We will be performing the required analysis in this Jupyter Notebook using a library called pandasql

pandasql takes a sql guery as input and runs it on a pandas dataframe to get the response

```
#installing the required packages
!pip install --upgrade pandas
!pip install pandasql
#Need this version of SQLALchemy
!pip install SQLAlchemy==1.4.46
    Looking in indexes: <a href="https://pypi.org/simple">https://us-python.pkg.dev/colab-wheels/public/simple/</a>
    Requirement already satisfied: pandas in /usr/local/lib/python3.8/dist-packages (1.3.5)
    Collecting pandas
      Downloading pandas-1.5.3-cp38-manylinux_2_17_x86_64.manylinux2014_x86_64.whl (12.2 MB)
                                                  12.2/12.2 MB 33.5 MB/s eta 0:00:00
    Requirement already satisfied: pytz>=2020.1 in /usr/local/lib/python3.8/dist-packages (from pandas) (2022.7.1)
    Requirement already satisfied: python-dateutil>=2.8.1 in /usr/local/lib/python3.8/dist-packages (from pandas) (2.8.2)
    Requirement already satisfied: numpy>=1.20.3 in /usr/local/lib/python3.8/dist-packages (from pandas) (1.21.6)
    Requirement already satisfied: six>=1.5 in /usr/local/lib/python3.8/dist-packages (from python-dateutil>=2.8.1->pandas) (1.15
    Installing collected packages: pandas
      Attempting uninstall: pandas
        Found existing installation: pandas 1.3.5
        Uninstalling pandas-1.3.5:
          Successfully uninstalled pandas-1.3.5
    Successfully installed pandas-1.5.3
    Looking in indexes: <a href="https://pypi.org/simple">https://us-python.pkg.dev/colab-wheels/public/simple/</a>
    Collecting pandasql
      Downloading pandasql-0.7.3.tar.gz (26 kB)
      Preparing metadata (setup.py) ... done
    Requirement already satisfied: numpy in /usr/local/lib/python3.8/dist-packages (from pandasql) (1.21.6)
    Requirement already satisfied: pandas in /usr/local/lib/python3.8/dist-packages (from pandasql) (1.5.3)
    Requirement already satisfied: sqlalchemy in /usr/local/lib/python3.8/dist-packages (from pandasql) (2.0.0)
    Requirement already satisfied: pytz>=2020.1 in /usr/local/lib/python3.8/dist-packages (from pandas->pandasq1) (2022.7.1)
    Requirement already satisfied: python-dateutil>=2.8.1 in /usr/local/lib/python3.8/dist-packages (from pandas->pandasql) (2.8
    Requirement already satisfied: typing-extensions>=4.2.0 in /usr/local/lib/python3.8/dist-packages (from sqlalchemy->pandasql)
    Requirement already satisfied: greenlet!=0.4.17 in /usr/local/lib/python3.8/dist-packages (from sqlalchemy->pandasql) (2.0.2)
    Requirement already satisfied: six>=1.5 in /usr/local/lib/python3.8/dist-packages (from python-dateutil>=2.8.1->pandas->pandas-
    Building wheels for collected packages: pandasql
      Building wheel for pandasql (setup.py) \dots done
      Created wheel for pandasql: filename=pandasql-0.7.3-py3-none-any.whl size=26787 sha256=939f5044fb794c09d8f5f722cab121f26da2
      Stored in directory: /root/.cache/pip/wheels/ed/8f/46/a383923333728744f01ba24adbd8e364f2cb9470a8b8e5b9ff
    Successfully built pandasql
    Installing collected packages: pandasql
    Successfully installed pandasql-0.7.3
    Looking in indexes: https://pypi.org/simple, https://us-python.pkg.dev/colab-wheels/public/simple/
    Collecting SOLAlchemv==1.4.46
      Downloading SQLAlchemy-1.4.46-cp38-cp38-manylinux_2_5_x86_64.manylinux1_x86_64.manylinux_2_17_x86_64.manylinux2014_x86_64.v
                                                  - 1.6/1.6 MB 22.2 MB/s eta 0:00:00
    Requirement already satisfied: greenlet!=0.4.17 in /usr/local/lib/python3.8/dist-packages (from SQLAlchemy==1.4.46) (2.0.2)
    Installing collected packages: SQLAlchemy
      Attempting uninstall: SQLAlchemy
        Found existing installation: SQLAlchemy 2.0.0
        Uninstalling SQLAlchemy-2.0.0:
          Successfully uninstalled SQLAlchemy-2.0.0
    Successfully installed SQLAlchemy-1.4.46
# import the required libraries
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
from pandasql import sqldf
from google.colab import drive
drive.mount('/content/drive')
    Mounted at /content/drive
## Uploaded the files to the temporary run time of Google Colab
## Load each of the csvs into a dataframe
brands = pd.read_csv('brands.csv')
receipt_items = pd.read_csv('receipt_items.csv')
receipts = pd.read csv('receipts.csv')
users = pd.read csv('users.csv')
```

