



The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen  
SDS · School of Data Science

**Midterm Exam – Solutions**

**MAT 3007 – Optimization**

**Spring Semester 2023**

Prof. Xiao Li, Prof. Andre Milzarek, Prof. Zizhuo Wang  
March 25th, 2023

Please read the following instructions carefully:

- You have **90 minutes** to complete the exam.  
Examination Time: **10:00 to 11:30 am**.  
The exam consists of **six problems** in total.
- You are (**only**) allowed to bring one self-made sheet of A4 paper (with arbitrary notes on both sides of it) for your personal use in this exam.  
Usage of electronic devices or other tools is not allowed.
- Please abide by the honor codes of CUHK-SZ.
- Please make sure to present your solutions and answers in a comprehensible way and give explanations of your steps and results. Write down all necessary steps when answering the questions.
- Violation of the exam policies will be considered as cheating and reported. Consequences of such violation include zero points for the exam and disciplinary actions.
- Good luck!

**Points**

E01	25
E02	16
E03	12
E04	21
E05	12
E06	14

**Tot.** 100

**Exercise 1 (The Simplex Method):**

(18+7 = 25 points)

We want to use the two-phase method to solve the following linear programming problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{maximize} && 5x_1 &+& 8x_2 &+& 11x_3 &+& x_4 \\
 &\text{subject to} && 2x_1 &-& 2x_2 &+& x_3 &-& x_4 &= 6 \\
 &&& x_1 &+& 2x_2 &+& 2x_3 && &= 6 \\
 &&& 2x_1 &+& 2x_2 &+& 2x_3 &-& x_4 &= 10 \\
 &&& x_1, && x_2, && x_3, && x_4 &\geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

- Apply Phase I of the two-phase method to find an initial basic feasible solution (BFS). For each step, clearly mark the current basis, the current basic solution, and the corresponding objective value.
- Show that the initial BFS found in Phase I is already an optimal solution by checking its reduced costs. What is the optimal value of the problem?

**Solution:**

- We first derive the standard form (2pts):

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{minimize} && -5x_1 &-& 8x_2 &-& 11x_3 &-& x_4 \\
 &\text{subject to} && 2x_1 &-& 2x_2 &+& x_3 &-& x_4 &= 6 \\
 &&& x_1 &+& 2x_2 &+& 2x_3 && &= 6 \\
 &&& 2x_1 &+& 2x_2 &+& 2x_3 &-& x_4 &= 10 \\
 &&& x_1, && x_2, && x_3, && x_4 &\geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

We apply phase I to find an initial BFS. We construct the auxiliary linear programming problem as follows (2pts):

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{minimize} && y_1 &+& y_2 &+& y_3 \\
 &\text{subject to} && 2x_1 &-& 2x_2 &+& x_3 &-& x_4 &+& y_1 &= 6 \\
 &&& x_1 &+& 2x_2 &+& 2x_3 && &+& y_2 &= 6 \\
 &&& 2x_1 &+& 2x_2 &+& 2x_3 &-& x_4 &+& y_3 &= 10 \\
 &&& x_1, & x_2, & x_3, & x_4, & y_1, & y_2, & y_3 &\geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

To use the simplex tableau, we compute the reduced cost for the non-basic indices:

$$\bar{c}_N = -\mathbf{1}^\top A_N = (-5, -2, -5, 2), \quad (2\text{pts})$$

and the initial negative of the objective function

$$-c_B^\top x_B = -\mathbf{1}^\top (6, 6, 10) = -22. \quad (1 \text{ pt})$$

Thus, the initial simplex tableau can be written as

B	-5	-2	-5	2	0	0	0	-22
5	2	-2	1	-1	1	0	0	6
6	1	2	2	0	0	1	0	6
7	2	2	2	-1	0	0	1	10

The pivot column is  $\{1\}$ , the outgoing column is  $\{5\}$ , and the pivot element is 2 (3 pts, including the above initial tableau). After the row updates, we obtain the new tableau:

B	0	-7	-5/2	-1/2	5/2	0	0	-7
1	1	-1	1/2	-1/2	1/2	0	0	3
6	0	3	3/2	1/2	-1/2	1	0	3
7	0	4	1	0	-1	0	1	4

The pivot column is  $\{2\}$ , the outgoing column is  $\{6\}$ , and the pivot element is 3 (2 pts, including the above tableau). After the row updates, we obtain the new tableau:

