

Discourse Network Analysis: Bibliography

Philip Leifeld

February 9, 2023

This document contains references to publications using the method of *discourse network analysis* or the software *Discourse Network Analyzer*. Please feel free to submit updates as [pull requests](#) or [issues](#). Please observe the sorting order, fields, capitalization, and other characteristics of the BibTeX entries under [references/references.bib](#) before contributing any citation entries.

References

- [1] Nino Abzianidze. Us vs. them as structural equivalence: Analysing nationalist discourse networks in the Georgian print media. *Politics and Governance*, 8(2):243–256, 2020. [doi:10.17645/pag.v8i2.2605](#).
- [2] Núria Almiron and Jose A. Moreno. Think tanks neoliberales y falsos debates: La propuesta del impuesto a la carne para combatir la crisis climática. In Daniel Rodrigo-Cano, Rosalba Mancinas Chávez, and Rogelio Fernández Rial, editors, *La Comunicación del Cambio Climático, una Herramienta ante el Gran Desafío*, chapter 9, pages 224–251. Dykinson, Madrid, 2021. URL: <https://www.torrossa.com/en/resources/an/5093569#>.
- [3] Kishor Aryal, Hari Krishna Laudari, Tek Maraseni, and Bhoj Raj Pathak. Navigating policy debates of and discourse coalitions on Nepal’s scientific forest management. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 141:102768, 2022. [doi:10.1016/j.forpol.2022.102768](#).
- [4] Sanja Lisa Bandau. Emerging institutions in the global space sector. An institutional logics approach. Master’s thesis, Utrecht University, Copernicus Institute of Sustainable Development, 2021. [doi:20.500.12932/1139](#).
- [5] Nils C. Bandelow and Johanna Hornung. One discourse to rule them all? Narrating the agenda for labor market policies in France and Germany. *Policy and Society*, 38(3):408–428, 2019. [doi:10.1080/14494035.2019.1641379](#).
- [6] Christiane Barnickel. *Postdemokratisierung der Legitimationspolitik: Diskursnetzwerke in bundesdeutschen Großen Regierungserklärungen und Aussprachen 1949–2014*. Springer VS, Wiesbaden, 2019. [doi:10.1007/978-3-658-25669-2](#).
- [7] Christiane Barnickel. Vorstellungen legitimen Regierens: Legitimationspolitik in der Großen Regierungserklärung der 19. Wahlperiode im Vergleich. *Zeitschrift für Vergleichende Politikwissenschaft*, 14(3):199–223, 2020. [doi:10.1007/s12286-020-00458-1](#).

- [8] Eulàlia Baulenas. She’s a rainbow: Forest and water policy and management integration in Germany, Spain and Sweden. *Land Use Policy*, 101:105182, 2021. doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2020.105182.
- [9] Arina Belova. Another silver bullet for the energy transition? Discourse network analysis of German hydrogen debate. Master’s thesis, Department of International Relations and European Studies, Energy Policy Studies, Masaryk University, Brno, 2021. URL: <https://is.muni.cz/th/upap7/>.
- [10] Caroline Bhattacharya. Gatekeeping the plenary floor: Discourse network analysis as a novel approach to party control. *Politics and Governance*, 8(2):229–242, 2020. doi:10.17645/pag.v8i2.2611.
- [11] Caroline Bhattacharya. Restrictive rules of speechmaking as a tool to maintain party unity: The case of oppressed political conflict in German parliament debates on the Euro crisis. *Party Politics*, 2022. Forthcoming. doi:10.1177/13540688221086226.
- [12] David Blažek. Does the climate matter? Discourse network analysis of climate delayism in the Czech Republic. Master’s thesis, Masaryk University, Faculty of Social Studies, 2021. URL: <https://is.muni.cz/th/zq777/>.
- [13] André Blessing, Nico Blokker, Sebastian Haunss, Jonas Kuhn, Gabriella Lapesa, and Sebastian Padó. An environment for relational annotation of political debates. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: System Demonstrations*, pages 105–110, 2019. doi:10.18653/v1/P19-3018.
- [14] Ingrid Boas. *Climate Migration and Security: Securitisation as a Strategy in Climate Change Politics*. Routledge, New York, 2015. doi:10.4324/9781315749228.
- [15] Ľubica Bodišová. Európske záujmové skupiny a revízia smernice o energetickej efektívnosti – analýza diskurzívnych sietí. Master’s thesis, Masaryk University, Faculty of Social Studies, 2018. URL: <https://is.muni.cz/th/rrnds/>.
- [16] Felix Bossner and Melanie Nagel. Discourse networks and dual screening: Analyzing roles, content and motivations in political Twitter conversations. *Politics and Governance*, 8(2):311–325, 2020. doi:10.17645/pag.v8i2.2573.
- [17] Laurence Brandenberger. Predicting network events to assess goodness of fit of relational event models. *Political Analysis*, 27(4):556–571, 2019. doi:10.1017/pan.2019.10.
- [18] Yana Breindl. Discourse networks on state-mandated access blocking in Germany and France. *info*, 15(6):42–62, 2013. doi:10.1108/info-03-2013-0011.
- [19] Jeffrey Broadbent, John Sonnett, Iosef Botetzagias, Marcus Carson, Anabela Carvalho, Yu-Ju Chien, Christopher Edling, Dana R. Fisher, Georgios Giouzeapas, Randolph Haluza-DeLay, Koichi Hazeigawa, Christian Hirschi, Ana Horta, Kazuhiro Ikeda, Jun Jin, Dowan Ku, Myanna Lahsen, Ho-Ching Lee, Tze-Luen Alan Lin, Thomas Malang, Jana Ollmann, Diane Payne, Sony Pellissery, Stephen Price, Simone Pulver, Jaime Sainz, Keiichi Satoh, Clare Saunders, Luisa

- Schmidt, Mark C. J. Stoddart, Pradip Swarnakar, Tomoyuki Tatsumi, David Tindall, Philip Vaughter, Paul Wagner, Sun-Jin Yun, and Sun Zhengyi. Conflicting climate change frames in a global field of media discourse. *Socius: Sociological Research for a Dynamic World*, 2:1–17, 2016. doi:[10.1177/2378023116670660](https://doi.org/10.1177/2378023116670660).
- [20] Jeffrey Broadbent and Philip Vaughter. Inter-disciplinary analysis of climate change and society: A network approach. In Michael J. Manfredo, Jerry J. Vaske, Andreas Rechkemmer, and Esther A. Duke, editors, *Understanding Society and Natural Resources. Forging New Strands of Integration across the Social Sciences*, chapter 10, pages 203–228. Springer Open, Dordrecht, Heidelberg, New York, London, 2014. doi:[10.1007/978-94-017-8959-2_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-8959-2_10).
- [21] Fritz Brugger and Rebecca Engebretsen. Defenders of the status quo: Making sense of the international discourse on transfer pricing methodologies. *Review of International Political Economy*, 29(1):307–335, 2022. doi:[10.1080/09692290.2020.1807386](https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290.2020.1807386).
- [22] Heike Brugger and Adam Douglas Henry. Influence of policy discourse networks on local energy transitions. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, 39:141–154, 2021. doi:[10.1016/j.eist.2021.03.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eist.2021.03.006).
- [23] Luisa Brunner. Der Einfluss von Interessensgruppen auf die US-Außenpolitik. Ein Vergleich der Israelpolitik zwischen den Kabinetten Obama II und Trump. Master’s thesis, Leopold-Franzens-Universität Innsbruck, Fakultät für Soziale und Politische Wissenschaften, 2021. URL: <https://resolver.obvsg.at/urn:nbn:at:at-ubi:1-85613>.
- [24] Elina Brutschin. *Dynamics in EU Policy-Making: The Liberalization of the European Gas Market*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Konstanz, Department of Politics and Public Administration, 2013. URL: <http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:bsz:352-253135>.
- [25] Christina H. Buckton, Gillian Fergie, Philip Leifeld, and Shona Hilton. A discourse network analysis of UK newspaper coverage of the “sugar tax” debate before and after the announcement of the soft drinks industry levy. *BMC Public Health*, 19(490):1–14, 2019. doi:[10.1186/s12889-019-6799-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-6799-9).
- [26] Tim Cassiers. “Politics... or just Work”? On the Role of Territoriality in Policy Networks. The Case of Transportation Policies in the Cross-Border Metropolitan Regions of Brussels and Luxembourg. Doctoral dissertation, KU Leuven, Division of Geography and Tourism, 2018. URL: https://kuleuven.limo.libis.be/discovery/fulldisplay?docid=lirias2000485&context=SearchWebhook&vid=32KUL_KUL:Lirias&search_scope=lirias_profile&tab=LIRIAS&adaptor=SearchWebhook&lang=en.
- [27] Ondřej Černý. Limity české energetické tranzice v politické perspektivě: případ těžby uhlí. 2018. URL: <https://is.muni.cz/th/x2ppa/>.
- [28] Ondřej Černý and Petr Ocelík. Incumbents’ strategies in media coverage: A case of the Czech coal policy. *Politics and Governance*, 8(2):272–285, 2020. doi:[10.17645/pag.v8i2.2610](https://doi.org/10.17645/pag.v8i2.2610).

