CENTER FOR ECONOMIC BEHAVIOR & INEQUALITY




# Solving an OLG Model

NumEcon

Jeppe Druedahl 2019





#### Plan

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Model
- 3. Solution algorithm
- 4. Example
- 5. Extensions

Introduction

#### Introduction

- Subject: Solve an OLG model numerically (using Python)
- NumEcon module (under construction)
  - 1. Source files: GitHub.com
  - 2. Interactive version: MyBinder.org
- Today:
  - 1. Notebook: course\_macro3\OLG.ipynb
  - 2. Code: numecon\course\_macro3\OLG.py
- Python introduction: misc\Python in 15 Minutes.ipynb

# Model

#### Model

- Households:  $L_t$  born each each period, life-span of 2 periods Own capital (as old), supply labor (as young) and consume
- Firms: Rent capital and hire labor to produce
- Variables:
  - 1. Capital: K<sub>t</sub>
  - 2. Labor supply:  $L_t$
  - 3. Output:  $Y_t$
  - 4. Consumption:  $C_t$
- Per worker:  $k_t \equiv K_t/L_t$ ,  $y_t \equiv Y_t/L_t$  and  $c_t \equiv C_t/L_t$
- Prices are taken as given by households and firms
  - 1.  $r_t$ , rental rate on capital
  - 2.  $w_t$ , wage rate
- Net return factor on capital: R<sub>t</sub> ≡ 1 + r<sub>t</sub> − δ where δ ∈ [0, 1] is the depreciation rate

#### Households I

$$\max_{c_{1t},c_{2t+1}} u(c_{1t}) + \beta u(c_{2t+1})$$

under the constraint

$$c_{1t} + R_{t+1}^{-1}c_{2t+1} = w_t$$

where u'>0, u''<0,  $\lim_{c\to 0}u'=\infty$  and  $\lim_{c\to \infty}u'=0$  and  $u(x)=u(y)\Leftrightarrow u(\lambda x)=u(\lambda y), \forall \lambda>0$  (homotheticity)

#### Households II

Optimal behavior

1. Euler-equation: 
$$\frac{u'(c_{1t})}{u'(c_{2t+1})} = \beta R_{t+1}$$

2. Saving function:  $s(w_t, R_{t+1}) = s(1, R_{t+1})w_t$ 

• CRRA utility: 
$$u(c) = \frac{c^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}, \sigma > 0$$

1. Euler-equation

$$c_{2t+1} = (\beta R_{t+1})^{1/\sigma} c_{1t}$$

2. Saving function

$$s(w_t, r_{t+1}) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + R_{t+1}^{\frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma}} \beta^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}\right) w_t$$

#### **F**irms

- Production function:  $Y_t = F(K_t, L_t) = f(k_t)L_t$  where F is neoclassical
- Maximize profits

$$\max_{K_t, L_t} f(k_t) L_t - r_t K_t - w_t L_t =$$

The first order conditions imply

$$r(k_t) \equiv f'(k_t) = r_t \Rightarrow R(k_t) = 1 + r(k_t) - \delta$$
  
 $w(k_t) \equiv f(k_t) - f'(k_t)k_t = w_t$ 

#### Low-of-motion

Only the young save ⇒

$$K_{t+1} = s(w_t, R_{t+1})L_t \Leftrightarrow k_{t+1} = \frac{s(w_t, R_{t+1})}{1+n}$$

• The **law-of-motion**,  $k_{t+1} = \Gamma(k_t)$ , is given as the solution to

$$\Gamma(k_t) = \frac{s(w(k_t), R(\Gamma(k_t)))}{1+n}$$

For logarithmic utility we have

$$k_{t+1} = \Gamma(k_t) = \frac{1}{(1+\beta^{-1})(1+n)} w(k_t)$$

#### **Nowhere flat**

• Derivatives of the saving function:

$$s_w = s_w(w_t, R_{t+1})$$
 and  $s_R = s_R(w_t, R_{t+1})$ 

• Total differentiation give us

$$dk_{t+1} = \frac{1}{1+n} [s_w w'(k_t) dk_t + s_r R'(k_{t+1}) dk_{t+1}] \Leftrightarrow$$

$$(1+n-s_R R'(k_{t+1})) \frac{dk_{t+1}}{dk_t} = s_w w'(k_t) dk_t \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\frac{dk_{t+1}}{dk_t} = \frac{s_w w'(k_t) dk_t}{1+n-s_R R'(k_{t+1})} \neq 0$$

