1 (a) because f is covnex and

$$\frac{b-x}{b-a} + \frac{x-a}{b-a} = 1$$

so we have

$$\frac{b-x}{b-a}f(a)+\frac{x-a}{b-a}f(b)\geq f(\frac{b-x}{b-a}a+\frac{x-a}{b-a}b)=f(\frac{ab-ax+bx-ab}{b-a})=f(x)$$

(b) because f is covnex and $x \in (a, b)$, there must exist $\theta(0 \le \theta \le 1)$ so that

$$x = \theta a + (1 - \theta)b$$

for the first inequality, we have

$$\frac{f(x)-f(a)}{x-a}=\frac{f(\theta a+(1-\theta)b)-f(a)}{\theta a+(1-\theta)b-a}\leq \frac{\theta f(a)+(1-\theta)f(b)-f(a)}{(1-\theta)(b-a)}=\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}$$

so we proved

$$\frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a} \le \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}$$

the second ineequality is same as the first one

$$\frac{f(b) - f(x)}{b - x} = \frac{f(b) - f(\theta a + (1 - \theta)b)}{b - \theta a - (1 - \theta)b} \ge \frac{f(b) - \theta f(a) - (1 - \theta)f(b)}{\theta (b - a)} = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}$$

2 (a) suppose f is a piecewise function, it's expression is

$$f=egin{cases} -x^2,x\leq 0\ x^2,x>0 \end{cases}$$

obviously, f is not convex, then let C=[1,2], so C is a convex set, the expression of f on C is

$$f=x^2, x\in [1,2]$$

so *f* is convex on *C*

(b) let *f* be a piecewise function and it's expression is

$$f=egin{cases} -x^2,x\leq 0\ x^2,x>0 \end{cases}$$

as we can see, f is a non-convex function, now let $C=[1,2)\cup(2,3]$, then C is a non-convex set too. $\forall x,y\in C$, we always have

$$f(y) \geq f(x) +
abla f(x)^T (y-x)$$

so f is convex on C.

3 suppose $X_1, X_2 \in X$, $0 \le \theta \le 1$, then we need to prove that $\theta X_1 + (1 - \theta)X_2 \in X$ because X is the global minimizers of f, so we have

$$f(X_1) = f(X_2) \le f(u), \forall u \in R^n$$

because f is convex,so

$$f(\theta X_1 + (1 - \theta)X_2)) \le \theta f(X_1) + (1 - \theta)f(X_2) = \theta f(X_1) + (1 - \theta)f(X_1) = f(X_1)$$

because $f(X_1) \leq f(u), \forall u \in \mathbb{R}^n$,so we have

$$f(\theta X_1 + (1-\theta)X_2)) = f(X_1) \le f(u), \forall u \in R^n$$

so $\theta X_1 + (1 - \theta)X_2 \in X$,and we have proved X is convex. 4 because -log(x) is convex,so

$$-log(rac{x_1+x_2+\cdots+x_m}{m}) \leq -rac{1}{m}(log(x_1)+log(x_2)+\cdots+log(x_m)) = -rac{1}{m}log(x_1\cdots x_m)$$

multiply by -1 and take the exponential of both sides yields and we can get

$$\sqrt[m]{x_1\cdots x_m} \leq rac{x_1+x_2+\cdots+x_m}{m}$$

For the only m, there is only one set of $x_1, x_2 \cdots, x_m$ that can holds the equation and it occurs only if $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_m$

5 let $f(x) = \inf_{y \in S} \lvert \lvert x - y \rvert \rvert$, suppose $x_1, x_2 \in S, \theta \in [0,1]$, $orall x \in R^n$, we have

$$egin{aligned} f(heta x_1 + (1- heta) x_2) &= \inf_{y \in S} || heta x_1 + (1- heta) x_2 - y|| \ &\leq \inf_{y \in S} (heta || x_1 - y|| + (1- heta) || x_2 - y||) \ &\leq heta || x_1 - y|| + (1- heta) || x_2 - y||) \ &= heta f(x_1) + (1- heta) f(x_2) \end{aligned}$$

so dist(x, S) is convex.

