

# Explaining Oumuamua and Pioneer anomaly using Time relativity

Kuan Peng 彭寬 [titang78@gmail.com](mailto:titang78@gmail.com)

10 May 2020

**Abstract:** I find that in theory the weird Speed Boost of the interstellar object ‘Oumuamua should be 0.217 mm/s above the prediction and that ‘Oumuamua should slow down less than prediction, in proportion of which the difference is  $4.28 \times 10^{-8}$  near the Sun. For Pioneer anomaly I have computed the gap between real and predicted acceleration and found the value  $8.70 \times 10^{-10}$  which is very close to the observation  $(8.74 \pm 1.33) \times 10^{-10} \text{ m/s}^2$ .

Warning: I have done a mistake in my previous article, which makes this article wrong. I will give the correct theory later. But I keep this erroneous part below for showing a faithful historical image of my publications.

The mysterious interstellar object ‘Oumuamua confuses scientists because of its [Speed Boost](#), which is an excess of velocity with respect to the expected one. In the past, the manmade Pioneer space crafts were also found to deviate from expected Newtonian trajectory.

One thinks the velocity of ‘Oumuamua is too high because it is faster than the expected velocity that the mass of the Sun allows. But if we have used a mass for the Sun slightly different from the real one, then the expected velocity would be not correct. So, let us see how the mass of the Sun is determined.

## 1. Solar mass

The mass of the Sun is not measured by weighting, but derived according to Newtonian gravitational theory and using the parameters of Earth’s orbit which is nearly circular. Let  $r_M$  be the radius of the Earth’s orbit around the Sun and  $u_E$  its orbital velocity. The orbital centripetal acceleration of the Earth is the  $\alpha_E$  given in equation (1) which gives the centripetal force of the Earth expressed in terms of the mass of the Earth  $m_E$ ,  $u_E$  and  $r_M$  in equation (2).

$$\alpha_E = \frac{u_E^2}{r_M} \quad (1)$$

$$F = m_E \alpha_E \\ = m_E \frac{u_E^2}{r_M} \quad (2)$$

$$F = \frac{g M_0 m_E}{r_M^2} \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{u_E^2 m_E}{r_M} = \frac{g M_0 m_E}{r_M^2} \Rightarrow \\ M_0 = \frac{r_M u_E^2}{g} \quad (4)$$

$$\alpha_R = \frac{g M_R}{r_M^2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{u^2}{c^2}} \\ = \frac{u_E^2}{r_M} \quad (5)$$

$$M_R = \frac{r_M u_E^2}{g \sqrt{1 - \frac{u_E^2}{c^2}}} \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{M_R}{M_0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{u_E^2}{c^2}}} \\ \approx 1 + \frac{u_E^2}{2c^2} > 1 \quad (7)$$

$$u_E = 29.8 \text{ km/s} \Rightarrow$$

$$\frac{M_R}{M_0} - 1 \approx \frac{u_E^2}{2c^2} \\ = 4.94 \times 10^{-9} \quad (8)$$

The Newtonian gravitational force of the Sun on the Earth is expressed in equation (3), with  $M_0$  being the mass of the Sun and  $g$  the gravitational constant. By equating equation (2) with (3), we obtain  $M_0$ , the mass of the Sun that we use today, in equation (4).

However, we know that real gravitation is governed by general relativity, which gives slightly different gravitational force on the Earth. As proof, we know that the perihelion of the planet Mercury advances, which the Newtonian theory does not predict. So, the mass of the Sun should be computed using relativistic theory.

Let  $M_R$  be the real mass of the Sun and  $\alpha_R$  the orbital centripetal acceleration of the Earth due to  $M_R$ . I have derived the expression of  $\alpha_R$  in terms of  $M_R$  in the equation (33) of « [Relativistic kinematics and gravitation](#) », which is given in equation (5) and is equated with equation (1). Then, the real mass of the Sun is derived from equation (5) and expressed in equation (6) in terms of  $u_E$  and  $r_M$ .