- [29] Matúš Cicko. Analýza diskurzívnych sietí v energetickej politike českej republiky. Master's thesis, Masarykova univerzita, Fakulta sociálních studií, 2018. URL: <https://is.muni.cz/th/v3fey/>.
- [30] Alejandro Ciordia Morandeira. *Less Divided after ETA? Green Networks in the Basque Country between 2007 and 2017*. PhD thesis, University of Trento, School of Social Sciences, 2020. doi:10.15168/11572_277816.
- [31] Rodrigo Alonso Coronado Vigueras. La reforma tributaria 2014: Un análisis desde las coaliciones discursivas. Tesis postgrado, 2015. URL: <https://repositorio.uchile.cl/handle/2250/137806>.
- [32] Syarifah Aini Dalimunthe, Intan Adhi Perdana Putri, and Ari Purwanto Sarwo Prasajo. Depicting mangrove's potential as blue carbon champion in indonesia. In Rajarshi Dasgupta, Shizuka Hashimoto, and Osamu Saito, editors, *Assessing, Mapping and Modelling of Mangrove Ecosystem Services in the Asia-Pacific Region*, pages 167–181. Springer, Singapore, 2022. doi:10.1007/978-981-19-2738-6_9.
- [33] Araceli Ortega Díaz and Erika Casamadrid Gutiérrez. Competing actors in the climate change arena in Mexico: A network analysis. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 215:239–247, 2018. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.03.056.
- [34] Franziska Alexandra Drozdzyński. The common agricultural policy post 2020: An analysis of the beliefs of selected key stakeholders. Master's thesis, University of Twente, Faculty of Behavioural, Management and Social Sciences, 2022. URL: <https://purl.utwente.nl/essays/92422>.
- [35] Mert Duygan. *An Actor-Based Analysis of Political Context for Supporting Sustainability Transitions of Socio-Technical Systems: A Study of Swiss Waste Management*. Doctoral dissertation, ETH Zürich, Department of Environmental Systems Science, 2018. doi:10.3929/ethz-b-000336657.
- [36] Mert Duygan, Michael Stauffacher, and Grégoire Meylan. Discourse coalitions in Swiss waste management: Gridlock or winds of change? *Waste Management*, 72:25–44, 2018. doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2017.11.006.
- [37] Mert Duygan, Michael Stauffacher, and Grégoire Meylan. A heuristic for conceptualizing and uncovering the determinants of agency in socio-technical transitions. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, 33:13–29, 2019. doi:10.1016/j.eist.2019.02.002.
- [38] Mert Duygan, Michael Stauffacher, and Grégoire Meylan. What constitutes agency? Determinants of actors' influence on formal institutions in Swiss waste management. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 162:120413, 2021. doi:10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120413.
- [39] Burkard Eberlein and Adrian Rinscheid. Building bridges: How discourse network analysis (DNA) can help CSR research to investigate the “new” political role of corporations. In Melanie Nagel, Patrick Kenis, Philip Leifeld, and Hans-Jörg Schmedes, editors, *Politische Komplexität, Governance von Innovationen und Policy-Netzwerke*, pages 139–146. Springer VS, Wiesbaden, 2020. doi:10.1007/978-3-658-30914-5_16.

- [40] Franz Eder. *Der Irakkrieg 2003*. Innsbruck University Press, Innsbruck, 2015. [doi:10.15203/2936-75-2](https://doi.org/10.15203/2936-75-2).
- [41] Franz Eder. Making concurrence-seeking visible: Groupthink, discourse networks, and the 2003 Iraq war. *Foreign Policy Analysis*, 15(1):21–42, 2019. [doi:10.1093/fpa/orx009](https://doi.org/10.1093/fpa/orx009).
- [42] Franz Eder. Discourse network analysis. In Patrick A. Mello and Falk Ostermann, editors, *Routledge Handbook of Foreign Policy Analysis Methods*, chapter 32. Taylor & Francis, 2023. Forthcoming. [doi:10.4324/9781003139850-39](https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003139850-39).
- [43] Franz Eder, Chiara Libiseller, and Bernhard Schneider. Contesting counter-terrorism: Discourse networks and the politicisation of counter-terrorism in Austria. *Journal of International Relations and Development*, 24(1):171–195, 2021. [doi:10.1057/s41268-020-00187-8](https://doi.org/10.1057/s41268-020-00187-8).
- [44] Eriyanto and Denny Januar Ali. Discourse network of a public issue debate: A study on covid-19 cases in Indonesia. *Jurnal Komunikasi: Malaysian Journal of Communication*, 36(3):209–227, 2020. [doi:10.17576/JKMJC-2020-3603-13](https://doi.org/10.17576/JKMJC-2020-3603-13).
- [45] Gillian Fergie, Philip Leifeld, Ben Hawkins, and Shona Hilton. Mapping discourse coalitions in the minimum unit pricing for alcohol debate: A discourse network analysis of UK newspaper coverage. *Addiction*, 114(4):741–753, 2019. [doi:10.1111/add.14514](https://doi.org/10.1111/add.14514).
- [46] Joseph Ferrare, Laura Carter-Stone, and Sarah Galey-Horn. Ideological tensions in education policy networks: An analysis of the policy innovators in education network in the United States. *Foro de Educacion*, 19(1):11–28, 2021. [doi:10.14516/fde.819](https://doi.org/10.14516/fde.819).
- [47] Rosalia Filippini, Chiara Mazzocchi, and Stefano Corsi. Trends in urban food strategies. In Chiara Tornaghi, editor, *Re-Imagining Sustainable Food Planning, Building Resourcefulness: Food Movements, Insurgent Planning and Heterodox Economics: Proceedings of the 8th Annual Conference AE-SOP Sustainable Food Planning Group*, pages 79–88, Coventry, 2015. Coventry University. [doi:https://pureportal.coventry.ac.uk/en/publications/re-imagining-sustainable-food-planning-building-resourcefulness-f](https://pureportal.coventry.ac.uk/en/publications/re-imagining-sustainable-food-planning-building-resourcefulness-f).
- [48] Dana R. Fisher and Philip Leifeld. The polycentricity of climate policy blockage. *Climatic Change*, 155(4):469–487, 2019. [doi:10.1007/s10584-019-02481-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-019-02481-y).
- [49] Dana R. Fisher, Philip Leifeld, and Yoko Iwaki. Mapping the ideological networks of American climate politics. *Climatic Change*, 116(3):523–545, 2013. [doi:10.1007/s10584-012-0512-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-012-0512-7).
- [50] Dana R. Fisher, Joseph Waggle, and Philip Leifeld. Where does political polarization come from? Locating polarization within the US climate change debate. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 57(1):70–92, 2013. [doi:10.1177/0002764212463360](https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764212463360).
- [51] Gustav Friis. Populist radical right parties into parliament: Changes in mainstream parties’ political positions in parliamentary debates on immigration and

- refugees. Master's thesis, Uppsala University, Disciplinary Domain of Humanities and Social Sciences, Faculty of Social Sciences, Department of Government, Uppsala, 2020. URL: <http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:uu:diva-403427>.
- [52] Sarah Galey-Horn and Joseph J. Ferrare. Using policy network analysis to understand ideological convergence and change in educational subsystems. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 28(118), 2020. doi:[10.14507/epaa.28.4508](https://doi.org/10.14507/epaa.28.4508).
 - [53] Sarah Galey-Horn, Sarah Reckhow, Joseph J. Ferrare, and Lorien Jasny. Building consensus: Idea brokerage in teacher policy networks. *American Educational Research Journal*, 57(2):872–905, 2020. doi:[10.3102/0002831219872738](https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831219872738).
 - [54] Anya M. Galli Robertson. Privileged accounts in the debate over coal-fired power in the United States. *Society & Natural Resources*, 34(2):188–207, 2021. doi:[10.1080/08941920.2020.1781989](https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920.2020.1781989).
 - [55] Maria Rachelle Gallmann. Depicting climate change in a vulnerable country: Agenda-setting and a discourse network approach on Philippine broadsheet media. Master's thesis, University of Bern, Faculty of Science, Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, 2021. URL: <https://occrdata.unibe.ch/students/theses/msc/324.pdf>.
 - [56] Anna Geddes, Nicolas Schmid, Tobias S. Schmidt, and Bjarne Steffen. The politics of climate finance: Consensus and partisanship in designing green state investment banks in the United Kingdom and Australia. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 69:101583, 2020. doi:[10.1016/j.erss.2020.101583](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2020.101583).
 - [57] Stefano Ghinoi, Riccardo De Vita, and Francesco Silvestri. Local policymakers' attitudes towards climate change: A multi-method case study. *Social Networks*, 2021. Forthcoming. doi:[10.1016/j.socnet.2021.09.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socnet.2021.09.001).
 - [58] Stefano Ghinoi, Valdemar João Wesz Junior, and Simone Piras. Political debates and agricultural policies: Discourse coalitions behind the creation of Brazil's Pronaf. *Land Use Policy*, 76:68–80, 2018. doi:[10.1016/j.landusepol.2018.04.039](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2018.04.039).
 - [59] Stefano Ghinoi and Bodo Steiner. The political debate on climate change in Italy: A discourse network analysis. *Politics and Governance*, 8(2):215–228, 2020. doi:[10.17645/pag.v8i2.2577](https://doi.org/10.17645/pag.v8i2.2577).
 - [60] Georgios Gkiouzepas and Iosif Botetzagias. Climate change coverage in Greek newspapers: 2001–2008. *Environmental Communication*, 11(4):490–514, 2017. doi:[10.1080/17524032.2015.1047888](https://doi.org/10.1080/17524032.2015.1047888).
 - [61] Kuhika Gupta, Joseph Ripberger, Andrew Fox, Hank C. Jenkins-Smith, and Carol Silva. Discourse network analysis of nuclear narratives. In Michael D. Jones, Mark K. McBeth, and Elizabeth Shanahan, editors, *Narratives and the Policy Process: Applications of the Narrative Policy Framework*, chapter 2, pages 13–38. Montana State University Library, Bozeman, MT, 2022. doi:[10.15788/npf2](https://doi.org/10.15788/npf2).