#### → BRANDS

brands.head() BARCODE BRAND CODE CPG ID CATEGORY CATEGORY CODE NAME ROMANCE\_TEXT ID Pepperidge Farn **PEPPERIDGE** Pepperidge 5a8c35dde4b0ccf165fac9e6 511111904175 5a734034e4b0d58f376be874 Grocery **GROCERY** has been making FARM Farm exceptional co.. 6234af8f4e09b6067c237adb 511111212997 CHEX MIX 6233966e8942a67af4934aa1 Snacks **SNACKS** CHEX MIX NaN 5332f7d3e4b03c9a25efd14e 511111803393 5332f5f2e4b03c9a25efd0aa **SNACKS** NaN Snacks Cheez-It NaN Better **BETTER** Better Homes & Homes & 3 5d6412e9a3a018514994f426 511111304982 HOMES & 53e10d6368abd3c7065097cc Magazines **MAGAZINES** Gardens offers Gardens **GARDENS** beautiful photog.. Magazine 4 621e777eacedc065cefa99a7 511111912859 TRUVIA 621e7754d759b10969cbcc08 Baking BAKING Truvia® NaN ## This Gives the count of null values in each column of the Brand Table ##Id is our Primary Key in this casw which is not null brands.isnull().sum() ID 0 BARCODE 0 BRAND CODE 25 CPG\_ID Λ CATEGORY 27 CATEGORY CODE 31 NAME 0 ROMANCE\_TEXT 103 RELATED\_BRAND\_IDS 243 dtype: int64 len(brands) 406 ## The table below shows the Number of Unique values in each column. The Brands table has 406 rows as printed in the above cell brands.nunique() TD 406 BARCODE 406 BRAND CODE 380 CPG ID 92 CATEGORY 32 CATEGORY CODE 32 404 NAME ROMANCE\_TEXT 299 RELATED BRAND IDS 156 dtype: int64 # Shows how many unique categories we have brands['CATEGORY'].unique() 'Cleaning & Home Improvement', 'Beer, Hard Cider & Seltzer', 'Candy & Sweets', 'Condiments & Sauces', nan, 'Retailers', 'Health & Wellness', 'Pet', 'Gum & Mints', 'Wine', 'Breakfast & Cereal', 'Household', 'Beer Wine Spirits', 'Spirits', 'Beauty', 'Restaurants', 'Beauty & Personal Care',

For Different brand Categories, we check what percent of Each Category is Present in our Brands.csv

'Candy & Chocolate', 'Dairy', 'Canned Goods & Soups', 'Bread & Bakery', 'Deli', 'Meat & Seafood', 'Oral Care'],

Snacks and Beverages take the Top 2 Spots in our Analysis as seen below

dtype=object)

```
perc=(brands['CATEGORY'].value_counts()/len(brands))*100
perc.map('{:,.2f}%'.format)
```

