## AAGT Homework #6

## Jakub Grondziowski

In this homework I use the term lg(a) as  $lg_2(a)$ .

## 1 Task a

In order to prove that (n, h) universal tree has at least the size equal to  $\binom{\lfloor \lg(n)\rfloor + h - 1}{h - 1}$ , I will first prove some lemmas, which then will be combined to form the complete proof.

Let's start with defining a function size(n,h), which returns the least amount of leaves (size of the tree) of the (n,h) universal tree. I will argue that  $size(n,h) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} size(\lfloor \frac{n}{i} \rfloor, h-1)$ .

From the properties of universal trees we can define the base case that will stop this recurrent equation: the size of (1, h) universal tree is equal to 1, whilst the size of (n, 1) universal tree is equal to n.

Let T = (n, h) universal tree and let  $i \in [1, n]$ . Let's define  $T_i$  as a sub-tree of T of height h - 1, which we can build by removing all leaves from tree T and then removing all nodes at height h - 1 that had a degree smaller than i from before the deletion of leaves. If the parent of the deleted node has became a leaf (it didn't have any other children), we remove him from the tree and repeat the process of deleting the parents until we encounter a node which had 2 or more children from before the deletion process.

I will now try to proof that the tree  $T_i$  is actually a  $(\lfloor \frac{n}{i} \rfloor, h-1)$  universal tree. Let's define some example tree w of size  $\lfloor \frac{n}{i} \rfloor$  and height h-1. To every leaf of tree w we append i children, which creates a new tree W of height h and size  $i * \lfloor \frac{n}{i} \rfloor$ , which is less or equal to n. That means that the newly created tree W is actually a tree that embeds to T, since T is (n,h) universal. This naturally concludes another observation, that the tree w embeds to the tree  $T_i$ , because the leaves of w, which are also nodes at height h-1 in W, have a degree i in W, thus those nodes are also in  $T_i$ . This means that the number of nodes of T at height h-1 of degree  $\geq i$  is at least equal to  $size(\lfloor \frac{n}{i} \rfloor, h-1)$ .

Let's now define  $n_i$  to be the exact number of nodes at height (h-1) with degree equal to i. Using the previous conclusion we can now state that  $\sum_{j=i}^{n} n_j \geq size(\lfloor \frac{n}{i} \rfloor, h-1)$ . That means that the total size of tree T is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} n_j * j = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i}^{n} n_j \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} size(\lfloor \frac{n}{i} \rfloor, h-1)$$

That proves that indeed  $size(n,h) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} size(\lfloor \frac{n}{i} \rfloor, h-1)$ .

For the second lemma I will prove a simple equation:  $\binom{x+y}{x} = \binom{x+y}{y}$ . It's quite easy, expanding those binomials yields the proof:

$$\binom{x+y}{x} = \frac{(x+y)!}{x!*(x+y-x)!} = \frac{(x+y)!}{x!*y!} = \frac{(x+y)!}{y!*(x+y-y)!} = \binom{x+y}{y}$$

It's not revolutionary in any sense, but it will help us in our next lemma, as we now know that

$$\binom{\lfloor lg(n)\rfloor + h - 1}{h - 1} = \binom{\lfloor lg(n)\rfloor + h - 1}{\lfloor lg(n)\rfloor}$$

.

For the final lemma we just need to prove that  $size(n,h) \ge {\lfloor \lfloor lg(n)\rfloor + h - 1 \choose \lfloor lg(n)\rfloor}$ . Let  $S(p,h) = size(2^p,h)$  for every  $p \ge 0$  and h > 0. We can easily estimate the values of S using size:

$$S(0,h) = 1$$
 
$$S(p,1) \ge 1$$
 
$$S(p,h) \ge \sum_{r=0}^{p} S(p-r,h-1)$$

We can define another term which will work as a lower bound for our newly declared S. Let's call it  $\bar{S}$ 

$$\begin{split} \bar{S}(0,h) &= 1\\ \bar{S}(p,1) &= 1\\ \bar{S}(p,h) &= \bar{S}(p,h-1) + \bar{S}(p-1,h) \end{split}$$

We can now use the Pascal's identity to convert  $\bar{S}(p,h)$  to a more friendly, binomial form:

$$\bar{S}(p,h) = \binom{p+h-1}{p} = \binom{p+h-2}{p} + \binom{p+h-2}{p-1}$$

We have now proven that  $S(p,h) \geq {p+h-1 \choose p}$  (Since  $\bar{S}$  was the lower bound for S), which will now help us in defining the final inequality:

$$size(n,h) \geq S(\lfloor lg(n)\rfloor,h) \geq \bar{S}(\lfloor lg(n)\rfloor,h) = \begin{pmatrix} \lfloor lg(n)\rfloor + h - 1 \\ \lfloor lg(n)\rfloor \end{pmatrix}$$

This concludes the proof.