- [62] Raúl Gutiérrez Meave. Framing and decisions: The punctuations of the mexican power generation policy subsystem. In Ana-Maria Bercu, Irina Bilan, and Constantin-Marius Apostoaie, editors, *European Administrative Area: Integration and Resilience Dynamics. Proceedings of the International Conference EU-PAIR 2022*, pages 275–286, Iași, 2022. Editura Universității “Alexandru Ioan Cuza” din Iași. URL: http://eu-pair.uaic.ro/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/volum_EUPAIR_2022.pdf.
- [63] Raúl Gutiérrez Meave. *Redes de discurso, coaliciones y decisiones: la política de generación eléctrica en México 1994–2018*. Tesis doctorado en políticas públicas, Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económicas (CIDE), 2022. doi:11651/5321.
- [64] Raffael Hanschmann. Polarized business interests. EU climate policy-making during the “great recession”. In David K. Jesuit and Russel Alan Williams, editors, *Public Policy, Governance and Polarization. Making Governance Work*, Routledge Critical Studies in Public Management, chapter 6, pages 126–156. Routledge, London, 1st edition, 2017. doi:10.4324/9781315560342.
- [65] Raffael Hanschmann. *Stalling the Engine? EU Climate Politics after the “Great Recession”. Investigating the Impact of Economic Shocks on EU Climate Policy-Making in Three Case Studies*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Potsdam, Faculty of Economics and Social Sciences, 2019. doi:10.25932/publishup-44044.
- [66] Jacob Hasselbalch. *The Contentious Politics of Disruptive Innovation: Vaping and Fracking in the European Union*. PhD thesis, University of Warwick, Department of Politics and International Studies and Université Libre de Bruxelles, Département de Sciences Politiques, 2017. URL: <http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/93146>.
- [67] Jacob A. Hasselbalch. Framing brain drain: Between solidarity and skills in European labor mobility. *Review of International Political Economy*, 26(6):1333–1360, 2019. doi:10.1080/09692290.2019.1626755.
- [68] Sebastian Haunss. (de-)legitimizing discourse networks: Smoke without fire? In Steffen Schneider, Henning Schmidtke, Sebastian Haunss, and Jennifer Gronau, editors, *Capitalism and Its Legitimacy in Times of Crisis*, chapter 7, pages 191–220. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham, Switzerland, 2017. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-53765-8_7.
- [69] Sebastian Haunss, Matthias Dietz, and Frank Nullmeier. Der Ausstieg aus der Atomenergie: Diskursnetzwerkanalyse als Beitrag zur Erklärung einer radikalen Politikwende. *Zeitschrift für Diskursforschung / Journal for Discourse Studies*, 1(3):288–316, 2013. URL: <https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:bvb:384-opus4-996532>.
- [70] Sebastian Haunss and James Hollway. Multimodal mechanisms of political discourse dynamics and the case of Germany’s nuclear energy phase-out. *Network Science*, pages 1–19, 2022. Forthcoming. doi:10.1017/nws.2022.31.
- [71] Sebastian Haunss, Jonas Kuhn, Sebastian Padó, Andre Blessing, Nico Blokker, Erenay Dayanik, and Gabriella Lapesa. Integrating manual and automatic annotation for the creation of discourse network data sets. *Politics and Governance*, 8(2):326–339, 2020. doi:10.17645/pag.v8i2.2591.

- [72] Sebastian Haunss, Falk Lenke, Henning Schmidtke, and Steffen Schneider. Finanzkrise ohne Legitimationskrise? Kapitalismuskritik in der deutschen Qualitätspresse. In Maria Dammayr, Doris Grass, and Barbara Rothmüller, editors, *Legitimität. Gesellschaftliche, politische und wissenschaftliche Bruchlinien in der Rechtfertigung*, pages 73–94. Transcript, Bielefeld, 2015. doi:[10.14361/9783839431818-004](https://doi.org/10.14361/9783839431818-004).
- [73] Brent A. Hayward, Lisa McKay-Brown, and Shiralee Poed. Restrictive practices and the “need” for positive behaviour support (PBS): A critical discourse examination of disability policy beliefs. *Journal of Intellectual Disabilities*, 2022. Forthcoming. doi:[10.1177/17446295211062383](https://doi.org/10.1177/17446295211062383).
- [74] Jonas Heiberg. *The Geography of Configurations that Work*. Doctoral dissertation, Universiteit Utrecht, Copernicus Institute of Sustainable Development, 2022. doi:[10.1874/420471](https://doi.org/10.1874/420471).
- [75] Jonas Heiberg, Christian Binz, and Bernhard Truffer. The geography of technology legitimation: How multiscale institutional dynamics matter for path creation in emerging industries. *Economic Geography*, 96(5):470–498, 2020. doi:[10.1080/00130095.2020.1842189](https://doi.org/10.1080/00130095.2020.1842189).
- [76] Jonas Heiberg, Bernhard Truffer, and Christian Binz. Assessing transitions through socio-technical configuration analysis – a methodological framework and a case study in the water sector. *Research Policy*, 51(1):104363, 2022. doi:[10.1016/j.respol.2021.104363](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2021.104363).
- [77] Tim Henrichsen. *Party Competition as Interdependent Process – Assessing the Contagion Effect of Eurosceptic Parties in Italy*. PhD thesis, Sant’Anna School of Advanced Studies Pisa, Joint PhD in Political Science, European Politics and International Relations, 2020. doi:[10.15168/11572_277816](https://doi.org/10.15168/11572_277816).
- [78] Anna Herranz-Surrallés. “authority shifts” in global governance: Intersecting politicizations and the reform of investor–state arbitration. *Politics and Governance*, 8(1):336–347, 2020. doi:[10.17645/pag.v8i1.2651](https://doi.org/10.17645/pag.v8i1.2651).
- [79] Markus Hertwig and Patrick Witzak. Hybride Interessenvertretung in der Plattformökonomie. Herausforderungen des “coalition building” bei der Kooperation zwischen IG Metall und YouTubers Union. *Zeitschrift für Soziologie*, 51(2):174–192, 2022. doi:[10.1515/zfsoz-2022-0010](https://doi.org/10.1515/zfsoz-2022-0010).
- [80] Shona Hilton, Christina H. Buckton, Tim Henrichsen, Gillian Fergie, and Philip Leifeld. Policy congruence and advocacy strategies in the discourse networks of minimum unit pricing for alcohol and the soft drinks industry levy. *Addiction*, 115(12):2303–2314, 2020. doi:[10.1111/add.15068](https://doi.org/10.1111/add.15068).
- [81] Emily M. Hodge, Susanna L. Benko, and Serena J. Salloum. Tracing states’ messages about common core instruction: An analysis of English/language arts and close reading resources. *Teachers College Record*, 122(3):1–42, 2020. doi:[10.1177/016146812012200303](https://doi.org/10.1177/016146812012200303).
- [82] Katariina Holma. Suomesta yritysvastuun edelläkävijä?: Diskurssiverkostoanalyysi suomalaisesta yritysvastuukeskustelusta. Master’s thesis, University of Helsinki, Faculty of Social Sciences, 2021. doi:[10.10138/329841](https://doi.org/10.10138/329841).

- [83] Vincent Hopkins. *Lobbying for Democracy: Interest Groups in Canada's Parliamentary System*. PhD thesis, Simon Fraser University, Department of Political Science, 2020. URL: <https://summit.sfu.ca/item/20306>.
- [84] Darwin Glen Horning. *Understanding Structure and Character in Rural Water Governance Networks*. PhD thesis, University of British Columbia, College of Graduate Studies, 2017. doi:2429/60346.
- [85] Johanna Hornung, Ilana Schröder, and Nils C. Bandelow. Programmatisches Handeln in der deutschen Verkehrspolitik. Gemeinsame Identitäten von Akteuren im Umfeld des Deutschlandtakts. In Detlef Sack, Holger Straßheim, and Karsten Zimmermann, editors, *Renaissance der Verkehrspolitik. Politik- und mobilitätswissenschaftliche Perspektiven*, pages 135–160. Springer VS, Wiesbaden, 2023. doi:10.1007/978-3-658-38832-4_6.
- [86] Adam C. Howe. *Network Processes Related to Political Discourse and Policy Positions: The Case of Climate Change Policy Networks in Canada*. PhD thesis, University of British Columbia, Department of Sociology, 2022. doi:10.14288/1.0407335.
- [87] Adam C. Howe, Mark C. J. Stoddart, and David B. Tindall. Media coverage and perceived policy influence of environmental actors: Good strategy or pyrrhic victory? *Politics and Governance*, 8(2):298–310, 2020. doi:10.17645/pag.v8i2.2595.
- [88] Steffen Hurka and Kerstin Nebel. Framing and policy change after shooting rampages: A comparative analysis of discourse networks. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 20(3):390–406, 2013. doi:10.1080/13501763.2013.761508.
- [89] Ines Imbert. *An Inquiry into the Material and Ideational Dimensions of Policymaking: A Case Study of Fuel Poverty in Germany*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Konstanz, Department of Politics and Public Administration, 2017. URL: <http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:bsz:352-0-425111>.
- [90] Henna Jalasmäki. Taistelu asiantuntijuudesta: uskomukset ja kannatuskoalitiot varhaiskasvatuksen diskurssiverkostossa. Master's thesis, University of Helsinki, Faculty of Social Sciences, 2020. doi:10138/317611.
- [91] Frank Janning, Philip Leifeld, Thomas Malang, and Volker Schneider. Diskursnetzwerkanalyse. überlegungen zur Theoriebildung und Methodik. In Volker Schneider, Frank Janning, Philip Leifeld, and Thomas Malang, editors, *Politiknetzwerke. Modelle, Anwendungen und Visualisierungen*, pages 59–92. Springer VS, Wiesbaden, 2009. doi:10.1007/978-3-531-91883-9_3.
- [92] Minji Jeong. *National Renewable Energy Policy in a Global World*. PhD thesis, University of Maryland, College Park, School of Public Policy, 2017. URL: <https://www.proquest.com/dissertations-theses/national-renewable-energy-policy-global-world/docview/1927720461/se-2>.
- [93] Yan Jin, Simon Schaub, Jale Tosun, and Justus Wessler. Does China have a public debate on genetically modified organisms? A discourse network analysis of