B	0	0	1	2/3	4/3	7/3	0	0
1	1	0	1	-1/3	1/3	1/3	0	4
2	0	1	1/2	1/6	-1/6	1/3	0	1
7	0	0	-1	-2/3	-1/3	-4/3	1	0

The solution is optimal since the objective value is 0 (2 pts, including the above tableau). However, the basis still contains the auxiliary variable  $y_3$ . We substitute it with  $x_3$  (using  $x_4$  is also fine) by performing row operations, we have

B	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
1	1	0	0	-1	0	-1	1	4
2	0	1	0	-1/6	-1/3	-1/3	1/2	1
3	0	0	1	2/3	1/3	4/3	-1	0

Hence, we obtain an initial BFS to the original problem:  $x = (4, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$  with basis  $B = \{1, 2, 3\}$  (4 pts, including the above descriptions and the updated tableau).

- b) From Phase I, we know an initial BFS to the original problem is  $x = (4, 1, 0, 0)$  with basis  $B = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . In addition, we can read from the final simplex tableau that

$$A_B^{-1}A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1/6 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2/3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2 \text{ pts})$$

The reduced cost can be calculated as

$$\bar{c}^\top = c^\top - c_B^\top A_B^{-1}A = (0, 0, 0, 0). \quad (2 \text{ pts})$$

This implies that the current  $x = (4, 1, 0, 0)$  with basis  $B = \{1, 2, 3\}$  is already an optimal solution (1 pt). The optimal value to the original maximization problem is  $-c_B^\top x_B = 28$  (2 pts).

## Exercise 2 (Duality):

(6+10=16 points)

Consider the following linear programming problem:

$$\begin{array}{llllll} \text{maximize} & 2x_1 & + & x_2 & - & 3x_3 & - & x_4 \\ \text{subject to} & 2x_1 & - & x_2 & + & x_3 & & \geq 2 \\ & & & x_2 & - & x_3 & + & 2x_4 \leq 2 \\ & x_1 & & & + & 2x_3 & - & x_4 = 1 \\ & x_1, & & x_2, & & x_3, & & x_4 \geq 0. \end{array}$$

- a) Derive the dual problem.
- b) Use the complementarity-based optimality conditions for LPs to show that  $(\frac{3}{2}, 1, 0, \frac{1}{2})^\top$  is a primal optimal solution.

**Solution:**

a) We use  $y_1, y_2, y_3$  to denote the dual variables. The dual problem is given by

$$\begin{array}{llllll}
 \text{minimize} & 2y_1 & + & 2y_2 & + & y_3 \\
 \text{subject to} & 2y_1 & & & + & y_3 & \geq & 2 \\
 & -y_1 & + & y_2 & & & \geq & 1 \\
 & y_1 & - & y_2 & + & 2y_3 & \geq & -3 \\
 & & & 2y_2 & - & y_3 & \geq & -1 \\
 & y_1 & \leq & 0, & y_2 & \geq & 0, & y_3 \text{ free.}
 \end{array}$$

(The objective function and each constraint (the last line count as one constraint) worth 1 pt, in total 6 pts.)

b) In order to ensure complementarity conditions, we need

$$2y_1 + y_3 - 2 = 0$$

$$-y_1 + y_2 - 1 = 0$$

$$2y_2 - y_3 + 1 = 0,$$

since  $x_1, x_2, x_4$  are non-zeros. (Each complementarity condition worth 1 pt, in total 3 points). This gives  $y_1 = -\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $y_2 = \frac{3}{4}$ ,  $y_3 = \frac{5}{2}$  (2 pt). In addition, it is easy to verify that  $x = (\frac{3}{2}, 1, 0, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $y = (-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{5}{2})$  satisfy primal and dual feasibility (3 pts). Thus, by the optimality conditions for LPs (primal and dual feasibility, complementarity conditions), we conclude that  $x$  and  $y$  are optimal for the primal and dual problems, respectively (2 pts).

**Exercise 3 (True or False):**

(3+3+3+3=12 points)

State whether each of the following statements is *true* or *false*. For each part, only your answer, which should be one of *true* or *false*, will be graded. Explanations are not required and will not be read.

- a) We consider an unbounded linear program. Then, the LP remains unbounded if a new variable is added to the problem.
- b) The simplex tableau can contain a row vector  $r$  with  $r_i < 0$  for all  $i$ .
- c) We consider the standard LP polyhedron  $P := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ax = b, x \geq 0\}$  with  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  having full rank. Let  $x$  be a basic feasible solution with basis  $B$ . Then, there exists an extreme point  $y \in P$  with  $x \neq y$  and  $x_i = y_i = 0$  for all  $i \notin B$ .
- d) We consider an infeasible primal linear optimization problem. Then its associated dual must be infeasible as well.

