

Logic exercises 8

Hand in solutions for questions marked **(PMT)** to the SAO by Thursday 30th November 2017.

- Let L, M be the signature and L -structure of slide 85 of the notes for lists of type **Nat**, the invented Haskell type for the natural numbers $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.
 - Write an L -formula $Const(xs)$ expressing that all elements of the list xs (if any) are equal.
 - Consider the property: ' xs contains at most two distinct numbers, possibly repeated'. Example: $[3, 7, 7, 3, 7]$, $[5, 5, 5]$. Non-example: $[3, 4, 7, 3, 4]$. Write L -formulas expressing this, in two ways: (i) directly, (ii) using $Const$ and **merge**.
 - Write an L -formula expressing that xs has no duplicate entries (e.g., $[1, 4, 2, 3]$ — all four entries are different).
 - Write an L -formula expressing that (in standard Haskell terms) $xs = \text{filter } <n \text{ } ys$.

Remember to state the sorts of variables clearly. Do not write $[x]$, $[x, y]$, etc. in formulas.

- Rewrite the following in 3-sorted first-order logic with sorts **lecturer**, **Sun**, **other** (assume every object is of one of these sorts) and a binary relation symbol $\text{bought}_{s,s'}(s, s')$ for each pair (s, s') of sorts. Some of them are *really sneaky*: remember that types of terms in atomic formulas must be correct. $x \neq y$ abbreviates $\neg(x = y)$. Example: $\forall x(\text{Sun}(x) \rightarrow \exists y(\text{lecturer}(y) \wedge \text{bought}(y, x)))$ rewrites to $\forall x : \text{Sun} \exists y : \text{lecturer}(\text{bought}_{\text{lecturer}, \text{Sun}}(y, x))$.
 - $\forall x(\text{Sun}(x) \rightarrow \exists y \text{bought}(y, x))$
 - $\forall x(\neg \text{lecturer}(x) \rightarrow \exists y(\text{Sun}(y) \wedge \text{bought}(x, y)))$
 - $\forall x \forall y(\text{lecturer}(x) \wedge \text{Sun}(y) \rightarrow x \neq y)$
- For each of the following function specifications, give a suitable pre-condition and write the post-condition in logic.
 - `better:: Int -> Int -> Bool`
`--post: result is true iff argument1 is greater than argument2.`
 - `pred:: Int -> Int`
`--post: result is the number before the input and result is always >0.`
 - `issquare:: Int -> Bool`
`--post: result is true iff the input is a perfect square`
- What should the following function compute (in simple words)?

```
guess:: Int -> Int -> Int
--pre: none
--post: (guess x y) <= x & (guess x y) <= y & ((guess x y)=x or (guess x y)=y)
```

- (PMT)** Work out what the following are doing, and express it by a post-condition in logic:
 - `guess1:: [Nat] -> [Nat]`
`--pre: None`
`guess1 [] = []`
`guess1 x:xs = (1+x):(guess1 xs)`
 - `guess2:: Nat -> [Nat] -> [Nat]`
`--pre: 2nd input is sorted`
`guess2 x [] = [x]`
`guess2 x y:ys | x < y = x:(y:ys)`
`| x >= y = y:(guess2 x ys)`

```

(c) guess3 :: [Nat] -> Nat
    --pre: input is not the empty list
    guess3 [x] = x
    guess3 (x:(y:ys)) | x <= y = guess3(y:ys)
                      | x > y  = guess3(x:ys)

(d) guess4 :: [Char] -> Bool
    --pre: xs <> []
    guess4 xs = guess5 xs []

    guess5 :: [Char] -> [Char] -> Bool
    --pre: at least one input is not the empty list
    guess5 [] ys = false
    guess5 (x:xs) zs | (x:xs)==zs = true
                    | xs==zs      = true
                    | otherwise   = guess5 xs (x:zs)