- public debate on Weibo. *Public Understanding of Science*, 31(6):732–750, 2022. doi:10.1177/09636625211070150.
- [94] Bhavna Joshi and Pradip Swarnakar. Staying away, staying alive: Exploring risk and stigma of Covid-19 in the context of beliefs, actors and hierarchies in India. *Current Sociology*, 69(4):492–511, 2021. doi:10.1177/0011392121990023.
- [95] Bhavna Joshi and Pradip Swarnakar. How fair is our air? The injustice of procedure, distribution, and recognition within the discourse of air pollution in Delhi, India. *Environmental Sociology*, pages 1–14, 2022. Forthoming. doi:10.1080/23251042.2022.2151398.
- [96] Marlene Kammerer. *Climate Politics at the Intersection between International Dynamics and National Decision-Making: A Policy Network Approach*. Doctoral thesis, University of Zurich, Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences, 2017. doi:10.5167/uzh-152954.
- [97] Marlene Kammerer, Fadri Crameri, and Karin Ingold. Das Klima und die EU: Eine Diskursperspektive auf die deutsche und schweizerische Klimapolitik. In Romana Careja, Patrick Emmenegger, and Nathalie Giger, editors, *The European Social Model under Pressure. Liber Amicorum in Honour of Klaus Armingeon*, pages 599–623. Springer VS, Wiesbaden, 2019. doi:10.1007/978-3-658-27043-8_34.
- [98] Marlene Kammerer and Karin Ingold. Actors and issues in climate change policy: The maturation of a policy discourse in the national and international context. *Social Networks*, 2021. Forthcoming. doi:10.1016/j.socnet.2021.08.005.
- [99] Muhammad Iqbal Khatami. Discourse network analysis (dna): Aktivisme digital dalam perdebatan isu “presiden tiga periode” di Twitter. *Jurnal Audience: Jurnal Ilmu Komunikasi*, 5(1):80–94, 2022. doi:10.33633/ja.v5i1.5484.
- [100] Polina Khubbeeva. Vom Bitcoin zur Blockchain? Distributed-Ledger-Technologien im politischen Diskurs. Leitbilder, Ideen und Diskursnetzwerke im deutschen Bundestag der 19. Legislaturperiode. Master’s thesis, FU Berlin, Otto-Suhr-Institut für Politikwissenschaft, 2022. doi:10.17169/refubium-34225.
- [101] Hedvika Koďoušková and Lukáš Lehotský. Energy poverty in the Czech Republic: Individual responsibility or structural issue? *Energy Research & Social Science*, 72:101877, 2021. doi:10.1016/j.erss.2020.101877.
- [102] Elizabeth A. Koebele, Stephanie Bultema, and Christopher Weible. Modeling environmental governance in the Lake Tahoe basin: A multiplex network approach. In Manuel Fischer and Karin Ingold, editors, *Networks in Water Governance*, Palgrave Studies in Water Governance: Policy and Practice (PSWG), pages 173–202. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham, Switzerland, 2020. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-46769-2_7.
- [103] Max Nathan Kooistra. Space security and orbital sustainability. An institutional logics approach. Master’s thesis, Universiteit Utrecht, Copernicus Institute of Sustainable Development, 2022. doi:20.500.12932/42903.

- [104] Anna Kukkonen. *Discourse Networks and Justifications of Climate Change Policy: News Media Debates in Canada, the United States, Finland, France, Brazil and India*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Helsinki, Faculty of Social Sciences, 2018. doi:10.138/257508.
- [105] Anna Kukkonen, Mark C. J. Stoddart, and Tuomas Ylä-Anttila. Actors and justifications in media debates on Arctic climate change in Finland and Canada: A network approach. *Acta Sociologica*, 64(1):103–117, 2021. doi:10.1177/0001699319890902.
- [106] Anna Kukkonen and Tuomas Ylä-Anttila. The science–policy interface as a discourse network: Finland’s climate change policy 2002–2015. *Politics and Governance*, 8(2):200, 2020. doi:10.17645/pag.v8i2.2603.
- [107] Anna Kukkonen, Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, and Jeffrey Broadbent. Advocacy coalitions, beliefs and climate change policy in the United States. *Public Administration*, 95(3):713–729, 2017. doi:10.1111/padm.12321.
- [108] Anna Kukkonen, Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, Pradip Swarnakar, Jeffrey Broadbent, Myanna Lahsen, and Mark C. J. Stoddart. International organizations, advocacy coalitions, and domestication of global norms: Debates on climate change in Canada, the US, Brazil, and India. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 81:54–62, 2018. doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2017.12.008.
- [109] Gabriella Lapesa, André Blessing, Nico Blokker, Erenay Dayanik, Sebastian Haunss, Jonas Kuhn, and Sebastian Padó. DEbateNet-mig15: Tracing the 2015 immigration debate in Germany over time. In *Proceedings of the Twelfth Language Resources and Evaluation Conference*, pages 919–927, 2020. URL: <https://aclanthology.org/2020.lrec-1.115>.
- [110] Moritz Laurer and Timo Seidl. Regulating the European data-driven economy: A case study on the general data protection regulation. *Policy & Internet*, 13(2):257–277, 2021. doi:10.1002/poi3.246.
- [111] Philip Leifeld. Die Untersuchung von Diskursnetzwerken mit dem Discourse Network Analyzer (DNA). In Volker Schneider, Frank Janning, Philip Leifeld, and Thomas Malang, editors, *Politiknetzwerke. Modelle, Anwendungen und Visualisierungen*, pages 391–404. Springer VS, Wiesbaden, 2009. doi:10.1007/978-3-531-91883-9.
- [112] Philip Leifeld. Reconceptualizing major policy change in the advocacy coalition framework: A discourse network analysis of German pension politics. *Policy Studies Journal*, 41(1):169–198, 2013. doi:10.1111/psj.12007.
- [113] Philip Leifeld. Polarization of coalitions in an agent-based model of political discourse. *Computational Social Networks*, 1(1):1–22, 2014. doi:10.1186/s40649-014-0007-y.
- [114] Philip Leifeld. *Policy Debates as Dynamic Networks: German Pension Politics and Privatization Discourse*. Campus, Frankfurt am Main, 2016. Distributed internationally by the University of Chicago Press. URL: <http://press.uchicago.edu/ucp/books/book/distributed/P/bo24978289.html>.