**Solution:**

- a) **True.** Setting the new variable fixed to zero will allow to reduce the objective function to  $-\infty$  along the same unbounded directions. (3pts)
- b) **False.** In this case,  $x_B$  is infeasible which cannot happen in the simplex tableau. (3pts)
- c) **False.** This condition implies  $x_N = y_N = 0$  and hence, by the feasibility of  $y$ , we have

$$Ay = b \iff A_B y_B = b \iff y_B = A_B^{-1} b = x_B.$$

But then  $x = y$ . (3pts)

- d) **False.** The dual can be unbounded. (3pts)

**Exercise 4 (Sensitivity Analysis):**

(21 points)

A coal company converts raw coals to low, medium and high grade coal mix. The coal requirements for each mix, the availability of each raw coal (there are **three types of raw coal**: ZX, SH, GF), and the selling price are shown below:

	Low grade	Medium grade	High grade	Available (tons)
ZX coal	2	2	1	180
SH coal	1	2	3	120
GF coal	1	1	2	160
Price	\$9	\$10	\$12	

Let  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  denote the amount of low, medium, and high grade mix to produce. Then a linear program for this problem is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{maximize} && 9x_1 + 10x_2 + 12x_3 \\
 &\text{subject to} && 2x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 \leq 180 \\
 &&& x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 \leq 120 \\
 &&& x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 \leq 160 \\
 &&& x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

After using the simplex method **on the standard form**, the final tableau is as follows:

B	0	2	0	3	3	0	900
1	1	0.8	0	0.6	-0.2	0	84
3	0	0.4	1	-0.2	0.4	0	12
6	0	-0.6	0	-0.2	-0.6	1	52

- In what range can the price of medium grade mix vary without changing the optimal basis? (6pts)
- In what range can the price of low grade mix vary without changing the optimal basis? (8pts)
- In what range can the availability of ZX coal vary without changing the optimal basis? (7pts)

**Solution:**

- This corresponds to change in  $c_2$  to  $-10 + \lambda$ . Note that 2 is not a basic index. Therefore, we only need to consider the new reduced cost for that index. (2pts)

In this case, the new reduced cost will simply be  $2 + \lambda$ . Therefore in order for the original basis to stay optimal, we need  $\lambda \geq -2$  (2pt). **That is, the price range should be less than 12. (2pt)**

- This is equivalent as finding out the range of changes for  $c_1$  such that the optimal basis stays the same.

Observe that 1 is a basic index. Therefore, we need to compute  $\bar{c}_N = c_N - \bar{c}_B^T A_B^{-1} A_N$  (1pt) where  $c_N = [-10, 0, 0]$ ,  $\bar{c}_B = [-9 + \lambda, -12, 0]$  and

$$A_B^{-1} A_N = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8 & 0.6 & -0.2 \\ 0.4 & -0.2 & 0.4 \\ -0.6 & -0.2 & -0.6 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2pts)$$

We have

$$\bar{c}_N = [2 - 0.8\lambda, 3 - 0.6\lambda, 3 + 0.2\lambda] \quad (2pts)$$

In order for the original basis to be still optimal, we need  $-15 \leq \lambda \leq 2.5$  (2pt), i.e., the range of price has to be  $[6.5, 24]$  (1pt).

- c) This is equivalent as finding out the range of changes for  $b_1$  such that the optimal basis stays the same.

We need to consider  $A_B^{-1}\tilde{b}$  where  $\tilde{b} = [180 + \lambda, 120, 160]$  (3pts). Here we can find  $A_B^{-1}$  in the last three columns in the final tableau. Therefore, we need

$$\begin{aligned} A_B^{-1}\tilde{b} &= A_B^{-1}[180; 120; 160] + \lambda A_B^{-1}[1; 0; 0] \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 84 \\ 12 \\ 52 \end{bmatrix} + \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 0.6 & -0.2 & 0 \\ -0.2 & 0.4 & 0 \\ -0.2 & -0.6 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 84 + 0.6\lambda \\ 12 - 0.2\lambda \\ 52 - 0.2\lambda \end{bmatrix} \geq 0 \text{ (2pts)} \end{aligned}$$

Solving these inequalities gives  $-140 \leq \lambda \leq 60$ . And the range of the availability of ZX coal is  $[40, 240]$  in order for the optimal basis stays optimal. (2pt)

### Exercise 5 (Inventory Planning Problem):

(12 points)

A manufacturing company forecasts the demand over the next  $n$  months to be  $d_1, \dots, d_n$ . In any month, the company can produce up to  $C$  units using regular production at a cost of  $b$  dollars per unit. The company may also produce using overtime (when exceeding the regular production quantity  $C$ ) under which case it can produce additional units at  $c$  dollars per unit, where  $c > b$ . The firm can store units from month to month at a cost of  $s$  dollars per unit per month.

