### MIT WORLD PEACE UNIVERSITY

Operating Systems Second Year B. Tech, Semester 3

# PROCESS SYNCHRONIZATION - SIMULATION OF READER-WRITER PROBLEM IN C

## ASSIGNMENT 2 PRACTICAL REPORT

Prepared By

Krishnaraj Thadesar Cyber Security and Forensics Batch A2, PA 20

November 3, 2022

#### 1 Code

```
1 // Reader writer problem.
3 #include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
5 #include <unistd.h>
6 #include <pthread.h>
7 #include <semaphore.h>
9 sem_t sem_wrt;
10 sem_t sem_mutex;
int shared_variable = 0;
12 int number_of_readers;
void *reader()
15 {
16
      sem_wait(&sem_mutex);
      printf("\nRead: %d\n", shared_variable);
17
      printf("Reader finished its CS so releasing mutex\n");
19
      sem_post(&sem_mutex);
20 }
21
void *writer()
23 {
      sem_wait(&sem_wrt);
24
      sem_wait(&sem_mutex);
25
      printf("Blocking sem wait and mutex variable so no other writer can write rn.
27
      shared_variable++;
      printf("Wrote to the shared variable %d\n", shared_variable);
28
      sem_post(&sem_wrt);
29
30
      sem_post(&sem_mutex);
31 }
32
33 int main()
34 {
      pthread_t t1, t2;
35
      sem_init(&sem_mutex, 0, 1);
36
      sem_init(&sem_wrt, 0, 1);
37
38
      printf("Enter how many readers and Writers you want (Same number of both are
      taken by default): ");
      scanf("%d", &number_of_readers);
40
41
      for (int i = 0; i < number_of_readers; i++)</pre>
42
           pthread_create(&t2, NULL, writer, NULL);
           pthread_create(&t1, NULL, reader, NULL);
45
46
47
      pthread_join(t1, NULL);
48
      pthread_join(t2, NULL);
49
50
      sem_destroy(&sem_mutex);
51 }
```

Listing 1: Assignment 5.Cpp

### 2 Input and Output

```
1 Enter how many readers and Writers you want (Same number of both are taken by
2 Blocking sem wait and mutex variable so no other writer can write rn.
3 Wrote to the shared variable 1
5 Read: 1
6 Reader finished its CS so releasing mutex
7 Blocking sem wait and mutex variable so no other writer can write rn.
8 Wrote to the shared variable 2
10 Read: 2
11 Reader finished its CS so releasing mutex
12 Blocking sem wait and mutex variable so no other writer can write rn.
13 Wrote to the shared variable 3
15 Read: 3
16 Reader finished its CS so releasing mutex
17 Blocking sem wait and mutex variable so no other writer can write rn.
18 Wrote to the shared variable 4
20 Read: 4
{\tt 21} Reader finished its CS so releasing mutex
22 Blocking sem wait and mutex variable so no other writer can write rn.
^{23} Wrote to the shared variable ^{5}
25 Read: 5
26 Reader finished its CS so releasing mutex
27 Blocking sem wait and mutex variable so no other writer can write rn.
28 Wrote to the shared variable 6
30 Read: 6
31 Reader finished its CS so releasing mutex
33 Read: 6
34 Reader finished its CS so releasing mutex
36 Read: 6
37 Reader finished its CS so releasing mutex
38 Blocking sem wait and mutex variable so no other writer can write rn.
39 Wrote to the shared variable 7
40 Blocking sem wait and mutex variable so no other writer can write rn.
41 Wrote to the shared variable 8
```

Listing 2: Input and Output.Cpp

OS- FAG - Assignment -5 (A) Readie Voiter Problem Frishnaraj P7. 10322 10888 PA20 ; Batch A) (9.1) Explain the working of demaphores. If the sam swowen is to be modified and for accussed to by 2 of more processes, they can eithe wis of lose the Saw & change that Valiable first; depending , program may change its output. This seduns seliability int a, Program -2 Program - 1 read (a) ; 9=5 read (a); a = ? -> To solve this, we need semaphous. a solution to solu mutual exclusion. -> vers an istigne to maintain state of prouses. -> can only be accused theory want () and signed ().

wait () tells the OS and other peogeons that current is voking process is cretarally using som supum. It then was signal () to then signed the freedom of that resource. > vait (5) { while (5 < 0) s -- ; } > Signal (3) 2 5++ 3 variables and resources without causing confusion process \_ 1 () // examp

{ { wait (m) },

// critical lask on some;

resource // example of sumapholic signal (m) ; // tack don Discuss produce consume problem and device a solution with semaphores. -> It is a classic problem of Syncheorization. to a buffer of limited size. Consume then takes out an item & consumes it -> Buffle is a shared sesonen and must be used is should mutual exclusion manne by both

```
Produce must be stopped from adding
     to a full buffe
                much be stopped from
     consuming an empty buffer.
       Solution using semaptores
 ration char item, buffer [n];
    semaphore full = 0, empty = n,
     char nextp, next c;
> product peouss ()
 do { produir item is nextp
        wait (empty) ",
        vait (mutex);
        add nexts to buffer;
        Signel (mutex) 1
        signed (full);
     I while (true);
    Consumil prous ()
 do { wait (full);
      wait (mutex);
       remon an item from buffer to next e ?
      Signed (mutex);
      signel Cempty);
        Consum item is nextz;
    3 white (tem);
```

(A) Q.3.

List and dismus different persus synchronization

Letseens Thue are a few solutions to solving and implementing pleases eyouronization.

Peterson's solutions:

When a process is executing in a critical section, then the other process executes the rest of the code and vice verse. This makes such only one process executes the witical section at a term.

Mutex locks: it is a locking mechanism used to synchronize acress to a serousce is the critical crection. we use a lock over the critical section. It is set when process enters and unset when it exists.

(3) bemaphores:

It is a signaling mechanism; and a procuss can signal a procuss that is waiting on a semaphore. It differs from mutex, in that mustex can only be notified upon exit, but semaphores use wait () and signal () functions. It synchronization