- [115] Philip Leifeld. Discourse network analysis: Policy debates as dynamic networks. In Jennifer Nicoll Victor, Alexander H. Montgomery, and Mark N. Lubell, editors, *The Oxford Handbook of Political Networks*, chapter 12, pages 301–325. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2017. doi:[10.1093/oxfordhb/9780190228217.013.25](https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780190228217.013.25).
- [116] Philip Leifeld. Policy debates and discourse network analysis: A research agenda. *Politics and Governance*, 8(2):180–183, 2020. doi:[10.17645/pag.v8i2.3249](https://doi.org/10.17645/pag.v8i2.3249).
- [117] Philip Leifeld and Laurence Brandenberger. Endogenous coalition formation in policy debates. arXiv Preprint, 2019. doi:[10.48550/arXiv.1904.05327](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1904.05327).
- [118] Philip Leifeld and Sebastian Haunss. Political discourse networks and the conflict over software patents in Europe. *European Journal of Political Research*, 51(3):382–409, 2012. doi:[10.1111/j.1475-6765.2011.02003.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6765.2011.02003.x).
- [119] Philip Leifeld, Tim Henrichsen, Christina Buckton, Gillian Fergie, and Shona Hilton. Belief system alignment and cross-sectoral advocacy efforts in policy debates. *Journal of European Public Policy*, pages 1–24, 2021. doi:[10.1080/13501763.2021.1945131](https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2021.1945131).
- [120] Alexander Christoph Leipold. Ökonomische Ungleichheit und der Einfluss von Diskurskoalitionen auf Vermögensbesteuerung in Deutschland, 1995–2015: Eine Diskursnetzwerkanalyse von Policy-Wandel in der Steuerpolitik. Master’s thesis, FernUniversität Hagen, Fakultät für Kultur- und Sozialwissenschaften, Institut für Politikwissenschaft, 2016. URL: <https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:hbz:708-dh324>.
- [121] Guillaume Lestrelin, Xavier Augusseau, Daniel David, Jérémy Bourgoïn, Erwann Lagabrielle, Danny Lo Seen, and Pascal Degenne. Collaborative landscape research in Reunion Island: Using spatial modelling and simulation to support territorial foresight and urban planning. *Applied Geography*, 78:66–77, 2017. doi:[10.1016/j.apgeog.2016.11.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2016.11.003).
- [122] Marie Byskov Lindberg and Lorenz Kammermann. Advocacy coalitions in the acceleration phase of the European energy transition. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, 40:262–282, 2021. doi:[10.1016/j.eist.2021.07.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eist.2021.07.006).
- [123] Cameron Lockhart. Discourse network analysis of the Northern Gateway Pipeline project: Assessing environmental governance in the joint review panel process. Master’s thesis, Universiteit Utrecht, Copernicus Institute of Sustainable Development, Environmental Governance Section, 2014. doi:[10.500.12932/17790](https://doi.org/10.500.12932/17790).
- [124] Arttu Malkamäki. *On the Human Impacts and Governance of Large-Scale Tree Plantations*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Helsinki, Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry, 2019. doi:[10.10138/306940](https://doi.org/10.10138/306940).
- [125] Arttu Malkamäki, Paul M. Wagner, Maria Brockhaus, Anne Toppinen, and Tuomas Ylä-Anttila. On the acoustics of policy learning: Can co-participation in policy forums break up echo chambers? *Policy Studies Journal*, 49(2):431–456, 2021. doi:[10.1111/psj.12378](https://doi.org/10.1111/psj.12378).

- [126] Arttu Malkamäki, Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, Maria Brockhaus, Anne Toppinen, and Paul M. Wagner. Unity in diversity? when advocacy coalitions and policy beliefs grow trees in South Africa. *Land Use Policy*, 102:105283, 2021. doi:[10.1016/j.landusepol.2021.105283](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2021.105283).
- [127] Andri Narti Radiatul Mardiah. *Interface between Disaster and Development: Local Economic Revival through Collaborative Post-Disaster Recovery Governance and Network in Indonesia*. PhD thesis, University of Leeds, School of Geography, 2018. URL: <https://etheses.whiterose.ac.uk/21580/>.
- [128] Andri NR Mardiah, Jon C. Lovett, and Nukila Evanty. Toward integrated and inclusive disaster risk reduction in Indonesia: Review of regulatory frameworks and institutional networks. In Riyanti Djalante, Matthias Garschagen, Frank Thomalla, and Rajib Shaw, editors, *Disaster Risk Reduction in Indonesia*, pages 57–84. Springer, Berlin, 2017. doi:[10.1007/978-3-319-54466-3_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-54466-3_3).
- [129] Daniela Mariño and Céline Rozenblat. Stakeholders’ power in the networking structuration processes of the urban resilience concept in Habitat III agenda (2012–2016). *Geography and Sustainability*, 3(1):46–57, 2022. doi:[10.1016/j.geosus.2022.02.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geosus.2022.02.001).
- [130] Jochen Markard, Adrian Rinscheid, and Linda Widdel. Analyzing transitions through the lens of discourse networks: Coal phase-out in Germany. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, 40:315–331, 2021. doi:[10.1016/j.eist.2021.08.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eist.2021.08.001).
- [131] Edward McDonald. Energy security in the age of interconnection: Cyber-threat framing in British political discourse. Master’s thesis, Masaryk University, Faculty of Social Studies, 2019. URL: <https://is.muni.cz/th/gqxcz/>.
- [132] Austin Miles. Changes in social networks and narratives associated with Lake Erie water quality management after the 2014 Toledo water crisis. Master’s thesis, The Ohio State University, Graduate Program in Environment and Natural Resources, 2020. URL: http://rave.ohiolink.edu/etdc/view?acc_num=osu1593600584732076.
- [133] Nikita Minin. *Post-Fukushima Discourse Regarding Nuclear Energy in the European Union and its Implications*. Doctoral dissertation, Masaryk University, Department of International Relations and European Studies, 2020. URL: <https://is.muni.cz/th/qtp3a/>.
- [134] Johan Miörner, Jonas Heiberg, and Christian Binz. How global regimes diffuse in space – explaining a missed transition in San Diego’s water sector. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, 44:29–47, 2022. doi:[10.1016/j.eist.2022.05.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eist.2022.05.005).
- [135] Mile Mišić and Anastassia Obydenkova. Environmental conflict, renewable energy, or both? public opinion on small hydropower plants in Serbia. *Post-Communist Economies*, 34(5):684–713, 2021. doi:[10.1080/14631377.2021.1943928](https://doi.org/10.1080/14631377.2021.1943928).
- [136] Malte Möck, Colette S. Vogeler, Nils C. Bandelow, and Johanna Hornung. Relational coupling of multiple streams: The case of Covid-19 infections in German abattoirs. *Policy Studies Journal*, 2022. Forthcoming. doi:[10.1111/psj.12459](https://doi.org/10.1111/psj.12459).

- [137] Sam E. Morton, Judyannet Muchiri, and Liam Swiss. Which feminism(s)? For whom? Intersectionality in Canada’s feminist international assistance policy. *International Journal*, 75(3):329–348, 2020. doi:[10.1177/0020702020953420](https://doi.org/10.1177/0020702020953420).
- [138] Allan Muller. Het meten van discourscoalities met discoursnetwerkanalyse: Naar een formele analyse van het politieke vertoog. *Res Publica*, 56(3):337–364, 2014. URL: <https://openjournals.ugent.be/rp/article/id/74454/>.
- [139] Allan Muller. Using discourse network analysis to measure discourse coalitions: Towards a formal analysis of political discourse. *World Political Science*, 11(2):377–404, 2015. doi:[10.1515/wps-2015-0009](https://doi.org/10.1515/wps-2015-0009).
- [140] Desideria C. W. Murti and Ina Nur Ratriyana. The playground of big tobacco? Discourse network analysis of the cigarette advertising debate and policy in Indonesia. *Journal of Communication Inquiry*, 2022. Forthcoming. doi:[10.1177/01968599211072438](https://doi.org/10.1177/01968599211072438).
- [141] Melanie Nagel. *Polarisierung im politischen Diskurs: Eine Netzwerkanalyse zum Konflikt um “Stuttgart 21”*. Springer VS, Wiesbaden, 2015. doi:[10.1007/978-3-658-11225-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-11225-7).
- [142] Melanie Nagel and Carlos Bravo-Laguna. Analyzing multi-level governance dynamics from a discourse network perspective: The debate over air pollution regulation in Germany. *Environmental Sciences Europe*, 34(62):1–18, 2022. doi:[10.1186/s12302-022-00640-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-022-00640-0).
- [143] Melanie Nagel and Keiichi Satoh. Protesting iconic megaprojects. A discourse network analysis of the evolution of the conflict over Stuttgart 21. *Urban Studies*, 56(8):1681–1700, 2019. doi:[10.1177/0042098018775903](https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098018775903).
- [144] Richard Nagler. *Steuermannskunst im Hochschulmanagement. Die Wirkungskraft von Ideen und Diskursen auf die Universitat*. Springer VS, Wiesbaden, 2019. doi:[10.1007/978-3-658-28406-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-28406-0).
- [145] Aerang Nam, Christopher M. Weible, and Kyudong Park. Polarization and frames of advocacy coalitions in South Korea’s nuclear energy policy. *Review of Policy Research*, 39(4):387–410, 2022. doi:[10.1111/ropr.12466](https://doi.org/10.1111/ropr.12466).
- [146] Kerstin Nebel. Religion im moralpolitischen diskurs. Position und Einfluss der Kirchen in der deutschen Debatte um die embryonale Stammzellenforschung. In Antonius Liedhegener and Gert Pickel, editors, *Religionspolitik und Politik der Religionen in Deutschland*, chapter 5, pages 109–129. Springer VS, Wiesbaden, 2016. doi:[10.1007/978-3-658-11821-1_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-11821-1_5).
- [147] Isabella M. Nolte, Tobias Polzer, and Johann Seiwald. Gender budgeting in emerging economies – a systematic literature review and research agenda. *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*, 11(5):799–820, 2021. doi:[10.1108/JAEE-03-2020-0047](https://doi.org/10.1108/JAEE-03-2020-0047).
- [148] Petr Ocelík. *Analýza diskursivních sítí: případ lokální opozice vůči hlubinnému úložišti radioaktivních odpadů v České republice*. PhD thesis, Masarykova univerzita, Fakulta sociálních studií, 2015. URL: <https://is.muni.cz/th/h7yku/>.

