

Category	Description	Reviewers Comment	Action taken by reviewed group
Build	Could you clone from Git and build using the README file?	Yes.	
Legibility	Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style?	Variable names seem to be named well and accordingly. Code looks clean and is aligned nicely	
Implementation	is it shorter/easier/faster/cleaner/ safer to write functionally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstractions?	The implementation seems like it works how it should be intended and runs without problems.	
Maintainability	Are there unit tests? Should there be? Are the test covering interesting cases? Are they readable?	It seems you have some sample test/output that would work efficiently for	
Requirements	Does the code fulfill the requirements?	Seems like it does, yes	
Other	Are there other things that stand out that can be improved?	N/A	

Code Review Feedback: Group 62

	on	s Comment	ken by reviewed
--	----	-----------	-----------------

	clone from Git and build using the file?	able to clone b repo and see de.	
	low sane and were variable names ods easy to follow? Does the code general guidelines and code style?	itself was easy and they made omments to ow each part is Good job.	
itation	er/easier/faster/cleaner/ safer to ctionally equivalent code? Do you l abstractions?	ing at the code, be easy to write lly equivalent code is clean le and easy to	
ability	unit tests? Should there be? Are overing interesting cases? Are they ,	made several s easy to nd. They tested function of the	
ents	code fulfill the requirements?	ey did.	
	other things that stand out that can ved?	u need an n page to teach ser now to use site.	

Group 62

Category	Description	Reviewers Comment	Action taken by reviewed group
----------	-------------	-------------------	--------------------------------

Build	Could you clone from Git and build using the README file?	Yes. I had some confusion because the instructions were split between multiple files.	
Legibility	Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style?	The names of variables and classes seemed very explicit in what they meant. I would like to see more comments, especially in the more complicated parts of the backend code.	
Implementation	is it shorter/easier/faster/cleaner/ safer to write functionally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstractions?	I saw some sections of the code labeled "old". I'm assuming the comment label means these bits of code are no longer useful, so they should be taken out.	
Maintainability	Are there unit tests? Should there be? Are the test covering interesting cases? Are they readable?	I did not see unit tests. During the presentation Postman was used and I saw a lot of tests, but there did not seem to be any structure among them. I would recommend exporting a collection of Postman tests and storing it on the repo for other people to use to test the program.	

Requirements	Does the code fulfill the requirements?	The presenters said that they are waiting for a new ruleset from their employer, so it seems like once that is handled the requirements will be met.	
Other	Are there other things that stand out that can be improved?	N/A	