

# FORENSIC EVIDENCE ASSESSMENT REPORT

|                        |                         |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| <b>Report ID:</b>      | sub_7227                |
| <b>Pseudonym:</b>      | N/A                     |
| <b>Generated:</b>      | 2026-01-26 09:07:46 UTC |
| <b>Status:</b>         | REJECTED                |
| <b>Classification:</b> | UNKNOWN                 |

---


## CONFIDENTIAL - SECTION 45 COMPLIANT

This report contains sensitive information and is intended solely for authorized recipients. Unauthorized disclosure, distribution, or copying is prohibited.

## Executive Summary

This forensic report presents the automated assessment of evidence submission **N/A**. The evidence underwent comprehensive analysis through a six-layer validation framework including anonymity preservation, credibility assessment, coordination detection, Byzantine consensus, and optional counter-evidence processing.

- Key Findings:**
- Credibility Score: **40.79%**
  - Consensus Decision: **REJECTED**
  - Coordination Detected: **NO**
  - Evidence Type: **N/A**



## Chain of Custody

| Field           | Value                               |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Evidence Hash   | e4bd9aa322cc5c730741b9e1eda3abcc... |
| Chain Hash      | N/A...                              |
| Submission Time | 2026-01-26T09:07:07.175824          |
| Processing Time | 0.00s                               |
| Chain Verified  | YES                                 |

*Note: All evidence is cryptographically hashed and recorded in an immutable chain to ensure integrity and prevent tampering.*

## Credibility Assessment

| Metric                         | Score         | Confidence Interval |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Deepfake Detection             | 40.79%        | [0.0%, 0.0%]        |
| Cross-Modal Consistency        | 0.00%         | N/A                 |
| Physical Plausibility          | 0.00%         | N/A                 |
| <b>Final Credibility Score</b> | <b>40.79%</b> | <b>[0.0%, 0.0%]</b> |

**Interpretation:** LOW credibility - Evidence authenticity is questionable.

### Coordination Analysis

**NO COORDINATION DETECTED**

No evidence of coordination with other submissions was found. The submission appears to be independent.

### Consensus Decision

DECISION: REJECTED

Agreement: 0.0%

**Vote Distribution:** Accept: 0 | Reject: 5

Status unknown.

# Technical Details

## Processing Timeline:

| Stage                  | Timestamp           | Duration |
|------------------------|---------------------|----------|
| Submission             | 2026-01-26T09:07:07 | -        |
| Anonymization          | N/A                 | 0.00s    |
| Credibility Assessment | N/A                 | 0.00s    |
| Consensus              | N/A                 | 0.00s    |

## Legal Disclaimer

This automated assessment is provided for informational purposes only and should not be considered as legal advice or definitive proof of authenticity. The system uses pre-trained machine learning models and heuristic analysis which may have limitations and potential errors.

**Section 45 Compliance:** This report is generated in compliance with Section 45 of the Evidence Act, which governs the admissibility of electronic evidence. However, final determination of admissibility rests with the appropriate legal authorities.

### Limitations:

- Automated assessments may not detect all forms of manipulation
- Results should be validated by human experts where applicable
- The system operates on submitted evidence without external verification
- Coordination detection is based on patterns and may have false positives/negatives

**Recommended Actions:** All evidence marked for "REVIEW" or with high uncertainty should undergo manual expert examination before being used in legal proceedings.

*Report generated by Corruption Reporting System v1.0.0-MVP  
Generated: 2026-01-26 09:07:47 UTC*