By dividing equation (6) with (4), we obtain the ratio  $M_R/M_0$  in equation (7), which shows that  $M_R$  is bigger than  $M_0$ , that is, the mass of the Sun used today is smaller than its real mass  $M_R$ . The relative gap between  $M_R$  and  $M_0$  is defined as  $\frac{M_R}{M_0} - 1$ , of which the numerical value is computed in equation (8) by using the orbital velocity of the Earth which is 29.8 km/s, and is found to be  $4.94 \times 10^{-9}$ .

Since the real mass of the Sun is bigger than  $M_0$ , the real velocity of ‘Oumuamua is higher. So, the [Speed Boost](#) of ‘Oumuamua was unexpected not because it flies too fast, but because the predicted velocity is incorrect.

## 2. Anomalies in space

### a) ‘Oumuamua

- Speed Boost

The interstellar object [‘Oumuamua](#) was discovered on Oct. 19, 2017, which is 40 days after it has passed the perihelion on Sept. 9, 2017 at the velocity [87.71 km/s](#). It goes a [tiny bit faster](#) than it should have if the only effect on its motion was the Sun’s gravity, which is not predicted by current theory [\[1\]\[2\]\[3\]\[4\]\[5\]\[6\]](#). But this [Speed Boost](#) is predicted by Time relativity.

Let  $u_R$  be the real orbital velocity of ‘Oumuamua caused by  $M_R$ , which is expressed in equation (9) in terms of the real orbital acceleration  $\alpha_R$  and  $r_c$  the radius of curvature of its orbit at the perihelion. With  $M_0$ , the orbital velocity would be  $u_0$  which is expressed in equation (10) in terms of the corresponding orbital acceleration  $\alpha_0$ . We divide equation (9) with (10) to obtain the ratio of the velocities squared in equation (11).

$$u_R^2 = \alpha_R r_c \quad (9)$$

$$u_0^2 = \alpha_0 r_c \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{u_R^2}{u_0^2} = \frac{\alpha_R}{\alpha_0} \quad (11)$$

$$\alpha_R = kM_R \quad (12)$$

$$\alpha_0 = kM_0 \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{u_R^2}{u_0^2} = \frac{M_R}{M_0} \quad (14)$$

The gravitational acceleration is proportional to the mass of the Sun, so,  $\alpha_R$  and  $\alpha_0$  can be written as in equations (12) and (13). Introducing equations (12) and (13) in to (11), we get equation (14).

$$u_R - u_0 = \frac{u_R^2 - u_0^2}{u_R + u_0} \quad (15)$$

$$= \frac{u_0^2}{u_R + u_0} \left( \frac{u_R^2}{u_0^2} - 1 \right)$$

$$u_R \approx u_0 \Rightarrow \quad (16)$$

$$u_R + u_0 \approx 2u_0$$

$$u_R - u_0 \approx \frac{u_0}{2} \left( \frac{M_R}{M_0} - 1 \right) \quad (17)$$

$$\text{Perihelion} \quad (18)$$

$$u_0 = 87.71 \text{ km/s} \Rightarrow$$

$$u_R - u_0 = 0.217 \text{ mm/s}$$

- Resistance to slowdown

‘Oumuamua slows down under the Sun’s gravity, but not [as fast as predicted by celestial mechanics](#) [\[1\]\[2\]\[3\]\[4\]\[5\]\[6\]](#). I call this phenomenon Resistance to slowdown effect, which is predicted by Time relativity because, as relativistic mass is bigger than rest mass, the fast moving ‘Oumuamua carries more kinetic energy than Newtonian theory predicts. So, ‘Oumuamua looks moving slower when carrying the kinetic energy that Newtonian theory predicts.

Let  $u_N$  and  $E_N$  be the Newtonian velocity and kinetic energy of ‘Oumuamua.  $E_N$  is expressed in equation (19). When it slows down to the velocity  $u_N^*$ , its kinetic energy is  $E_N^*$  and the decrease of velocity squared is expressed in equation (20).