• Implication: The transition curve is nowhere flat



**Solution algorithm** 

### Find steady state

- In steady state:
  - 1. capital,  $k^*$ , solves

$$k^* = \frac{s(w(k^*), R(k^*))}{1+n}$$

2. consumption, for the young,  $c_1^*$ , and old,  $c_2^*$ , then equals

$$c_1^* = w(k^*) - s(w(k^*), R(k^*))$$
  
 $c_2^* = R(k^*)$ 

• Logarithmic utilty and Cobb-Douglas implies

$$k^* = \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{(1+\beta^{-1})(1+n)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$$

#### Find transition curve

- 1. Choose a **grid**  $\mathcal{G} \equiv \{k^0, k^1, \dots, k^\#\}$
- 2. For each  $k_+^j \in \mathcal{G} \equiv \{k^0, k^1, \dots, k^\#\}$  solve for  $k^j$

$$k_{+}^{j} = \frac{s(w(k^{j}), R(k_{+}^{j}))}{1+n}$$

3. The **transition curve** is given by  $\{(k^0, k_+^0), (k^1, k_+^1), \dots, (k^\#, k_+^\#)\}$ 

#### Why not fix k and solve for $k_+$ ?

Because there might be multiple solutions.

#### **Simulate**

- 1. Choose initial capital  $k_0$
- 2. For each **time step**  $t \in \{1, 2, ..., T\}$  do:
  - 2.1 Construct the set  $\mathcal{J}(k_{t-1}) = \{j \in \{2, \dots \#\} \mid k_{t-1} \in \{k^{j-1}, k^j\}\}$
  - 2.2 Choose a random  $j \in \mathcal{J}(k_{t-1})$
  - 2.3 Set  $k_t = k_+^{j-1} + \frac{k_+^{j} k_-^{j-1}}{k_-^{j} k_-^{j-1}} [k_{t-1} k^{j-1}]$  (linear interpolation)


# Example

#### **Calibration**


1. 
$$f(k_t) = A(\alpha k_t^{\rho} + (1 - \alpha))^{\frac{1}{\rho}}, \ A > 0, \alpha \in (0, 1), \rho < 1 \text{ (CES)}$$

- 2. A = 7
- 3. n = 0.20
- 4.  $\beta = \frac{1}{1+0.40}$
- 5.  $\sigma = 8$
- 6.  $\alpha = 1/3$
- 7.  $\rho \in [-4.00, -2.00, -1.00, -0.5, -0.25, 0.00]$
- 8.  $\delta = 0.60$


#### **Transition curves**



# Interest rate function, $R(k_t)$



# Saving rate function, $s(1, R_{t+1})$



**Note:**  $\sigma > 1$  is important for the slope

# Backward bending transition curve for low $\rho$ (and high $\sigma$ )

- 1.  $k_{t+1} \uparrow \Rightarrow R_{t+1} \downarrow \text{ (due to falling marginal product)}$
- 2.  $R_{t+1} \downarrow \Rightarrow s_t \uparrow \text{ (due to dominating income effect, } \sigma > 1) \Rightarrow k_{t+1} \uparrow$
- 3.  $\rho \downarrow$  strengthens the *first* effect
- 4.  $\sigma \uparrow$  strengthens the *second* effect

# **Extensions**

#### **Potential extensions**

- 1. Government (taxes, pensions, and spending)
- 2. Endogenous labor supply
- 3. Multiple periods