+1} s_{t+1} + D_t s_t - C_t, \text{ where } R = 1 + r \quad (1)$$

$$\underbrace{(B_{t+1} - B_t)}_{\text{change in bonds}} + \underbrace{(V_t s_{t+1} - V_{t-1} s_t)}_{\text{change in assets}} = r B_t + D_t s_t + \underbrace{(V_t s_t - V_{t-1} s_t)}_{\text{capital value changing}} - C_t \quad (2)$$

Equation 2 is the *Household constraint* and representative household makes decision imposing it:

$$\begin{cases} \max_{\{C_t, B_{t+1}, S_{t+1}\}} \sum_{t=0}^{+\infty} \beta^t U(C_t), \\ B_{t+1} - RB_t = V_t S_t - V_{t+1} s_{t+1} + D_t s_t - C_t \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

If our household representative, we can derive that $s_t = 1$ in optimum. Let be $s_t = \text{const}$ then the sum of all shares is $\int_0^1 s_t p(s) ds$, where $p(s)$ is consumer point density function and s is consumers measure. As s_t of a representative consumer that means our pdf is uniform and we get from $\int_0^1 s_t ds = 1$ that s_t is equal to 1.

b) No-arbitrage condition

As we will see in Task 2 the constraint $V_t = \frac{V_{t+1} + D_{t+1}}{R}$ derives from household utility optimization problem. This expression is also known as "No-arbitrage condition". Arbitrage is a process of getting profit from buying and selling securities *without initial investment* in order to take advantage of differing prices for the same instrument. By the way, how could an individual buy or sell financial

*studnikoff@gmail.com

instruments (in our model we have only share) without initial investment? In our model economic agent can only take credit and pay it next period. If we calculate arbitrage, we shall get:

$$Arbitrage = s_t V_t + D_t s_t + R B_{t+1}, \text{ where } s_t = \frac{-B_{t+1}}{V_{t-1}}$$

B_{t+1} is negative as we are borrowing money in period t and paying credit in $t+1$. s_t shares we bought on this credit, that's why we see that we borrow $B_{t+1} = -s_t V_{t-1}$. Imposing No-arbitrage constraint we can figure out our arbitrage:

$$s_t \underbrace{(V_t + D_t)}_{\text{constraint}} - R(s_t V_{t-1}) = s_t (R V_{t-1}) - R s_t V_{t-1} = 0 \quad (4)$$

We see in equation 4 that arbitrage is always equal to zero due to our constraint.

Economic interpretation of this condition is that values of our credit for shares and "borrowed" shares (into new prices) are the same in all periods and recieved profit from assets price changing equally covers intrest on the loan.

c) Equilibrium of the stock market value

The previous section implies that $V_t = R^{-1}(V_{t+1} + D_{t+1})$ on date t for V_t . Anyway, as this corresponding expression holds, it's also true that

$$\begin{aligned} V_t &= R^{-1}(R^{-1}(V_{t+2} + D_{t+2}) + D_{t+1}) = R^{-2}V_{t+2} + R^{-2}D_{t+2} + R^{-1}D_{t+1} = \\ \{\text{continuing to implement no-arbitrage condition}\} &= R^{-j}V_{t+j} + \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} R^{-j}D_{t+j} \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} V_t &= \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} R^{-j}V_{t+j} + \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} R^{-j}D_{t+j} \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow \{\text{imposing no-bubble condition}\} &\Rightarrow V_t = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} R^{-j}D_{t+j} \end{aligned}$$

As a result, our equilibrium value of a firm V_t is

$$V_t = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} R^{-j}D_{t+j} \quad (5)$$

d) The problem of a representative firm

Now we can formulate the representative firm optimization problem making use of our previous progress in sections b) and c) with task conditions:

$$\begin{cases} \max_{\{K_{t+1}, I_t, D_t\}} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} R^{-j} D_{t+j}, \\ D_t = Y_t - I_t, \\ Y_t = A_t F(K_t), \\ K_{t+1} = (1 - \delta)K_t + I_t \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Task 2