Formulate a linear optimization problem to determine the production schedule that meets the demand while minimizing the cost.

**Solution:** Let  $x_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  denote the amount of units produced in month  $i$  in regular production, let  $y_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  denote the amount of units produced in month  $i$  in overtime production, and let  $z_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n$  denote the amount of inventory at the end of period  $i$ . (3pts)

Then we can formulate the problem as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize} \quad & b \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + c \sum_{i=1}^n y_i + s \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} z_i \\ \text{subject to} \quad & x_i + y_i + z_{i-1} - d_i = z_i \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n \\ & z_0 = 0 \\ & z_n = 0 \\ & x_i \leq C \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n \\ & x_i, y_i, z_i \leq 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

The objective function is worth 2pts. The first constraint is worth 4pts. Each other constraint is worth 1pt (the missing of each of these constraints will cost 1pt).

**Exercise 6 (Relaxing a Binary Optimization Problem):**

(5+9=14 points)

In this exercise, we investigate the binary optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} && c^\top x \\ & \text{subject to} && \mathbf{1}^\top x = k \\ & && x_i \in \{0, 1\} \text{ for all } i, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k < n$ , are given and  $\mathbf{1}_i = 1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  is the vector of all ones. In order to solve this problem, we consider the associated relaxed linear program

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} && c^\top x \\ & \text{subject to} && \mathbf{1}^\top x = k \\ & && x \geq 0 \\ & && x \leq \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

a) Derive the dual problem of (2). (5pts)

b) Prove that problem (2) has a binary optimal solution  $x^*$  satisfying  $x_i^* \in \{0, 1\}$  for all  $i$ . (9pts)

**Hint:** Without loss of generality, you may assume  $c_1 \geq c_2 \geq \dots \geq c_n$ . Try to then construct a suitable candidate for  $x^*$  (the first equality constraint can be helpful) and prove its optimality.

**Solution:**

a) The dual problem of (2) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && \mathbf{1}^\top y + kz \\ & \text{subject to} && y \geq 0 \\ & && z \text{ free} \\ & && \mathbf{1} \cdot z + y \geq c. \end{aligned}$$

5pts in total. 2pts for the correct dimension and form of the dual variable  $(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ . 1pt for objective function. 1pt for the inequality constraint. 1pt for variable constraints. If the format of the dual is wrong: minus 2pts – rest depends on whether the logic is correct. (Constraints and coefficients don't need to be simplified).

b) Let us assume  $c_1 \geq c_2 \geq \dots \geq c_n$ . In order to solve the original binary problem (1), we can set  $x_i^* = 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and  $x_i^* = 0$  for  $i > k$  (2pts). We now prove that this point is indeed an optimal solution of (2). Obviously, by construction,  $x^*$  is feasible for the primal problem (2) (1pt). Furthermore, the complementarity conditions are given by:

$$x_i^* \cdot (z + y_i - c_i) = 0, \quad z \cdot (\mathbf{1}^\top x^* - k) = 0, \quad y_i \cdot (x_i^* - 1) = 0, \quad \forall i \quad (2\text{pts}).$$

The first condition holds for all  $i > k$ , the second condition holds automatically, and the third condition is satisfied for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Hence, we need to find feasible  $y$  and  $z$  such that

$$y_i = 0 \quad \forall i = k+1, \dots, n \quad \text{and} \quad z + y_i - c_i = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, k \quad \leftarrow (1\text{pts}).$$

Thus, we can set  $y_i = c_i - z$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and  $z = \min_{i=1, \dots, k} c_i = c_k$  (1pt). This implies  $y_i = c_i - c_k \geq 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and  $z + y_j = c_k \geq c_j$  for all  $j > k$ . Consequently,  $(y, z)$  is feasible for the dual problem and we can infer that  $x^*$  is an optimal solution of (2) (1+1pts).  
*constraint  $y \geq 0$*  *constraint  $\mathbf{1}^\top z + y \geq c$*  *Then the two conditions are satisfied*

Core steps: Define  $x^*$  and apply complementarity conditions to find dual solution. Other solutions are possible, but careful explanation is necessary. This question can be simplified by stating  $x^*$  in the question & “use duality or optimality conditions”.