```

6. Using the terminology of question 1, write pre- and post-conditions in logic for the Haskell functions informally specified below. You can use formulas etc. in the notes/Q1.

```

contains :: [Nat] -> [Nat] -> Bool
-- post: contains xs ys is true when every entry in xs is an entry in ys

make_unique :: [Nat] -> [Nat]
-- post: make_unique xs is a list containing exactly one occurrence of
-- each element that occurs in xs (not necessarily in the same order).

perm :: [Nat] -> [Nat] -> Bool
-- post: perm xs ys means that ys is a reordering of xs
-- eg perm [1,2,3,1] [3,1,1,2] and perm [1,2] [1,2] are true
-- perm [1,2,3,1] [3,1,2] and perm [1,2] [1,1] are false

```

7. **(PMT for JMC only)** (CS1 students cover the necessary material for this question in course C145 Mathematical Methods. JMC1 should cover most or all of it in mathematics.)

Let L be the three-sorted signature with sorts **Nat**, **Real**, **Seq**, binary function symbols $+^n : \text{Nat} \times \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}$, $+^r, -, \times : \text{Real} \times \text{Real} \rightarrow \text{Real}$, binary relation symbols $<^r(\text{Real}, \text{Real})$, $\leq^r(\text{Real}, \text{Real})$, $<^n(\text{Nat}, \text{Nat})$ and $\leq^n(\text{Nat}, \text{Nat})$, and an ‘evaluation’ function symbol $!! : \text{Seq} \times \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Real}$. There are also constants $\underline{1}^n : \text{Nat}$ and $\underline{0}^r, \underline{1}^r : \text{Real}$. We let n, m, \dots be variables of sort **Nat**, x, y, \dots be variables of sort **Real**, and s, t, \dots be variables of sort **Seq**.

Let the L -structure M be as follows: the objects (in its domain) of sort **Nat** are the natural numbers $0, 1, 2, \dots$, the objects of sort **Real** are the real numbers, and the objects of sort **Seq** are all infinite sequences of real numbers. If $s = (s_0, s_1, \dots)$ is a sequence of real numbers (an object of sort **Seq**), then $s!!n = s_n$ for each n of sort **Nat**. All other symbols are interpreted in the usual way.

We can now say *a lot* about sequences. For example, $\forall n \forall m (n <^n m \rightarrow s!!n <^r s!!m)$ expresses that the sequence s is strictly increasing. In a similar way, write formulas expressing:

- x is equal to zero (in **Real**— it says above that x is of sort **Real**).
- y is strictly positive
- the absolute value $|x|$ of x is strictly less than y
- x is the limit of sequence s
- the sequence s converges
- challenge: the sequence s satisfies D’Alembert’s ‘ratio test’ condition for $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n$ to converge: to wit, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |s_{n+1}/s_n| < 1$.
- x is $\sup s$

Logic exercises 8 (unassessed) solutions

For discussion in pmt in week 10

1. Below, variables x, y, z, k, m, n are of sort **Nat**, and xs, ys, \dots are of sort **[Nat]**.
 - (a) $Const(xs)$ can be $\forall m \forall n (m < \#(xs) \wedge n < \#(xs) \rightarrow xs!!m = xs!!n)$, or $\exists n \forall m (m < \#(xs) \rightarrow xs!!m = n)$.
 - (b) xs has ≤ 2 distinct entries: (i) $\exists m \exists n \forall k (k < \#(xs) \rightarrow xs!!k = m \vee xs!!k = n)$.
(ii) $\exists ys \exists zs (Const(ys) \wedge Const(zs) \wedge \text{merge}(ys, zs, xs))$, where $Const$ is as in (1a).
 - (c) xs has no duplicate entries: $\forall m \forall n (m < n \wedge n < \#(xs) \rightarrow xs!!m \neq xs!!n)$, or $\forall y \forall z \forall ys \forall zs \forall vs (xs = ys ++ y : (zs ++ (z : vs)) \rightarrow y \neq z)$.
 - (d) $xs = \text{filter } < n \text{ } ys$:

$$\exists zs (\text{merge}(xs, zs, ys) \wedge \forall m ((m < \#(xs) \rightarrow xs!!m < n) \wedge (m < \#(zs) \rightarrow n \leq zs!!m)))$$