$$E_N = \frac{m_0 u_N^2}{2} \quad (19)$$

$$u_N^* - u_N^2 = \frac{2}{m_0} (E_N^* - E_N) \quad (20)$$

$$E_R = m_0 c^2 \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{u_R^2}{c^2}} \right) \quad (21)$$

$$\left( 1 - \frac{E_R}{m_0 c^2} \right)^2 = 1 - \frac{u_R^2}{c^2} \quad (22)$$

$$\left( 1 - \frac{E_R^*}{m_0 c^2} \right)^2 - \left( 1 - \frac{E_R}{m_0 c^2} \right)^2 = \left( 1 - \frac{u_R^*}{c^2} \right)^2 - \left( 1 - \frac{u_R}{c^2} \right)^2 \quad (23)$$

$$c^2 \left( 1 - \frac{E_R^*}{m_0 c^2} - 1 + \frac{E_R}{m_0 c^2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{E_R^*}{m_0 c^2} + 1 - \frac{E_R}{m_0 c^2} \right) = -u_R^* + u_R^2 \quad (24)$$

$$u_R^* - u_R^2 = \frac{E_R^* - E_R}{m_0} \left( 2 - \frac{E_R^* + E_R}{m_0 c^2} \right) \quad (25)$$

The real kinetic energy carried by ‘Oumuamua moving at the real velocity  $u_R$  is  $E_R$ . According to Time relativity,  $E_R$  is given in equation (21), which is the equation (44) in « [Velocity, mass, momentum and energy of an accelerated object](#) » in relativity ». When ‘Oumuamua slows down to the velocity  $u_R^*$ , its kinetic energy is  $E_R^*$ . Equation (21) is transformed into equation (22) for deriving the decrease of velocity squared, see equations (23) to (25).

Let us denote the differences of velocity squared in the form  $\Delta(u^2)$ , see equation (26).

We compute the ratio of these differences by dividing equation (25) with equation (20), which gives equation (27). Suppose that, when going from one point to another, ‘Oumuamua gains the quantity of potential energy  $\Delta U$ , which equals the loss of kinetic energy,  $E_R^* - E_R$  for Time relativity and  $E_N^* - E_N$  for Newtonian theory. Because  $\Delta U$  is the same for the 2 cases, we have  $E_R^* - E_R = E_N^* - E_N$ , see equation (28). Also, the values of  $E_R^*$  and  $E_R$  equal nearly the Newtonian kinetic energy, so we can write equation (29). Introducing equations (28) and (29) in to (27) we get equation (30) which is smaller than 1. So, the decrease of velocity for Time relativity is smaller than the Newtonian one, that is, ‘Oumuamua “resists to slowdown”.

We compute this effect for very small decrease of velocity, so we write equation (30) into (31). We differentiate  $\Delta(u_R^2)$  and  $\Delta(u_N^2)$  and simplify the ratio  $\frac{\Delta(u_R^2)}{\Delta(u_N^2)}$  into  $\frac{\Delta u_R}{\Delta u_N}$  because the orbital velocity for Time relativity is very close to the Newtonian one, see equation (32). Then, equation (31) becomes equation (33), which quantifies the relative gap of decrease of velocity between Time relativity and Newtonian predictions.

Let us call  $\frac{\Delta u_R}{\Delta u_N} - 1$  Resistance to slowdown and compute its value in equation (34). It equals  $-4.28 \times 10^{-8}$  at perihelion where the velocity of ‘Oumuamua is 87.71 km/s. In outer space where the Sun’s gravity is very weak, the velocity of ‘Oumuamua is [26.33 km/s](#)<sup>[1]</sup> and its Resistance to slowdown is  $-3.86 \times 10^{-9}$ . It will be interesting to compare the theoretical value  $-4.28 \times 10^{-8}$  with the observation at perihelion<sup>[1][2][3][4][5][6]</sup>.

I have also computed the gap between the total decreases of velocity for Time relativity and Newtonian theory, see equations (35) to (38), which shows that changing its velocity from 87.71 km/s to 26.33 km/s, ‘Oumuamua would move 3.1 mm/s faster than predicted in outer space.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Equation (30)} \Rightarrow & \quad u_R = u_N \Rightarrow \\ \Delta(u_R^2) - \Delta(u_N^2) & \quad \Delta(u_R^2) - \Delta(u_N^2) \\ \approx -\frac{u_R^* + u_R^2}{4c^2} \Delta(u_N^2) & = (u_R^* - u_R^2) \\ & \quad - (u_N^* - u_N^2) \\ = -\frac{u_R^* + u_R^2}{4c^2} (u_N^* - u_N^2) & = u_R^* - u_N^* \\ & = (u_R^* - u_N^*)(u_R^* + u_N^*) \end{aligned} \quad (35) \quad (36)$$

