#### CSE 202 Homework 1

#### Winter, 2021

Due Tuesday, Jan 12 at 11:59 PM

Background: order notation, induction, loop invariants, simple analysis of algorithms

### 1 Exercises

- 1. Which of the following pairs of functions are of the same order? (By same order, I mean that they have the  $\Theta$  relation.) Explain your answer
  - (a)  $n \log(n^2)$  and  $n \log n$
  - (b)  $2^{\log n}$  and  $2^{2\log n}$ .
  - (c)  $2^n$  and  $2^n + 2^{n-1} + 2^{n-2} + \dots 1$
  - (d)  $n^2$  and  $n^2 + (n-1)^2 + (n-2)^2 + ...4 + 1$
  - (e)  $n \log n$  and  $\log(n!)$
- 2. The Fibonnaci sequence Fib<sub>i</sub> is defined by the recurrence Fib<sub>0</sub> = Fib<sub>1</sub> = 1, Fib<sub>i+1</sub> = Fib<sub>i</sub> + Fib<sub>i-1</sub> for i > 1.

Give a simplified expression for  $Fib_1 + Fib_3 + Fib_5 + ... Fib_{2i+1}$ , and prove your answer.

3. You start with some number of red balls in a red urn, and some number of green balls in a green urn. At each step, you pick a ball arbitrarily from each urn, and switch both to the other urn. Prove that, at all times, there are the same number of red balls in the green urn as there are green balls in the red urn.

## 2 Ungraded Problems

- 1. Recurrence: Let T(n) be the function given by the recursion:  $T(n) = nT(\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor)$  for n > 1 and T(1) = 1. Is  $T(n) \in O(n^k)$  for some constant k, i.e. is T bounded by a polynomial in n? Prove your answer either way. (Note: logic and definition of O notation are more important than exact calculations for this problem.)
- 2. Reasoning about order: Let f(n) be a positive, integer-valued function on the natural numbers that is non-decreasing. Show that if  $f(2n) \in O(f(n))$ , then  $f(n) \in O(n^k)$  for some constant k. Is the converse also always true (Note: this is the difficult part)?
- 3. Triangles: A triangle in a graph are 3 nodes any two of which are adjacent. Present two algorithms for determining whether a graph has a triangle, one if the graph is given as an adjacency matrix and the other if it is given in adjacency list format. Analyze these algorithms in terms of both the number of nodes n and the number of edges m.

# 3 Graded Problems - 25 points each

- 1. Prove that, if for positive integer-valued functions  $f, g, f \in \Theta(g)$ , and h is a strictly increasing positive integer valued function, that  $f(h(n)) \in \Theta(g(h(n)))$ . Is the converse always true?
- 2. Consider the following word puzzle. You are given a vocabulary list of n, k-letter words, a starting k-letter word, and an ending k-letter word. You want to find a way to change the starting word to the ending word one letter at a time, always being on the list of vocabulary words. Define a graph where solutions correspond to certain paths in the graph. Be sure to specify what the vertices and edges of

your graph are, and exactly which paths correspond to solutions. For example, say k=4 and n=15, the starting word is SHOW and the ending word is TELL, and the list contains those two words and SLOW, STOW, STOP, SHOP, CHOP, CROP, DROP, CLOP, COOP, COOL, TOOL, TOLL. Then one solution is

SHOW, SLOW, SLOP, CLOP, COOP, COOL, TOOL, TOLL, TELL.

- 3. One vector of real numbers  $(x_1,..x_d)$  dominates another vector  $(y_1,..y_d)$  if  $x_i \ge y_i$  for i = 1...d. (Think of each co-ordinate as a quantity that might be better or worse for some alternatives, e.g., speed and memory for computer architectures.  $\vec{x}$  dominates  $\vec{y}$  if it is at least as good in all respects.) Given a set of n vectors in d dimensions, S,  $\vec{x}$  is Pareto Optimal if no  $\vec{y} \in S, \vec{y} \ne \vec{x}$ , dominates  $\vec{x}$ . For two dimensions, give an efficient algorithm that returns the list of Pareto Optimal elements of S. (Extra: how about for larger values of d?)
- 4. Experimental Evaluation of Triangle Algorithm. Implement your triangle finding algorithm the above algorithm, and test it on many random graphs where each edge is present with probability 1/2. Try it for n as many different powers of 2 as you can. Plot time vs. input size on a log vs. log curve. Does the algorithm's observed time fit the analysis? Why or why not? Then do the same experiment for random bipartite graphs with n vertices on each side.