Repeaters,hubs,Switches& Bridges

Goals of Today's Lecture

- Devices that shuttle data at different layers
  - Repeaters and hubs
  - Bridges and switches
  - Routers
- Switch protocols and mechanisms
  - Dedicated access and full-duplex transfers
  - Cut-through switching
  - Self learning of the switch table
  - Spanning trees
- Virtual LANs (VLANs)

Shuttling Data at Different Layers

- Different devices switch different things
  - Network layer: packets (routers)
  - Link layer: frames (bridges and switches)
  - Physical layer: electrical signals (repeaters and hubs)





Physical Layer: Repeaters

- Distance limitation in local-area networks
  - Electrical signal becomes weaker as it travels
  - Imposes a limit on the length of a LAN
- Repeaters join LANs together
  - Analog electronic device
  - Continuously monitors electrical signals on each LAN
  - Transmits an amplified copy



Physical Layer: Hubs

- Joins multiple input lines electrically
  - Designed to hold multiple line cards
  - Do not necessarily amplify the signal
- Very similar to repeaters
  - Also operates at the physical layer



Limitations of Repeaters and Hubs

- One large shared link
  - Each bit is sent everywhere
  - So, aggregate throughput is limited
  - E.g., three departments each get 10 Mbps independently
  - … and then connect via a hub and must share 10 Mbps
- Cannot support multiple LAN technologies
  - Does not buffer or interpret frames
  - So, can't interconnect between different rates or formats
  - E.g., 10 Mbps Ethernet and 100 Mbps Ethernet
- Limitations on maximum nodes and distances
  - Shared medium imposes length limits (see next lecture)
  - E.g., cannot go beyond 2500 meters on Ethernet

Link Layer: Bridges

- Connects two or more LANs at the link layer
  - Extracts destination address from the frame
  - Looks up the destination in a table
  - Forwards the frame to the appropriate LAN segment
- Each segment can carry its own traffic



Link Layer: Switches

- Typically connects individual computers
  - A switch is essentially the same as a bridge
  - … though typically used to connect hosts, not LANs
- Like bridges, support concurrent communication
  - Host A can talk to C, while B talks to D



Dedicated Access and Full Duplex

- Dedicated access
  - Host has direct connection to the switch
  - … rather than a shared LAN connection
- Full duplex
  - Each connection can send in both directions
  - Host sending to switch, and host receiving from switch
  - E.g., in 10BaseT and 100Base T
- Completely supports concurrent transmissions
  - Each connection is a bidirectional point-to-point link

Bridges/Switches: Traffic Isolation

- Switch breaks subnet into LAN segments
- Switch filters packets
  - Frame only forwarded to the necessary segments
  - Segments can support separate transmissions



Advantages Over Hubs/Repeaters

- Only forwards frames as needed
  - Filters frames to avoid unnecessary load on segments
  - Sends frames only to segments that need to see them
- Extends the geographic span of the network
  - Separate segments allow longer distances
- Improves privacy by limiting scope of frames
  - Hosts can “snoop” the traffic traversing their segment
  - … but not all the rest of the traffic
- Can join segments using different technologies

Disadvantages Over Hubs/Repeaters

- Delay in forwarding frames
  - Bridge/switch must receive and parse the frame
  - … and perform a look-up to decide where to forward
  - Storing and forwarding the packet introduces delay
  - Solution: cut-through switching
- Need to learn where to forward frames
  - Bridge/switch needs to construct a forwarding table
  - Ideally, without intervention from network administrators
  - Solution: self-learning
- Higher cost
  - More complicated devices that cost more money

Motivation For Cut-Through Switching

- Buffering a frame takes time
  - Suppose L is the length of the frame
  - And R is the transmission rate of the links
  - Then, receiving the frame takes L/R time units
- Buffering delay can be a high fraction of total delay
  - Propagation delay is small over short distances
  - Making buffering delay a large fraction of total



Cut-Through Switching

- Start transmitting as soon as possible
  - Inspect the frame header and do the look-up
  - If outgoing link is idle, start forwarding the frame
- Overlapping transmissions
  - Transmit the head of the packet via the outgoing link
  - … while still receiving the tail via the incoming link
  - Analogy: different folks crossing different intersections



Motivation For Self Learning

- Switches forward frames selectively
  - Forward frames only on segments that need them
- Switch table
  - Maps destination MAC address to outgoing interface
  - Goal: construct the switch table automatically



Self Learning: Building the Table

- When a frame arrives
  - Inspect the *source* MAC address
  - Associate the address with the *incoming* interface
  - Store the mapping in the switch table
  - Use a time-to-live field to eventually forget the mapping

Switch learns how to reach A.



Self Learning: Handling Misses

- When frame arrives with unfamiliar destination
  - Forward the frame out all of the interfaces
  - … except for the one where the frame arrived
  - Hopefully, this case won't happen very often

When in doubt, shout!



Switch Filtering/Forwarding

When switch receives a frame:

index switch table using MAC dest address

if entry found for destination
then{

if dest on segment from which frame arrived
then drop the frame

else forward the frame on interface indicated

}

else flood

forward on all but the interface on which the frame arrived

Flooding Can Lead to Loops

- Switches sometimes need to broadcast frames
  - Upon receiving a frame with an unfamiliar destination
  - Upon receiving a frame sent to the broadcast address
- Broadcasting is implemented by flooding
  - Transmitting frame out every interface
  - … except the one where the frame arrived
- Flooding can lead to forwarding loops
  - E.g., if the network contains a cycle of switches
  - Either accidentally, or by design for higher reliability



Solution: Spanning Trees

- Ensure the topology has no loops
  - Avoid using some of the links when flooding
  - … to avoid forming a loop
- Spanning tree
  - Sub-graph that covers all vertices but contains no cycles
  - Links not in the spanning tree do not forward frames



Constructing a Spanning Tree

- Need a distributed algorithm
  - Switches cooperate to build the spanning tree
  - … and adapt automatically when failures occur
- Key ingredients of the algorithm
  - Switches need to elect a "root"
    - The switch with the smallest identifier
  - Each switch identifies if its interface is on the shortest path from the root
    - And it exclude from the tree if not
  - Messages (Y, d, X)
    - From node X
    - Claiming Y is the root
    - And the distance is d



Moving From Switches to Routers

- Advantages of switches over routers
  - Plug-and-play
  - Fast filtering and forwarding of frames
  - No pronunciation ambiguity (e.g., “rooter” vs. “rowter”)
- Disadvantages of switches over routers
  - Topology is restricted to a spanning tree
  - Large networks require large ARP tables
  - Broadcast storms can cause the network to collapse