### b) Pioneer anomaly

The Wikipedia page [Pioneer anomaly](#)<sup>[7]</sup> explains that while the spacecrafts [Pioneer 10](#) and [Pioneer 11](#) are escaping the Solar System, their navigational data show that they are slowing slightly more than expected, they get an additional extremely small acceleration towards the Sun which is  $\Delta\alpha = (8.74 \pm 1.33) \times 10^{-10} \text{ m/s}^2$ <sup>[7][8][9][10][11][12][13][14][15][16]</sup>.

Let us compute the Resistance to slowdown for Pioneer by using the tangential orbital acceleration which equals the change of magnitude of velocity  $\Delta u$  divided by time interval  $\Delta t$ , see equation (39) where the index R and N indicate Time relativity and Newtonian theory. We divide  $\alpha_R$  with  $\alpha_N$  in equation (40) which shows that the ratio of acceleration equals that of the change of velocity. Introducing equation (40) in to equation (33), we get equation (41).

$$\begin{aligned} u_R^* - u_R^2 &= \Delta(u_R^2) \\ u_N^* - u_N^2 &= \Delta(u_N^2) \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\frac{\Delta(u_R^2)}{\Delta(u_N^2)} = \frac{E_R^* - E_R}{E_N^* - E_N} \left(1 - \frac{E_R^* + E_R}{2m_0 c^2}\right) \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gain in potential energy } \Delta U \\ \Delta U = E_R^* - E_R \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

$$= E_N^* - E_N \Rightarrow \frac{E_R^* - E_R}{E_N^* - E_N} = 1$$

$$E_R \approx m_0 \frac{u_R^2}{2}, E_R^* \approx m_0 \frac{u_R^*}{2} \Rightarrow$$

$$\frac{E_R^* + E_R}{2m_0 c^2} \approx \frac{u_R^* + u_R^2}{4c^2}$$

$$\frac{\Delta(u_R^2)}{\Delta(u_N^2)} \approx 1 - \frac{u_R^* + u_R^2}{4c^2} < 1 \quad (30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{At perihelion } u_R^* \approx u_R^2 \\ \frac{\Delta(u_R^2)}{\Delta(u_N^2)} - 1 \approx -\frac{u_R^2}{2c^2} \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} u_R \approx u_N \Rightarrow \\ \frac{\Delta(u_R^2)}{\Delta(u_N^2)} \approx \frac{2u_R \Delta u_R}{2u_N \Delta u_N} \\ \approx \frac{\Delta u_R}{\Delta u_N} \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

$$\frac{\Delta u_R}{\Delta u_N} - 1 \approx -\frac{u_R^2}{2c^2} \quad (33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{At perihelion} \\ u_R = 87.71 \text{ km/s} \Rightarrow \\ \frac{\Delta u_R}{\Delta u_N} - 1 = -4.28 \times 10^{-8} \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{In outer space} \\ u_R = 26.33 \text{ km/s} \Rightarrow \\ \frac{\Delta u_R}{\Delta u_N} - 1 = -3.86 \times 10^{-9} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Equations (35) = (36)} \Rightarrow \\ \frac{u_R^* - u_N^*}{u_R^* + u_N^*} \approx \frac{u_R^* - u_R^2}{u_R^* + u_N^*} \\ \approx -\frac{u_R^* + u_R^2}{4c^2} \frac{u_N^* - u_N^2}{u_R^* + u_N^*} \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} u_N \approx u_R \approx 87.71 \text{ km/s} \\ u_N^* \approx u_R^* \approx 26.33 \text{ km/s} \end{aligned} \Rightarrow \quad (38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tangential acceleration} \\ \alpha_R = \frac{\Delta u_R}{\Delta t}, \alpha_N = \frac{\Delta u_N}{\Delta t} \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Equation (32)} \Rightarrow \\ \frac{\alpha_R}{\alpha_N} = \frac{\Delta u_R}{\Delta u_N} \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Equation (31)} \Rightarrow \\ \frac{\alpha_R}{\alpha_N} - 1 \approx -\frac{u_R^2}{2c^2} \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Using the velocity of [Pioneer 10](#) on December, 30, 2005 which is [12.51 km/s](#), equation (41) gives the Resistance to slowdown for Pioneer: [−8.70×10<sup>−10</sup>](#), see equation (42), which is amazingly close to the value of  $\Delta\alpha$  given in the Wikipedia page [Pioneer anomaly](#)<sup>[7]</sup>: [\(8.74±1.33\)×10<sup>−10</sup> m/s<sup>2</sup>](#).