all items in xs are $< n$
all other items are $\geq n$
2. (a) If some ‘ y ’ bought x , then y could be of sort **lecturer**, **Sun**, or **other**. We must allow for all possibilities:

$$\forall x : \mathbf{Sun} \quad (\exists y : \mathbf{lecturer}(\text{bought}_{\mathbf{lecturer}, \mathbf{Sun}}(y, x)) \vee \exists z : \mathbf{Sun}(\text{bought}_{\mathbf{Sun}, \mathbf{Sun}}(z, x)) \vee \exists v : \mathbf{other}(\text{bought}_{\mathbf{other}, \mathbf{Sun}}(v, x)))$$
 - (b) To say that all non-**lecturers** bought a **Sun** is to say that all **Suns** and all **others** bought a **Sun**. So $\forall x (\neg \mathbf{lecturer}(x) \rightarrow \exists y (\mathbf{Sun}(y) \wedge \text{bought}(x, y)))$ translates to:

$$\forall x : \mathbf{Sun} \exists y : \mathbf{Sun}(\text{bought}_{\mathbf{Sun}, \mathbf{Sun}}(x, y)) \wedge \forall v : \mathbf{other} \exists y : \mathbf{Sun}(\text{bought}_{\mathbf{other}, \mathbf{Sun}}(v, y))$$
 - (c) $\forall x \forall y (\mathbf{lecturer}(x) \wedge \mathbf{Sun}(y) \rightarrow x \neq y)$ translates to \top , since no two objects of different sorts can be equal. We *could not* write $\forall x : \mathbf{lecturer} \forall y : \mathbf{Sun} (x \neq y)$, because the variables x, y have different sorts so $x \neq y$ is not well-formed.
3. (a) `better:: Int -> Int -> Bool`
`--pre: none`
`--post: better x y <--> x>y`
 - (b) `pred:: Int -> Int`
`--pre: input > 1`
`--post: pred x = x-1 & pred x > 0`
 - (c) `issquare:: Int -> Bool`
`--pre: none`
`--post: issquare x <--> (E)y(y*y=x)`
4. `guess x y = minimum of x,y`
5. (PMT) Below, variables x, y, n are of sort **Nat**, and xs, ys, \dots are of sort **[Nat]**.
 - (a) **Guess1 xs** adds 1 to every entry in xs . So post:
 $\#(xs) = \#(ys) \wedge \forall n (n < \#(xs) \rightarrow xs!!n = \underline{1} + xs!!n)$, where $ys = \text{Guess1 } xs$.
 - (b) **guess2 x xs** inserts an x into xs in the right place. So post-condition is:
 $\exists zs \exists vs (xs = zs ++ vs \wedge ys = zs ++ (x : vs) \wedge \text{sorted}(ys))$ where $ys = \text{guess2 } x \text{ } xs$.
 We did ‘sorted’ in lectures: $\text{sorted}(ys)$ is $\forall n \forall m (n \leq m \wedge m < \#(ys) \rightarrow ys!!n \leq ys!!m)$.
 - (c) **guess3 xs** returns the maximum entry in xs . So post (letting $y = \text{guess3 } xs$) is:
 $\exists n (n < \#(xs) \wedge xs!!n = y) \wedge \forall n (\underline{0} \leq n \wedge n < \#(xs) \rightarrow xs!!n \leq y)$.
 - (d) Given the pre-condition, **guess4 xs** is true if xs is a palindrome (is self-reverse — e.g., $[l, e, v, e, l]$), and false if not (e.g., $[a, b]$). So post-condition could be
 $\forall n \forall m ((n + m) + \underline{1} = \#(xs) \rightarrow xs!!n = xs!!m)$.
6. Below, variables m, n are of sort **Nat**, and xs, ys of sort **[Nat]** or (in last part) **[Char]**.

