

# Introduction to Cryptography - Exercise session 6

Prof. Sebastian Faust

November 28, 2018

In the first part of this exercise, we recall the new topics covered during the lecture: the block cipher AES and Message authentication codes (MACs). The second part of this sheet contains more interesting exercises.

---

## PART 1

---

### Exercise 1 (MAC)

- (a) Give the definition of MAC.
- (b) Explain with security experiments how would you define MAC.
- (c) Define a new experiment  $\text{Mac-sforge}_{\mathcal{A}, \Pi}(n)$ , by modifying the above experiment such that adversary wins also if he outputs a new valid tag for a message queried earlier.

In the literature, the modified experiment  $\text{Mac-sforge}_{\mathcal{A}, \Pi}(n)$  above is used in order to define a stronger version of the MAC, called *Strong MAC*. More precisely:

**Definition 1 (Strong MAC)** A message authentication code  $\Pi = (\text{Gen}, \text{Mac}, \text{Vrfy})$  is strongly secure or a strong MAC, if for all probabilistic polynomial-time adversaries  $\mathcal{A}$ , there is a negligible function  $\text{negl}$  such that:

$$\Pr[\text{Mac-sforge}_{\mathcal{A}, \Pi}(n) = 1] \leq \text{negl}$$

### Exercise 2 (Canonical Verification)

Let  $(\text{Gen}, \text{Mac}, \text{Vrfy})$  be a MAC scheme, where  $\text{Mac}$  is a deterministic algorithm. Explain how  $\text{Vrfy}$  works.

**Exercise 3 (Size of a tag)**

Say  $\Pi = (\text{Gen}, \text{Mac}, \text{Vrfy})$  is a secure MAC, and for  $k \in \{0, 1\}^n$  the tag-generation algorithm  $\text{Mac}_k$  always outputs tags of length  $t(n)$ . Prove that if  $t(n) = \mathcal{O}(\log(n))$  then  $\Pi$  cannot be a secure MAC.

**Exercise 4 (Canonical Verification  $\implies$  Strong MAC)**

Let  $\Pi = (\text{Gen}, \text{Mac}, \text{Vrfy})$  be a secure MAC, where  $\text{Mac}$  is deterministic and  $\text{Vrfy}$  uses canonical verification. Prove that  $\Pi$  is a strong MAC.

**Exercise 5 (Strong MAC)**

Let  $F_k$  be a PRF and let  $\Pi = (\text{Gen}, \text{Mac}, \text{Vrfy})$  be a MAC defined as follows:

1.  $\text{Gen}(1^n)$ : outputs a uniform key  $k \in \{0, 1\}^n$
2.  $\text{Mac}_k(m) := (F_k(m) \parallel F_k(m))$
3.  $\text{Vrfy}_k(m, t)$ : outputs 1 if and only if  $t = (F_k(m) \parallel F_k(m))$

- (a) Prove that  $\Pi$  is a secure MAC.
- (b) Is  $\Pi$  from part (a) strongly secure? Explain your answer.
- (c) Prove or disprove: If  $\Pi = (\text{Gen}, \text{Mac}, \text{Vrfy})$  is a strongly secure MAC then  $\text{Mac}$  is a pseudorandom function.

**Exercise 6 (Constructions using a PRF)**

Let  $F$  be a pseudorandom function. Show that each of the following message authentication codes is insecure, even if used to authenticate fixed-length messages. (In each case the shared key output by  $\text{Gen}$  is a random  $k \in \{0, 1\}^n$  and  $\langle i \rangle$  denotes an  $n/2$ -bit encoding of the integer  $i$ .)

- (a) To authenticate a message  $m = m_1, \dots, m_l$ , where  $m_i \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , compute

$$t := F_k(m_1) \oplus \dots \oplus F_k(m_l)$$

- (b) To authenticate a message  $m = m_1, \dots, m_l$ , where  $m_i \in \{0, 1\}^{n/2}$ , compute

$$t := F_k(\langle 1 \rangle \parallel m_1) \oplus \dots \oplus F_k(\langle l \rangle \parallel m_l)$$

- (c) To authenticate a message  $m = m_1, \dots, m_l$ , where  $m_i \in \{0, 1\}^{n/2}$ , choose a random  $r \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  and compute

$$t := (r, F_k(r) \oplus F_k(\langle 1 \rangle \parallel m_1) \oplus \dots \oplus F_k(\langle l \rangle \parallel m_l)).$$

---

## HOMEWORK

---

### Exercise 7 (AES)

Let the plaintext be  $M = \{000102030405060708090A0B0C0D0E0F\}$  and the key be  $K = \{01010101010101010101010101010101\}$

- (a) Show the original contents of State and the Key, displayed as a  $4 \times 4$  matrix.
- (b) Show the value of State after initial AddRoundKey (Hint: the key  $K_0$  is equal to the original AES key).
- (c) Show the value of State after SubBytes. You can find the substitution table at the end of this exercise sheet.
- (d) Show the value of State after ShiftRows.
- (e) Explain how to compute the State after MixColumns (as a voluntary homework exercise, you can try to explicitly compute the State).

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	a	b	c	d	e	f
00	63	7c	77	7b	f2	6b	6f	c5	30	01	67	2b	fe	d7	ab	76
10	ca	82	c9	7d	fa	59	47	f0	ad	d4	a2	af	9c	a4	72	c0
20	b7	fd	93	26	36	3f	f7	cc	34	a5	e5	f1	71	d8	31	15
30	04	c7	23	c3	18	96	05	9a	07	12	80	e2	eb	27	b2	75
40	09	83	2c	1a	1b	6e	5a	a0	52	3b	d6	b3	29	e3	2f	84
50	53	d1	00	ed	20	fc	b1	5b	6a	cb	be	39	4a	4c	58	cf
60	d0	ef	aa	fb	43	4d	33	85	45	f9	02	7f	50	3c	9f	a8
70	51	a3	40	8f	92	9d	38	f5	bc	b6	da	21	10	ff	f3	d2
80	cd	0c	13	ec	5f	97	44	17	c4	a7	7e	3d	64	5d	19	73
90	60	81	4f	dc	22	2a	90	88	46	ee	b8	14	de	5e	0b	db
a0	e0	32	3a	0a	49	06	24	5c	c2	d3	ac	62	91	95	e4	79
b0	e7	c8	37	6d	8d	d5	4e	a9	6c	56	f4	ea	65	7a	ae	08
c0	ba	78	25	2e	1c	a6	b4	c6	e8	dd	74	1f	4b	bd	8b	8a
d0	70	3e	b5	66	48	03	f6	0e	61	35	57	b9	86	c1	1d	9e
e0	e1	f8	98	11	69	d9	8e	94	9b	1e	87	e9	ce	55	28	df
f0	8c	a1	89	0d	bf	e6	42	68	41	99	2d	0f	b0	54	bb	16