6 let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, so we have

$$egin{aligned} (heta f + (1- heta)g)^* &= sup\{xy - (heta f(x) + (1- heta)g(y))\} \ &\leq heta sup\{xy - f(x)\} + (1- heta)sup\{xy - g(y)\} \ &= heta f^* + (1- heta)g^* \end{aligned}$$

7 suppose $x \in C$, if $C \subset D$, then

$$S_C(x) = sup\{x^Ty| orall y \in C\}, S_D(x) = sup\{x^Ty| orall y \in D\}$$

 $orall (x,y) \in C$,we always have $x^Ty \leq S_C(x)$,because $C \subset D$,so $x^Ty \leq S_D(x)$,and we have

 $S_C(x) \leq S_D(x)$. The appearance of '< 'is due to the elements in D are more than C, so the minimum of $S_D(x)$ is $S_C(x)$.

conversely, for any point $x \in R^n$, $S_C(x)$ is the smallest among the set of supporting hyperplanes (supporting half-spaces) for subset C, where the hyperplanes contain x. Similarly, $S_D(x)$ is the smallest among the set of supporting hyperplanes for subset D that contain x.

Based on the given condition, we have $S_C(x) \leq S_D(x)$, which means that for any x, the supporting hyperplanes of C do not extend farther from the origin than the supporting hyperplanes of D, with the direction of the hyperplane's normal vector as an indicator. In other words, each point in C is located on the same side of the corresponding supporting hyperplanes in D or is closer to the origin. This is because the normal vectors of the supporting hyperplanes point outward, and therefore, points in C cannot be inside the supporting hyperplanes of D.

This implies that every point in C is in D because they all stay within D. Thus, according to the definition, C is a subset of D.

This completes the proof. Therefore, if $S_C(x) \leq S_D(x)$, then C is a subset of D.

8 (a) For each i, we have $f^*(y) = y^Tx - f(x) = y_ix_i - \max_{i=1,2,\cdot,n} x_i$. This is a linear function of x_i with slope y_i , the supremum of $y^Tx - f(x)$ over x is achieved when the slope y_i is equal to the maximum slope among all $y_j, j=1,\cdots,n$. That is, when $y_i = \max y_j$, If $\max y_j > 0$, then the supremum is infinite, since we can make x_i arbitrarily large. If $\max y_j \leq 0$, then the supremum is zero, since we can choose $x_i = 0$, Therefore, the conjugate function is

$$f^*(y) = egin{cases} 0, max \ y_j \leq 0 \ \infty, max \ y_j > 0 \end{cases}$$

(b) we know that $f^*(y) = \sup\{xy - f(x)\}$, let xy - f(x) = 0, we have

$$y=|x|^{p-1}$$

which mean $y \ge 0$, so $dom_f = \{y \ge 0\}$. so we can confirm that when $x = y^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$, xy - f(x) reaches its maximum, so we have

$$f^*(y) = y^{rac{p}{p-1}} - rac{y^{rac{p}{p-1}}}{p} = rac{p-1}{p} y^{rac{p}{p-1}} = rac{y^q}{q}$$

because $y \geq 0$,so $f^*(x) = \frac{|x|^q}{q}$,and we proved that

$$(\frac{|x|^p}{p})^* = \frac{|x|^q}{q}$$

(c) let (xy - f(x))' = 0 and we can know that $x = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{y}}$, then we can get

$$f^*(y) = egin{cases} -2\sqrt{-y}, y \leq 0 \ +\infty, y > 0 \end{cases}$$

(d) let (xy - f(x))' = 0 and we can know that $x = -\frac{1}{y}$, then we can get

$$f^*(y) = egin{cases} -ln(-y)-1, y < 0 \ +\infty, y > 0 \end{cases}$$

9 (a) transform the function f(x) into Moreau-Yosida regularization form:

$$f_{\mu}(x) = inf\{||y||_1 + rac{1}{2\mu}||y-x||^2\} + rac{lpha}{2}||x||^2$$

where μ is a positive constant. Then, we can calculate the conjugate function of $f_{\mu}(x)$

$$f_\mu^*(y) = \sup_x \{y^Tx - f_\mu(x)\}$$

substituting $f_{\mu}(x)$ into the above equation, we get

$$f_{\mu}^{*}(y) = \sup_{x} \{y^{T}x - \inf_{z}[||z||_{1} + rac{1}{2\mu}||z-x||^{2}] - rac{lpha}{2}||x||^{2}\}$$

we can find the optimal solution by taking the derivative.orall i,let $u_i=rac{y_i}{lpha}$,then we have

$$u_i = egin{cases} -rac{1}{2\mu}, y_i < -lpha \ [-rac{1}{2\mu}, rac{1}{2\mu}], -lpha \leq y_j \leq lpha \ rac{1}{2\mu}, y_i > lpha \end{cases}$$

therefore, we have

$$f^*(y) = f_0^*(y) = egin{cases} 0, ||y||_1 \leq lpha \ +\infty, ||y||_1 > lpha \end{cases}$$