- [149] Petr Ocelík. Climate change scepticism in front-page Czech newspaper coverage: A one man show. In David Tindall, Mark C. J. Stoddart, and Riley E. Dunlap, editors, *Handbook of Anti-Environmentalism*, chapter 5, pages 84–106. Edward Elgar Publishing, 2022. doi:<https://doi.org/10.4337/9781839100222.00013>.
- [150] Nils Ohlendorf. *The Political Economy of Energy Transitions*. Doctoral thesis, Technical University of Berlin, Fakultät VI - Planen Bauen Umwelt, FG Ökonomie des Klimawandels, 2022. doi:[10.14279/depositonce-16399](https://doi.org/10.14279/depositonce-16399).
- [151] Tomohiko Ohno. Advocacy coalition framework in environmental governance studies: Explaining major policy change for a large dam removal in Japan. *International Review of Public Policy*, 4(1), 2022. doi:[10.4000/irpp.2362](https://doi.org/10.4000/irpp.2362).
- [152] Alex Osei-Kojo. *An Advocacy Coalition Framework Analysis of Oil and Gas Governance in Ghana*. PhD thesis, University of Colorado Denver, School of Public Affairs, 2021. URL: <https://digital.auraria.edu/work/ns/3584b996-49b1-4a8f-a2c3-57b940b73cf3>.
- [153] Alex Osei-Kojo. Analysing the stability of advocacy coalitions and policy frames in Ghana’s oil and gas governance. *Policy & Politics*, pages 1–20, 2023. Forthcoming. doi:[10.1332/030557322X16651632139992](https://doi.org/10.1332/030557322X16651632139992).
- [154] Jan Osička, Lukáš Lehotský, Veronika Zapletalová, Filip Černoch, and Břetislav Dančák. Natural gas market integration in the Visegrad 4 region: An example to follow or to avoid? *Energy Policy*, 112:184–197, 2018. doi:[10.1016/j.enpol.2017.10.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2017.10.018).
- [155] Sebastian Padó, André Blessing, Nico Blokker, Erenay Dayanik, Sebastian Haunss, and Jonas Kuhn. Who sides with whom? Towards computational construction of discourse networks for political debates. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 2841–2847, 2019. doi:[10.18653/v1/P19-1273](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/P19-1273).
- [156] Nicola Palladino. The role of epistemic communities in the “constitutionalization” of internet governance: The example of the European Commission high-level expert group on artificial intelligence. *Telecommunications Policy*, 45(6):102149, 2021. doi:[10.1016/j.telpol.2021.102149](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2021.102149).
- [157] Myriam Pham-Truffert, Florence Metz, Manuel Fischer, Henri Rueff, and Peter Messerli. Interactions among sustainable development goals: Knowledge for identifying multipliers and virtuous cycles. *Sustainable Development*, 28(5):1236–1250, 2020. doi:[10.1002/sd.2073](https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.2073).
- [158] Jinelle Piereder, Scott Janzwood, and Thomas Homer-Dixon. Ideology and climate change. A complex reflexive systems approach to energy transition discourse networks. In Jonathan Leader Maynard and Mark L. Haas, editors, *The Routledge Handbook of Ideology and International Relations*, chapter 16, pages 267–296. Routledge, London, 2023. doi:[10.4324/9781003026754-19](https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003026754-19).
- [159] Tereza Pospíšilová. Liberty, equality, hydrogen? Discourse network analysis of French hydrogen politics. Master’s thesis, Masaryk University, Faculty of Social Studies, 2022. URL: <https://is.muni.cz/th/azy84/>.

- [160] Bayu Indra Pratama and Adinda Ardwi Illahi Ulfa. Discourse networking analysis as alternative research method in communication science studies – discourse networking analysis sebagai metode penelitian alternatif dalam kajian ilmu komunikasi. *Jurnal Penelitian Komunikasi dan Opini Publik*, 21(2):223278. URL: <https://www.neliti.com/publications/223278/>.
- [161] Salla Rantala and Monica Di Gregorio. Multistakeholder environmental governance in action: REDD+ discourse coalitions in Tanzania. *Ecology and Society*, 19(2):66–76, 2014. doi:10.5751/ES-06536-190266.
- [162] Sarah Reckhow and Megan Tompkins-Stange. Financing the education policy discourse: Philanthropic funders as entrepreneurs in policy networks. *Interest Groups & Advocacy*, 7(3):258–288, 2018. doi:10.1057/s41309-018-0043-3.
- [163] Sarah Reckhow, Megan Tompkins-Stange, and Sarah Galey-Horn. How the political economy of knowledge production shapes education policy: The case of teacher evaluation in federal policy discourse. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 43(3):472–494, 2021. doi:10.3102/01623737211003906.
- [164] Britta Rennkamp. Power, coalitions and institutional change in South African climate policy. *Climate Policy*, 19(6):756–770, 2019. doi:10.1080/14693062.2019.1591936.
- [165] Britta Rennkamp, Sebastian Haunss, Kridtiyaporn Wongsas, Araceli Ortega, and Erika Casamadrid. Competing coalitions: The politics of renewable energy and fossil fuels in Mexico, South Africa and Thailand. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 34:214–223, 2017. doi:10.1016/j.erss.2017.07.012.
- [166] Adrian Rinscheid. Crisis, policy discourse, and major policy change: Exploring the role of subsystem polarization in nuclear energy policymaking. *European Policy Analysis*, 1(2):34–70, 2015. doi:10.18278/epa.1.2.3.
- [167] Adrian Rinscheid. *Behavioral and Institutional Perspectives on Preference Formation in a Contested Political Context: The Case of Divesting from Nuclear Power*. Doctoral dissertation, University of St. Gallen, School of Management, Economics, Law, Social Sciences and International Affairs, 2018. URL: <https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:ch:bel-2262961>.
- [168] Adrian Rinscheid. Business power in noisy politics: An exploration based on discourse network analysis and survey data. *Politics and Governance*, 8(2):286–297, 2020. doi:10.17645/pag.v8i2.2580.
- [169] Adrian Rinscheid, Burkard Eberlein, Patrick Emmenegger, and Volker Schneider. Why do junctures become critical? Political discourse, agency, and joint belief shifts in comparative perspective. *Regulation & Governance*, 14(4):653–673, 2020. doi:10.1111/rego.12238.
- [170] Julia Rone. “Don’t Worry, we are from the Internet.” *The Diffusion of Protest against the Anti-Counterfeiting Trade Agreement in the Age of Austerity*. PhD thesis, European University Institute, Department of Political and Social Sciences, 2018. doi:10.2870/722302.

- [171] Julia Rone. Instrumentalising sovereignty claims in British pro- and anti-Brexit mobilisations. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 2022. Forthcoming. doi:10.1177/13691481221089136.
- [172] Jasmin Rychlik, Johanna Hornung, and Nils C. Bandelow. Come together, right now: Storylines and social identities in coalition building in a local policy subsystem. *Politics & Policy*, 49(5):1216–1247, 2021. doi:10.1111/polp.12431.
- [173] Simon Schaub. *The Politics of Water Protection*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Heidelberg, Faculty of Economics and Social Studies, Institute of Political Science, 2021. doi:10.11588/heidok.00030285.
- [174] Simon Schaub. Public contestation over agricultural pollution: A discourse network analysis on narrative strategies in the policy process. *Policy Sciences*, 54(4):783–821, 2021. doi:10.1007/s11077-021-09439-x.
- [175] Simon Schaub and Thomas Braunbeck. Transition towards sustainable pharmacy? The influence of public debates on policy responses to pharmaceutical contaminants in water. *Environmental Sciences Europe*, 32(1):1–19, 2020. doi:10.1186/s12302-020-00423-5.
- [176] Simon Schaub and Florence Alessa Metz. Comparing discourse and policy network approaches: Evidence from water policy on micropollutants. *Politics and Governance*, 8(2):184–199, 2020. doi:10.17645/pag.v8i2.2597.
- [177] Nicolas Schmid. *The Politics of Technological Change – Case Studies from the Energy Sector*. Doctoral thesis, ETH Zürich, Department of Humanities, Social and Political Sciences, Energy and Technology Policy Group, 2020. doi:10.3929/ethz-b-000447993.
- [178] Nicolas Schmid, Sebastian Sewerin, and Tobias S. Schmidt. Explaining advocacy coalition change with policy feedback. *Policy Studies Journal*, 48(4):1109–1134, 2020. doi:10.1111/psj.12365.
- [179] Stefanie Schmidt. Terrorist attacks as “policy windows”: A discourse network analysis of German parliamentary debates. Diploma thesis, Charles University, Department of Security Studies, 2017. doi:20.500.11956/125548.
- [180] Tobias S. Schmidt, Nicolas Schmid, and Sebastian Sewerin. Policy goals, partisanship and paradigmatic change in energy policy – analyzing parliamentary discourse in Germany over 30 years. *Climate Policy*, 19(6):771–786, 2019. doi:10.1080/14693062.2019.1594667.
- [181] Luuk Schmitz. From coherence to coheritization. Explaining the rise of policy coherence in EU external policy. Master’s thesis, Radboud University Nijmegen, Faculteit der Managementwetenschappen, 2018. URL: <http://theses.ubn.ru.nl/handle/123456789/5669>.
- [182] Luuk Schmitz and Thomas R. Eimer. From coherence to coheritization: Explaining the rise of policy coherence in EU external policy. *Globalizations*, 17(4):629–647, 2020. doi:10.1080/14747731.2019.1676103.

