INFO 6205

Program Structures & Algorithms

Fall 2020

Assignment No: 5

- Task: To implement a parallel sorting algorithm such that each partition of the array is sorted in parallel. Considering two different schemes for deciding whether to sort in parallel-
 - A cutoff (defaults to, say, 1000) which will update according to the first argument in the command line when running.
 - Recursion depth or the number of available threads. Using this determination, decide on an ideal number (t) of separate threads (stick to powers of 2) and arrange for that number of partitions to be parallelized (by preventing recursion after the depth of lg t is reached).
- Show the results of experiments and draw a conclusion (or more) about the
 efficacy of this method of parallelizing sort. The experiments should involve
 sorting arrays of sufficient size for the parallel sort to make a difference.
 Run with many different array sizes (they must be sufficiently large to make
 parallel sorting worthwhile) and different cutoff schemes.

• Output:

Size of Array: 500000 Degree of parallelism: 2 cutoff: 5000 10times Time:1027ms cutoff: 10000 10times Time:563ms cutoff: 15000 10times Time:527ms cutoff: 20000 10times Time:489ms cutoff: 25000 10times Time:472ms cutoff: 30000 10times Time:522ms cutoff: 35000 10times Time: 463ms cutoff: 40000 10times Time: 450ms cutoff: 45000 10times Time:509ms 10times Time:468ms cutoff: 50000 Degree of parallelism: 4 cutoff: 5000 10times Time: 748ms

| cutoff: 10000 | 10times Time:537ms |
|---------------------------|------------------------|
| cutoff: 15000 | 10times Time:482ms |
| cutoff: 20000 | 10times Time:477ms |
| cutoff: 25000 | 10times Time:465ms |
| cutoff: 30000 | 10times Time:506ms |
| cutoff: 35000 | 10times Time:466ms |
| cutoff: 40000 | 10times Time:440ms |
| cutoff: 45000 | 10times Time:470ms |
| cutoff: 50000 | 10times Time:509ms |
| Degree of parallelism: 8 | |
| cutoff: 5000 | 10times Time:553ms |
| cutoff: 10000 | 10times Time:519ms |
| cutoff: 15000 | 10times Time:496ms |
| cutoff: 20000 | 10times Time:465ms |
| cutoff: 25000 | 10times Time:487ms |
| cutoff: 30000 | 10times Time:466ms |
| cutoff: 35000 | 10times Time:459ms |
| cutoff: 40000 | 10times Time:450ms |
| cutoff: 45000 | 10times Time:443ms |
| cutoff: 50000 | 10times Time:465ms |
| Degree of parallelism: 16 | |
| cutoff: 5000 | 10times Time:517ms |
| cutoff: 10000 | 10times Time:495ms |
| cutoff: 15000 | 10times Time:480ms |
| cutoff: 20000 | 10times Time:458ms |
| cutoff: 25000 | 10times Time:461ms |
| cutoff: 30000 | 10times Time:473ms |
| cutoff: 35000 | 10times Time: 438ms |
| cutoff: 40000 | 10times Time: 456ms |
| cutoff: 45000 | 10times Time:472ms |
| cutoff : 50000 | 10times Time:446ms |
| Degree of parallelism: 32 | Totilles Time: Troms |
| cutoff: 5000 | 10times Time:559ms |
| cutoff: 10000 | 10times Time: 505ms |
| cutoff: 15000 | 10times Time:483ms |
| cutoff: 20000 | 10times Time: 457ms |
| cutoff: 25000 | 10times Time:451ms |
| cutoff: 30000 | 10times Time:485ms |
| cutoff: 35000 | 10times Time:476ms |
| cutoff: 40000 | 10times Time:453ms |
| cutoff: 45000 | 10times Time: 461ms |
| cutoff: 50000 | 10times Time:519ms |
| Degree of parallelism: 64 | Totimes Time.515ms |
| cutoff: 5000 | 10times Time:547ms |
| cutoff: 10000 | 10times Time:555ms |
| cutoff: 15000 | 10times Time:478ms |
| cutoff: 20000 | 10times Time:478ms |
| cutoff: 25000 | 10times Time: 514ms |
| cutoff: 30000 | 10times Time:466ms |
| cutoff: 35000 | 10times Time:472ms |
| Cuton . 33000 | 10011163 11116.4721115 |

| cutoff: 40000 | 10times Time:465ms |
|---------------|--------------------|
| cutoff: 45000 | 10times Time:548ms |
| cutoff: 50000 | 10times Time:588ms |

