ELE2742 / ENG1467 - PUC-Rio, 2019.1

Lecture 13: Online Linear Programming and Multiplicative Algo I DATE

Lecturer: Kanat Tangwongsan Scribe: Kriangsak Thuiprakhon

1 Event Prediction

Let's say we want to predict whether or not an even A will happen? In doing so, we will go about asking N number of experts to make T rounds of predictions., where:

For
$$t = 1, \dots, T$$

- 1. Each expert $i \in [N]$ advises: YES/NO
- 2. Aggregator predicts the outcome: YES/NO
- 3. An adversary (think of it as God who knows all an aggregator would redict) will determine for an whether an event will occur: YES/NO
- 4. Observe the outcome

In any predictions made, there can be the case when mistakes are made by those N experts. Here, we will define what mistakes means

Mistake := predicted outcome ! = actual outcome

GOAL: our goal is to minimize the number of mistakes made in one prediction.

Theorem 1.1. If there is a **perfect expert**, then, there is an aggregator that makes at most log_2n mistakes

Proof. Think of this as how many mistakes have to made until the perfect expert is found. **Observation** If a mistake has been made, at least N/2 experts were wrong. Therefore, there will be at most log_2n mistakes made until the perfect expert is found.

Theorem 1.2. If the best expert makes **m** mistakes, then the aggregator makes mistakes in a total of

$$O(m(log_2N) + log_2N)$$

Proof. Consider

- Every run, imperfect experts make $\leq log_2N + 1$ mistakes and the perfect expert makes ≥ 1 mistake(s)
- There can be at most m runs: in the m+1 run, the best expert makes no more mistake.
- By **Theorem 1.1** all imperfect experts make $\leq log_2N$

Therefore, the total number of mistakes is $O(m(log_2N+1)log_2N)$

Remark: notice that the above bound is multiplicative of m, we will try to do better in trying to reduce it into an additive bound.

2 Weighted Majority Algorithm (WMA)

To continue with the expert example, we will try to come up with a few algorithms that will improve upon the bound from *Theorem 1.2*

Initially, we define the weight function of the zero-th round to be $w_i^{(0)}=1$

$$w_i^{(t+1)} = \begin{cases} w_i^{(t)} & \text{if i were correct} \\ \frac{1}{1}w_i^{(t)} & \text{if i were wrong} \end{cases}$$

The prediction will be made using WMA, Let's us define the potential function

$$\phi^{(t)} = \sum_{i}^{T} w_i^{(t)}$$

Notice that:

- $\phi^{(0)} = n$
- $\bullet \ \phi^{(t+1)} \le \phi^{(t)}$

Let's say a mistake was made at step t, then

$$\begin{split} \phi^{(t+1)} &= \sum_{i}^{T} w_{i}^{(t+1)} \\ &= \sum_{i:correct}^{T} w_{i}^{(t+1)} + \sum_{i:wrong}^{T} w_{i}^{(t+1)} \\ &= \sum_{i:correct}^{T} w_{i}^{(t)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i:wrong}^{T} w_{i}^{(t)} \\ &= \sum_{i:correct}^{T} w_{i}^{(t+1)} + (1 - \frac{1}{2}) \sum_{i:wrong}^{T} w_{i}^{(t)} - * \\ &= \phi^{(t)} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i:wrong}^{T} w_{i}^{(t)} \\ &\leq (1 - \frac{1}{4}) \phi^{(t)} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \phi^{(t)} \end{split}$$

From *, let's zoom in into what happens at this step. In fact, we can express the potential function as follows:

$$\begin{split} \phi^{(t)} &= \sum_{i}^{T} w_i^{(t)} \\ &= \sum_{i:correct}^{T} w_i^{(t)} + \sum_{i:wrong}^{T} w_i^{(t)} \end{split}$$

If we expand *,

$$* = \underbrace{\sum_{i:correct}^{T} w_i^{(t+1)} + \sum_{i:wrong}^{T} w_i^{(t)}}_{\phi^{(t)}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i:wrong}^{T} w_i^{(t)}$$

$$= \phi^{(t)} - \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\sum_{i:wrong}^{T} w_i^{(t)}}_{**}$$

where
$$** \le \frac{1}{2}\phi^{(t)}$$

Now, enough with unattractive summations, we will analyze what would happen to the total number of makes (denoted by M) the aggregator makes if (perfect) experti makes m_i mistakes:

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^m = w_i^{(T)} \le \phi^{(T+1)} \le \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^M \phi^{(0)} - (***)$$

To make it a little bit easier to solve, we till take $log_2(***)$

$$-m_i \le M \log_2 \frac{3}{4} + \log_2 N$$

$$m_i \ge M \log_2 \frac{4}{3} - \log_2 N$$

$$M \le \frac{1}{\log_2 \frac{4}{3}} (m_i + \log_2 N)$$

if you consult WolframAlpha, then

$$M < 2.41(m_i + log_2 N)$$