Snacks	13.30%
Beverages	10.84%
Grocery	7.14%
Frozen	7.14%
Dairy & Refrigerated	4.93%
Personal Care	4.43%
Condiments & Sauces	4.43%
Breakfast & Cereal	3.69%
Bread & Bakery	3.45%
Baking	2.96%
Beer, Hard Cider & Seltzer	2.96%
Household	2.96%
Candy & Sweets	2.71%
Wine	2.22%
Cleaning & Home Improvement	1.97%
Restaurants	1.72%
Candy & Chocolate	1.72%
Canned Goods & Soups	1.72%
Health & Wellness	1.48%
Retailers	1.48%
Pet	1.23%
Spirits	1.23%
Baby	1.23%
Magazines	1.23%
Meat & Seafood	0.99%
Deli	0.74%
Gum & Mints	0.74%
Dairy	0.74%
Beauty	0.74%
Beauty & Personal Care	0.49%
Oral Care	0.49%
Beer Wine Spirits	0.25%
Name: CATEGORY, dtype: object	

To Conclude, there are no major Issues with the Brands Table, there are quite a few null values which would need to be handled if we were to go ahead and train a model on this file but otherwise the data seems to be good

# ▼ Receipts

receipts.head()

	ID	STORE_NAME	PURCHASE_DATE	PURCHASE_TIME	DATE_SCANNED	TOTAL_SPENT	REWARDS_RECEIPT_STATUS	
0	62868f660a72546bef0b2dd0	TOWN OF ROCKY MOUNT	2022-05- 19T00:00:00Z	2:05 PM	2022-05- 19T18:41:42.53Z	859.87	FINISHED	61375
1	6096b7370a7216d316001149	NaN	NaN	NaN	2021-05- 08T16:07:19.03Z	NaN	SUBMITTED	60047
2	6269a4ea0a7241077408b6e1	FAMILY DOLLAR	2022-04- 27T00:00:00Z	4:15 PM	2022-04- 27T20:17:46.09Z	11.00	FINISHED	6157
3	625b25e70a723eb9730d2c9c	PUBLIX	2022-04- 15T00:00:00Z	4:45 PM	2022-04- 16T20:24:07.259Z	10.67	FINISHED	6048
4	60e3bd7e0a7215bd550fb8cc	COSTCO	2021-06- 30T00:00:00Z	1:16 PM	2021-07- 06T02:18:38.495Z	61.90	FINISHED	6048
5 rc	ws x 21 columns							

1

### receipts.isnull().sum()

ID	0
STORE_NAME	1836
PURCHASE_DATE	2066
PURCHASE_TIME	4947
DATE_SCANNED	0
TOTAL_SPENT	1492
REWARDS_RECEIPT_STATUS	0
USER ID	0

```
USER VIEWED
                             6465
PURCHASED_ITEM_COUNT
                             1452
                               0
CREATE_DATE
PENDING DATE
                             1453
MODIFY DATE
                               2
FLAGGED_DATE
                            66576
PROCESSED DATE
                            70601
FINISHED DATE
                             6252
REJECTED DATE
                            66217
NEEDS_FETCH_REVIEW
                            70276
DIGITAL RECEIPT
                              0
                            69733
DELETED
NON_POINT_EARNING_RECEIPT
                             8986
dtype: int64
```

```
receipts.isnull().sum() * 100 / len(receipts)
```

```
0.000000
STORE_NAME
                             2.600530
PURCHASE DATE
                             2.926304
PURCHASE TIME
                            7.006983
DATE_SCANNED
                             0.000000
TOTAL_SPENT
                             2.113285
REWARDS RECEIPT STATUS
                           0.000000
USER ID
                             0.000000
USER_VIEWED
                             9.157094
PURCHASED ITEM COUNT
                           2.056628
CREATE DATE
                             0.000000
PENDING DATE
                             2.058045
MODIFY_DATE
                            0.002833
FLAGGED DATE
                            94.298948
                         100.000000
PROCESSED_DATE
FINISHED_DATE
                            8.855399
REJECTED DATE
                            93.790456
NEEDS FETCH REVIEW
                           99.539667
DIGITAL_RECEIPT
                             0.000000
                            98.770556
NON POINT EARNING RECEIPT
                            12.727865
dtype: float64
```

This seems to be one of the major issues with the receipts data. There are lots of nulls present which would need to be handled if we were going to train a model or perform any sort of analysis on the data.