Size of Array: 1000000

| Degree | Ωf | naral | اما | licm. | ว |
|--------|----|-------|-----|---------|---|
| Degree | OΙ | Darai | ıe | IISITI: | _ |

cutoff: 5000 10times Time:1905ms cutoff: 10000 10times Time:1096ms cutoff: 15000 10times Time:1031ms cutoff: 20000 10times Time:985ms cutoff: 25000 10times Time:1098ms cutoff: 30000 10times Time:959ms cutoff: 35000 10times Time:919ms cutoff: 40000 10times Time:979ms cutoff: 45000 10times Time:936ms cutoff: 50000 10times Time:1005ms

Degree of parallelism: 4

cutoff: 5000 10times Time:1211ms cutoff: 10000 10times Time:1137ms cutoff: 15000 10times Time:984ms cutoff: 20000 10times Time:935ms cutoff: 25000 10times Time:922ms cutoff: 30000 10times Time:934ms cutoff: 35000 10times Time:1033ms cutoff: 40000 10times Time:1075ms cutoff: 45000 10times Time:934ms cutoff: 50000 10times Time:982ms

Degree of parallelism: 8

cutoff: 5000 10times Time: 1052ms cutoff: 10000 10times Time:1020ms cutoff: 15000 10times Time: 1085ms cutoff: 20000 10times Time:917ms cutoff: 25000 10times Time:936ms cutoff: 30000 10times Time:1148ms cutoff: 35000 10times Time:965ms cutoff: 40000 10times Time:976ms cutoff: 45000 10times Time:919ms cutoff: 50000 10times Time:1004ms

Degree of parallelism: 16

cutoff: 5000 10times Time: 1094ms cutoff: 10000 10times Time:1855ms cutoff: 15000 10times Time:1872ms cutoff: 20000 10times Time:1041ms cutoff: 25000 10times Time:1672ms cutoff: 30000 10times Time:1014ms cutoff: 35000 10times Time:1904ms cutoff: 40000 10times Time:1219ms cutoff: 45000 10times Time:1047ms cutoff: 50000 10times Time:1135ms

| Degree of parallelism: 32 | <u>!</u> | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| cutoff: 5000 | 10times Time:3475ms | | |
| cutoff: 10000 | 10times Time:1189ms | | |
| cutoff: 15000 | 10times Time:1784ms | | |
| cutoff: 20000 | 10times Time:1059ms | | |
| cutoff: 25000 | 10times Time:1433ms | | |
| cutoff: 30000 | 10times Time:1093ms | | |
| cutoff: 35000 | 10times Time:948ms | | |
| cutoff: 40000 | 10times Time:1011ms | | |
| cutoff: 45000 | 10times Time:1002ms | | |
| cutoff: 50000 | 10times Time:979ms | | |
| Degree of parallelism: 64 | ļ | | |
| cutoff: 5000 | 10times Time:1091ms | | |
| cutoff: 10000 | 10times Time:1193ms | | |
| cutoff: 15000 | 10times Time:1656ms | | |
| cutoff: 20000 | 10times Time:1058ms | | |
| cutoff: 25000 | 10times Time:974ms | | |
| cutoff: 30000 | 10times Time:939ms | | |
| cutoff: 35000 | 10times Time:944ms | | |
| cutoff: 40000 | 10times Time:931ms | | |
| cutoff: 45000 | 10times Time:1007ms | | |
| cutoff: 50000 | 10times Time:1140ms | | |
| Size of Array: 2000000 | | | |
| Degree of parallelism: 2 | | | |
| Degree of Daranensin. Z | | | |