However, there is a glitch: the quantity  $\frac{\alpha_R}{\alpha_N} - 1$  is dimensionless while  $\Delta\alpha$  has the dimension  $m/s^2$ . I suspect that there may be more than a pure coincidence.

There is a second glitch.  $\frac{\alpha_R}{\alpha_N} - 1 < 0$  means that  $\alpha_R$  is smaller than  $\alpha_N$  in magnitude. Because the tangential acceleration points towards the Sun while the velocity away from the Sun, a smaller acceleration means that the spacecrafts are less pulled towards the Sun. So, the resistance to slowdown effect makes the spacecrafts to slow less than expected rather than “*slowing slightly more than expected*”. Also, because the thermal radiation pressure proposed by the cited papers [7][8][9][10][11][12][13][14][15][16] pushes towards the Sun it acts against the resistance to slowdown effect.

Anyway, because the resistance to slowdown effect is observed with ‘Oumuamua and the theoretical value [−8.70×10<sup>−10</sup>](#) is so close to the observational value [\(8.74±1.33\)×10<sup>−10</sup> m/s<sup>2</sup>](#), it will be very interesting to look again into the navigational data of [Pioneer 10](#) and [Pioneer 11](#).

Just for comparison, the total gravitational acceleration from the Sun is  $7.37 \times 10^{-7} m/s^2$ , see equation (44). Due to Speed Boost effect (bigger solar mass, see section 2. a) ‘Oumuamua •Speed Boost) the relative increase of gravitational acceleration is  $4.94 \times 10^{-9}$ , see equation (46). The increased acceleration is  $3.64 \times 10^{-15} m/s^2$  towards the Sun, see equation (47).

### c) Flyby anomaly

Flyby is a common gravity assist maneuver to accelerate a spacecraft using the gravity of the Earth. It was noticed that in multiple cases, spacecrafts have been observed to gain more speed than scientists had predicted. This phenomenon is called [flyby anomaly](#)<sup>[17][18][19][20][21]</sup>.

This gain in speed may have the same origin than the Speed Boost of ‘Oumuamua, except that the attracting body is the Earth. And indeed, the mass of the Earth was derived using the orbit of the Moon of which the velocity is 1.022 km/s, which makes the mass of the Earth ( $1 + 5.81 \times 10^{-12}$ ) times more massive than the today’s used value, see section 1 “Solar mass”.

## 3. Planets and Parker Solar Probe

The above cases of speed boost make reevaluating the mass of the Sun important. For doing so, we can compute the quantity  $\mu = \alpha \cdot r_M^{-2}$  for all the planets, with  $\alpha$  being the orbital acceleration of the planet and  $r_M$  its distance from the Sun,  $\alpha$  and  $r_M$  being instantaneous values.

If  $\mu$  is the same for all the planets, then the mass of the Sun is consistent with Newtonian theory as given in equation (48). If  $\mu$  varies according to equation (49) (see equation (5)), with  $i$  indicating instantaneous orbital values for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  planet, then the mass of the Sun is now undervalued and  $\mu$  will give the real mass of the Sun.

$$u_R = 12.51 \text{ km/s}$$

$$\frac{\alpha_R}{\alpha_N} - 1 \approx -8.70 \times 10^{-10} \quad (42)$$

$$\begin{aligned} M &= 1.99 \times 10^{30} \text{ kg} \\ g &= 6.67 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3/\text{kg s}^2 \\ u_E &= 29.8 \text{ km/s} \\ r_M &= 89.7 \text{ AU} \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha_0| &= \frac{gM}{r_M^2} \\ &= 7.37 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m/s}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Equation (12) and (13)  $\Rightarrow$

$$\frac{\alpha_R}{\alpha_0} = \frac{M_R}{M_0} \quad (45)$$

$$\frac{\alpha_R}{\alpha_0} - 1 \approx 4.94 \times 10^{-9} \quad (46)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha_R| - |\alpha_0| &\approx 4.94 \times 10^{-9} |\alpha_0| \\ &= 3.64 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m/s}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