There are columns like Processed Date, Flagged Date, Rejected Date etc which have more than 90% values missing. Depending on their importance, it might be better to completely do away with these columns

```
## Converting from type object to datetime receipts[["PURCHASE_DATE", "PURCHASE_TIME", "DATE_SCANNED", "CREATE_DATE", "PENDING_DATE", "MODIFY_DATE", "FLAGGED_DATE", "PROCESSED_DATE", "PROCESSE
```

### Which user spent the most money in the month of August?

```
# Pandasql accepts sql lite version of the query. I have mentioned both of the Syntaxes
          SELECT USER_ID,SUM(TOTAL_SPENT) as Total \
#
          from receipts\
#
          WHERE extract(year from PURCHASE DATE) =2022 and
#
          extract(month from PURCHASE DATE = 8\
          GROUP BY USER ID\
#
         ORDER BY TOTAL DESC\
         LIMIT 1"
#Most Money Spent in August 2022
query = "SELECT USER_ID,SUM(TOTAL_SPENT) as Total \
        from receipts\
        WHERE strftime('%Y', PURCHASE_DATE) = '2022' \
        AND strftime('%m', PURCHASE_DATE)= '08'\
        GROUP BY USER ID\
       ORDER BY TOTAL DESC\
        LIMIT 1"
df = sqldf(query)
df.head()
```

```
USER_ID Total
```

Most Money Spent in the month of August irrespective of the Year

0 609ab37f7a2e8f2f95ae968f 157739.14

## → How many users scanned in each month?

	MONTH_SCANNED	TOTAL_SCANNED
0	12	8447
1	11	7512
2	10	7305
3	09	6355
4	08	6191
5	07	6058
6	05	5627
7	06	5405
8	04	4882
9	03	4767
10	01	4222
11	02	3830

Number of Users Scanned Month Wise

```
receipt_items.isnull().sum() * 100 / len(receipts)
```

### ▼ RECEIPT\_ITEMS

receipt\_items.head()

	REWARDS_RECEIPT_ID	ITEM_INDEX	REWARDS_RECEIPT_ITEM_ID	DESCRIPTION	BARCODE	BRAND_CODE	QUANTITY_PURCHASED
0	60bb28c10a720d557b128262	0	1efd6d7c75ecbae32214acb6cda41d12	RLGULAR SALE	NaN	NaN	1.0
1	60bb28c10a720d557b128262	1	79482a8fa3bd0eef3d626f1c862042e8	82 GOURMET HOUSEW	000240292012	NaN	1.0
2	627151230a724d730825106a	0	b26669cf4ce90cc9d7d3b0ab588cb04b	GOLDILOCKS NOPIA R BLAGK	NaN	NaN	1.0
3	627151230a724d730825106a	1	b4fafd04d8274a1e95b97155edaade2f	KURI-IRI DORAYAKI CAKE	NaN	NaN	1.0
4	627151230a724d730825106a	2	39694b0880b511e8a12bfb76cf2c20f3	YIZMANG FISH BALL	NaN	NaN	1.0



Check for percent of Null Values Column Wise

```
(receipt_items.isnull().sum() * 100 / len(receipt_items)).sort_values(ascending=False)
   POINTS EARNED
                                94.741063
   REWARDS GROUP
                               82.813276
   BRAND CODE
                               57.020842
   BARCODE
                               37.563163
   QUANTITY PURCHASED
                                2.152191
   ORIGINAL_RECEIPT_ITEM_TEXT 0.466456
   DESCRIPTION
                                0.302739
   TOTAL_FINAL_PRICE
                                0.192021
   REWARDS_RECEIPT_ID
                                0.000000
   ITEM_INDEX
                                0.000000
   REWARDS_RECEIPT_ITEM_ID
                               0.000000
   MODIFY_DATE
                                0.000000
   dtype: float64
```