- contains $xs\ ys \leftrightarrow \forall n(n < \#(xs) \rightarrow \exists m(m < \#(ys) \wedge xs!!n = ys!!m))$. You can't use merge directly, as the order of entries in xs may differ from in ys .
- contains $xs\ ys \wedge \text{contains}\ ys\ xs \wedge \text{no-dups}(xs)$, where $ys = \text{make-unique}\ xs$ and no-dups is the formula in Q1c. Or, using 'count' from lectures, we can say it by expressing " $\forall n(\text{count}(n, ys) = \min(\text{count}(n, xs), \underline{1}))$ " (this is the idea, not a proper formula). It can be done by: $\forall n \forall k(k \leq \text{count}(n, xs) \wedge k \leq \underline{1} \leftrightarrow k \leq \text{count}(n, ys))$.
- Using 'count' from lectures: $\forall n(\text{count}(n, xs) = \text{count}(n, ys))$.

7. **(PMT for JMC only)** Reminder: the only symbols in the signature are binary function symbols $+^n : \mathbf{Nat} \times \mathbf{Nat} \rightarrow \mathbf{Nat}$, $+^r, -, \times : \mathbf{Real} \times \mathbf{Real} \rightarrow \mathbf{Real}$, binary relation symbols $<^r, \leq^r : \mathbf{Real} \times \mathbf{Real}$, and $<^n, \leq^n : \mathbf{Nat} \times \mathbf{Nat}$, and an 'evaluation' function symbol $!! : \mathbf{Seq} \times \mathbf{Nat} \rightarrow \mathbf{Real}$. There are also constants $\underline{1}^n : \mathbf{Nat}$ and $\underline{0}^r, \underline{1}^r : \mathbf{Real}$, which are not essential to have, but are a biiiig help. Also, n, m, \dots are variables of sort \mathbf{Nat} , x, y, \dots are variables of sort \mathbf{Real} , and s, t, \dots are variables of sort \mathbf{Seq} .

- (a) x is equal to zero (in \mathbf{Real}): $x = \underline{0}^r$.
- (b) y is strictly positive: $\underline{0}^r <^r y$.
- (c) the absolute value $|x|$ of x is strictly less than y : this says that (i) $x < y$ and (ii) $-x < y$ (equivalently, $x + y > 0$). So we can use $x <^r y \wedge \underline{0}^r <^r x +^r y$. Below, I abbreviate this formula as " $|x| < y$ ".
- (d) x is the limit of sequence s : $\forall y(\underline{0}^r <^r y \rightarrow \exists n \forall m(n <^n m \rightarrow |s!!m - x| <^r y)$.
- (e) the sequence s converges: $\exists x(\text{the previous formula})$.
- (f) the sequence s satisfies D'Alembert's 'ratio test' condition for $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n$ to converge: to wit, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |s_{n+1}/s_n| < 1$.
First, we need to express $|s_{n+1}/s_n| = z$ in our language, and we have no division operator. Well, $|s_{n+1}/s_n| = z$ just when $z \geq 0$ and $z \cdot s_n = \pm s_{n+1}$ — that is,

$$(\underline{0} \leq^r z) \wedge (z \times s!!n = s!!(n +^n \underline{1}^n) \vee (z \times s!!n) + s!!(n +^n \underline{1}^n) = \underline{0}^r).$$

Below, I write this formula as " A ". So A is true just when $|s_{n+1}/s_n| = z$. Now we just need to say

$$\exists x(x <^r \underline{1}^r \wedge \forall y(\underline{0}^r <^r y \rightarrow \exists n \forall m[n <^n m \rightarrow \exists z(A \wedge |z - x| <^r y)]).$$

- (g) x is sup s : $\forall n(s!!n \leq^r x) \wedge \forall y(\forall n(s!!n \leq^r y) \rightarrow x \leq^r y)$. The first conjunct says that x is an upper bound of s . The second conjunct says that every upper bound of s is at least as big as x , so that x is the least upper bound of s .
Or, shorter: $\forall y(\forall n(s!!n \leq^r y) \leftrightarrow x \leq^r y)$.