- [183] Luuk Schmitz and Timo Seidl. As open as possible, as autonomous as necessary: Understanding the rise of open strategic autonomy in EU trade policy. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*, 2022. Forthcoming. doi:10.1111/jcms.13428.
- [184] Volker Schneider and Jana K. Ollmann. Punctuations and displacements in policy discourse: The climate change issue in Germany 2007–2010. In Steven Silvern and Stephen Young, editors, *Environmental Change and Sustainability*, chapter 6, pages 157–184. Intech, Rijeka, Croatia, 2013. doi:10.5772/54302.
- [185] Christopher Schulz. Forest conservation through markets? a discourse network analysis of the debate on funding mechanisms for REDD+ in Brazil. *Environmental Communication*, 14(2):202–218, 2020. doi:10.1080/17524032.2019.1631869.
- [186] Timo Seidl. *Ideas, Politics, and Technological Change: Essays on the Comparative Political Economy of Digital Capitalism*. PhD thesis, European University Institute, Department of Political and Social Sciences, 2021. doi:10.2870/836646.
- [187] Timo Seidl. The politics of platform capitalism: A case study on the regulation of Uber in New York. *Regulation & Governance*, 16(2):357–374, 2022. doi:10.1111/rego.12353.
- [188] Linn Selle. What multi-level parliamentary system? Parliamentary discourses in EU budgetary negotiations (MFF 2014–2020). In Stefan Becker, Michael W. Bauer, and Alfredo De Feo, editors, *The New Politics of the European Union Budget*, pages 149–172. Nomos, Baden-Baden, Germany, 2017. doi:10.5771/9783845278032-149.
- [189] Martin Ševčík. Analýza vyřazení jaderné energie z energetického mixu Německa po roce 2011. 2021. URL: <https://is.muni.cz/th/ul2is/>.
- [190] Rajshri Shukla and Pradip Swarnakar. Energy justice in post-Paris India: Unpacking consensus and conflict through storylines and discourse coalitions. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 91:102687, 2022. doi:10.1016/j.erss.2022.102687.
- [191] Rajshri Shukla and Pradip Swarnakar. Energy transition and dialectics: Tracing discursive resistance to coal through discourse coalition in India. *Globalizations*, 2022. Forthcoming. doi:10.1080/14747731.2022.2086735.
- [192] Tiodora Hadumaon Siagian. Mencari kelompok berisiko tinggi terinfeksi virus corona dengan discourse network analysis. *Jurnal Kebijakan Kesehatan Indonesia: JKKI*, 9(2):98–106, 2020. URL: <https://journal.ugm.ac.id/jkki/article/view/55475/27989>.
- [193] Bruno St-Jacques. Us and them. Mapping discourse coalitions in the EU copyright directive debate. Master’s thesis, Hertie School of Governance, Master of Public Policy, 2019. URL: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/362156055_Us_and_them_-_Mapping_discourse_coalitions_in_the_EU_Copyright_Directive_debate.
- [194] Charlotte Eloise Stancioff. Locality and landscape change: Cultural values and social-ecological resiliency in the Kalinago territory. In Tim Collins, Gesche Kindermann, Conor Newman, and Nessa Cronin, editors, *Landscape Values: Place*

and Praxis. Conference, Galway, 29th June–2nd July, 2016, pages 335–343. NUI Galway, Centre for Landscape Studies, 2016. doi:10379/7340.

- [195] Jan Starke. Generating policy change in situations of equilibrium: Shifting discourse networks in the case of wild circus animals in Germany. Master’s thesis, Universiteit Utrecht, Copernicus Institute of Sustainable Development, Environmental Governance Section, 2016. doi:20.500.12932/25449.
- [196] Nili Steinfeld. The F-campaign: A discourse network analysis of party leaders’ campaign statements on Facebook. *Israel Affairs*, 22(3–4):743–759, 2016. doi:10.1080/13537121.2016.1174385.
- [197] Mark C. J. Stoddart, Alice Mattoni, and John McLevey. *Industrial Development and Eco-Tourisms. Can Oil Extraction and Nature Conservation Co-Exist?* Palgrave Macmillan, Cham, 2020. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-55944-1.
- [198] Mark C. J. Stoddart, Patrick McCurdy, Natalie Slawinski, and Cory G. Collins. Envisioning energy futures in the North Atlantic oil industry: Avoidance, persistence, and transformation as responses to climate change. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 69:101662, 2020. doi:10.1016/j.erss.2020.101662.
- [199] Mark C. J. Stoddart and Elahe Nezhadhossein. Is nature-oriented tourism a pro-environmental practice? Examining tourism–environmentalism alignments through discourse networks and intersectoral relationships. *The Sociological Quarterly*, 57(3):544–568, 2016. doi:10.1111/tsq.12148.
- [200] Mark C. J. Stoddart, Howard Ramos, Karen Foster, and Tuomas Ylä-Anttila. Competing crises? Media coverage and framing of climate change during the Covid-19 pandemic. *Environmental Communication*, 2021. Forthcoming.
- [201] Mark C. J. Stoddart and Jillian Smith. The endangered arctic, the arctic as resource frontier: Canadian news media narratives of climate change and the north. *Canadian Review of Sociology/Revue canadienne de sociologie*, 53(3):316–336, 2016. doi:10.1111/cars.12111.
- [202] Mark C. J. Stoddart and David B. Tindall. Canadian news media and the cultural dynamics of multilevel climate governance. *Environmental Politics*, 24(3):401–422, 2015. doi:10.1080/09644016.2015.1008249.
- [203] Mark C. J. Stoddart, David B. Tindall, Jillian Smith, and Randolph Haluza-Delay. Media access and political efficacy in the eco-politics of climate change: Canadian national news and mediated policy networks. *Environmental Communication*, 11(3):386–400, 2017. doi:10.1080/17524032.2016.1275731.
- [204] Mark C. J. Stoddart and Yixi Yang. What are the roles of regional and local climate governance discourse and actors? Mediated climate change policy networks in Atlantic Canada. *Review of Policy Research*, 2022. Forthcoming. doi:10.1111/ropr.12510.
- [205] Piotr Swacha, Zbigniew M. Karaczun, and Daria Murawska. The Europeanization of Polish climate policy. *Ekonomia i Środowisko – Economics and Environment*, 83(4):62–75, 2022. doi:10.34659/eis.2022.83.4.482.

- [206] Pradip Swarnakar, Rajshri Shukla, and Jeffrey Broadbent. Beliefs and networks: Mapping the Indian climate policy discourse surrounding the Paris climate change conference in 2015. *Environmental Communication*, 16(2):145–162, 2022. doi:[10.1080/17524032.2021.1973528](https://doi.org/10.1080/17524032.2021.1973528).
- [207] Sara Önegård Swedenmark. “vi ska sträcka oss lite längre än vi behöver”. En fallstudie om diskursen kring mellanorganisatorisk samverkan inom Stockholm-regionen. Magisteruppsats i statsvetenskap, Mittuniversitetet, 2018. URL: <https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1239608/FULLTEXT01.pdf>.
- [208] Eva Marij Swinkels. *The Role of EU Leaders and Ideas in Managing the Eurozone Crisis: Navigating Uncharted Territory*. PhD thesis, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands, 2021. doi:[10.33540/563](https://doi.org/10.33540/563).
- [209] Marij Swinkels and Femke van Esch. Deciding upon the banking union: How a joint belief shift instigated deep institutional change in Eurozone governance. *European Policy Analysis*, 8(1):9–32, 2022. doi:[10.1002/epa2.1137](https://doi.org/10.1002/epa2.1137).
- [210] Karianne K. Taranger. The institutionalisation of climate justice in the global governance architecture. Master’s thesis, Universiteit Utrecht, Copernicus Institute of Sustainable Development, Environmental Governance Section, 2020. doi:[20.500.12932/37064](https://doi.org/20.500.12932/37064).
- [211] Paul Tobin, Nicole M. Schmidt, Jale Tosun, and Charlotte Burns. Mapping states’ Paris climate pledges: Analysing targets and groups at COP 21. *Global Environmental Change*, 48:11–21, 2018. doi:[10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2017.11.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2017.11.002).
- [212] Ekaterina Tolstukha. *Stalemate in the Democratic Reform Debate of the European Union? A Dynamic Discourse Network Analysis of Actors and their Commitment to Reform Options*. PhD thesis, University of Glasgow, School of Social and Political Sciences, 2022. doi:[10.5525/gla.thesis.83036](https://doi.org/10.5525/gla.thesis.83036).
- [213] Jale Tosun and Achim Lang. The politics of hydraulic fracturing in Germany: Party competition at different levels of government. In Christopher M. Weible, Tanya Heikkilä, Karin Ingold, and Manuel Fischer, editors, *Policy Debates on Hydraulic Fracturing. Comparing Coalition Politics in North America and Europe*, pages 177–200. Palgrave Macmillan, New York, 2016. doi:[10.1057/978-1-137-59574-4_7](https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-59574-4_7).
- [214] Jale Tosun and Simon Schaub. Mobilization in the European public sphere: The struggle over genetically modified organisms. *Review of Policy Research*, 34(3):310–330, 2017. doi:[10.1111/ropr.12235](https://doi.org/10.1111/ropr.12235).
- [215] Zuzana Tribulová. Postoj Českej republiky k energetickej tranzícii v kontexte energiewende — analýza politického diskurzu. Master’s thesis, Masaryk University, Faculty of Social Studies, 2019. URL: <https://is.muni.cz/th/f7wcd/>.
- [216] Jos Tuinenburg. The effect of discourse networks on the leading support schemes for renewable electricity. Master’s thesis, Universiteit Utrecht, Sustainable Development, Earth System Governance, 2019. doi:[20.500.12932/35280](https://doi.org/20.500.12932/35280).

