


Isogenies & Pairings

the Tate pairing*

bilinear pairing from torsion groups to fields

- choose a degree r
- take point P of order r on E , that is $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})[r]$
- take point Q on E such that $Q \in E(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})/rE(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$
- then $e_r(P, Q) = \zeta \in \mu_r$




in our specific case

Formally, this pairing is abstract. Specifically in our case, $p + 1 = 4 \cdot \ell_1 \cdot \ell_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \ell_n$ there is a nice interpretation of this pairing.

Choose r dividing $p + 1$, say $r = \prod \ell_i = \frac{p+1}{4}$ then for $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ we get

$$P = \mathcal{O} + P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_n.$$




Isogenies & Pairings

the Tate pairing*

bilinear pairing from torsion groups to fields

- choose a degree r
- take point P of order r on E , that is $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})[r]$
- take point Q on E such that $Q \in E(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})/rE(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$
- then $e_r(P, Q) = \zeta \in \mu_r$



in our specific case

Formally, this pairing is abstract. Specifically in our case, $p+1 = 4 \cdot \ell_1 \cdot \ell_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \ell_n$ there is a nice interpretation of this pairing.

Choose r dividing $p+1$, say $r = \prod \ell_i = \frac{p+1}{4}$ then for $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ we get

$$P = \mathcal{O} + P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_n.$$

For $Q \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$, we have equivalence by elements R in $rE(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$. In this scenario, we can think of such elements R as $R_0 + \mathcal{O} + \dots + \mathcal{O}$, which implies $Q \sim Q'$ whenever

$$Q = Q_0 + Q_1 + Q_2 + \dots + Q_n \sim Q' = Q'_0 + Q_1 + Q_2 + \dots + Q_n$$

In this specific scenario, we can think of Q as the elements $\mathcal{O} + Q_1 + \dots + Q_n$