The orbital data of Mercury, Venus, Earth, Mars, Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus and Neptune exist in the archives, but I doubt that they are sufficiently precise for this work. So, new measurement is necessary.

$$\mu = \alpha \cdot r_M^{-2} = gM \quad (48)$$

Time relativity

$$\mu = \alpha \cdot r_{M,i}^{-2} = gM \sqrt{1 - \frac{u_i^2}{c^2}} \quad (49)$$

To quickly obtain high precision orbital data around the Sun, the navigation data of the [Parker Solar Probe](#) are a good source because they are precise measurement and its orbit is very elongated, which makes the value of  $r_m$  and  $u$  to vary in a large range.

Also, the orbit of the Parker Solar Probe is suitable for verifying the Resistance to slowdown effect. Indeed, its orbital velocity and tangential acceleration vary in a large range.

## References

---

‘Oumuamua

- [1] Bill Gray, ‘‘Pseudo-MPEC’’ for A/2017 U1 = 1I = ‘Oumuamua’ <https://projectpluto.com/temp/2017u1.htm#speed>
- [2] Micheli, Marco; Farnocchia, Davide; Meech, Karen J.; Buie, Marc W.; Hainaut, Olivier R.; Prialnik, Dina; Schörghofer, Norbert; Weaver, Harold A.; Chodas, Paul W.; Kleyna, Jan T.; Weryk, Robert; Wainscoat, Richard J.; Ebeling, Harald; Keane, Jacqueline V.; Chambers, Kenneth C.; Koschny, Detlef; Petropoulos, Anastassios E. (2018). ‘Non-gravitational acceleration in the trajectory of 1I/2017 U1 (‘Oumuamua)’. *Nature*. **559** (7713): 223–226. Bibcode:2018Natur.559..223M. doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0254-4. PMID 29950718.
- [3] Williams, Matt (2 November 2018). ‘[Could Oumuamua Be an Extra-Terrestrial Solar Sail?](#)’. *Universe Today*.
- [4] Bialy, Shmuel; Loeb, Abraham (26 October 2018). ‘[Could Solar Radiation Explain ‘Oumuamua’s Peculiar Acceleration?](#)’. *The Astrophysical Journal*. **868**: L1. arXiv:1810.11490. doi:10.3847/2041-8213/aaeda8.
- [5] Cofield, Calla; Chou, Felicia; Wendel, JoAnna; Weaver, Donna; Villard, Ray (27 June 2018). ‘[Our Solar System’s First Known Interstellar Object Gets Unexpected Speed Boost](#)’. [NASA](#). Retrieved 27 June 2018.
- [6] Rafikov, Roman R. (20 September 2018). ‘[Spin Evolution and Cometary Interpretation of the Interstellar Minor Object 1I/2017 ‘Oumuamua](#)’. arXiv:1809.06389v2 [astro-ph.EP].