| Degree of parallelism: 2 | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|
| cutoff: 5000 | 10times Time:3539ms |
| cutoff: 10000 | 10times Time:2121ms |
| cutoff: 15000 | 10times Time:1941ms |
| cutoff: 20000 | 10times Time:2066ms |
| cutoff: 25000 | 10times Time:1880ms |
| cutoff: 30000 | 10times Time:1950ms |
| cutoff: 35000 | 10times Time:1917ms |
| cutoff: 40000 | 10times Time:1856ms |
| cutoff: 45000 | 10times Time:1878ms |
| cutoff: 50000 | 10times Time:1886ms |
| Degree of parallelism: 4 | |
| cutoff: 5000 | 10times Time:2203ms |
| cutoff: 10000 | 10times Time:1921ms |
| cutoff: 15000 | 10times Time:1899ms |
| cutoff: 20000 | 10times Time:1925ms |
| cutoff: 25000 | 10times Time:1944ms |
| cutoff: 30000 | 10times Time:1922ms |
| | |

 cutoff: 40000
 10times Time:1854ms

 cutoff: 45000
 10times Time:1808ms

 cutoff: 50000
 10times Time:1894ms

10times Time:1884ms

Degree of parallelism: 8

cutoff: 35000

 cutoff: 5000
 10times Time:2083ms

 cutoff: 10000
 10times Time:1984ms

 cutoff: 15000
 10times Time:2173ms

```
cutoff: 20000
                            10times Time:1906ms
cutoff: 25000
                            10times Time:1848ms
cutoff: 30000
                            10times Time:1873ms
cutoff: 35000
                            10times Time:1913ms
cutoff: 40000
                            10times Time:1870ms
cutoff: 45000
                            10times Time: 1884ms
cutoff: 50000
                            10times Time: 1840ms
Degree of parallelism: 16
cutoff: 5000
                            10times Time:2401ms
cutoff: 10000
                            10times Time: 1926ms
cutoff: 15000
                            10times Time:1956ms
cutoff: 20000
                            10times Time:1920ms
cutoff: 25000
                            10times Time:1905ms
cutoff: 30000
                            10times Time: 1860ms
cutoff: 35000
                            10times Time:1850ms
cutoff: 40000
                            10times Time:1892ms
cutoff: 45000
                            10times Time:1912ms
cutoff: 50000
                            10times Time:1897ms
Degree of parallelism: 32
cutoff: 5000
                            10times Time:2152ms
cutoff: 10000
                            10times Time:1947ms
cutoff: 15000
                            10times Time:1921ms
cutoff: 20000
                            10times Time:1897ms
cutoff: 25000
                            10times Time:1906ms
cutoff: 30000
                            10times Time: 1936ms
cutoff: 35000
                            10times Time:1866ms
cutoff: 40000
                            10times Time:1843ms
cutoff: 45000
                            10times Time: 1867ms
cutoff: 50000
                            10times Time:1891ms
Degree of parallelism: 64
cutoff: 5000
                            10times Time:2188ms
cutoff: 10000
                            10times Time:1912ms
cutoff: 15000
                            10times Time:1949ms
cutoff: 20000
                            10times Time:1919ms
cutoff: 25000
                            10times Time:1878ms
cutoff: 30000
                            10times Time:1891ms
cutoff: 35000
                            10times Time:1844ms
cutoff: 40000
                            10times Time:1863ms
cutoff: 45000
                            10times Time:1883ms
cutoff: 50000
                            10times Time:1921ms
```

- **Relationship conclusion:** It can be concluded from the results mentioned above and the graphs that-
 - After changing the cutoff value and the number of threads for different size of arrays, the number of thread bigger than 4 does no improvement on the performance. So, keeping 4 threads is the optimal choice.

 Referring to the graph, it can be said that for the cutoff value of 25% of the size of array, the lowest performance time is achieved.

Thus, with cutoff value as 25% and number of threads as 4 the optimal performance can be observed.

• Evidence to support relationship:





