

PART 3

Generalisations

KU LEUVEN

Subgroup Membership Test

inDinnsion2

Generalised Entangled Basis for Elliptic Curves

easy

















internships of 2-3 months

With our knowledge of 2-profiles, we know we

This gives

with different non-trivial profiles

an Montgomery curve.

denote the reduced 2-Tate pairings.

Using Theorem 5, we can easily sample basis

Easy: solve the linear system

to generalize this to any elliptic curve

just needed



withn

for

where the

(P, Q)

E[2^{*n*}]



P, Q

$$t_2(P) \neq t_2(\emptyset)$$



$$f_1(p) = f_1(o)$$

$$f_2(P) = -f_3(Q)$$

$$f_3(p) = -f_2(o)$$



x_P, x_Q

E[2]

profiles to perform subgroup membership tests



nas

Gaudy's Kummer surface

Using Theorem 6, we can see that





non-degenerate factor 2, so we find

Efficiency: compared to testing

with a non-degenerate cofactor. For example,

We can generalize this to abelian varieties

this profile approach is four times faster.

$$P \stackrel{?}{\in} E[r](\mathbb{F}_q)$$

$K(\mathbb{F}_p)$

$$P \in K[r](\mathbb{F}_p) \Leftrightarrow t_{[2]}(P) = 1_s$$

$$[r]P \stackrel{?}{=} 0_K$$



Man at the Street (2003)

Definition 5. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a separable isogeny between abelian varieties over a finite field k . Let $(\ker f)(k)$ be of type δ with associated basis $\langle P_1, \dots, P_r \rangle$. The *generalised f -Tate profile* $t_{\ker f}$ is the map

$$t_{\ker f} : (\operatorname{coker} \hat{f})(k) \rightarrow \mu_\delta, \quad Q \mapsto (t_f(P_1, Q), \dots, t_f(P_r, Q)).$$



Man at the Street (2003)