Points Earned and Rewards Group have more than 80% values as Null

Checking for Duplicate rows

```
receipt_items[receipt_items.duplicated()]
```

REWARDS\_RECEIPT\_ID ITEM\_INDEX REWARDS\_RECEIPT\_ITEM\_ID DESCRIPTION BARCODE BRAND\_CODE QUANTITY\_PURCHASED TOTAL\_FINAL\_PI



No duplicated Rows in The Data

What brand saw the most money spent in the month of June

```
# Money Spent in June Throughout
# sql_query = "SELECT BRAND_CODE,SUM(TOTAL_FINAL_PRICE) as Total \
    # from receipt_items\
    # WHERE extract(month from MODIFY_DATE) = '06'\
    # GROUP BY BRAND_CODE\
    # ORDER BY TOTAL DESC\
    # LIMIT 5"

query = "SELECT BRAND_CODE,SUM(TOTAL_FINAL_PRICE) as Total \
    from receipt_items\
    WHERE strftime('%m', MODIFY_DATE) = '06'\
    GROUP BY BRAND_CODE\
    ORDER BY TOTAL DESC\
```

```
LIMIT 5"

df = sqldf(query)
df.head()
```

```
1
           BRAND_CODE
                         Total
0
                 None 179922.28
1 KIRKLAND SIGNATURE
                        2610.67
         GREAT VALUE
2
                         1543.84
       MEMBER'S MARK
3
                         819.93
              KROGER
                         785.29
4
```

```
#Money spent in June 2022
query = "SELECT BRAND_CODE,SUM(TOTAL_FINAL_PRICE) as Total \
    from receipt_items\
        WHERE strftime('%Y', MODIFY_DATE)= '2022'\
        AND strftime('%m', MODIFY_DATE)= '06'\
        GROUP BY BRAND_CODE\
        ORDER BY TOTAL DESC\
        LIMIT 5"

df = sqldf(query)
df.head()
```

Total	BRAND_CODE	
112145.74	None	0
1822.17	KIRKLAND SIGNATURE	1
1185.49	GREAT VALUE	2
706.00	ANDERSEN	3
556.98	CARDELL	4

### **→** USERS

users.head()

	CREATED_DATE	BIRTH_DATE	GENDER	LAST_REWARDS_LOGIN	STATE	SIGN_UP_PLATFORM	SIGN_UP_SOURCE	
0	2021-12-20T00:29:17.118Z	1984-03-20T00:00:00Z	transgender	2023-01-04T16:32:15Z	FL	NaN	Apple	61bfc€
1	2021-10-21T17:15:25.825Z	1987-08-08T05:00:00Z	prefer_not_to_say	2023-01-04T16:04:33Z	PA	unknown	Google	6171a(
2	2021-10-23T19:19:18.305Z	1995-06-18T05:00:00Z	male	2023-01-04T16:13:13Z	FL	NaN	Apple	617460
3	2021-03-30T02:35:41.249Z	1999-08-23T07:00:00Z	transgender	2023-01-04T16:09:51Z	MI	ios	Google	60628
4	2021-04-26T23:15:54.375Z	1992-10-28T16:16:23Z	male	2023-01-04T16:24:18Z	CA	andrioid	Email	60874

```
#Checking for % of Nulls in Users
(users.isnull().sum() * 100 / len(users)).sort_values(ascending=False)
```

```
SIGN_UP_PLATFORM
                     27.439024
CREATED_DATE
                       0.000000
BIRTH_DATE
                      0.000000
GENDER
                       0.000000
LAST_REWARDS_LOGIN
                       0.000000
                       0.000000
STATE
SIGN_UP_SOURCE
                       0.000000
                       0.000000
dtype: float64
```

Only the SIGN\_UP\_PLATFORM is Missing 28% values. Rest of the Data is in a very good shape

Lets find the top 5 States Percentage Wise where Most of the Users are from

```
perc=(users['STATE'].value_counts()/len(users))*100
perc.map('{:,.2f}%'.format)[:5]

FL     9.76%
NY     9.15%
PA     7.32%
TX     6.71%
CA     6.71%
Name: STATE, dtype: object
```

What platform are most of the users signing up from