Solution the problem of a representative household in 2 with Lagrange multipliers gives us:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial C_t} : \beta^t U'(C_t) - \lambda_t = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial S_{t+1}} : -\lambda_t V_t + \lambda_{t+1}(V_{t+1} + D_{t+1}) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial B_{t+1}} : \lambda_t = R\lambda_{t+1} \end{cases}$$

From first and third expressions we could derive Euler equation:

$$\frac{U'(C_t)}{U'(C_{t+1})} = \beta R \quad (7)$$

From second and third we are getting No-arbitrage condition:

$$V_t = \frac{V_{t+1} + D_{t+1}}{R} \quad (8)$$

Solving the firm's problem 6:

$$\begin{aligned} \max V_t &= \max \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} R^{-j} D_{t+j} = \{ \text{index change } s = t+j \} \\ &= \max \sum_{s=t+1}^{+\infty} R^{t-s} (A_s F(K_s) - K_{s+1} + (1 - \delta)K_s) \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow \text{FOC: } F'(K_s) = \frac{R - (1 - \delta)}{A_s}, \text{ if } s > t \end{aligned}$$

So we got that our optimum firm's condition:

$$A_s F'(K_s) = r + \delta, \text{ where } r = R - 1 \quad (9)$$

To understand the impact of the timing of productivity shocks or a discounted stream of dividends on consumption, I will recursively substitute B_{t+j} in household budget constraint:

$$\begin{aligned}
B_t &= R^{-1}((C_t + B_{t+1} + V_t(s_{t+1} - s_t) - s_t D_t) = R^{-1}(C_t + R^{-1}[C_{t+1} + B_{t+2} \\
&+ V_{t+1}(s_{t+2} - s_{t+1}) - s_{t+1} D_{t+1}] + V_t(s_{t+1} - s_t) - s_t D_t) = \dots = \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} (R^{-j-1} C_{t+j} + R^{-j-1} V_{t+j}(s_{t+j+1} - s_{t+j}) - R^{-j-1} s_{t+j} D_{t+j}) + R^{-j} B_{t+j}
\end{aligned}$$

And if we multiply this expression by R , we can consider:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} R^{-j} \cdot s_{t+j} \cdot (V_{t+j} + D_{t+j}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} R^{-j+1} s_{t+j} V_{t+j-1}$$

That's why using previous equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} R^{-j} \cdot V_{t+j} \cdot s_{t+j+1} - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} R^{-j} \cdot s_{t+j} \cdot (V_{t+j} + D_{t+j}) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} R^{-j} \cdot V_{t+j} \cdot s_{t+j+1} - \\
&- \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} R^{-j+1} s_{t+j} V_{t+j-1} = -R s_t V_{t-1} \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

Summing all up and imposing equation 10 we derived that $R B_t$ is equal:

$$R B_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} R^{-j} C_{t+j} - R s_t V_{t-1} \iff \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} R^{-j} C_{t+j} = R \cdot (B_t + s_t V_{t-1}) \quad (11)$$

Equation 11 tells us that discounted stream of our consumptions in future depends only on our net "savings" B_t , previously purchased shares s_t and value of stock market that we know in period t : V_{t-1} . Moreover, taking into account Euler equation 7 and task conditions about function $U'(C_t)$ it's obvious that there exists inverse function for $U'(C_{t+j}) = (\beta R)^{-j} U'(C_t)$. It means that optimal C_t can be explicitly obtained from equation 11 and it is contingent neither on the timing of shocks A_t nor on discounted stream of dividends.

Task 3

From country budget constraint:

$$C A_t = B_{t+1} - B_t = (R - 1) \cdot B_t + D_t - C_t$$

As $\beta R = 1$ we can conclude from Euler equation 7 that $C_{t+1} = C_t$. That's why basing on our results in Task 2, we can derive from equation 11 that

$$C_t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} R^{-j} = R \cdot (B_t + s_t V_{t-1}) \Rightarrow C_t = (R - 1)(B_t + s_t V_{t-1}) \quad (12)$$

As representative householder optimum of $s_t = 1$ we get

$$CA_t = D_t - rV_{t-1} \quad (13)$$

It means that if A_t unexpectedly increase in T, our dividends should drastically increase in future periods and that means that CA_t in the second wave on average will be higher.