- [217] Natalia Umansky Casapa. *Securitization and Social Media Networks: Who Tweets Security?* Doctoral thesis, University College Dublin, School of Politics and International Relations, 2022. doi:10.197/13289.
- [218] Lucia Vanková. Ťažba hnedého uhlia na hornej nitre: analýza diskurzívnych sietí. Master’s thesis, Masaryk University, Faculty of Social Studies, 2019. URL: <https://is.muni.cz/th/zr7zl/>.
- [219] Michael Vaughan. Talking about tax: The discursive distance between 38 Degrees and GetUp. *Journal of Information Technology & Politics*, 17(2):114–129, 2020. doi:10.1080/19331681.2019.1705220.
- [220] Balázs Vedres. Multivocality and robust action dynamics in political discourse. *Poetics*, 90:101576, 2022. doi:10.1016/j.poetic.2021.101576.
- [221] Juho Vesa, Antti Gronow, and Tuomas Ylä-Anttila. The quiet opposition: How the pro-economy lobby influences climate policy. *Global Environmental Change*, 63:102117, 2020. doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.102117.
- [222] Colette S. Vogeler. The integration of environmental objectives in the common agricultural policy—partisan politics in the European Parliament. *Zeitschrift für Vergleichende Politikwissenschaft*, 15(4):551–569, 2022. doi:10.1007/s12286-021-00496-3.
- [223] Colette S. Vogeler, Malte Möck, and Nils C. Bandelow. Shifting governance cooperatively – coordination by public discourses in the German water–food nexus. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 286:112266, 2021. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.112266.
- [224] Colette S. Vogeler, Sandra Schwindenhammer, Denise Gonglach, and Nils C. Bandelow. Agri-food technology politics: Exploring policy narratives in the European Parliament. *European Policy Analysis*, 7:324–343, 2021. doi:10.1002/epa2.1114.
- [225] Silvia von Steinsdorff, Lennard Gottmann, Malte Hügelmeyer, Ines-Maria Jeske, Charlotte Onkelbach, and Johanna Siebeking. Plenardebatten als Spiegel sich wandelnder Diskurskoalitionen: Die Positionierung der Bundestagsfraktionen zum Verhältnis von ökologie und ökonomie seit 1977. *ZParl Zeitschrift für Parlamentsfragen*, 52(3):640–658, 2021. doi:10.5771/0340-1758-2021-3-640.
- [226] Paul Wagner and Diane Payne. Trends, frames and discourse networks: Analysing the coverage of climate change in Irish newspapers. *Irish Journal of Sociology*, 25(1):5–28, 2017. doi:10.7227/IJS.0011.
- [227] Stefan Wallaschek. Notions of solidarity in Europe’s migration crisis: The case of Germany’s media discourse. *EuropeNow Journal*, 11, 2017. URL: <https://www.europenowjournal.org/issues/issue-11-oct-2017/>.
- [228] Stefan Wallaschek. The discursive appeal to solidarity and partisan journalism in Europe’s migration crisis. *Social Inclusion*, 7(2):187–197, 2019. doi:10.17645/si.v7i2.1963.

- [229] Stefan Wallaschek. *Mapping Solidarity in Europe. Discourse Networks in the Euro Crisis and Europe's Migration Crisis*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Bremen, Bremen International Graduate School of Social Sciences (BIGSSS), Department of Social Sciences, 2019. URL: <http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:gbv:46-00107787-17>.
- [230] Stefan Wallaschek. Analyzing the European parliamentary elections in 2019: Actor visibility and issue-framing in transnational media. In Michael Kaeding, Manuel Müller, and Julia Schmälter, editors, *Die Europawahl 2019. Ringen um die Zukunft Europas*, pages 219–230. Springer VS, Wiesbaden, 2020. doi:10.1007/978-3-658-29277-5_18.
- [231] Stefan Wallaschek. Contested solidarity in the Euro crisis and Europe's migration crisis: A discourse network analysis. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 27(7):1034–1053, 2020. doi:10.1080/13501763.2019.1659844.
- [232] Stefan Wallaschek. The discursive construction of solidarity: Analysing public claims in Europe's migration crisis. *Political Studies*, 68(1):74–92, 2020. doi:10.1177/0032321719831585.
- [233] Stefan Wallaschek. Framing solidarity in the Euro crisis: A comparison of the German and Irish media discourse. *New Political Economy*, 25(2):231–247, 2020. doi:10.1080/13563467.2019.1586864.
- [234] Stefan Wallaschek, Kavyanjali Kaushik, Monika Verbalyte, Aleksandra Sojka, Giuliana Sorci, Hans-Jörg Trenz, and Monika Eigmüller. Same same but different? Gender politics and (trans-) national value contestation in Europe on Twitter. *Politics and Governance*, 10(1):146–160, 2022. doi:10.17645/pag.v10i1.4751.
- [235] Stefan Wallaschek, Christopher Starke, and Carlotta Brüning. Solidarity in the public sphere: A discourse network analysis of German newspapers (2008–2017). *Politics and Governance*, 8(2), 2020. doi:10.17645/pag.v8i2.2609.
- [236] Chadwick Wang and Luhao Wang. Unfolding policies for innovation intermediaries in China: A discourse network analysis. *Science and Public Policy*, 44(3):354–368, 2017. doi:10.1093/scipol/scw068.
- [237] Sidan Wang. *Dynamic Constructed Climate Change Discourses and Discourse Networks across Newspapers in China around Three Critical Policy Moments: A Comparative Study of People's Daily, China Daily, and Southern Weekend*. PhD thesis, University of Exeter, Department of Politics, February 2018. URL: <http://hdl.handle.net/10871/33375>.
- [238] Yinying Wang. The social networks and paradoxes of the opt-out movement amid the common core state standards implementation. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 25(34):1–27, 2017. doi:10.14507/epaa.25.2757.
- [239] Yinying Wang. Understanding Congressional coalitions: A discourse network analysis of Congressional hearings for the Every Student Succeeds act. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 28(119):1–30, 2020. doi:10.14507/epaa.28.4451.

- [240] Yinying Wang. Examining the actor coalitions and discourse coalitions of the opt-out movement in New York: A discourse network analysis. *Teachers College Record*, 123(5):1–26, 2021. doi:[10.1177/016146812112300506](https://doi.org/10.1177/016146812112300506).
- [241] Gina-Julia Westenberger and Volker Schneider. Söders ökofeuerwerk und die grünfärbung der CSU: Diskursnetzwerke im bayrischen Themenwettbewerb. *Zeitschrift für vergleichende Politikwissenschaft*, 15(4):641–665, 2022. doi:[10.1007/s12286-021-00513-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12286-021-00513-5).
- [242] Hafidz Wibisono, Jon C. Lovett, and Dhimas Bayu Anindito. The contestation of ideas behind Indonesia’s rural electrification policies: The influence of global and national institutional dynamics. *Development Policy Review*, 2023. Forthcoming. doi:[10.1111/dpr.12650](https://doi.org/10.1111/dpr.12650).
- [243] Jiang Wu and Yangyang Liu. Deception detection methods incorporating discourse network metrics in synchronous computer-mediated communication. *Journal of Information Science*, 46(1):64–81, 2020. doi:[10.1177/0165551518823176](https://doi.org/10.1177/0165551518823176).
- [244] Jiang Wu and Lina Zhou. DOBNet: Exploiting the discourse of deception behaviour to uncover online deception strategies. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 34(9):936–948, 2015. doi:[10.1080/0144929X.2015.1016116](https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2015.1016116).
- [245] Kun Yan, Han Wu, Kaiming Bu, and Lingli Wu. The college admission policy evolution from 2003 to 2020 in China – a social network analysis. *Higher Education Policy*, 2023. Forthcoming. doi:[10.1057/s41307-022-00300-1](https://doi.org/10.1057/s41307-022-00300-1).
- [246] Xiao-Shan Yap, Jonas Heiberg, Bernhard Truffer, Emmanuelle David, and Jean-Paul Kneib. Emerging global socio-technical regimes for tackling space debris: A discourse network analysis. *Acta Astronautica*, 2023. Forthcoming. doi:[10.1016/j.actaastro.2023.01.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actaastro.2023.01.016).
- [247] Jill Yordy, Jongeun You, Kyudong Park, Christopher M. Weible, and Tanya Heikkila. Framing contests and policy conflicts over gas pipelines. *Review of Policy Research*, 36(6):736–756, 2019. doi:[10.1111/ropr.12364](https://doi.org/10.1111/ropr.12364).
- [248] Jongeun You, Christopher M. Weible, and Tanya Heikkila. Exploring instigator and defender policy scenarios in the siting of energy infrastructure. *Politics & Policy*, 50(1):8–32, 2021. doi:[10.1111/polp.12442](https://doi.org/10.1111/polp.12442).
- [249] Jongeun You, Jill Yordy, Kyudong Park, Tanya Heikkila, and Christopher M. Weible. Policy conflicts in the siting of natural gas pipelines. *Journal of Environmental Policy & Planning*, 22(4):501–517, 2020. doi:[10.1080/1523908X.2020.1778457](https://doi.org/10.1080/1523908X.2020.1778457).
- [250] Jongeun You, Jill Yordy, Christopher M. Weible, Kyudong Park, Tanya Heikkila, and Duncan Gilchrist. Comparing policy conflict on electricity transmission line sitings. *Public Policy and Administration*, 38(1):09520767211036800, 2021. doi:[10.1177/09520767211036800](https://doi.org/10.1177/09520767211036800).