Pioneer anomaly

- [7] Pioneer anomaly [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pioneer\\_anomaly](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pioneer_anomaly)
- [8] Nieto, M. M.; Turyshev, S. G. (2004). ‘[Finding the Origin of the Pioneer Anomaly](#)’. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*. **21**(17): 4005–4024. arXiv:gr-qc/0308017. Bibcode:2004CQGra..21.4005N. CiteSeerX 10.1.1.338.6163. doi:10.1088/0264-9381/21/17/001.
- [9] Benny Rievers, Claus Lämmerzahl (2011). ‘[High precision thermal modeling of complex systems with application to the flyby and Pioneer anomaly](#)’. *Annalen der Physik*. **523** (6): 439. arXiv:1104.3985. Bibcode:2011AnP...523..439R. doi:10.1002/andp.201100081.
- [10] Edward M. Murphy. (1999). ‘[A Prosaic explanation for the anomalous accelerations seen in distant spacecraft](#)’. *Physical Review Letters*. **83** (9): 1890. arXiv:gr-qc/9810015. Bibcode:1999PhRvL..83.1890M. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.1890.
- [11] Katz, J. I. (1999). ‘[Comment on ‘Indication, from Pioneer 10/11, Galileo, and Ulysses data, of an apparent anomalous, weak, long-range acceleration’](#)’. *Physical Review Letters*. **83** (9): 1892. arXiv:gr-qc/9809070. Bibcode:1999PhRvL..83.1892K. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.1892.
- [12] Scheffer, Louis K. (2003). ‘[Conventional forces can explain the anomalous acceleration of Pioneer 10](#)’. *Physical Review D*. **67**(8): 084021. arXiv:gr-qc/0107092. Bibcode:2003PhRvD..67h4021S. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.67.084021.
- [13] Anderson, John D.; Laing, Philip A.; Lau, Eunice L.; Liu, Anthony S.; Nieto, Michael Martin; Turyshev, Slava G. (2002). ‘[Study of the anomalous acceleration of Pioneer 10 and 11](#)’. *Physical Review D*. **65** (8): 082004. arXiv:gr-qc/0104064. Bibcode:2002PhRvD..65h2004A. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.65.082004.
- [14] Bertolami, O.; Francisco, F.; Gil, P. J. S.; Páramos, J. (2008). ‘[Thermal analysis of the Pioneer anomaly: A method to estimate radiative momentum transfer](#)’. *Physical Review D*. **78** (10): 103001. arXiv:0807.0041.
- [15] Turyshev, S. G.; Toth, V. T.; Kellogg, L.; Lau, E.; Lee, K. (2006). ‘[A study of the pioneer anomaly: new data and objectives for new investigation](#)’. *International Journal of Modern Physics D*. **15** (1): 1–55. arXiv:gr-qc/0512121. Bibcode:2006IJMPD..15....1T. doi:10.1142/S0218271806008218.
- [16] Fienga, A., Laskar, J., Kuchynka, P., Manche, H., Gastineau, M. and Leponcin-Lafitte, C. (2009). ‘[Gravity tests with INPOP planetary ephemerides](#)’ (PDF). Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the French Society of Astronomy and Astrophysics: 105–109. Bibcode:2009sf2a.conf..105F. Archived from the original (PDF) on 2011-07-20. Also published in *Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union*. **5**: 159–169. 2010. arXiv:0906.3962. Bibcode:2010IAUS..261..159F. doi:10.1017/S1743921309990330.

Flyby anomaly

- [17] C. Edwards, J. Anderson, P. Beyer, S. Bhaskaran, J. Borders, S. DiNardo, W. Folkner, R. Haw, S. Nandi, F. Nicholson, C. Ottenhoff, S. Stephens (1993). ‘[Tracking galileo at earth-2 perigee using the tracking and data relay satellite system](#)’. CiteSeerX 10.1.1.38.4256
- [18] Thompson, Paul F.; Matthew Abrahamsom; Shadan Ardalani; John Bordi (2014). Reconstruction of Earth flyby by the Juno spacecraft. 24th AAS/AIAA Space Flight Mechanics Meeting. Santa Fe, NM: AAS. pp. 14–435.
- [19] Anderson, John D.; James K. Campbell; Michael Martin Nieto (July 2007), ‘[The energy transfer process in planetary flybys](#)’, *New Astronomy*, **12** (5): 383–397, arXiv:astro-ph/0608087, Bibcode:2007NewA...12..383A, doi:10.1016/j.newast.2006.11.004
- [20] Páramos, Jorge; Hechenblaikner, G. (2013). ‘[Probing the Flyby Anomaly with the future STE-QUEST mission](#)’. *Planetary and Space Science*. **79-80**: 76–81. arXiv:1210.7333. Bibcode:2013P&SS...79...76P. doi:10.1016/j.pss.2013.02.005.
- [21] John D. Anderson, James K. Campbell, John E. Ekelund, Jordan Ellis, and James F. Jordan (7 March 2008), ‘[Anomalous Orbital-Energy Changes Observed during Spacecraft Flybys of Earth](#)’(PDF), *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **100** (9): 091102, Bibcode:2008PhRvL.100i1102A, doi:10.1103/physrevlett.100.091102, PMID 18352689.